Ameba-associated Microorganisms and Diagnosis of Nosocomial Pneumonia

Pierre Berger,*† Laurent Papazian,‡ Michel Drancourt,*† Bernard La Scola,*† Jean-Pierre Auffray,‡ and Didier Raoult*†

To elucidate the role of ameba-associated microorganisms (AAMs) as etiologic agents of pneumonia, we screened for Legionella spp., Parachlamydia acanthamoeba, Afipia sp., Bosea spp., Bradyrhizobium spp., Mesorhizobium amorphae, Rasbo bacterium, Azorhizobium caulinodans, Acanthamoeba polyphaga mimivirus, and conventional microorganisms in 210 pneumonia patients in intensive-care units by using culture, polymerase chain reaction, and serologic testing. These resulted in 59 diagnoses in 40 patients. AAMs and non-AAMs were implicated in 10.5% of the patients. The infectious agents were identified in 15 patients: Acanthamoeba polyphaga mimivirus, 8; Legionella pneumophila, 3; L. anisa, 1; Parachlamydia sp., 1; Bosea massiliensis, L. worsleiensis, L. quinlivanii, and L. rubrilucens, 1; and M. amorphae and R. bacterium, 1. A. polyphaga mimivirus was the fourth most common etiologic agent, with a higher seroprevalence than noted in healthy controls. This finding suggested its clinical relevance. Therefore, AAM might cause nosocomial pneumonia and should be suspected when conventional microbiologic results are negative.

Pneumonia is a major cause of illness and death throughout the world (1). Approximately 600,000 persons with pneumonia are hospitalized each year, and 64 million days of restricted activity occur because of this disease (2). Pneumonia is associated with high death rates, in particular, 30% for community-acquired pneumonia (3). Hospital-acquired pneumonia occurs in 0.5% to 1% of hospitalized patients, which represents 10%–15% of all nosocomial infections; pneumonia is the most common cause of nosocomial infection in intensive-care units (ICUs) (4). The etiologic agent of community-acquired pneumonia remains unknown in 20% to 50% of cases (5), and several pathogens that may cause pneumonia seem to be underestimated (6-8).

Microbiologically contaminated water distribution systems have been linked to outbreaks of hospital- and community-acquired pneumonia (9,10). Water-associated microorganisms, such as Legionella spp., Pseudomonas spp., Stenotrophomonas spp., Burkholderia spp., and Acinetobacter spp., colonize hospital water supplies and have been causally associated with cases of hospitalacquired pneumonia (10). There is also a growing concern that water-associated microorganisms, for example, Legionella spp., Afipia spp., Bosea spp., Bradyrhizobium spp., Mesorhizobium spp., Rasbo bacterium, Parachlamydia spp., and Acanthamoeba polyphaga mimivirus, may be associated with amebas (11-13). We previously demonstrated that patients with nosocomial pneumonia who received care in a hospital near a contaminated water distribution system showed strong serologic evidence of exposure to these microorganisms (14). Specimens from 12 (40%) of 30 patients in an ICU seroconverted to microorganisms known to survive in an aquatic environment in the intracellular niche provided by free-living Acanthamoebae (15). These seroconversions were associated with ventilator-associated pneumonia, especially in patients for whom no etiologic agent was found by usual microbiologic investigations. We have also reported serologic evidence of exposure to an emerging giant virus that is resistant to phagocytic destruction by ameba, which we named A. polyphaga mimivirus (www-micro.msb.le.ac.uk/ 3035/VirusGroups.html), in 26 patients with ventilatorassociated pneumonia at another ICU (12,13,16). Using this rationale, we evaluated infections with ameba-associated microorganisms (AAM) in a larger series of patients with pneumonia hospitalized in Marseille, France. Our goal was to identify typical pathogens as well as emerging AAMs (12-15,17-20).

^{*}Centre Hospitalier Universitaire La Timone, Marseille, France; †Université de la Méditerranée, Marseille, France; and ‡Hôpital Sainte-Marguerite, Marseille, France

Materials and Methods

Study Population

All patients admitted to the ICU of Sainte-Marguerite Hospital in Marseille, France, with clinically suspected pneumonia over an 18-month period ending in June 2003 were enrolled in a prospective study. For all patients, the clinical suspicion of pneumonia was based on the presence of new or progressive pulmonary infiltrates on chest radiograph along with 2 of the following features: pyrexia with a temperature \geq 38.5°C, purulent tracheobronchial secretions, and leukocytosis with a total peripheral leukocyte count ≥12, 000/mm³. All episodes of suspected ventilatorassociated pneumonia with fever and pulmonary density were retrospectively reevaluated and all differential diagnoses were excluded (21). Since patients might have been treated for pneumonia more than once during the 18-month study period, episodes rather than individual patients were the unit of analysis. Beginning from the time of admission, all occasions on which pneumonia had been diagnosed in individual patients were considered a single episode of pneumonia unless the interval between 2 such occasions exceeded 30 days. Excluded from the final analyses were patients who did not recover between 2 episodes of pneumonia.

Data Collection

Samples used for this study resulted from the current residual sampling strategy of the ICU; no supplement sampling was performed for the study. The identity of patients who provided samples and questionnaire information before the study remained confidential according to French law. We collected clinical data by using a standardized questionnaire that included sociodemographic data (age, sex), medical history (chronic obstructive bronchopneumonia, asthma, cystic fibrosis, smoking and alcohol habits, immunosuppression, cancer, HIV infection, malnutrition, tuberculosis), hospitalization data (surgery, inhalation therapy, duration of ventilation, and antimicrobial drug use), and type of pneumonia (nosocomial or community acquired). Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) was defined according to the American-European consensus (22). Information on immunosuppression was obtained for patients with a history of cancer, organ transplants, splenectomy, HIV infection (when the CD4+ T-cell count was <200 cells/µL), and immunosuppressor or steroid treatment (≥ 0.5 mg/kg prednisone for ≥ 30 days or >5 mg/kg prednisone for >5 days).

Study Design

The diagnostic strategy included bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid, blood cultures, and serologic and urine samples. BAL was performed by wedging the broncho-

scope into a subsegment of the area of the lung when greatest abnormality was seen on a radiograph, or when the disease was diffuse, into the lingual or right middle lobe. Normal saline was sequentially instilled in 20-mL aliquots and sectioned into sterile traps for microbiologic testing for AAMs. This testing included culturing onto an agar base containing buffered charcoal yeast extract and enriched with α -ketoglutarate and L-cysteine (23) (Oxoid, Dardilly, France) with cefamandole, polymyxin B, and anisomycin for Legionella spp. cultivation; coculture with amebas as previously reported (24,25) for AAM; and TaqMan real-time polymerase chain reaction assay for enhanced detection of AAMs (Legionella pneumophila, L. anisa, Parachlamydia spp., Bosea spp., and A. polyphaga mimivirus). DNA was extracted from BAL samples by using the QIAMP tissue kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Acute- and convalescent-phase serum samples were drawn into vacutainer tubes (Becton Dickinson, Rutherford, NJ, USA) and tested by immunofluorescence assay for antibodies to L. pneumophila, L. anisa, L. bozemanii, L. longbeachae, L. micdadei, and other AAMs (15,18), including Parachlamydia acanthamoeba (strain BN 9 and "Hall's coccus"), Afipia birgiae, A. broomeae, A. clevelandensis, A. felis, A. felis genospecies A, Afipia genospecies 1–3, A. massilliae, Azorhizobium caulinodans, Bosea eneae, B. massiliensis, B. thiooxydans, B. vestrisii, Bradyrhizobium japonicum, B. liaoningense, L. quinlivanii, L. rubrilucens, L. worsleiensis, Mesorhizobium amorphae, Rasbo bacterium, and Acanthamoeba polyphaga mimivirus (13,15). A urine sample was tested for L. pneumophila serogroup 1 antigen by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (26) (Binax, Inc., Portland, ME, USA). Data on non-AAMs isolated from BAL or blood cultures were obtained by conventional or specific procedures (culture performed on Löwenstein-Jensen medium, shell-vial culture for cytomegalovirus, and inoculation onto continuous cell lines for indirect immunofluorescence assay for herpes simplex virus). Mycoplasma pneumonia, Chlamydia pneumoniae, C. psittacci, Coxiella burnetii, influenza viruses A and B, and adenovirus were also identified.

Main Outcome Measures

Two groups of microorganisms were defined. The first was AAM (*Legionella* spp., *Afipia* spp., *Bosea* spp., *Bradyrhizobium* spp., *Mesorhizobium* spp., *Rasbo bacterium*, *Parachlamydia* spp., and *A. polyphaga mimivirus*). The second group was other water-associated microorganisms (*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and AAM). Diagnosis of AAM infection was classified as having a strong or low level of evidence. The role of an infectious agent in the diagnosis reflected several factors, which included the relationship of the anatomic site of detection to the lung,

RESEARCH

reliability of the method, and whether the putative agent was a known cause of pneumonia.

Strong evidence for AAM included 1) positive BAL culture, 2) 4-fold increase in antibody titer between acuteand convalescent-phase serum samples or seroconversion from 0 to 1:128 for *L. pneumophila*, *L. anisa*, *L. bozemanii*, *L. micdadei*, and *L. longbeachae*; and from 0 to 1: 100 for *L. quinlivanii*, *L. rubrilucens*, *L. worsleiensis*, *Afipia* spp., *Bosea* spp., *Bradyrhizobium* spp., *Mesorhizobium* spp., *Parachlamydia* spp., *R. bacterium*, and *A. polyphaga mimivirus*; and 3) positive results for *L. pneumophila* antigen.

A low level of evidence for AAM included a stable antibody titer of \geq 1:256 for *L. pneumophila*, *L. anisa*, *L. bozemanii*, *L. micdadei*, and *L. longbeachae*; \geq 1:400 for *L. quinlivanii*, *L. rubrilucens*, *L. worsleiensis*, *Afipia* spp., *Bosea* spp., *Bradyrhizobium* spp., *Mesorhizobium* spp., *R. bacterium*, and *A. polyphaga mimivirus*; and \geq 1:200 for *P. acanthamoeba*.

Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Continuous variables were compared by using the Student t-test or the nonparametric Mann Whitney U test when they could not be judged normal. Categoric variables were compared by using the χ^2 test or Fisher exact test when appropriate. Statistical significance was established at p<0.05. All analyses were performed with SPSS version 10 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

Results

A total of 157 patients with 210 episodes of pneumonia were included in the study. The frequency of pneumonia episodes per patient was 1 in 123 patients, 2 in 22 patients, 3 in 7 patients, 4 in 3 patients, and 5 in 2 patients. The mean \pm SD age was 61.6 \pm 16.1 years (range 19–99) and 73.8% of the patients were male. Samples were collected in 62 episodes of community-acquired pneumonia, 120 episodes of nosocomial pneumonia, and 28 episodes of mixed pneumonia (community-acquired, complicated with a nosocomial infection). Data collected for 201 episodes of pneumonia indicated a prevalence of 18.4% with chronic obstructive bronchopneumonia, 6.5% with asthma, 0.5% with cystic fibrosis, 41.8% with smoking habits (19% in males vs. 26% in females; p = 0.004), 17.4% with alcohol consumption, 37.3% with immunosuppression (3 cases of prolonged steroid treatment for inflammatory disease [1.5%], 3 HIV infections [1.5%], 2 splenectomies [1.0%], 3 lung transplants [1.5%], 8 kidney transplants [4.0%] and 57 cancers [28.4%]), 6.0% with malnutrition, 5.5% with a history of tuberculosis, 29.8% with previous surgery (62/208), 38.4% with probable or certain inhalation therapy (78/203), and 38.9% with antimicrobial drug therapy

for >1 week (70/180). The mean \pm SD duration of hospitalization and ventilation was 22.9 \pm 32.6 days (range 0–371) and 16.3 \pm 19.9 days (range 0–101 days), respectively. Data on antimicrobial treatment before BAL was available for 208 patients. Of these, 116 (55.8%) received an antimicrobial drug, 16 (7.7%) an antiviral drug, and 18 (8.7%) an antimycotic drug.

Some patients had several definite or possible pathogens. A total of 230 documentations corresponding to 40 etiologic agents were identified in 152 (72.4%) of 210 episodes of pneumonia. Eighty-six (41.0%) BAL specimens were contaminated with fungi. Table 1 summarizes the non-AAMs identified as definite (28 in 27 [12.9%] of 210 episodes) or possible (143 in 115 [54.8%] of 210 episodes).

Laboratory investigations for AAMs detected 59 diagnoses in 40 (19.0%) patients. More than 1 AAM was observed in 56 episodes of pneumonia (26.7%); 39 (18.6%) had 2 AAMs, 11 (5.2%) had 3 AAMs, 3 (1.4%) had 4 AAMs, 2 (1.0%) had 5 AAMs, and 1 (0.5%) had 6 AAMs. Ten patients had serologic evidence of mixed infections with AAMs. Of the 40 patients with documented AAM infections, 18 (8.6% of our series) had evidence of AAMs (Table 2); 9 of these patients showed a high level of evidence. Evidence of pneumonia caused by unique AAMs was obtained in 13 patients. Of these, 5 had pneumonia caused by A. polyphaga mimivirus, 5 caused by L. pneumophila, 2 caused by L. bozemanii, and 1 caused by Parachlamydia sp. Mixed infections in these patients with 2, 3, and 5 AAMs were observed in 1, 2, and 2 patients, respectively. A unique AAM was observed in 13 patients (5 with A. polyphaga mimivirus, 5 with L. pneumophila, 2 with L. bozemanii, and 1 with Parachlamydia sp.).

A total of 22 (10.5%) of 210 episodes of pneumonia were observed in which both AAMs and conventional microorganisms were detected (Table 3). Six patients had diagnoses of AAM infection with a high level of evidence. Three of these 6 patients also had definite diagnoses of pneumonia caused by AAMs, and 3 others had a possible diagnosis of pneumonia caused by non-AAMs. Sixteen patients had diagnoses of pneumonia caused by AAMs with a low level of evidence. Three of these patients also had definite diagnoses of pneumonia caused by non-AAMs. AAMs with a low level of evidence. Three of these patients also had definite diagnoses of pneumonia caused by non-AAMs, and 13 had possible diagnoses of pneumonia caused by non-AAMs.

Fifteen patients were identified as having definite cases of pneumonia caused by AAMs. This subgroup (of whom 1 had a definite diagnosis of *S. aureus* infection and 1 of *C. pneumoniae* infection), included 8 patients with pneumonia caused by *A. polyphaga mimivirus*, 3 with pneumonia caused by *L. pneumophila*, and 5 who seroconverted. Those who seroconverted included any patient with seroconversion for *L. anisa, Parachlamydia* sp., *B. massiliensis*,

L. worsleiensis, L. quinlivanii, L. rubrilucens, M. amorphae, and R. bacterium. In addition, 1 who seroconverted also had a diagnosis of infection with P. aeruginosa and B. alpica. Eleven patients had possible infections with Legionella sp. (L. pneumophila in 7, L. bozemanii in 3, and L. anisa in 1), and 19 patients had possible infections with atypical organisms (A. polyphaga mimivirus in 7, B. japonicum in 6, B. massiliensis in 4, B. liaoningense in 3.

Table 1. Identification of 26 nonameba-associated microorganisms in 210 episodes of pneumonia				
Microorganism	Definite,* no. (%)	Possible,† no. (%)	Total, no. (%)	
Community-acquired pneumonia				
Bacteria				
Acinetobacter baumanii		2 (1.4)	2 (1.2)	
Chlamydia pneumoniae	1 (3.6)	2 (1.4)	3 (1.8)	
C. psittacci	1 (3.6)	2 (1.4)	3 (1.8)	
Enterobacter cloacae		1 (0.7)	1 (0.6)	
E. faecalis		1 (0.7)	1 (0.6)	
Escherichia coli	2 (7.1)	2 (1.4)	4 (2.3)	
Haemophilus influenzae	1 (3.6)	3 (2.1)	4 (2.3)	
Mycobacterium tuberculosis	1 (3.6)		1 (0.6)	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	1 (3.6)	2 (1.4)	3 (1.8)	
Serratia marcescens		1 (0.7)	1 (0.6)	
Staphylococcus aureus	1 (3.6)	5 (3.5)	6 (3.6)	
Streptococcus agalactiae		1 (0.7)	1 (0.6)	
S. pneumoniae		3 (2.1)	3 (1.8)	
Fungi				
Pneumocystis carinii	3 (10.7)		3 (1.8)	
Viruses‡				
Cytomegalovirus		2 (1.4)	2 (1.2)	
Herpes simplex virus 1		4 (2.8)	4 (2.3)	
Ventilator-associated pneumonia				
Bacteria				
A. baumanii		1 (0.7)	1 (0.6)	
Balneatrix alpica	1 (3.6)		1 (0.6)	
C. pneumoniae		1(0.7)	1 (0.6)	
Citrobacter koseri		1 (0.7)	1 (0.6)	
Clostridium freundii		1 (0.7)	1 (0.6)	
Coxiella burnetii		1 (0.7)	1 (0.6)	
Enterobacter aerogenes		4 (2.8)	4 (2.3)	
E. cloacae		5 (3.5)	5 (2.9)	
E. coli	2 (7.1)	6 (4.2)	8 (4.7)	
H. influenzae		1 (0.7)	1 (0.6)	
Proteus mirabilis		3 (2.1)	3 (1.8)	
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	9 (32.1)	31 (21.7)	40 (23.4)	
Raoultella ornithinolytica		1 (0.7)	1 (0.6)	
S. marcescens		2 (1.4)	2 (1.2)	
S. aureus	2 (7.1)	21 (14.7)	23 (13.6)	
S. epidermidis	1 (3.6)	1 (0.7)	2 (1.2)	
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia		5 (3.5)	5 (2.9)	
S. agalactiae		1 (0.7)	1 (0.6)	
S. pneumoniae	1 (3.6)	2 (1.4)	3 (1.8)	
Fungi				
Candida albicans	1 (3.6)		1 (0.6)	
Viruses‡				
Cytomegalovirus		11 (7.7)	11 (6.4)	
Herpes simplex virus 1		13 (9.1)	13 (7.6)	
IOTAI	28 (100.0)	143 (100.0)	1/1 (100.0)	

*Detection of *M. tuberculosis* or *P. carinii* by bronchioalveolar lavage (BAL); simultaneous positive culture with BAL and blood culture; positive for influenza viruses A and B, adenovirus, or *C. burnetii* (immunoglobulin G2 [IgG2] titer ≥1:200, IgM2 ≥1:50); 4-fold increase in antibody titer between acute-and convalescent-phase serum; or seroconversion from 0 to 1:128 for *C. psittacci*, from 0 to 1:256 for *C. pneumoniae*, from 0 to 1 for *M. pneumoniae*, and from 0 to 1:100 for *B. alpica*.

†Detection of a potentially pathogenic microorganism (*M. tuberculosis* and *P. carinii*) by BAL and single or stable antibody titer \ge 1:512 for *Chlamydia* spp., \ge 1:2 for *M. pneumoniae*, and \ge 1:400 for *B. alpica*.

‡No epidemic of influenza virus A/B or adenovirus was observed during the 18-month study period.

RESEARCH

Table 0 Deceription of 10 concerent	n na una a nia unita a n lui al a n tif	iantian of amaka accordintal miaropropria	-
TADIE Z DESCRIDUOR OF LO CASES OF	опентаотна with опту юенти	ication of amena-associated microordanis	sins:
	pricaritorna with only lacital	ioution of amenda associated microorganis	21110

High level of evidence	Low level of evidence	No.
Acanthamoeba polyphaga mimivirus		5*
Legionella pneumophila		1†
L. pneumophila	L. anisa	1
Parachlamydia sp.	Bosea thiooxydans, L. boozemanii	1
Bosea massiliensis, L. quinlivanii, L. rubrilucens, L. worsleiensis	Bradyrhizobium japonicum	1
	L. pneumophila	4*
	L. bozemanii	2
	Parachlamydia sp.	1
	B. thiooxydans, B. japonicum, Rasbo bacterium	1
	L. pneumophila, L. rubrilucens, B. massiliensis, B. japonicum, R. bacterium	1
*One case of community-acquired pneumonia. †Community-acquired pneumonia.		

B. thiooxydans in 3, *R. bacterium* in 3, *Parachlamydiae* sp. in 2, and *L. rubrilucens* in 1).

The frequency of infections with AAMs is summarized in Table 4. A. polyphaga mimivirus, which was identified in 15 (7.1%) of 210 episodes of pneumonia, was the most common AAM. Legionella sp. were identified in 14 episodes. Three of these patients had mixed infections (L. pneumophila and L. anisa in 1, L. pneumophila and L. rubrilucens in 1, and L. quilivanii, L. rubrilucens, and L. worsleiensis in 1). L. pneumophila, which was identified in 10 (4.8%) of 210 episodes, was the second most frequently documented AAM. *Bradyrhizobium* sp. was identified in 9 patients; 6 of them were also infected with *B. japonicum*. Five of 8 patients infected with *Bosea* sp. were also infected with *B. massiliensis*. Four patients had serologic evidence of mixed infection with *B. japonicum* and *B. massiliensis*. The 7 most common etiologic agents were *P. aeruginosa* (20.5%), *S. aureus* (13.8%), herpes simplex virus (8.1%), *A. polyphaga mimivirus* (7.1%), cytomegalovirus (6.2%), *Escherichia coli* (5.7%), and *L. pneumophila* (4.8%). If one considers only diagnoses with a high level of evidence, the 4 most common etiologic

Table 3. Description of 22 cases of pneumonia with identification of ameba-associated and nonameba-associated microorganisms*

Ameba-associated level of evidence		Nonameba-associated identification		
High	Low	Definite	Possible	
Acanthamoeba polyphaga mimivirus†		Staphylococcus aureus†		
A. polyphaga mimivirus		Chlamydia pneumoniae		
Mesorhizobium amorphae,		Pseudomonas aeruginosa,		
Rasbo bacterium		Balneatrix alpica		
Legionella pneumophila			HSV1	
L. anisa†			Serratia marcescens†	
A. polyphaga mimivirus			P. aeruginosa	
	L. pneumophila†	P. aeruginosa†		
	L. pneumophila	Candida albicans		
	Bosea massiliensis,	Escherichia coli†		
	Bradyrhizobium japonicum†			
	Parachlamydia sp.		Streptococcus pneumoniae	
	A. polyphaga mimivirus		Enterobacter cloacae, C. pneumoniae	
	A. polyphaga mimivirus		CMV	
	A. polyphaga mimivirus		E. cloacae	
	A. polyphaga mimivirus		E. aerogenes, P. aeruginosa	
	A. polyphaga mimivirus		P. aeruginosa	
	A. polyphaga mimivirus		S. aureus	
	Bosea thiooxydans,		S. aureus	
	A. polyphaga mimivirus			
	B. massiliensis, B. japonicum, R. bacterium		Proteus mirabilis, S. aureus	
	B. massilensis, B. japonicum		HSV1	
	Bradyrhizobium liaoningense		S. aureus	
	B. liaoningense		P. aeruginosa	
	B liaoningense		Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	

*HSV1, herpes simplex virus 1; CMV, cytomegalovirus.

†Community-acquired pneumonia.

agents were *P. aeruginosa* (4.8%), *A. polyphaga mimivirus* (3.8%), *E. coli* (1.9%), and *L. pneumophila* (1.4%).

A diagnosis was more frequent in a nosocomial context than outside a hospital (79.1% vs. 54.8%, $p<10^{-3}$), especially for P. aeruginosa (p<10-6). Water-associated microorganisms were less likely to be identified in a community-acquired context than in a nosocomial context (30% vs. 50%, p = 0.005). Duration of hospitalization and ventilation were longer for patients infected with the water-associated microorganisms than for patients not infected (29 days vs. 19 days p = 0.015 and 21 days vs. 13 days, p = 0.008, respectively). Therapy with antimicrobial agents and a history of cancer were also more frequent in patients infected with water-associated microorganisms (54% vs. 30%, p = 0.001 and 39% vs. 22%, p = 0.014,respectively). Patients who seroconverted for A. polyphaga mimivirus used alcohol more frequently than others in the study (44% vs. 18%, p = 0.05).

Discussion

We conducted this study to determine the role of AAMs as causative agents of pneumonia in patients in an ICU. Concerns have been reported about the role of inline medication nebulizers contaminated with water-associated microorganisms, AAMs, or both (11,14,15). Other microorganisms, including Legionella-like amebal pathogens, *P. acanthamoeba*, Afipia sp., Bosea sp., Bradyrhizobium sp., Mesorhizobium sp., and A. polyphaga mimivirus, have also been reported (14,19,20,27). Our results indicate that AAMs represented 25.3% (59/233) of all documented causes of pneumonia and that 19.0% (40/210) of all episodes of pneumonia were associated with AAMs.

Marrie et al. reported that *Legionella*-like amebal pathogens might play a role in pneumonia, usually as coinfecting organisms (18). In 18 patients (8.6%), the role of AAMs were well documented. Nine of these patients had a high level of evidence for AAMs. Both conventional microorganisms and AAMs were implicated in 22 (10.5%) cases. However, 6 of them had high levels of evidence for AAM infections. Three of these 6 patients had documented infections with L. pneumophila, L. anisa, and A. polyphaga mimivirus and low levels of infection with herpes simplex virus, S. marcescens, and P. aeruginosa. The serologic evidence obtained from these patients demonstrates only that they were infected by these bacteria or a cross-reactive microorganism, not that these bacteria caused their pneumonia. However, the fact that only 8.6% had only indirect evidence of AAM infection raises questions about the potential pathogenic role of AAMs in pneumonia.

A. *polyphaga mimivirus* was the fourth most common cause of pneumonia in our study. This finding suggests that this organism may be clinically relevant. However, sever-

Table 4. Identification of ameba-associated microorganisms in pneumonia and level of evidence

Microorganism	High, no. (%)	Low, no. (%)	Total, no. (%)
Community-acquired			
pneumonia			
Bacteria			
Bosea massiliensis		1 (2.5)	1 (1.7)
Bradyrhizobium		1 (2.5)	1 (1.7)
japonicum			
Legionella anisa	1 (5.3)		1 (1.7)
L. pneumophila	1 (5.3)	2 (5.0)	3 (5.1)
Virus			
Acanthamoeba	2 (10.5)		2 (3.4)
polyphaga mimivirus			
Ventilator-associated			
Preumonia			
Bacteria	1 (5.2)	2 (7 E)	4 (6.9)
B. Massillensis	1 (5.3)	3 (7.5) 3 (7.5)	4 (0.0)
B. imooxyuans		5 (7.5) E (12.E)	3 (3.1) E (9.E)
B. Japonicum		3(12.5)	0 (0.0)
B. llaoningense		3 (7.5)	3 (5.1)
L. anisa		1 (2.5)	1(1.7)
L. DOZEMANI	0 (10 E)	3 (7.3) E (10.E)	3 (5.1) 7 (11.0)
L. prieumoprina	2 (10.5)	5 (12.5)	7 (11.9)
	1 (5.3)	1 (2 E)	1(1.7)
L. rubriluceris	1 (5.3)	1 (2.5)	∠ (3.4)
L. WOISIEIEIISIS	1 (5.3)		1(1.7)
amorphae	1 (5.3)		1 (1.7)
Parachlamydiae	1 (5.3)	2 (5.0)	3 (5.1)
acanthamoebae			
Rasbo bacterium	1 (5.3)	3 (7.5)	4 (6.8)
Virus			
A. polyphaga mimivirus	6 (31.6)	7 (17.5)	13 (22.0)
Total	19 (100)	40 (100)	59 (100)

al lines of evidence now indicate that ameba-resisting microorganisms other than Legionella sp. are associated with both community- and hospital-acquired pneumonia (19,28). La Scola et al. (13) and Marrie et al. (18) have reported that the seroprevalence of Legionella was higher than that of other AAMs. Except for L. pneumophila findings, our results agree. The seroprevalence of *Legionella* (7.1%) in our series was lower than that reported by others in community-acquired (9.7%) and hospital-acquired (19.2%) pneumonia (13). However, this prevalence was significantly higher (p < 0.002) than that observed (2.3%) in a healthy control population (13). These data also suggest that some patients with ventilator-associated pneumonia might have been in contact with A. polyphaga mimivirus or other cross-reactive antigens. These results raise questions about the pathogenic potential of the largest virus known or cross-reactive antibodies to an unknown organism (13).

We observed a significantly lower prevalence of seroconversion ($p<10^{-2}$) for other AAMs than was found in a previous series: 32 (15.2%) of 210 serologically diagnosed cases of AAM pneumonia compared with 12 (40.0%)

RESEARCH

patients hospitalized in another ICU (15). The serologic evidence (e.g., seroconversion) obtained in this study strongly suggests that this patient population may have been exposed to the most common water ameba-associated bacteria in their environment (15). No environmental investigations were performed in our epidemiologic survey. The lower seroprevalence of AAMs in our patients suggest that they may have had less exposure in our hospital ICU compared with that observed in previous studies.

Interest in free-living amebas has grown over the last decade with reports of their pathogenic potential (11,29) and the role of amebas as reservoirs for *L. pneumophila* and other AAMs (12-15,17,27,30,31). Since respiratory care protocols use only sterile water, 2 possible routes of infection with AAMs include a breach in protocol enforcement and handborne AAMs. Adherence to these protocols and use of water filters ensures better protection of water supplies, as is the case in our ICU.

An interesting finding was that $\approx 44.8\%$ of the patients with severe pneumonia had mixed causes. AAM was implicated in 12.9% of these patients. Fagon et al. reported that only one third of the therapeutic regimens proposed for pneumonia patients needing ventilators were effective (32). Because the recommended empiric approaches in guidelines are based on microbial patterns derived from several epidemiologic surveys (33), clinicians need to know the local, regional, and global patterns of microbial populations and the possibility of emerging pathogens such as AAMs. If these microorganisms are human pathogens, they will influence the choice of antimicrobial drugs for empiric treatment because most are resistant to carboxypenicillins, ureidopenicillins, third-generation cephalosporins, and fluoroquinolones, which are commonly used in the ICU.

AAMs may cause ventilator-associated pneumonia and should be suspected when results of conventional microbiologic investigations are negative (11,15,19,28). A diagnosis is rarely available at the time treatment with antimicrobial agents is begun. Thus, the prevailing situation warrants better diagnosis of pneumonia and identification of new lung pathogens such as AAMs. Recognizing the emerging pathogens responsible for pneumonia should be a major public health concern because the knowledge of predominant microbial patterns will help provide the basis for rational empiric antimicrobial treatment.

Acknowledgment

We thank M. Khan for reviewing the manuscript.

Dr Berger is an investigator in infectious disease epidemiology at the Unité des Rickettsies, Université de la Méditerranée, in Marseille, France. His research interest is diagnostic strategy.

References

- Bartlett JG, Dowell SF, Mandell LA, File TM Jr, Musher DM, Fine MJ. Practice guidelines for the management of community-acquired pneumonia in adults. Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;31:347–82.
- Marrie TJ. Community-acquired pneumonia in the elderly. Clin Infect Dis. 2000;31:1066–78.
- Ruiz M, Ewing S, Torres A, Arancibia F, Francesc M, Mensa J, et al. Severe community-acquired pneumonia. Risk factors and follow-up epidemiology. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1999;160:923–9.
- Cook D. Ventilator associated pneumonia: perspectives on the burden of illness. Intensive Care Med. 2000;26:31–7.
- Marrie TJ, Durant H, Yates L. Community-acquired pneumonia requiring hospitalization: 5 years prospective study. Rev Infect Dis. 1989;11:586–99.
- Papazian L, Fraisse A, Garbe L, Zandotti C, Thomas P, Saux P, et al. Cytomegalovirus: an unexpected cause of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Anesthesiology. 1996;84:280–7.
- Chastre J, Fagon JY. Ventilator-associated pneumonia. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2002;165:867–903.
- Bruynseels P, Jorens PG, Demey HE, Goossens H, Pattyn SR, Elseviers MM, et al. Herpes simplex virus in the respiratory tract of critical care patients: a prospective study. Lancet. 2003;362:1536–41.
- Anaissie EJ, Penzak SR, Dignani MC. The hospital water supply as a source of nosocomial infections: a plea for action. Arch Intern Med. 2002;162:1483–92.
- Rutala WA, Weber DJ. Water as a reservoir of nosocomial pathogens. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 1997;18:609–16.
- Greub G, Raoult D. Microorganisms resistant to free-living amoebae. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2004;17:413–33.
- La Scola B, Audic S, Robert C, Jungang L, de Lamballerie X, Drancourt M, et al. A giant virus in amoebae. Science. 2003;299:2033.
- La Scola B, Marrie TJ, Auffray JP, Raoult D. Mimivirus in pneumonia patients. Emerg Infect Dis. 2005;11:449–52.
- La Scola B, Mezi L, Auffray JP, Berland Y, Raoult D. Patients in the intensive care unit are exposed to amoeba-associated pathogens. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol. 2002;23:462–5.
- La Scola B, Boyadjiev I, Greub G, Khamis A, Martin C, Raoult D. Amoeba-resisting bacteria and ventilator-associated pneumonia. Emerg Infect Dis. 2003;9:815–21.
- Raoult D, Audic S, Robert C, Abergel C, Renesto P, Ogata H, et al. The 1.2-megabase genome sequence of Mimivirus. Science. 2004;306:1344–50.
- La Scola B, Mezi L, Weiller PJ, Raoult D. Isolation of *Legionella* anisa using an amoebic coculture procedure. J Clin Microbiol. 2001;39:365–6.
- Marrie TJ, Raoult D, La Scola B, Birtles RJ, de Carolis E, The Canadian Community-Acquired Pneumonia Study Group. *Legionella*-like and other amoebal pathogens as agents of community-acquired pneumonia. Emerg Infect Dis. 2001;7:1026–9.
- Greub G, Berger P, Papazian L, Raoult D. *Parachlamydiaceae* as rare agents of pneumonia. Emerg Infect Dis. 2003;9:755–6.
- Greub G, Raoult D. *Parachlamydiaceae*: potential emerging pathogens. Emerg Infect Dis. 2002;8:625–30.
- Meduri GU, Mauldin GL, Wunderink RG, Leeper KV, Jones CB, Tolley E et al. Causes of fever and pulmonary densities in patients with clinical manifestations of ventilator-associated pneumonia. Chest. 1994;106:221–35.
- 22. Bernard GR, Artigas A, Brigham KL, the Consensus Committee. The American-European consensus conference on ARDS: definitions, mechanisms, relevant outcomes, and the clinical trial co-ordination. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1994;149:818–24.

Ameba-associated Microorganisms and Pneumonia

- Winn WC. Legionella. In: Press A, Murray PR, Baron EJ, Pfaller MA, Tenover FC, Yolken RH, editors. Manual of clinical microbiology. 6th ed. Washington: American Society for Microbiology; 1995. p. 533–44.
- 24. La Scola B, Michel G, Raoult D. Isolation of *Legionella pneumophila* by centrifugation of shell vial cell cultures from multiple liver and lung abscesses. J Clin Microbiol. 1999;37:785–7.
- 25. Rowbotham TJ. Isolation of *Legionella pneumophila* from clinical specimens via amoeba and the interaction of those and other isolates with amoebae. J Clin Pathol. 1983;36:978–86.
- Berdal BP, Farshy CE, Feeley JC. Detection of *Legionella pneu-mophila* antigen in urine by enzyme-linked-immunospecific assay. J Clin Microbiol. 1979;9:575–8.
- La Scola B, Raoult D. Afipia felis in hospital water supply in association with free-living amoebae. Lancet. 1999;353:1330.
- Martin WJ, Smith TF. Rapid detection of cytomegalovirus in brochoalveolar lavage specimens by a monoclonal antibody method. J Clin Microbiol. 1986;23:1006–8.
- Szénasi Z, Yagiat EK, Nagy E. Isolation, identification and increasing importance of "free-living" amoebae causing human disease. J Med Microbiol. 1998;47:5–16.

- Winiecka-Krusnell J, Linder E. Free-living amoebae protecting Legionella in water: the tip of an iceberg? Scand J Infect Dis. 1999;31:383–5.
- Winiecka-Krusnell J, Linder E. Bacterial infections of free-living amoebae. Res Microbiol. 2001;152:613–9.
- 32. Fagon JY, Chastre J, Hance AJ, Domart Y, Trouillet JL, Gilbert C. Evaluation of clinical judgment in the identification and treatment of nosocomial pneumonia in ventilated patients. Chest. 1993;103:547–53.
- British Thoracic Society Standards of Care Committee. BTS guidelines for the management of community acquired pneumonia in adults. Thorax. 2001;56(Suppl 4):1–64.

Address for correspondence: Didier Raoult, Unité des Rickettsies, Faculté de Médecine, Université de la Méditerranée, 27 Bd Jean Moulin, 13385 Marseille CEDEX 05, France; fax: 33-4-91-83-03-90; email: didier. raoult@medecine.univ-mrs.fr



Emerging Infectious Diseases • www.cdc.gov/eid • Vol. 12, No. 2, February 2006