| | | Seroprevalence of | No. tested/no. RT-PCR positive (%) | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| Animal species | No. (%) animals | hantavirus | HTNV | SEOV | |
| Apodemus agrarius | 268 (21.0) | 27 (10.1) | 12/191 (6.3) | 0/191 | |
| Cricetulus griseus | 156 (12.2) | 24 (15.4) | 0/63 | 0/63 | |
| C. triton | 135 (10.6) | 4 (3.0) | 0/48 | 0/48 | |
| Mus musculus | 245 (19.2) | 62 (25.3) | 2/143 (1.4) | 0/143 | |
| Rattus norvegicus | 213 (16.7) | 22 (10.3) | 1/159 (0.6) | 13/159 (8.2) | |
| Suncus murinus | 259 (20.3) | 114 (44.0) | 0/121 | 2/121 (1.7) | |
| Total | 1,276 (100) | 253 (19.8) | 15/725 (2.1) | 15/725 (2.1) | |
| *HTNV, Hantaan virus; RT-PCR, reverse transcription PCR; SEOV, Seoul virus. | | | | | |

Table. Seropositive rate and RT-PCR–positive rate of hantaviruses in small mammals, Jiaonan County, China, December 2012– November 2013*

and SEOV in China. Our hypothesis is that the hantaviruses co-evolved with their animal hosts, such as SEOV with rats and HTNV with striped mice, but when their animal hosts expanded their territory, hantavirus had more chance to infect other susceptible rodents and expanded their animal hosts.

Both Asian house shrews and house mice are closely associated with humans by living inside and outside of human houses in China. The Asian house shrew and house mouse have been underestimated as potential animal hosts of SEOV and HTNV. To our knowledge, only 1 previous study had associated Asian house shrews with SEOV; in that study, an SEOV strain was isolated from an Asian house shrew in China (2).

Acknowledgment

We are grateful to David H. Walker for reviewing our manuscript.

This study was supported by Shandong University.

References

- McCaughey C, Hart CA. Hantaviruses. J Med Microbiol. 2000;49:587–99.
- Tang YW, Xu ZY, Zhu ZY, Tsai TF. Isolation of haemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome virus from *Suncus murinus*, an insectivore. Lancet. 1985;1:513–4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(85)92108-7
- Ministry of Health of China. HFRS monitoring programs (trial) [cited 2014 Sep 4]. http://www.china.com.cn/chinese/ PI-c/968098.htm.
- Chen HX, Qiu F, Zhao X, Luo CW, Li XQ. Characteristics of the distribution of epidemic season of hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome in different regions and different years in China [in Chinese]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 1994;8:197–203.
- Song G, Hang CS, Liao HX, Fu JL, Gao GZ, Qiu HL, et al. Antigenic difference between viral strains causing classical and mild types of epidemic hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome in China. J Infect Dis. 1984;150:889–94. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ infdis/150.6.889
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome (HFRS) [cited 2014 Aug 20]. http://www.cdc. gov/hantavirus/hfrs/index.html
- Special Implementation Management Office in China. Hemorrhagic fever syndrome monitoring program [cited 2014 Sep 4]. http://wenku.baidu.com/link?url=_q7rAsJ76ZRy98udfOOknzs-BE5-Mj5TIzFwdP2VUt4stzH69u1L9ZT5IMrw5aoCJYc4ixw7 NDWY6TqIhnMXvP_bheOSnY20y2gH1EK0zSUa

- Yadav PD, Vincent MJ, Nichol ST. Thottapalayam virus is genetically distant to the rodent-borne hantaviruses, consistent with its isolation from the Asian house shrew (*Suncus murinus*). Virol J. 2007;4:80. http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1743-422X-4-80
- Ramsden C, Holmes EC, Charleston MA. Hantavirus evolution in relation to its rodent and insectivore hosts: no evidence for codivergence. Mol Biol Evol. 2009;26:143–53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ molbev/msn234
- Schmidt-Chanasit J, Essbauer S, Petraityte R, Yoshimatsu K, Tackmann K, Conraths FJ, et al. Extensive host sharing of central European Tula virus. J Virol. 2010;84:459–74. http://dx.doi. org/10.1128/JVI.01226-09

Address for correspondence: Xue-jie Yu, Shandong University School of Public Health, 44 Wenhuaxilu, Jinan, Shandong Province, 250012 China; email: yuxuejie@sdu.edu.cn; or Department of Pathology, University of Texas Medical Branch, 301 University Blvd, Galveston, TX 77555-0609, USA; email: xuyu@utmb.edu

Endophthalmitis Outbreak Associated with Repackaged Bevacizumab

Laura S. Edison, Hope O. Dishman, Melissa J. Tobin-D'Angelo, C. Richard Allen, Alice Y. Guh, and Cherie L. Drenzek

Author affiliations: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Atlanta, Georgia, USA (L.S. Edison, A.Y. Guh); Georgia Department of Public Health, Atlanta (L.S. Edison, H.O. Dishman, M.J. Tobin-D'Angelo, A.Y. Guh, C.L. Drenzek); and Georgia Drug and Narcotics Agency, Atlanta (C.R. Allen)

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2101.141040

To the Editor: An outbreak of endophthalmitis associated with repackaged bevacizumab occurred during February–March 2013 in Georgia and Indiana, USA. Bevacizumab (Avastin; Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA) is a vascular endothelial growth factor inhibitor that is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration as an antineoplastic agent but is commonly used off-label to treat retinal disorders, including age-related macular degeneration (1,2). Bevacizumab is manufactured in single-use,

LETTERS

preservative-free, 4-mL vials; compounding pharmacies repackage bevacizumab into syringes for intraocular administration at smaller doses (e.g., 1.25 mg bevacizumab in 0.05mL injection). Repackaged bevacizumab has been linked to endophthalmitis outbreaks worldwide in which compounding procedure deficiencies have led to microbial contamination and subsequent endophthalmitis (3–7). Endophthalmitis often results in vision loss, particularly if the infection is not identified early and treated aggressively (4–6).

During March 6–8, 2013, four patients with age-related macular degeneration received a diagnosis of acute endophthalmitis after receiving intravitreal bevacizumab injections on March 4, 2013, at a retinal specialty clinic (clinic A) in Georgia. All 4 patients were injected with bevacizumab from the same lot (lot Z), which was repackaged at a Georgia compounding pharmacy (pharmacy A) on February 13, 2013. The Georgia Department of Public Health (DPH) and the Georgia Drug and Narcotics Agency (GDNA) were notified of the outbreak by clinic A, and the outbreak was investigated to determine the extent and source of infections and to prevent additional cases.

Cases were defined as acute endophthalmitis occurring among patients \leq 14 days after they received intraocular injection with bevacizumab that had been repackaged at pharmacy A after December 18, 2012. Pharmacy A records indicated that bevacizumab had been distributed to 11 clinics in 4 states; state public health authorities were notified, and facilities that received the medication were contacted and asked to report cases to DPH. Clinic A identified 60 additional patients who received bevacizumab from lot Z and monitored them for signs of infection. One additional patient with endophthalmitis was identified in Indiana; this patient was injected on February 22 with bevacizumab that had been repackaged at pharmacy A on February 13, 2013.

DPH epidemiologists evaluated clinic A infection prevention practices, including use of face masks and sterile techniques during injection procedures; examined possible sources of contamination; and reviewed case-patient medical records. DPH and GDNA evaluated pharmacy A, including its equipment and sterile compounding procedures. Vitreal fluid samples were collected from all case-patients and were cultured on chocolate agar medium.

The median age of the 5 case-patients was 80 years (range 59–89 years); 3 case-patients were men. Postprocedural signs and symptoms included pain, vision loss, retinal hemorrhage, hypopyon, and vitreous haze. Patients who received a diagnosis of endophthalmitis were treated with pars plana vitrectomy or vitreal tap; intraocular injection of vancomycin with gentamicin, ceftazidime, or ceftazidime and amphotericin; and oral moxafloxacin. All patients regained vision in the affected eye.

Evaluation of clinic A found appropriate mask and glove use and no deficiencies in bevacizumab injection

technique or medication storage and handling. Investigation of pharmacy A revealed multiple areas in which practices did not conform to United States Pharmacopeial Convention Chapter 797 standards for compounding sterile preparations or to recommended best practices for repackaging bevacizumab; these deficiencies might have contributed to bevacizumab contamination (6,8). GDNA suspended pharmacy A from performing sterile compounding until compliance with these standards.

Culture of vitreous fluid samples from all patients in Georgia grew *Granulicatella adiacens*, a gram-positive bacterium that is part of the oral flora but a rare human pathogen not previously reported to cause endophthalmitis (9). The Indiana patient was infected with *Abiotrophia* (not further speciated); *Granulicatella* and *Abiotrophia* are similar bacterial genera that are difficult to distinguish by morphologic features (10).

Contamination introduced during repackaging at pharmacy A was the likely source of this outbreak. This conclusion was supported by evidence of common or similar organisms among 5 patients from 2 states after injection with bevacizumab repackaged on the same date at pharmacy A, combined with documented deficiencies in pharmacy A's sterile compounding processes.

Acute postinjection endophthalmitis can occur when intraocular bevacizumab is used because of risks associated with repackaging contents from single-use, preservative-free vials. Ensuring adherence to standards for sterile compounding is critical for preventing contamination and providing patients with a safe source of intraocular bevacizumab (6). If this drug were available from the manufacturer in appropriately sized, prefilled syringes or containers, risks associated with repackaging and mishandling might be eliminated (2,6). Ophthalmology clinics that rely on repackaged bevacizumab for intraocular use should be vigilant in selecting pharmacies to perform this service. Resources are available to assist facilities in assessing the quality of outsourced sterile compounding services (e.g., http://www.ashpfoundation. org/MainMenuCategories/PracticeTools/SterileProducts Tool/SterileProductsAssessmentTool.aspx). In addition, ophthalmologists should adhere to aseptic techniques and safe injection practices (http://www.cdc.gov/injectionsafety/ ip07 standardprecaution.html) when preparing or administering intraocular injections. A single case of endophthalmitis may signal a more widespread problem; prompt reporting to public health authorities, investigation, clinician engagement, and product recalls can be critical for limiting patient harm.

References

Martin DF, Maguire MG, Fine SL, Ying GS, Jaffe GJ, Grunwald JE, et al. Ranibizumab and bevacizumab for treatment of neovascular age-related macular degeneration: two-year results.

 $Ophthalmology.\ 2012; 119: 1388-98.\ http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha. 2012.03.053$

- CATT Research Group; Martin DF, Maguire MG, Ying GS, Grunwald JE, Fine SL, Jaffe GJ. Ranibizumab and bevacizumab for neovascular age-related macular degeneration. N Engl J Med. 2011;364:1897–908. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1102673
- Falavarjani KG, Modarres M, Hashemi M, Parvaresh MM, Naseripour M, Zare-Moghaddam A, et al. Incidence of acute endophthalmitis after intravitreal bevacizumab injection in a single clinical center. Retina. 2013;33:971–4. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ IAE.0b013e31826f0675
- Goldberg RA, Flynn HW Jr, Isom RF, Miller D, Gonzalez S. An outbreak of *Streptococcus* endophthalmitis after intravitreal injection of bevacizumab. Am J Ophthalmol. 2012;153:204–8.e1. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajo.2011.11.035
- Goldberg RA, Flynn HW Jr, Miller D, Gonzalez S, Isom RF. Streptococcus endophthalmitis outbreak after intravitreal injection of bevacizumab: one-year outcomes and investigative results. Ophthalmology. 2013;120:1448–53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.ophtha.2012.12.009
- Gonzalez S, Rosenfeld PJ, Stewart MW, Brown J, Murphy SP. Avastin doesn't blind people, people blind people. Am J Ophthalmol. 2012;153:196–203.e1. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ j.ajo.2011.11.023
- Frost BA, Kainer MA. Safe preparation and administration of intravitreal bevacizumab injections. N Engl J Med. 2011;365:2238. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc1105759
- US Pharmacopeial Convention. USP 35-NF 30. Pharmaceutical compounding—sterile preparations. Rockville (MD): US Pharmacopeial Convention; 2012. p. 350–87.
- Christensen JJ, Facklam RR. Granulicatella and Abiotrophia species from human clinical specimens. J Clin Microbiol. 2001;39:3520–3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.39.10.3520-3523.2001
- Collins MD, Lawson PA. The genus *Abiotrophia* (Kawamura et al.) is not monophyletic: proposal of *Granulicatella* gen. nov., *Granulicatella adiacens* comb. nov., *Granulicatella elegans* comb. nov. and *Granulicatella balaenopterae* comb. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 2000;50:365–9. http://dx.doi. org/10.1099/00207713-50-1-365

Address for correspondence: Laura S. Edison, Georgia Department of Public Health, Acute Disease Epidemiology Section, 2 Peachtree St NW, Suite 14-232, Atlanta, GA 30303, USA; email: kgq2@cdc.gov

Diverse *Francisella tularensis* Strains and Oropharyngeal Tularemia, Turkey

Yasemin Özsürekci,¹ Dawn N. Birdsell,¹ Melda Çelik, Eda Karadağ-Öncel, Anders Johansson, Mats Forsman, Amy J. Vogler, Paul Keim, Mehmet Ceyhan, and David M. Wagner

Author affiliations: Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey (Y. Özsürekci, M. Çelik, E. Karadağ-Öncel, M. Ceyhan); Northern Arizona University, Flagstaff, Arizona, USA (D.N. Birdsell, A.J. Vogler, P. Keim, D.M. Wagner); Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden (A. Johansson); Swedish Defence Research Agency, Umeå (M. Forsman); and Translational Genomics Research Institute, Flagstaff (P. Keim)

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2101.141087

To the Editor: Tularemia is a zoonosis caused by the bacterium *Francisella tularensis*; the main forms of disease that occur in humans are ulceroglandular/glandular, oculo-glandular, oropharyngeal, and respiratory. In Turkey, tula-remia outbreaks were described as early as 1936–1938 (*1*), but tularemia was not reportable until 2004. Recently, multiple tularemia outbreaks in Turkey have been described, including in regions where the disease has not been previously reported; it is now considered a reemerging zoonotic disease in Turkey (*1*).

The only *F. tularensis* subspecies found in most of Eurasia, including Turkey, is *holarctica*. Genetic diversity is low, probably because emergence is recent (2). However, discovery of whole-genome single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), coupled with subsequent canonical SNP (canSNP) analyses, have identified numerous phylogenetic groups within this subspecies. The distinct phylogeographic patterns provide insight into its evolutionary history (3–7).

From December 2009 through January 2011, tularemia outbreaks increased in Turkey, primarily in the central region (8). Oropharyngeal tularemia was diagnosed for

| Table. Francisella tularensis–positive clinical samples from 14 |
|---|
| patients with oropharyngeal tularemia, Turkey, December 2009- |
| January 2011* |

| 00110019 2011 | | | |
|---------------|---------------|-------------|-----------|
| Patient no. | | CanSNP | MLVA |
| (sample no.) | City | subgroup† | genotype‡ |
| 1 (F0737) | Corum | B.20/21/33 | i |
| 2 (F0738) | Cankırı | B.28/29 | b |
| 3 (F0739) | Yozgat | B.28/29 | b |
| 4 (F0740) | Zonguldak | B.7/8 | а |
| 5 (F0741) | Corum | B.20/21/33 | е |
| 6 (F0742) | Corum | B.20/21/33 | е |
| 7 (F0743) | Corum | B.20/21/33§ | ND |
| 8 (F0744)¶ | Bala/Ankara | B.20/21/33 | е |
| 9 (F0745) | Ankara | B.20/21/33 | d |
| 10 (F0746) | Corum | B.20/21/33 | j |
| 11 (F0747) | Bala/Ankara | B.20/21/33 | g |
| 12 (F0748) | Corum | B.20/21/33 | f |
| 13 (F0749) | Ankara | B.20/21/33 | С |
| 14 (F0750) | Emirdağ/Afyon | B.20/21/33 | h |

*Clinical samples collected in 2011 from patients with cervical lymphadenitis at Hacettepe University Medical Faculty, Pediatric Infectious Disease unit, Ankara, Turkey. CanSNP, canonical single-nucleotide polymorphism; MLVA, multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analysis; ND, not determined.

†Subgroups published in (*3*,*4*,*6*,7). CanSNP branches tested on samples in this study: B.3, B.4, B.5, B.6, B.7, B.8, B.9, B.10, B.11, B.13, B.20, B.21, B.22, B.26, B.27, B.28, B.29, B.30, B.31, B.32, and B.33.

‡MLVA markers (M03, M05, M06, M20) (2).

§Subgroup classification based on approximation because genotype for B.33 remains unresolved.

¶This patient was an adult (all others were children).

¹These authors contributed equally to this article.