BORSA initially described non-heteroresistant strains of *S. aureus* with oxacillin MIC ≤2 mg/L, which produce ample β-lactamases and are rendered fully susceptible to PRP by β-lactamase-inhibitors (4,6). Subsequent BORSA strains described have had higher oxacillin MICs (4–8 mg/L) (4). The proportion of BORSA among clinical isolates of *S. aureus* varies (1.4%–12.5%) but is usually ≥5% (4,10). A BORSA infection outbreak among dermatology patients with severe skin diseases has also been reported (10). Postulated resistance mechanisms include overproduction of conventional penicillinases, production of an inducible, plasmid-mediated, membrane-bound mexitillinase, and in some cases, point mutations of penicillin-binding-proteins (4). The clinical importance of BORSA is unknown since early clinical/animal data suggest treatment efficacy of PRP (against strains with MIC ≤2 mg/L) (4,6,9). Whether BORSA with higher oxacillin MICs (4–8 mg/L) will respond equally well to PRP is less clear. Further studies into the treatment of BORSA, including pharmacokinetic considerations, are needed (4). However, high-dose β-lactam/β-lactamase inhibitor combinations (e.g., ampicillin/sulbactam), as shown in animal models, are at least as effective as PRP (9). In conclusion, our report suggests that mecA (or PBP2a) detection may help manage serious, community-acquired, non-multidrug-resistant MRSA infections because of the potential confusion between BORSA and CA-MRSA.
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**Rickettsia massiliae Human Isolation**

**To the Editor:** The number of new rickettsial species that cause diseases in humans is rapidly increasing (1). Moreover, many of the species first described in ticks have been recently shown to be pathogenic. Of the 10 species or subspecies found to be pathogens after 1984, a total of 7 were first isolated from ticks (2). We report the first isolation of *Rickettsia massiliae* from a patient. The bacterium was isolated in Sicily in 1985 and identified in 2005.

A 45-year-old man was hospitalized in Palermo, Italy, on June 6, 1985, for fever and a rash. He had been febrile since May 25 and did not respond to antimicrobial drug treatment using cefamezin, a first-generation cephalosporin. On examination, he had a necrotic eschar on his right ankle, a maculopapular rash on his palms and soles (online Appendix Figure 1, available at http://www.cdc.gov/ncidod/EID/vol12no01/05-0850-G1.htm), and slight hepatomegaly. Leukocyte count was normal; he received tetracyclines for 13 days and fully recovered. He seroconverted (from 0 to 1:80 between day 11 and day 24) by indirect immunofluorescence to *Rickettsia conorii* (*R. conorii* spot, bioMérieux, Marcy l’Étoile, France).

Four milliliters of heparinized blood sampled before treatment were inoculated in a 25-cm² flask containing Vero cells and incubated at 33°C in a CO₂ incubator (1). Direct immunofluorescence test on a sample of the patient’s serum was positive 7 days later. The strain was stored for 20 years and tested in 2005 at the Unité des Rickettsies for identification, and *R. massiliae* was identified. DNA was extracted from the cell culture supernatant and used as template in 2 previously described polymerase
This Sicilian index case shows that *R. massiliae* is a human pathogen. It contraindicates using rifampin to treat Mediterranean spotted fever in areas where *R. massiliae* is endemic, as it cannot as yet be differentiated from *R. conorii* infection. *R. massiliae* is a new example of a strain identified in ticks for several years before its first isolation from a human patient (10). The longest delay was observed for *Rickettsia parkeri*, which was isolated from ticks in 1939 but not from a patient until 2004. Many authors labeled *R. parkeri* a nonpathogenic rickettsia during this time (1). In the present case, the human isolate was obtained before the tick isolate but was not further identified. When this strain was isolated, *R. conorii* was the sole *Rickettsia* sp. found in ticks in southern Europe. Moreover, only 1 tickborne pathogenic *Rickettsia* sp. was believed to circulate in a single area. Since that time, several tickborne rickettsial diseases have been shown to exist in the same area, which prompted us to retrospectively identify this strain. The patient was reexamined in May 2005, after this identification. He is healthy and has no remaining antibodies against *Rickettsia* spp.
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