closely related to *E. coli*, as was previously observed in Tanzania (9). This finding is also the first report of CTX-M-3 in sub-Saharan Africa.

Multidrug resistance profiles involving non-β-lactam antimicrobial drugs coselected these ESBL-producing isolates. We suggest that the misuse of antimicrobial drugs in the Central African Republic and the migratory flux of regional populations could result in emergence and selection of these ESBL phenotypes in the community. We could not establish a relationship between the different strains isolated in hospitalized and ambulatory patients. Because of the implications for treating such infections, particularly in developing countries, the spread of ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* merits close surveillance in the Central African Republic.
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**Novel Recombinant Sapovirus, Japan**

To the Editor: Sapovirus is the distinct genus within the family *Caliciviridae*; these viruses cause sporadic cases and outbreaks of gastroenteritis in humans worldwide (1). The sapovirus genome contains 2 open reading frames (ORFs). ORF1 encodes nonstructural and capsid proteins while ORF2 encodes a small protein (2). Sapovirus has a typical “Star of David” configuration by electron microscopic examination. The prototype sapovirus is the Sapporo virus (Hu/SaV/Sapporo virus/1977/ JP), which was originally discovered from an outbreak in a home for infants in Sapporo, Japan, in 1977 (3). Sapovirus is divided into 5 genogroups, among which only genogroups I, II, IV, and V are known to infect humans (4).

A fecal specimen was collected from a 1-year-old boy with acute gastroenteritis in Osaka, Japan, in March 2005. The viral genome was extracted by using a QIAamp kit (Quigen, Hilden, Germany). By using multiplex reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), 2 groups of diarrheal viruses were identified. The first group included astrovirus, norovirus, and sapovirus; the second group included rotavirus and adenovirus (5). Sapovirus polymerase region was also amplified to identify recombinant sapovirus by using primers P290 and P289 (6). To eliminate the possibility of co-infection of 2 different sapovirus genotypes, to localize the potential recombination site, and to understand a possible recombination mechanism of recombinant sapovirus, flanking polymerase and capsid regions, with their junction on HU/5862/Osaka/JP, were amplified with primers P290 and SLV5749 to produce a 1,162-bp product (5,6). Products were directly sequenced, and capsid- and polymerase-based phylogenetic trees showed recombinant sapovirus.
The fecal specimen was positive for sapovirus. HU/5862/Osaka/JP clustered into the genogroup I genotype 8 (GI/8 the 8/DCC/Tokyo/JP/44 cluster) (Figure) by using the recent sapovirus capsid region classification (7). HU/5862/Osaka/JP with GI/8 capsid was classified into GI/1 (the Sapporo/82 cluster) when polymerase-based grouping was performed. When the sequence of HU/5862/Osaka/JP was compared with that of Sapporo/82 by using SimPlot Version 1.3 (available from http://sray.med.ter.edu/SCRoftware/simplot), the recombination site was identified at the polymerase-capsid junction. Before this junction, sequences of HU/5862/Osaka/JP and Sapporo/82 were highly homologous. However, homology between them was notably different after the junction, with a sudden drop in the identity for HU/5862/Osaka/JP. By using ClustalX, HU/5862/Osaka/JP shared a 96% identity in polymerase sequence and an 85% identity in capsid sequence with Sapporo/82. In contrast, homology was 99% in the capsid region between HU/5862/Osaka/JP and 8/DCC/Tokyo/JP/44. Since a polymerase sequence of 8/DCC/Tokyo/JP/44 was not available in GenBank because of the unsuccessful amplification, homology in the polymerase region between HU/5862/Osaka/JP and 8/DCC/Tokyo/JP/44 was unknown.

Altogether, the findings underscored that HU/5862/Osaka/JP represented a novel, naturally occurring, recombinant sapovirus with GI/8 capsid and GI/1 polymerase. To determine whether the child was infected with this novel recombinant sapovirus or whether the novel recombinant sapovirus resulted from co-infection with 2 different viruses, Svppo (Sapporo/82-specific primer), Svdcc (8/DCC/Tokyo/JP/44-specific primer), and SLV5749 were used to amplify the capsid region (5). However, no amplicon was found. These negative results indicate no co-infection in this child.

Even though many molecular epidemiologic studies on sapovirus infection have been performed worldwide, reports documenting recombination in sapovirus are still limited. The first recombinant sapovirus identified was the Thai isolate Mc10 or the Japanese isolate Ehime1107 and the SW278 isolate from Sweden were identified later (9). Recombination occurred only in sapovirus genogroup II, which is more capable of recombination than other genogroups (8,9). In this study, we identified HU/5862/Osaka/JP with a novel recombination between 2 distinct genotypes within genogroup I. This is the first report of acute gastroenteritis caused by recombinant sapovirus genogroup I. The findings underscore that natural recombination occurs not only in sapovirus genogroup II but also in genogroup I.

In recent studies of sapovirus recombination, evidence for the location of the recombination event is lacking because of the distant geographic relationship of parent and progeny strains. HU/5862/Osaka/JP shared the closest sequences of polymerase and capsid with Sapporo/82 and 8/DCC/Tokyo/JP/44, respectively. Sapporo/82 was first isolated in 1982, and 8/DCC/Tokyo/JP/44 was isolated in 2000, both in Japan. Possibly, Sapporo/82 and 8/DCC/Tokyo/JP/44 were parental strains of HU/5862/Osaka/JP, and the event leading to the novel recombination might have occurred in Japan.

The capsid region was used for genotype classification of sapovirus (7). When capsid-based grouping was performed, HU/5862/Osaka/JP distinctly belonged to genotype 8. When polymerase-based grouping was
performed, HU/5862/Osaka/JP distinctly belonged to genotype 1. Therefore, sapovirus classification based on capsid sequence is questionable. We suggest that sapovirus classification should rely not only on capsid sequence but also on polymerase sequence.
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Postmortem Confirmation of Human Rabies Source

To the Editor: Rabies is a fatal encephalitis caused by a neurotropic RNA virus of the family *Rhabdoviridae*, genus *Lyssavirus*. The predominant rabies virus reservoir hosts are bats and carnivores. Among these, rabid dogs represent a substantial public health problem, particularly in developing countries (1).

Laboratory diagnosis of rabies is essential to guide control programs, epidemiologic surveys, and prophylactic measures (2). Among the laboratory tests recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), the fluorescent antibody test (FAT) is the accepted standard for rabies diagnosis (1). Although rabies virus antigens can be detected in decomposed samples, FAT is less effective when such samples are tested. In those cases, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) can provide better results (3). Since the degree of decomposition at which FAT starts to become ineffective is unknown (4), when smears from decomposed samples are made for FAT, a suspension of the same brain tissues should be made in the appropriate diluents for the mouse inoculation test (MIT), cell culture, or reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) (2). However, if all test results are negative, rabies cannot be ruled out because of the condition of the sample.

On February 28, in the city of Carbonita, Minas Gerais State, in southeastern Brazil, a 62-year-old man was bitten by a bat on the right ankle. Approximately 50 days later, his leg began to feel numb, and he experienced a continuous headache, pain at the site of the bite, convulsions, frequent urge to clear his throat, hiccups, nausea, difficulty in swallowing, dry lips, slightly elevated body temperature (37°C–37.5°C), paralysis of superior and inferior left limbs, shaking, and hallucinations. On May 4, 16 days after clinical manifestations began, the patient died; the cause of death was registered as a cerebral vascular accident. One month later, the body was exhumed to obtain a sample from the central nervous system (CNS), which was sent to Instituto Pasteur, São Paulo, registered as sample 5341 M/04 and tested by FAT, MIT, and RT-PCR.

In total, 8 smears were prepared from the sample to be analyzed by FAT according to the method of Dean et al. (5) with fluorescein isothiocyanate–labeled polyclonal antinucleocapsid antibodies. MIT was carried out as described by Koprowski (6) with 7 mice. For RT-PCR, RNA was extracted from the CNS sample with TRIzol, according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Invitrogen, Rockville, MD, USA). RT-PCR was carried out with modifications as described by Orciari et al. (7), with primers 504 (sense) and 304 (antisense), aiming at the amplification of a 249-bp fragment of rabies virus nucleocapsid protein (N) gene, by using Superscript II (Invitrogen) and Taq DNA-polymerase (Invitrogen).

Fluorescent inclusions were observed in 6 of the 8 slides prepared for the FAT. The RT-PCR of the RNA