all 29 patients, a history of eating raw oysters or other raw or undercooked seafood before illness onset was uncommon and was only reported by 1 patient. Although V. vulnificus has not been proven as the cause of gastroenteritis, Hseuh et al. have suggested that such results might have occurred because patients with diarrhea seldom sought care from a large teaching hospital or saved stool samples for investigation (7).

V. vulnificus infection was first reported in humans in 1979 (1). Since then, most case reports have focused on immunocompromised persons and their risk from eating raw oysters among (4–6). Our study found that a considerable proportion of V. vulnificus infections in Hong Kong occur among healthy persons. Furthermore, severe forms of the infection, such as necrotizing fasciitis and septicemia, are relatively common among healthy persons, although they may cause fewer deaths than they do among persons with predisposing medical conditions. Among healthy persons, V. vulnificus infection is most likely associated with a history of cutaneous injury caused by handling seafood, which can allow the bacteria to enter the body through an open wound. The risk of exposure is more important in this locality than in other areas where swimming or eating raw oysters and undercooked seafood are the major risk factors (4,6–8), possibly because fresh seafood is widely consumed, and seafood is easily accessible in wet markets in Hong Kong. Our study shows that the risk is higher during the summer, which is consistent with the fact that V. vulnificus is more active in warmer temperatures (9). We suggest that all persons, even healthy persons, exercise caution to avoid injury while handling seafood. Physicians should consider possible V. vulnificus infection when diagnosing a rapidly progressive skin and soft tissue infection in a healthy person who reports an injury from handling seafood.
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Neorickettsia helminthoeoca in Dog, Brazil

To the Editor: Neorickettsia helminthoeoca causes salmon poisoning disease (SPD) in canids. SPD has been described only in the United States and the northwestern Pacific region of Canada (I). This report complements previous pathologic findings (2) and identifies SPD beyond the known disease-endemic region.

From 2001 to 2005, 20 dogs (5 mongrels and 15 beagles) showed pathologic lesions consistent with SPD. All beagles were born in coastal Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil, and later transferred to Maringá, Paraná, Brazil, for the last 3–4 years of life. Lymph nodes, spleen, liver, and intestines from 10 beagles were aseptically obtained at necropsy in Maringá and frozen at −20°C until used at the Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions in Baltimore, Maryland.

Genomic DNA was extracted from frozen tissues with QIAamp DNA Mini Kits (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA). DNA from N. helminthoeoca and Anaplasma phagocytophilum was used as a positive control. Nuclease-free water was used as a negative control. We used gene-specific primers for Neorickettsia spp. 16S rRNA (rrs) (NeoSH-F; 5′-TAGGCCCGGGTTAGTTGTTG-3′ and NeoSH-R; 5′-TACAACCCAGGGGCTTCCAT-CACT-3′) and N. helminthoeoca RNA polymerase β-subunit (rpoB) (NH-rpoB-F: 5′-TGCTTCCGAAGGCC-
CAAAGACAGA-3' and NH-rpoB-R: 5'-AGAACCGATAGAGCGGGCAT-GAAT-3' (3) and heat-shock protein groESL (NH-groESL-F: 5'-AGGAACCCATCTTGGGAGCAGA-3' and NH-groESL-R: 5'-CAGCAGTATTCCCGCCTTTACTA-3') (4, 5). Citrate synthase (gltA) gene primers (6) were also used. Two PCRs were conducted to maximize sensitivity.

Specificity of N. helminthoeca–specific primers was shown by amplification studies of genomic DNA of A. phagocytophilum, Ehrlichia chaffeensis, E. canis, N. risticii, N. sennetsu, and N. helminthoeca. All amplicons were separated by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels and purified before cloning (pGEM-T and pGEM-T Easy Vector Systems, Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and sequencing. The Maringá sequences obtained were compared with those in GenBank by using BLAST (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST). Phylogenetic trees, sequence alignments, and identity tables were created by using Vector NTI Advance10 Software (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). GenBank accession numbers of Anaplasmataceae and their phylogenetic relationships are shown in the Figure.

Two dogs (N40–05, mesenteric lymph node, Maringá 1 and N20–04, Peyer’s patch, Maringá 2) contained Neorickettsia spp. rrs, rpoB, or groESL genes. Both samples produced partial sequences for Neorickettsia spp. rrs gene; a similarity of 99% was observed for the 2 Maringá dog rrs sequences with N. sennetsu, N. risticii, and the Stellantchamus falcatus (SF) agent. However, N. helminthoeca rpoB and groESL partial sequences were obtained only from dog 1. DNA identities of 100%, 82%, and 81% were observed between Maringá dog 1 sequences and N. helminthoeca groESL gene sequences. Similarities of 84%, 80%, and 79% were observed with N. sennetsu, the SF agent, and N. risticii, respectively. All positive controls showed bands of appropriate sizes, whereas negative controls yielded no products, confirming lack of amplicon contamination.

This study demonstrates that 2 dogs from Maringá, Brazil, with pathologic lesions consistent with SPD (7) were infected with a Neorickettsia sp. The partial sequences from dog 1 were identical to N. helminthoeca rrs, groESL, and rpoB genes, confirming infection with this organism (2). To our knowledge, this is the first confirmed description of this organism beyond the known geographic area of SPD. The organism identified in Brazil has been named N. helminthoeca Maringá strain.

Because of difficulty in recovering DNA from samples, need for a highly efficient PCR targeting small DNA regions, and limited sensitivity of the amplifications, sequences obtained
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for *N. helminthoeca* Maringá dog 1 (112 bp for *rrs*, 92 bp for *groESL*, 143 bp for *rpoB*) were short compared with those in GenBank (*rrs* 1,453 bp, *groESL* 1,914 bp, *rpoB*, 464 bp). Efficiency and sensitivity of targeting small DNA regions was necessary since storage and shipment of frozen samples were not optimal. Small DNA sequences are often suboptimal for delineation of phylogenetic relationships.

Bootstrapping analyses showed poor resolution (<380/1,000 iterations) below the genus level for the short *rrs* region examined. However, both the short *rpoB* and *groESL* regions examined had high bootstrap values (941/1,000 and 995/1,000 iterations, respectively). This finding allowed differentiation of *N. helminthoeca* and the Brazilian dog strain from *N. sennetsu*, *N. risticii*, and other related *Anaplasmataceae* and provided a high degree of confidence in the identification. More work is being implemented to obtain longer sequences to confirm and extend these genotypic comparisons. We propose further study to isolate the pathogen from other dogs for comparative biologic analyses.

Although SPD is caused by *N. helminthoeca*, infections by other *Neoricketttsia* spp., including *N. risticii* (Potomac horse fever) and *N. sennetsu* (sennetsu fever), illustrate the potential of these widely distributed species to infect and cause disease in mammals and humans. Detection of *N. helminthoeca* in Brazilian dogs extends the range of this species and warrants a broad search for infections and spectrum of disease of *Neorickettsia* in animals and humans.
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**Correction: Vol. 12, No. 4**

In “Potential Arbovirus Emergence and Implications for the United Kingdom” by Ernest A. Gould et al., an error occurred on page 549. The first paragraph of the article incorrectly states that African horse sickness virus is circulating in Europe. The sentence should read “Finally, the family *Reoviridae* contains a variety of animal arbovirus pathogens, including bluetongue virus, which is currently circulating in Europe, and African horse sickness virus, which has been found in Europe but is not currently circulating.”

The corrected text appears in the online article at http://www.cdc.gov/eid/vo112no04/05-1010.htm

We regret any confusion this error may have caused.