To determine the role of the migrant population in the transmission of tuberculosis (TB), we investigated the distribution and magnitude of TB in permanent residents and migrant populations of Beijing, People’s Republic of China, from 2000 through 2006. An exploratory spatial data analysis was applied to detect the “hot spots” of TB among the 2 populations. Results, using the data obtained from 2004–2006, showed that people who migrated from the western, middle, and eastern zones of China had a significantly higher risk of having TB than did permanent residents. These findings indicate that population fluctuations have affected the rate of TB prevalence in Beijing, and interventions to control TB should include the migrant population.

Tuberculosis (TB) is a reemerging infectious disease and a substantial public health problem in metropolitan Beijing, People’s Republic of China. The case notification rate and mortality rate of TB have ranked third and first, respectively, among rates for 37 notifiable infectious diseases since 2000 (1–5). The proportion of cases in migrants is increasing year by year. In 2006, the migrant population accounted for 1,638 of 4,088 cases, a proportion that is 80% of the total number of cases of the permanent residents. Prevention and control of TB among the migrant population are now great challenges in Beijing (1–3).

“Migrant population” is a characteristic concept in China, resulting from the hukou system. Hukou is a household registration system in which a permanent residency permit for 1 place is issued by the government to each family. Every family has a hukou booklet that records information about the family members, including name, birth date, relationship with each other, marriage status (and to whom if married), employer, and residence address. Everyone has a hukou in China, which is assigned to a baby at birth, according to the residence of his or her parents. To move hukou from 1 place to another is usually difficult (6).

Before 1980, hukou was extremely important for citizens of China. They were required to stay at the small area where their hukou was until they died. They could travel, but, if they did, they had no access to jobs, public services, education, or even food. It was just like visiting other countries with a B-1 (business) visa. After 1980, many circumstances changed with the reform of the Chinese economy. In practice, hukou does not play as important a role as before, which has led many farmers to leave their homeland and go to cities to seek jobs. A migrant population has thus arisen.

For this migrant population to be managed, migrants are required to register for a temporary residence permit (TRP) in the Department of Migrant Population Management of the local public security bureau if they are >16 years of age and stay in a place for >1 month (7). Employers are responsible for registering TRP for their workers in the district where the work place is located. For persons without a job, the community they reside in has to super-
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Previous studies indicated that the reemergence of TB seemed to be associated with the mass migrant population (10–14). However, detailed assessments of the potential effects of the migrant population are hampered by limited information about the reported cases from this population. In the current study, we analyzed the spatial distribution of the patients with diagnosed TB cases in both migrants and permanent residents using geographic information system (GIS) techniques (15). We also attempted to identify the “hot spot” areas in the 2 populations. Finally, GIS-based multilevel extra Poisson regression models analysis was conducted to clarify the impact of the migrant population on the reemergence and transmission of TB in Beijing (16).

Data Collection and Management

The data on all the TB cases reported in Beijing from 2000 through 2006 were obtained from the Beijing Institute for Tuberculosis Control, which specializes in TB prevention and research and is responsible for supervision of TB control in 18 districts of metropolitan Beijing. The cases that met the diagnostic criteria of TB issued by Ministry of Public Health in 2003 (17) were included in the analyses. The data include information on age, origin, current address, and date of TB onset. To assess the contribution of the migrant population from different areas, the case origins were divided into 4 zones, according to economic status and geography, i.e., western zone (including Shanxi, Gansu, Qinghai, Ningxia, Inner Mongolia, Xinjiang, Tibet, Sichuan, Chongqing, Guizhou, Guangxi, Yunnan Provinces, or other administrative regions), middle zone (including Heilongjiang, Jilin, Shandong, Hainan, Henan, Anhui, Fujian, Jiangsu, Zhejiang, Guangdong, Shandong, Hainan Provinces), eastern zone (including Liaoning, Hebei, Shanxi, Henan, Hunan, Jiangxi Provinces), and 2 municipalities (Tianjin and Shanghai) (Figure 1). The zonal classification corresponded to that of the Report on Nationwide Survey on Epidemiology of Tuberculosis in 2000 (18) and thus was easily used for comparison. The case data have been stratified by age, gender, origin, and onset date of TB; age was divided into 3 groups: 1) 0–14 years, 2) 15–64 years, and 3) ≥65 years. All the TB cases were coded according to the address where they resided (geo-coded) and matched to a 1:100,000 digital map of Beijing by using ArcGIS version 9.1 software (ESRI Inc., Redlands, CA, USA).

The demographic data of permanent residents and migrant population for each district were obtained from the 2000–2006 censuses, provided by Beijing Municipal Public Security Bureau (8,9,19–23). The 18 districts of Beijing, covering a total surface area of ≈16,800 km², had 11,976,900 permanent residents and 5,475,000 migrants in 2006 (9). On the basis of these data, the population densities of each district in different years were calculated and displayed on the digital map of Beijing (Figure 2).

The permanent residents in our study were defined as those who reside in Beijing with registered hukou, and the migrant population was defined as those who had been residing in Beijing >1 month but whose hukou were still held in their homelands. Persons originally from other countries were beyond the scope of our
current study. A shape file of property boundary data of 18 districts in Beijing 2003 obtained from the Ministry of Water Resources of the People’s Republic of China was used to generate visual presentations with 1:100,000 scale by using ArcGIS 9.1.

**Statistical Analysis**

The dynamic changes in population densities and the TB case notification rate of both migrant population and permanent residents from 2000 to 2006 were displayed by district on the digital map of the Beijing municipality (Figures 2, 3). Global Moran’s I statistics with z score test and Getis’s $G^*_i$ statistics, which specify 10 km as the threshold of distance, have been used to detect the spatial distribution and the hot spots of TB in the 2 populations (24,25). Global Moran’s I is used to discern spatial autocorrelation of TB cases in the study area and disclose the spatial pattern of disease with z score at the district level. A statistically significant ($z$ score $\geq 1.96$) estimate of $I$ indicates that neighboring districts (within 10 km) have a similar prevalence rate of TB and that the cases are likely to cluster at the district level (24). Getis’s $G^*_i$ statistics only assess positive spatial autocorrelation and are used to detect hot spots in the study area. A calculated value of $G^*_i \geq 1.96$ indicates that district $i$ and its neighboring districts (within 10 km) have a TB prevalence rate that is statistically significantly different (higher) than other districts. District $i$ is the center of the area with the higher TB prevalence rate, and is defined as a TB hot spot (25).

**Model 1**

To detect the difference of prevalence of TB among 18 districts, we constructed two 2-level statistical models (extra Poisson regression model) (26,27). The first level consisted of the following equation (details in the online Technical Appendix, available from www.cdc.gov/EID/content/14/9/1413-Techapp.pdf):

\[
\text{Case rate per unit of population} = \text{constant}
\]

The second level (equation) of Model 1 examined the estimated coefficient for the constant and the statistical significance of the error term. If the error term is statistically significant, then it indicates that there are differences in the prevalence rate of TB at the district level (but does not identify which districts are different and the degree of difference).

**Model 2**

Our second statistical model assessed whether the migrant population was significantly associated with any differences between districts. Model 2 was also a 2-level statistical model, with the first level consisting of the following equation (details in the online Technical Appendix):

\[
\text{Case rate per unit population} = \text{constant} + \text{origin of case (zone)} + \text{age} + \text{gender}
\]

The second level (equation) of Model 2 examined the statistical significance of the error terms associated with the coefficients for both the constant and the zone of case.
If the error term of the constant is statistically significant, then it indicates that there are differences in the prevalence rate of TB at the district level. If the error term for the zone of case origin is statistically significant, then it indicates that there are differences between resident and migrant populations.

Results

Our study included 15,078 cases among the permanent residents and 7,948 cases among the migrant population diagnosed from January 1, 2004, through October 31, 2006, in Beijing. Of the migrant population during this time, 61.6% were male; 16.8% came from the western zone, 41.9% came from the middle zone, 40.5% came from the eastern zone, and 0.8% came from Tianjin and Shanghai.

Figure 2 shows the distribution of the permanent residents and migrant population among 18 districts from 2000 through 2006. During these 7 years, the permanent resident population and the migrant population were most dense in Xuanwu, Dongcheng, Chongwen, and Xicheng. The permanent resident population was stable and tended to decline, and the migrant population fluctuated and slightly increased. Figure 3 shows the prevalence rate of TB in the 2 populations. Except in Fengtai, the prevalence rate of TB in the permanent residents has tended to increase since 2004, which is in accordance with the prevalence in the migrant population.

When the 2 populations were compared among the 18 districts, a highly spatial cluster ($z>1.96$) of TB was shown at the district level (Table 1). The hot-spots among the migrant population persisted in Xuanwu, Chongwen, Xicheng, and Dongcheng from 2000 through 2006 (online Appendix Table, available from www.cdc.gov/EID/content/14/9/1413-appT.htm). For the permanent residents, however, global clusters were not detected, and just 1 hot spot, Miyun, was observed, in 2003 (online Appendix Table). This implies that the epidemic of TB is dominated by the migrant population in Beijing.

The results from Model 1 indicate that statistically significant differences exist in the prevalence rates of TB at the district level (Table 2). The results from the first level of Model 2 show statistically significant difference in TB prevalence by district (the constant term), origin of cases (zone), gender, and age (Table 3). In the second level of this model, the error term associated with the origin (zone) of cases was significant, but the error term associated with district was not (Table 3).

Discussion

Previous studies have indicated that the migrant population affects the prevalence of TB in Beijing (9–13). However, because of the limitation of the techniques used in the analysis of previous studies, the hot-spot distribution has not been displayed at the district level, and the potential association with the migrant population could not be analyzed quantitatively. In our study, we used GIS-based spatial analysis to elucidate the spatial distribution of TB and highlight the hot spot areas.

Population data showed that the 4 largest migrant populations live in the 4 suburban districts: Chaoyang, Haidian, Fengtai, and Changping (8,9,19–23). However, our study indicated that Chongwen, Xuanwu, Xicheng, and Dongcheng, the 4 central districts, were the hot spots of TB among the migrant population from 2000 through 2006. These central districts may be hot spots because the population densities of the migrants and the permanent residents in the 4 central districts are much higher than that in the suburban districts (Figure 2). In fact, ≈20% of the popu-
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Table 1. Moran’s I analysis on TB cases in the migrant population and permanent resident population, Beijing, 2000–2006*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>TB cases among Beijing permanent residents</th>
<th>TB cases among the migrant population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I statistic</td>
<td>z score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>–0.46</td>
<td>–1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>–0.43</td>
<td>–1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>–0.27</td>
<td>–0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>–0.14</td>
<td>–0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>–0.34</td>
<td>–1.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>–0.24</td>
<td>–0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>–0.36</td>
<td>–1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Moran’s I statistics with z score test value to detect the spatial distribution of tuberculosis (TB) in the 2 populations. A statistically significant (z score >1.96) estimate of I indicates that nearby districts (within 10 km) have a similar prevalence rate of TB and the cases are likely to cluster at the district level.

†Statistically significant, p<0.05 as measured by z score >1.96.

Table 2. Results from Model 1: differences in prevalence rate of TB among the districts*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>χ² value</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant†</td>
<td>–8.280</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>7,378.941</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error term associated with TB prevalence‡</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>7.229</td>
<td>0.0071</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TB, tuberculosis.
†In this statistical model, the constant term represents the rate of TB by district.
‡If the error term is statistically significant, it indicates that there are differences in the prevalence rate of TB at the district level (but does not identify which districts are different and the degree of difference).
Table 3. Results from Model 2: differences in TB prevalence by district, origin of cases, gender and age of cases*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ value</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Level 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>-9.823</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>6,993.521</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zone</td>
<td>0.795</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>30.397</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.948</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>737.1151</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>0.501</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>269.385</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Level 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error terms combined</td>
<td>0.200</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>8.330</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error term associated with TB prevalence‡</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.3259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error term associated with origin (zone) of cases‡</td>
<td>0.342</td>
<td>0.124</td>
<td>7.603</td>
<td>&lt;0.005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TB, tuberculosis.

†If the error term is statistically significant, it indicates that there are differences in the prevalence rate of TB at the district level (but doesn’t identify which districts are different and the degree of difference).

‡If the error term is statistically significant, it indicates that there are differences in the prevalence rate of TB by origin (zone) of cases (but doesn’t identify which the degree of difference between origins).

In summary, our study confirmed that the migrant population contributed to the prevalence of TB and the differences among the 18 districts in Beijing. Our findings suggest that TB control measures should incorporate the migrant population, particularly persons from the western and middle zones. Our study also implied that further research is necessary on the control of infectious diseases.
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We Are Legend
Julian W. Tang

We are HIV. Our family is ancient.
Out of Africa,
Monkey to man,
From the trees and forests,
To the towns and cities.
We are here.

For we are HIV, we are legion.
Our children are billions,
Our home, in your defenses,
In your blood, your brain,
Your saliva, your semen.
We are everywhere.

For we are HIV, we are immortal.
We are part of you,
And you of us,
We live with you, but
May not die with you.
We go on.

For we are HIV, we are travelers.
From lover to lover,
Mother to baby,
Donor to blood bank,
Blood bank to patient,
We follow you.

For we are HIV, we evolve.
NRTIs, NNRTIs, PIs, INIs,
New designs, new drugs,
Bring it on, bring it on,
Q151M, K103N, L90M.
We adapt, we survive.

We are HIV. We consume.
Your resources, your time,
Your hope, your lives,
Your new drugs are easy.
Where are your vaccines?
Can you stop us? We will see.
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# Appendix Table. Tuberculosis hotspots in the permanent residents and the migrant population in Beijing, 2000–2006*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permanent residents</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dongcheng</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>–0.31</td>
<td>–0.59</td>
<td>–1.08</td>
<td>–0.89</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xicheng</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>–0.45</td>
<td>–0.19</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>2.08</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>3.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chongwen</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>–0.31</td>
<td>–0.59</td>
<td>–1.08</td>
<td>–0.89</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>2.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xuanwu</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>–0.09</td>
<td>–0.89</td>
<td>–0.86</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>3.11</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chaoyang</td>
<td>–0.04</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>–0.19</td>
<td>–1.00</td>
<td>–1.04</td>
<td>–1.27</td>
<td>–1.19</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fengtai</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>–0.30</td>
<td>–0.37</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shijingshan</td>
<td>–1.28</td>
<td>–1.24</td>
<td>–1.50</td>
<td>–1.42</td>
<td>–1.39</td>
<td>–1.29</td>
<td>–1.56</td>
<td>–0.20</td>
<td>–0.20</td>
<td>–0.55</td>
<td>–0.41</td>
<td>–0.90</td>
<td>–0.83</td>
<td>–0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haidian</td>
<td>–0.24</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>–0.36</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>–0.24</td>
<td>–0.76</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>–0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentougou</td>
<td>–0.59</td>
<td>–0.73</td>
<td>–0.73</td>
<td>–0.67</td>
<td>–0.40</td>
<td>–0.48</td>
<td>–0.57</td>
<td>–0.73</td>
<td>–0.69</td>
<td>–0.49</td>
<td>–0.66</td>
<td>–0.60</td>
<td>–0.42</td>
<td>–0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fangshan</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>–0.31</td>
<td>–0.24</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>–0.02</td>
<td>–0.15</td>
<td>–0.48</td>
<td>–0.47</td>
<td>–0.60</td>
<td>–0.05</td>
<td>–0.51</td>
<td>–0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tongzhou</td>
<td>–0.26</td>
<td>–0.20</td>
<td>–0.14</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>–0.21</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>–0.83</td>
<td>–0.37</td>
<td>–0.56</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>–0.41</td>
<td>–0.59</td>
<td>–0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shunyi</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>–0.75</td>
<td>–0.94</td>
<td>–0.71</td>
<td>–0.85</td>
<td>–0.65</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>–0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Changping</td>
<td>–0.24</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>–0.36</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>–0.24</td>
<td>–0.76</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>–0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daxing</td>
<td>–0.56</td>
<td>–0.40</td>
<td>–1.01</td>
<td>–0.91</td>
<td>–0.15</td>
<td>–0.29</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>–0.19</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>–0.42</td>
<td>–0.69</td>
<td>–0.58</td>
<td>–0.88</td>
<td>–0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinggu</td>
<td>–0.70</td>
<td>–0.94</td>
<td>–1.00</td>
<td>–0.33</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>–0.91</td>
<td>–0.95</td>
<td>–0.79</td>
<td>–0.81</td>
<td>–0.81</td>
<td>–0.60</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huairou</td>
<td>–0.08</td>
<td>–0.04</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>–0.34</td>
<td>–0.78</td>
<td>–0.42</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>–1.19</td>
<td>–1.03</td>
<td>–0.85</td>
<td>–0.82</td>
<td>–0.93</td>
<td>–1.15</td>
<td>–0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miyun</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>–0.58</td>
<td>–0.35</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>–0.31</td>
<td>–0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yangping</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>–0.64</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>–0.15</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>–0.20</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>1.31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Getis’s $G_i^*$ statistics assess positive spatial autocorrelation. A calculated value of $G_i^* > 1.96$ indicates that district $i$ and its neighboring districts (within 10 km) have a TB prevalence rate that is statistically significantly different (higher) than other districts. District $i$ is the center of the area with the higher TB prevalence rate and is therefore defined as a TB “hot spot.” **Boldface** indicates significant results (hot spots).
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Technical Appendix

1. Detail of equation 1:

\[ \text{case}_j \sim \text{Poisson}(\pi_j) \]
\[ \log(\pi_j) = \text{offset}_j + \beta_{y} \text{cons} \]
\[ \beta_{yj} = -8.280(0.096) + \nu_{yj} \]
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \nu_{yj} \\ \nu_{y} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Omega_{\nu}) : \Omega_{\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.150(0.056) \\ 0.000(0.000) \end{bmatrix} \]
\[ \text{var}(\text{case}_j | \pi_j) = 8.050(0.285) \pi_j \]

2. Detail of equation 2:

\[ \text{case}_j \sim \text{Poisson}(\pi_j) \]
\[ \log(\pi_j) = \text{offset}_j + \beta_{y} \text{cons} + \beta_{age} \text{age}_j + 0.948(0.035) \text{age} + 0.501(0.031) \text{gender}_j \]
\[ \beta_{y} = -9.823(0.117) + \nu_{y} \]
\[ \beta_{age} = 0.795(0.144) + \nu_{age} \]
\[ \begin{bmatrix} \nu_{yj} \\ \nu_{y} \\ \nu_{age} \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Omega_{\nu}) : \Omega_{\nu} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.200(0.069) & 0.000(0.000) & 0.200(0.069) \\ 0.000(0.000) & 0.000(0.000) & 0.000(0.000) \\ 0.000(0.000) & 0.000(0.000) & 0.342(0.124) \end{bmatrix} \]
\[ \text{var}(\text{case}_j | \pi_j) = 2.291(0.082) \pi_j \]