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Surveillance of amantadine and oseltamivir resistance among influenza viruses was begun in Hong Kong in 2006. In 2008, while both A/Brisbane/59/2007-like and A/Hong Kong/2652/2006-like viruses (H1N1) were cocirculating, we detected amantadine and oseltamivir resistance among A/Hong Kong/2652/2006-like viruses (H1N1), caused by genetic reassortment or spontaneous mutation.

A high rate of oseltamivir resistance in seasonal influenza virus A (H1N1) infection was reported in Europe in the winter of 2007–08 (1). Of subtype H1N1 isolates tested in 18 European countries, 59 (13.5%) of 437 were resistant to oseltamivir and carried the substitution of histidine by tyrosine at residue 274 (H274Y) of the neuraminidase (NA) gene. Genetic analysis showed that all oseltamivir-resistant strains of subtype H1N1 virus remained susceptible to amantadine.

In Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, People’s Republic of China, amantadine and oseltamivir are not commonly used to treat patients with influenza. Surveillance of amantadine and oseltamivir resistance among influenza viruses was begun in 2006 after a high rate of amantadine resistance was reported among subtype H3N2 viruses (2) and a stockpile of oseltamivir was purchased for pandemic preparedness. Oseltamivir resistance was first detected in January 2008. We report detection of subtype H1N1 virus isolates that became resistant to amantadine and oseltamivir because of genetic reassortment and spontaneous mutation.

The Study

As part of ongoing surveillance, respiratory samples are routinely collected from patients seeking treatment with respiratory illness in clinics and hospitals of Hong Kong. Virus isolation is performed according to a standard protocol (3). From January 2006 through June 2008, a total of 827 influenza viruses (H1N1) were tested for oseltamivir resistance by an enzyme inhibition assay (NA-STAR; Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) or by nucleotide sequencing of the NA gene to detect the H274Y mutation. The isolates were also tested for resistance to amantadine by an in-house–designed PCR (protocol available on request) and nucleotide sequencing of the matrix (M2) gene segment. A 575-nt fragment of the NA gene and a 199-nt fragment of the M2 gene were amplified by the designed primers N1–1H and N1–2H, and MA 692 and MA 891 (available on request), respectively, and sequenced by using a Genetic Sequencer 3100 or 3130XL (Applied Biosystems). Sequences obtained were aligned by using Simmonics (4) and analyzed by MEGA version 2.1 (Applied Biosystems). Sequences obtained were aligned by using Simmonics (4) and analyzed by MEGA version 2.1 (Applied Biosystems). Sequences obtained were aligned by using Simmonics (4) and analyzed by MEGA version 2.1 (Applied Biosystems).

Of 37 oseltamivir-susceptible viruses, analysis of the NA gene on 84 (97%) of 87 oseltamivir-resistant and 37 (5%) of 697 oseltamivir-susceptible viruses isolated in our laboratory in 2008. PCR and DNA sequencing of the NA gene were carried out by using the primers H1–1 and H1–2, which flank a fragment of 612 nt of the HA segment. A 575-nt fragment of the NA gene and a 199-nt fragment of the M2 gene were amplified by the designed primers N1–1H and N1–2H, and MA 692 and MA 891 (available on request), respectively, and sequenced by using a Genetic Sequencer 3100 or 3130XL (Applied Biosystems). Sequences obtained were aligned by using Simmonics (4) and analyzed by MEGA version 2.1 (Applied Biosystems). Sequences obtained were aligned by using Simmonics (4) and analyzed by MEGA version 2.1 (Applied Biosystems). Sequences obtained were aligned by using Simmonics (4) and analyzed by MEGA version 2.1 (Applied Biosystems).

To further study the genetic mechanism of emergence of antiviral drug resistance among subtype H1N1 viruses, we performed nucleotide sequencing on a partial segment of the hemagglutinin (HA) gene on 84 (97%) of 87 oseltamivir-resistant and 37 (5%) of 697 oseltamivir-susceptible viruses isolated in our laboratory in 2008. PCR and DNA sequencing of the HA gene were carried out by using the primers H1–1 and H1–2, which flank a fragment of 612 nt of the HA segment. Sequences were obtained from 121 virus isolates (Table).

Of 37 oseltamivir-susceptible viruses, analysis of the HA, NA, and M2 genes showed that 20 were susceptible to amantadine and similar to the clade 2B A/Brisbane/59/2007 virus (GenBank accession no. CY030232) (8). Fourteen were similar to clade 2C A/Hong Kong/2652/2006 virus (GenBank accession no. CY031342), an amantadine-re-
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sistant virus isolated in our laboratory in 2006 which remained prevalent in Hong Kong in 2007 and 2008. Three isolates had HA and NA genes similar to those of clade 2B but carried an amantadine-resistant M2 gene similar to that of the A/Hong Kong/2652/2006 virus.

Among 84 oseltamivir-resistant strains, 81 remained susceptible to amantadine and had HA and M2 genes similar to those of clade 2B A/Brisbane/59/2007 virus but carried a single point mutation H274Y in the NA gene. The other 3 isolates were resistant to oseltamivir and amantadine; they had similar HA and M2 genes to those of clade 2C A/Hong Kong/2652/2006 virus, whereas the other 2 viruses had NA genes similar to those of amantadine-resistant clade 2C A/Hong Kong/2652/2006 virus (Figure). These results suggest that the 3 isolates acquired amantadine and oseltamivir resistance by different mechanisms. A clade 2C A/Hong Kong /942/2008 virus acquired an NA gene carrying the H274Y mutation by reassortment with an oseltamivir-resistant clade 2B virus; the other 2 clade 2C viruses, A/Hong Kong /1052/2008 and A/Hong Kong /1313/2008, acquired oseltamivir resistance through a spontaneous H274Y mutation in the NA gene.

To study the effect of the resistant gene on the replication efficiency of the viruses, we conducted experiments using amantadine- and oseltamivir-resistant viruses in MDCK cells. Briefly, we placed in quadruplicate on MDCK cells one 50% tissue culture infectious dose per 0.1 mL of subtype H1N1 viruses with different antiviral drug–resistance patterns (amantadine-resistant only, oseltamivir-resistant

Table. Phylogenetic analysis of HA, NA, and M2 genes of influenza viruses A (H1N1) with different drug-resistance characteristics isolated in Hong Kong, January–June 2008*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>No. isolates</th>
<th>HA clade</th>
<th>NA clade</th>
<th>M2 clade</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oseltamivir resistant (n = 84)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amantadine susceptible</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2B†</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>H274Y in NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amantadine resistant</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2C†</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2C</td>
<td>H274Y in NA, S31N in M2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2C</td>
<td>2C</td>
<td>2C</td>
<td>H274Y in NA, S31N in M2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oseltamivir susceptible (n = 37)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amantadine susceptible</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amantadine resistant</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2C</td>
<td>2C</td>
<td>2C</td>
<td>S31N in M2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2B</td>
<td>2C</td>
<td>S31N in M2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HA, hemagglutinin; NA, neuraminidase; M2, matrix.
†Clade 2B or clade 2C indicates the gene specified has a nucleotide homology >99% to that of A/Brisbane/59/2007 or A/Hong Kong/2652/2006 virus, respectively.

Figure. Phylogenetic analysis of the hemagglutinin gene (A), neuraminidase gene (B), and matrix gene (C) of influenza virus (H1N1). The 3 clade 2C viruses with double resistance are indicated by circles, the 3 clade 2B viruses with amantadine resistance are indicated by triangles, and A/Brisbane/59/2007 and A/Hong Kong/2652/2006 are indicated by squares. Scale bars indicate 0.5% difference in nucleotide sequence.
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only, amantadine- and oseltamivir-resistant, and amantadine- and oseltamivir-susceptible), incubated the cultures at 33°C, and examined the cytopathic effect (CPE) daily for 7 days. By observing the timing of CPE appearance and relative progressive rate of CPE, we found no substantial difference in growth efficiency and similar replication patterns for the 4 different types of subtype H1N1 strains.

Conclusions
Reassortment of gene segments likely occurred among clade 2B and clade 2C subtype H1N1 viruses while they were cocirculating in Hong Kong. Clade 2B A/Brisbane/59/2007-like viruses also were cocirculating with clade 2C A/Hong Kong/2652/2006-like viruses in about the same proportion during the 2008 influenza season. We identified 3 clade 2B A/Brisbane/59/2007-like viruses (isolated from 1 outbreak) that were oseltamivir-sensitive in their HA and NA genes but carried an amantadine-resistant M2 gene that was similar to clade 2C A/Hong Kong/2652/2006 virus. We also identified 3 virus isolates that were resistant to both amantadine and oseltamivir. The HA, NA, and M2 gene sequences of the 3 oseltamivir- and amantadine-resistant subtype H1N1 isolates were deposited in GenBank (accession nos. FJ227684–FJ227689 and FJ581446–FJ581448).

All 3 isolates were clade 2C A/Hong Kong/2652/2006-like viruses, but they acquired oseltamivir resistance through spontaneous mutation or reassortment with a clade 2B A/Brisbane/59/2007-like virus. Gene sequencing results confirmed that no epidemiologic link existed among the 3 patients infected with these isolates.

Monitoring of antiviral resistance among influenza isolates showed that resistance pattern changed among subtype H1N1 viruses when different lineages were introduced. While oseltamivir resistance appears to be largely confined to clade 2B viruses and amantadine resistance to clade 2C viruses, oseltamivir- and amantadine-resistant viruses due to spontaneous mutation or reassortment were detectable when clade 2B and 2C viruses were cocirculating. If antiviral resistance markers are combined with sequence data of HA, NA, and M genes, systematic monitoring would make it possible to track the spread of influenza viruses globally and to clarify the underlying mechanism for the spread of such resistance.
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