The incidence of human infection with the broad taperworm *Diphyllobothrium nihonkaiense* has been increasing in urban areas of Japan and in European countries. *D. nihonkaiense* is morphologically similar to but genetically distinct from *D. latum* and exploits anadromous wild Pacific salmon as its second intermediate host. Clinical signs in humans include diarrhea and discharge of the strobila, which can be as long as 12 m. The natural life history and the geographic range of the tapeworm remain to be elucidated, but recent studies have indicated that the brown bear in the northern territories of the Pacific coast region is its natural final host. A recent surge of clinical cases highlights a change in the epidemiologic trend of this tapeworm disease from one of rural populations to a disease of urban populations worldwide who eat seafood as part of a healthy diet.

Broad tapeworms such as *Diphyllobothrium latum* and *D. nihonkaiense* are exotic parasites that grow as long as 12 meters in the small intestine. By the mid-19th century, infection with the Japanese broad tapeworm was known to be contracted by eating salmon (Figure 1) and was considered to be infection with *D. latum* until 1986, when Yamane et al. revised the identification of the Japanese broad tapeworm and established the new species *D. nihonkaiense* (1). Both tapeworms exploit freshwater copepods as their first intermediate host. However, in contrast to *D. latum*, which uses freshwater fish such as perch, char, and pike as the second intermediate host, *D. nihonkaiense* uses anadromous fish, *Oncorhynchus* spp., such as *O. masou* (masu salmon), *O. gorbuscha* (pink salmon), and *O. keta* (chum salmon), which migrate across the northern Pacific Ocean to the Sea of Okhotsk and the Bering Sea (2,3). Recent studies have demonstrated complete mitochondrial genomes of *D. nihonkaiense* and *D. latum* (4,5). These genomes have not only rendered species diagnosis more reliable, but they have also provided a wealth of genetic markers that could be useful for investigating their population genetics, ecology, and epidemiology.

*Diphyllobothriasis nihonkaiense* was once endemic to coastal provinces of central and northern Japan, where salmon fisheries thrived. However, in the past several decades, regions with endemic diphyllobothriasis *nihonkaiense* have disappeared from Japan, yet the infection has been perpetuated among urban people who eat sushi and sashimi. Although the number of clinical cases of the infection in large cities has fluctuated some in the past 20 years, the incidence was particularly high in 2008. Moreover, clinical cases caused by *D. nihonkaiense* have been emerging even in European countries (6–9), suggesting that the globalization of this tapeworm disease is probably due to the worldwide expansion of commercial sales of fresh or frozen wild Pacific salmon. We outline the current situation of diphyllobothriasis *nihonkaiense* in Japan, together with its still-mysterious ecology and life cycle.

**Recent Surge of Pacific Salmon–associated Diphyllobothriasis**

We retrospectively examined annual case numbers of diphyllobothriasis *nihonkaiense* in 2 institutes; the Department of Medical Zoology of the Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine in Kyoto (MZ) and the Department of Infectious Diseases of the Tokyo Metropolitan Bokutoh Hospital (BH) in Tokyo. MZ is the sole institute specializing in research and diagnosis of parasitic diseases in Kyoto city (population 1.4 million). BH is one of the major public hospitals in metropolitan Tokyo.
From 1988 through 2008, a total of 149 cases of diphyllobothriasis have been recorded: 95 at MZ and 54 at BH. Diphyllobothriasis nihonkaiense was diagnosed by morphologic appearance and taxonomic characteristics of the strobila (body of the mature tapeworm) passed in feces of a person who had a history of eating salmon or a habit of eating sushi or sashimi, which are normally composed of sea fish, often salmon. DNA sequences of the tapeworm cox1 and/or nad3 genes were also analyzed from most (42) patient specimens obtained since 2004; results confirmed the identification of *D. nihonkaiense*. Molecularly confirmed *D. latum*, from humans or fish, has not been reported in Japan.

Annual incidence rates of the clinical cases at MZ and BH show an apparent surge in recent years (Figure 2). In a broad assumption that the case numbers at MZ represent all cases of this tapeworm infection in Kyoto, the average incidence in the past 20 years was 0.32 cases per 100,000 population per year, and that in 2008 was 1.0 case per 100,000 population. Incidence throughout Japan has not been estimated because a nationwide investigation has never been conducted. Nevertheless, these case numbers at MZ and BH suggest that *D. nihonkaiense* infection is equally as prevalent in Japan as *D. latum* is in some European countries (10).

Most patients regularly ate sushi and sashimi. Approximately half could recall that they ate raw or undercooked salmon in the past 6 months. Analyses of 149 cases at MZ and BH showed that the disease occurred during all seasons but that prevalence peaked in early summer (Figure 3). Every age group was affected, from 3 to 77 years. Most patients were 20–59 years of age, which probably reflects more frequent consumption of sushi and sashimi by persons in this age group than in other age groups (Figure 4). Twice as many men than women were affected.

**Wild Pacific Salmon and Risk for Diphyllobothriasis Nihonkaiense**

Approximately half of the wild Pacific salmon sold in Japan are caught in the coastal areas of northern Japan, and
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![Figure 2. Diphyllobothriasis cases, Department of Medical Zoology of the Kyoto Prefectural University of Medicine (MZ) in Kyoto and Department of Infectious Diseases of the Tokyo Metropolitan Bokutoh Hospital (BH) in Tokyo, Japan, 1988–2008.](image)
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the freshwater zooplanktonic copepod (15), whether freshwater is the place of transmission of the parasite from the copepod to salmon remains controversial. Some researchers have been examining a hypothesis that Japanese masu salmon are infected with the plerocercoid not in freshwater but in the sea during their migration through the Sea of Okhotsk, possibly through another intermediate host that links the freshwater copepod and the wild salmon at sea (14). So far, no such intermediate host has been discovered.

**Geographic Distribution of D. nihonkaiense**

Until recently, diphyllobothriasis nihonkaiense had been reported almost exclusively in Japan. In northern communities bordering the Pacific, several additional diphyllobothriid species—D. klebanovskii, D. ursi, D. lentum, D. dendriticum, and D. dalliae—have been implicated in human infections (16–20). In Far East Russia, D. klebanovskii, which also uses wild Pacific salmon as its second intermediate host, is the most common cause of human diphyllobothriasis (16,17). Recent molecular studies of the DNA sequences of the 18S rDNA, internal transcribed region 1, cox1, and nad3, clearly indicated the synonymy of D. klebanovskii to D. nihonkaiense, indicating that D. nihonkaiense is distributed not only in Japan but also in Far East Russia up to the Kamchatka Peninsula and that brown bears are its natural final host (21).

In 1980, on the Pacific coast of the United States, an outbreak of diphyllobothriasis was associated with consumption of Pacific salmon (22), but species identification of the tapeworm was not conducted. More recently, several clinical cases diagnosed by tapeworm DNA sequencing as D. nihonkaiense have emerged in Europe (6–8). These patients had eaten raw Pacific salmon, probably imported from the Pacific coast of North America. Another case, in a tourist to North America who had eaten raw sockeye salmon from British Columbia, was also diagnosed as caused by D. nihonkaiense. (9). These reports suggest a far broader geographic distribution of D. nihonkaiense than previously believed (Figure 5).

Thus, although earlier exhaustive studies have indicated that the first intermediate host of D. nihonkaiense is the freshwater zooplanktonic copepod Cyclops strenuus (15), whether freshwater is the place of transmission of the parasite from the copepod to salmon remains controversial. Some researchers have been examining a hypothesis that Japanese masu salmon are infected with the plerocercoid not in freshwater but in the sea during their migration through the Sea of Okhotsk, possibly through another intermediate host that links the freshwater copepod and the wild salmon at sea (14). So far, no such intermediate host has been discovered.
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Diphyllobothriasis Associated with Raw Pacific Salmon

Figure 5. Possible distribution area of Diphyllobothrium nihonkaiense. Open circle, open square, and open triangle represent brown bears, humans, and Pacific salmon, respectively, from which D. nihonkaiense adult worms or plerocercoids were isolated and identified by DNA sequencing (DNA sequences refer to reference 21). Patients in European countries are suspected to have eaten salmon imported from the Pacific coast of North America.

However, whether D. nihonkaiense in these regions consists of a biologically homogeneous population is still uncertain. The most enigmatic result of the molecular studies of D. nihonkaiense cox1 and nad3 genes is the presence of 2 deeply divergent lineages that are not defined by the localities of the samples examined so far (21). Thus, further studies are needed to look for an association between the host species and/or geographic localities and the 2 genotypes of D. nihonkaiense.

Other Diphyllobothrid Tapeworms in Salmon

D. nihonkaiense is not the sole tapeworm species carried by wild Pacific salmon. On the Pacific coast of North America, D. ursi has been isolated from brown bears, black bears, and humans (18,19,23,24). The plerocercoid of D. ursi is found predominantly in sockeye salmon (O. nerka) and occasionally in coho salmon (O. kisutch). A major difference between D. ursi and D. nihonkaiense (D. klebanovskii) is their plerocercoid stage: plerocercoids of D. ursi encyst on stomach serosa of salmon (18), and plerocercoids of D. nihonkaiense (D. klebanovskii) have been found mainly in the body musculature of chum, masu, and pink salmon (1–3). In some South American countries, cultivated Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) have been implicated as the source of D. latum infection (25,26).

Conclusions

The epidemiology of diphyllobothriasis nihonkaiense has changed drastically from rural to urban areas because of the rapid expansion of the transport system for fresh and frozen fish to meet a demand for seafood in healthy diets. The uninterrupted occurrence of diphyllobothriasis nihonkaiense in urban areas implies that the D. nihonkaiense tapeworm perpetuates its natural life cycle successfully between salmon and its final host animals in northern territories of the Pacific Ocean; however, its definite natural life cycle remains to be elucidated. Freezing and storing at −20 °C for 7 days or −35 °C until solid and storing at −35 °C for 15 hours is sufficient to kill parasites, although these conditions may not be suitable for freezing particularly large fish, e.g., those thicker than 6 inches (27).

It seems that the general public in Japan is only vaguely aware of the possible risk for parasitic diseases associated with eating sushi and sashimi made from marine fish. Although some information on this health risk is provided through means such as health education programs open to the public or television programs, the emphasis is generally on the risk for anisakiasis, one of the most prevalent parasitic diseases among Japanese. Persons are generally underinformed, especially about the risk of diphyllobothriasis from eating raw salmon. Moreover, people like sushi and sashimi made of never-frozen fish far better than that made from frozen fish. Consumers and retailers should be made aware of the risk for tapeworm infection posed by eating raw or undercooked wild salmon.
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