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To determine the prevalence of hepatitis E virus (HEV) infection among sheltered homeless persons in Marseille, France, we retrospectively tested 490 such persons. A total of 11.6% had immunoglobulin (Ig) G and 2.5% had IgM against HEV; 1 person had HEV genotype 3f. Injection drug use was associated with IgG against HEV.

Initially considered a leading cause of acute hepatitis in tropical and subtropical countries, hepatitis E virus (HEV) is endemic to industrialized countries (1). Although substantial data indicate that HEV infection is a porcine zoonosis, more information is needed about the epidemiology and transmission of this virus in industrialized countries (1–3). Homeless persons are at higher risk than other persons for viral hepatitis (A, B, and C) because their lifestyle might include injection drug use (IDU) and poor hygiene (4), but data on HEV prevalence among them are scarce (3,6). In Marseille in southeastern France, ≈1,500 persons are homeless (4). Since 2000, shelter-based surveys have been conducted yearly to monitor infectious diseases in homeless persons (4). This work determined the prevalence of HEV infection in this population.

The Study

The surveys were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Board (CCPPCRB99/76) (Comité de Protection des Personnes Sud-Méditerranée II; www.cpp-sudmed2.fr/) and the Ethics Committee of the Medical School, University of the Mediterranean, Marseille. Participating homeless persons were examined by a physician and interviewed by using a standardized questionnaire, and serum samples were collected from each participant for laboratory testing. Epidemiologic, clinical, and biologic data that were collected varied from 1 year to another.

Serum samples collected from 490 homeless persons in 2003, 2005, and 2006 in 2 shelters in Marseille (online Appendix Table, www.cdc.gov/EID/content/16/11/1761-appT.htm) were tested retrospectively for immunoglobulin (Ig) G and IgM (EIAgent HEV kits; Adaltis Italia SpA, Rome, Italy) against HEV and for HEV RNA by using an in-house real-time reverse transcription–PCR specific for open reading frame 2 (7). HEV RNA sequencing was performed when HEV RNA was detected, and genotype was assigned through phylogenetic analysis of open reading frame 2 partial sequences (7). Serologic testing for hepatitis A, B, and C and for HIV were performed by using AxSYM Abbott assays (Abbott Diagnostics Division, Wiesbaden, Germany). Statistical analysis was performed by using STATA version 10.1 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). Pearson χ² test, Fisher exact test, Kruskal-Wallis test, or logistic regression model were used when appropriate.

Mean ± SD age of homeless persons was 43 ± 14 years, and their mean ± SD duration of homelessness was 49 ± 84 months. Most (96.3%) were men and were born in North Africa (40.2%) or in France (33.3%) (online Appendix Table). Previous or ongoing IDU was reported for 4/176 (2.3%). Overall prevalence of anti-HEV IgG and IgM was 11.6% (95% confidence interval [CI] 8.9%–14.8%) (57/490) and 2.5% (95% CI 1.3%–4.2%) (12/490), respectively. Mean optical density ratio (optical density/cutoff value) was 3.0 (range 1.1–6.9) and 2.0 (range 1.1–4.6) for IgG and IgM, respectively. Three (0.6%; 95% CI 0.1%–1.8%) homeless persons were concurrently positive for HEV IgM and IgG, whereas 9 (1.8%; 95% CI 0.8%–3.5%) were positive only for IgM and 54 (11%; 95% CI 8.4%–14.1%) were positive only for IgG.

HEV RNA was detected in 1 homeless person, a 50-year-old man from Romania concurrently seronegative for HEV IgM and IgG and for hepatitis B and C viruses. He reported excessive alcohol intake but no IDU. HEV genotype was 3f (Figure), and sequence analysis showed 98% nt identity with sequences previously recovered from persons in Spain and France. Alanine aminotransferase (ALT) level had been assessed in only 2/12 HEV IgM–positive homeless persons and was elevated in 1 person (177 IU/L), in association with an increased γ-glutamyl transferase level (788 IU/L). Among the 19 homeless persons sampled in 2 different years, 1 seroconverted; he was seronegative for HEV IgM and IgG in 2005 then positive in 2006 (optical density ratio 1.14 and 4.3, respectively). Results of HEV RNA testing were negative in both serum samples, and ALT level had not been tested.

The prevalence of HEV IgG or IgM in homeless persons did not differ by year of survey; sex; place of birth; or serologic status for hepatitis A, B, or C viruses (online Ap-
were significantly associated with HEV IgG. In multivariate analysis that used variables that were statistically significant in the univariate analysis as covariates, only IDU was independently associated with anti-HEV IgG (adjusted odds ratio 26.3, 95% CI 2.5–267.1; p = 0.006).

Conclusions

We found that 11.6% (95% CI 8.9%–14.8%) of homeless persons in Marseille were positive for HEV IgG, whereas 2.6% (95% CI 1.3%–4.2%) had HEV IgM or HEV RNA, indicating recent or ongoing HEV infection. The HEV IgG prevalence is similar to that previously found (6) in homeless persons in Los Angeles, California, USA (13.5%), and much lower than that found in 98 homeless children in Cochabamba, Bolivia (66.3%) (5). This prevalence falls between seroprevalences recently assessed among blood donors in northern (3.2%) and southwestern (16.6%) France (8,9).

These comparisons should take into account the use of different serologic assays, in addition to differences in epidemiologic settings. Indeed, substantial differences have been observed regarding the performances of some HEV IgG tests (10). Moreover, the sensitivity and specificity of the HEV IgG assay used in our study have not been previously evaluated, which warrants a cautious interpretation of the results. A preliminary study conducted in 2008 of 194 blood donors in Marseille with the same assay as that used in the present study found that the prevalence of HEV IgG was 9% (P. Gallian, unpub. data), which is similar to the seroprevalence we found in homeless persons. The HEV IgM assay we used showed good performance in patients with HEV genotype 3 infections in reference to PCR testing (11). Thus, sensitivity, specificity, and negative predictive value were 90%, 100%, and 98.8%, respectively. In addition, sensitivities and specificities of this test and of the HEV IgG tests (10) have not been previously observed regarding the performances of some HEV

Although based on a small subset of homeless persons, our finding of the association of IDU with serologic results indicating past HEV infection is intriguing. This result is

Table. Age, duration of homelessness, and liver biochemical test results for 490 homeless persons, Marseilles, France, 2003, 2005, and 2006*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>HEV IgM</th>
<th>HEV IgG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, mean ± SD</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>37 ± 12</td>
<td>43 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of homelessness, mo, mean ± SD</td>
<td>39 ± 38</td>
<td>49 ± 85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liver biochemical tests, † mean ± SD, IU/L</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alanine aminotransferase levels‡</td>
<td>93 ± 119</td>
<td>32 ± 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartate aminotransferase levels§</td>
<td>49 ± 54</td>
<td>34 ± 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-glutamyl transferase¶</td>
<td>401 ± 547</td>
<td>65 ± 137</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HEV, hepatitis E virus; Ig, immunoglobulin.
†Assessed only in 2005 for 209 homeless persons.
‡Data missing for 10 persons.
§Data missing for 10 persons.
¶Data missing for 9 persons.
surprising because the proportion of IDUs in our homeless population was low (2.3%) and much lower than proportions previously reported (9%-83% [12–15]). HEV IgG prevalence of 2.2%-62% has been described in IDUs, but a significant difference with the control group was found in only 2 of these studies [12–15]. HEV IgG prevalence in Sweden was 62% (21/34) in patients who acquired acute hepatitis B through IDU compared with 25% (9/36) in patients with sexually acquired hepatitis B (p<0.005) [13]. Moreover, in Italy, the prevalence was 5.4% (16/297) in IDUs compared with 2.6% (49/1,889) in the general population (p<0.00001) [12]. In summary, our data indicate that HEV infection occurs in homeless persons, and further studies are needed to determine whether IDU is responsible for HEV transmission.

This study was supported by the Conseil General des Bouches-du-Rhône (Conseil General 13), France, and the Programme Hospitalier de Recherche Clinique, Marseille, France.

Dr Kaba works at Unité de Recherche sur les Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales Emergentes, Facultés de Médecine et de Pharmacie, Université de la Méditerranée, and at the Pôle des Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales Cliniques et Biologiques, Fédération de Bactériologie-Hygiène-Virologie, Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire Timone, in Marseille, France. His primary field of interest is viral hepatitis.

References


Address for correspondence: Philippe Colson, Pôle des Maladies Infectieuses et Tropicales Cliniques et Biologiques, Fédération de Bactériologie-Hygiène-Virologie, Centre Hospitalo-Universitaire Timone, 264 rue Saint-Pierre, 13385 Marseille CEDEX 05, France; email: philippe.colson@ap-hm.fr