Molecular Subtyping in Cholera Outbreak, Laos, 2010
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A cholera outbreak in Laos in July 2010 involved 237 cases, including 4 deaths. Molecular subtyping indicated relatedness between the Vibrio cholerae isolates in this and in a 2007 outbreak, uncovering a clonal group of V. cholerae circulating in the Mekong basin. Our finding suggests the subtyping methods will affect this relatedness.

Cholera is a major public health concern in countries where access to safe water and adequate sanitation cannot be guaranteed for all residents. Vibrio cholerae serogroups O1 and O139 are the causative agents of cholera (1). A major virulence factor is cholera toxin (Ctx) encoded by the ctxAB gene and located on the Ctx prophage. V. cholerae O1 is classified into 2 biotypes, classical and El Tor. The El Tor biotype is responsible for the ongoing seventh pandemic of cholera (2). Since the early 1990s, the El Tor variant strains, which are biotypes of El Tor but carry the classical type of ctxB, have emerged and prevail in multiple regions where cholera is endemic (1,3–6).

The Study

In July 2010, a cholera outbreak began in Attapeu Province in southern Laos along the Cambodian border. Onset dates were July 5–September 16. The outbreak spread to 17 villages of the province and involved 237 persons, including 4 who died. To isolate the suspected V. cholera colonies, we screened specimens on thiosulfate citrate bile salt sucrose agar with or without enrichment in alkaline peptone water. Suspected colonies were examined by conventional biochemical tests and PCR amplification of ctx (7,8). Of the 42 fecal specimens tested, 9 were culture positive. The isolates were toxigenic V. cholerae O1 serotype Ogawa with features of the El Tor variant, according to the ctxB-typing method of Morita et al. (9).

We analyzed the 9 V. cholerae isolates from the Attapeu outbreak. We performed pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) according to the PulseNet protocol (10) and multilocus variable number tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) using the 7 loci, as described (5,11).

The isolates of the Attapeu outbreak had almost indistinguishable PFGE profiles and MLVA repeat copy numbers. In PFGE analysis, 8 of the 9 isolates showed indistinguishable profiles (PFGE-A). The profile of the remaining isolate differed from the dominant isolates by 2 bands (PFGE-B) (Figure). In MLVA, 8 isolates showed the same MLVA type (MLVA-I), and 1 isolate showed another MLVA type that differed from the major MLVA type by being a single-locus variant of MLVA-I with only 1 locus and 1 repeat copy number (MLVA-II) (Table). Seven of the MLVA-I and 1 of the MLVA-II isolates showed the PFGE-A profile, and 1 of the MLVA-I isolates showed the PFGE-B profile. Although the source of contamination remains unknown, these results indicate that all isolates were indistinguishable from or similar to each other and that the outbreak could have been caused by a single source of contamination.

For comparison, we also examined 19 isolates from an outbreak that occurred in Xekong Province in 2007. These isolates also were toxigenic V. cholerae O1 serotype Ogawa of the El Tor variant (12). MLVA results clearly indicate that the isolates of the Attapeu outbreak in 2010 differed from those of the Xekong outbreak in 2007. The isolates from the Xekong outbreak comprised 3 MLVA types; 17 isolates were MLVA-III, 1 was MLVA-IV, and 1 was MLVA-V. MLVA-IV and MLVA-V were single-locus variants of MLVA-III (Table). Of the 7 loci tested, 3 or 4 displayed different repeat copy numbers than did those of the Attapeu and Xekong outbreaks. In PFGE analysis, however, the profiles were similar to each other; the isolates from the Xekong outbreak showed a PFGE-B profile (Figure).

These results suggest that strains with a specific PFGE type and the related strains have been circulating in the area for at least 3 years. Nguyen et al. suggested that another cholera outbreak in Vietnam that occurred from the end
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The 2010 cholera outbreak at Attapeu was caused by 1 source of contamination. Furthermore, isolates from the Attapeu outbreak and the 2007 Xekong outbreak showed similar PFGE profiles, but they were differentiated by MLVA, consistent with their origin. This study suggests that PFGE analysis is useful for identifying the kinds of V. cholerae clones circulating in a specific geographic region and might be useful for determining a long-term framework of the region-specific V. cholerae because PFGE profiles are probably more stable than the MLVA types. By contrast, MLVA is useful for investigating and discriminating short-term individual outbreaks in a region. Another cholera outbreak in Cambodia in 2010 also might be related to the Attapeu outbreak. Combined use of both molecular subtyping methods would indicate the relatedness of cholera in the 2010 Cambodian outbreak and the others in the Mekong basin.

Acknowledgments

We thank Chanthavong Xayyasena and his team for technical assistance. We also thank all the donors who contributed financially to this study through the World Health Organization.

This study was partly supported by grants-in-aid from the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare of Japan (H20–Shinko–Ippan–013, H20–Shinko–Ippan–015, H21-Shokuhin-Ippan-005, H21-Shokuhin-Ippan-013, and H22-Shokuhin-Ippan-012) and from the Ministry of the Environment of Japan (Global Environment Research Fund, S-8).

Dr Sithivong is head of the Bacteriology Unit of the National Center for Laboratory and Epidemiology, in Vientiane, Laos. Her research interests focus on the characteristics of bacterial agents causing diarrhea.

References


Address for correspondence: Hidemasa Izumiya, Department of Bacteriology I, National Institute of Infectious Diseases, Toyama 1-23-1, Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8640, Japan; email: izumiya@nih.go.jp