than the heart in this worker cannot be ruled out.

Our results indicate high seroprevalence of Q fever among workers at the scouring factory studied. Continuous exposure to the Q fever agent was the likely cause of atypical antibody responses evoking a chronic or relapsing disease in the absence of any clinical symptom. These results indicated the need to analyze paired serum samples and to rely on medical follow-up before establishing a definitive diagnosis.

Given the continuous occupational risk to which these workers are exposed, hiring of pregnant women or persons with underlying medical conditions, such as valvulopathy or immunologic depression, should be avoided. Moreover, annual serologic testing should be conducted on all exposed persons to detect any evolution toward the chronic form of the disease, which can be life-threatening. Although less dangerous than anthrax, Q fever is still a highly prevalent occupational disease that affects persons working with animal hairs in industrial environments and commonly referred to as woolsorters (10).
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Coxiella burnetii Infection in Roe Deer during Q Fever Epidemic, the Netherlands

To the Editor: A Q fever epidemic among humans started in the Netherlands in 2007 and peaked in 2009 (1). Epidemiologic evidence linked the epidemic to abortions and deliveries among Coxiella burnetii–infected dairy goats and dairy sheep (1,2). However, questions arose about whether C. burnetii infection in free-living wildlife might be another source of Q fever in humans. C. burnetii has a wide host range (3), but to our knowledge no studies had addressed its occurrence in nondomestic animals in the Netherlands (4).

The main objective of this study was to look for evidence of C. burnetii infection in carcasses of free-living roe deer (Capreols capreolus) in the Netherlands, where C. capreolus is the most common species of wild ruminant. Additional objectives were to 1) analyze characteristics, location, and time of death of case-animals for
more information on the infection in roe deer and 2) determine the genotype of *C. burnetii* strains from roe deer and compare them with the genotype of strains from domestic animals and humans for evidence of spillover.

The sample consisted of 79 roe deer that were euthanized or found dead in 9 of the 12 provinces in the Netherlands during January 2008–May 2010. All animals had undergone postmortem examination, and tissue samples were frozen until testing. Tissues tested were lung (n = 46), spleen (n = 50), bone marrow (n = 50), liver (n = 74), and kidney (n = 75), as available. We extracted DNA by using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany). A duplex quantitative PCR targeting the IS1111a element was used with an internal control gene, as described (2). Tissues with cycle threshold (Ct) values <34 (1/case) were typed by using multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analyses (MLVA) for 11 loci, as described (2,5); results were compared with known MLVA typing data from the Netherlands.

Of the 79 roe deer examined, 18 (23%) had positive PCR results for *C. burnetii* DNA in multiple (5/18, 28%) or single (13/18, 72%) tissues. The average Ct value was 36.30 (range 32.07–39.47). Among 29 roe deer for which all 5 tissues were tested, no single tissue was more frequently positive than others for *C. burnetii* (*χ²* = 1.07, df = 4, p = 0.9) or had lower Ct values (single factor analysis of variance, p = 0.58). These findings indicate that testing multiple tissues per individual enhances case detection.

No specific sex, age, or health effects were observed. Of 48 male deer, 10 (21%) had positive results, compared with 8 (27%) of 30 female deer (1 missing value; *χ²* = 0.35, df = 1, p = 0.55). Of 50 deer ≥1 year of age, 15 (30%) had positive results, compared with 2 (15%) of 13 deer <1 year of age (16 missing values; 2-tailed Fisher exact test, p = 0.49). Postmortem findings varied for *C. burnetii*–positive deer.

*C. burnetii* cases occurred in most provinces studied (6/9, 66%) and in all 3 study years. Significantly more *C. burnetii*–positive deer were observed in 2010 (13/30, 43%) than in 2008 (2/18, 11%) and 2009 (3/31, 10%) (*χ²* = 11.62, df = 2, p < 0.01). This finding might represent sample bias or indicate spatial or temporal clustering in 2010.

The *C. burnetii* genetic material found in roe deer may indicate past or ongoing infection (6). Although positive cases occurred in all seasons, those more likely to represent ongoing infection (multiple infected tissues and Ct values <36; n = 4) occurred in March, April, and June. Clinical Q fever in roe deer might occur more frequently in late gestation and around parturition, as in domestic ruminants (7,8). Furthermore, Q fever in wildlife might have its own sylvatic cycle (4,9). However, analogous to human cases in 2007–2010 (1), the pattern could also include spillover events from domestic livestock.

Tissues of 2 springtime case-animals had Ct values <34. MLVA typing of these strains yielded partial genotypes (Figure). Comparison with those of strains from domestic dairy animals or humans during 2007–2010 showed that these 2 strains from roe deer differed from the main goat- and sheep-derived strain involved in the Q fever epidemic (genotype CbNL01 [2]) and from other strains found (inconclusive for CbN108; Figure).

Our study confirmed that *C. burnetii* infection occurs in free-living roe deer in the Netherlands. *C. burnetii* DNA was detected in roe deer of both sexes and age groups with no particular health effect, and it was detected in animals in different provinces and in all years studied; the highest *C. burnetii* DNA loads occurred in spring and early summer. Detection of genetic material by PCR does not always imply viable infective bacteria (6). However, because the infectious dose of *C. burnetii* is

---

**MLVA typing**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strain or type</th>
<th>M5.03</th>
<th>M5.21</th>
<th>M5.22</th>
<th>M5.24</th>
<th>M5.25</th>
<th>M5.26</th>
<th>M5.27</th>
<th>M5.28</th>
<th>M5.31</th>
<th>M5.32</th>
<th>M5.33</th>
<th>M5.34</th>
<th>M5.35</th>
<th>M5.36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CbNL06</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CbNL02</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CbNL01</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human 2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CbNL03</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CbNL04</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CbNL05</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CbNL09</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CbNL10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CbNL07</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CbNL11</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CbNL08</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roe deer 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CbNL13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CbNL12</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roe deer 2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure.** Phylogenetic tree with genotypes of *Coxiella burnetii* from goat, human, and roe deer samples from the Netherlands. Genotypes were determined on the basis of 11 multilocus variable-number tandem-repeat analyses (MLVA). The number of repeats per locus is shown; open spots indicate missing values. Roe deer 1 was an adult female found dead on March 30, 2010, in Friesland Province. Roe deer 2 was a young female deer involved in a traffic accident on April 6, 2010, in Utrecht Province. The goat and human samples have been described (2). Scale bar indicates genetic relatedness. Human 1, QKP 1; Human 2, QKP 2; NM, Nine Mile reference strain; MS, MiniSatellite.
low (10), our findings support the use of preventive hygiene measures (4) to minimize zoonotic risk when handling roe deer. The 2 MLVA-typed strains provided no evidence for spillover of the predominant strain involved in the Q fever epidemic in the Netherlands. More studies are required to adequately understand Q fever cycles in wildlife and their relationship with Q fever in domestic animals and humans.
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Ranavirus in Invasive Bullfrogs, Belgium

To the Editor: Massive global declines in amphibians have been attributed to various causes, including infectious diseases such as chytridiomycosis and ranavirus. Chytridiomycosis and ranaviral disease are international notifiable diseases because they have been listed by the World Organisation for Animal Health in its Animal Health Code.

Ranavirus is caused by icosahedral cytoplasmic DNA viruses that belong to the family Iridoviridae, in particular by 4 species of Ranavirus: Frog Virus 3 (FV3), Bohle iridovirus, Ambystoma tigrinum virus, and a possible species Rana catesbeiana virus Z. In Europe, FV3 has been identified in several outbreaks of ranavirus, characterized by mass deaths, notably in green frogs (Pelophylax sp.) in Denmark, Croatia, and the Netherlands (1,2); Rana temporaria and Bufo bufo in the United Kingdom (3,4); and Alytes obstetricans and Ichthyosaura alpestris in Spain (5). The invasive exotic bullfrog (Lithobates catesbeianus) has been introduced in several European countries and has established large breeding populations in France, Italy, Germany, Greece, and Belgium (6).

In addition to their direct effect on native amphibians through competition and predation, bullfrogs are thought to be carriers of chytridiomycosis (7,8) and, possibly, ranaviruses. Although mass deaths of L. catesbeianus tadpoles has been reported in aquaculture facilities, L. catesbeianus tadpoles are generally considered a subclinical reservoir of ranaviruses in the United States (9).

To assess the role of bullfrogs as carriers of ranaviruses in Europe, we collected 400 clinically healthy tadpoles of L. catesbeianus from 3 invasive bullfrog populations at Hoogstraten, Belgium (51°47′N,