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NDM-1–producing Klebsiella pneumoniae, Croatia

To the Editor: The novel metallo-β-lactamase named New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase (NDM-1) was identified from Klebsiella pneumoniae and Escherichia coli isolates in Sweden from a patient previously hospitalized in India (/). NDM-1 is spreading rapidly worldwide to nonclonally related isolates, many of which are directly or indirectly tracked to the Indian subcontinent (2). A carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae strain, KLZA, was isolated in May 2009 from the culture of a blood sample from a 40-year-old man on the day after his admission to a surgical intensive care unit of the Clinical Hospital Center in Zagreb, Croatia. The patient had been transferred after 5 days of hospitalization in Bosnia and Herzegovina following a car accident. The clinical history mentioned antimicrobial drug treatment that did not include carbapenems (gentamicin, metronidazole, and ceftazidime) and no link to the Indian subcontinent. Antimicrobial drug susceptibility testing was performed by Vitek2 (bioMérieux, Marcy-l’Etoile, France) and broth microdilution and interpreted according to the latest documents from the European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/, version 1.1).

The strain proved resistant to imipenem and meropenem, to all broad-spectrum cephalosporins, and to aminoglycosides and susceptible to ciprofloxacin and tigecycline (Table). We checked for bla<sub>TEM</sub>, bla<sub>IMP</sub>, bla<sub>SMP</sub>, bla<sub>NDM</sub>, bla<sub>SIM</sub>, and bla<sub>NDM</sub> resistance genes by using PCR. A PCR product was obtained only with the NDM primers, after being purified (QIAquick PCR Purification Kit, QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), its sequence showed 100% identity with bla<sub>NDM</sub>.

Strain genotyping was performed by multilocus sequence typing to determine the sequence type (ST) of the isolate and to establish a comparison with previously reported NDM-1–producing isolates. Allelic numbers were obtained on the basis of sequences of 7 housekeeping genes at www.pasteur.fr/recherche/genopole/PP8/mlst/Kpneumoniae.
The KLZA strain and its transconjugant harbored other determinant of resistance, namely \( \text{bla}_{\text{CTX-M-15}}, \text{bla}_{\text{CMY-16}}, \text{and qnrA6} \). Plasmid incompatibility groups, determined by a PCR-based replicon typing method, belonged to the incA/C replicon type.

This report of an NDM-1–producing \( \text{K. pneumoniae} \) in Croatia adds to those of other cases in patients from patients hospitalized in the Balkan area. The patient in this report had no apparent link to the Indian subcontinent.

In a survey conducted by the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC) to gather information about the spread of NDM-1–producing \( \text{Enterobacteriaceae} \) in Europe and reporting cases from 13 countries during 2008–2010, five of the 55 persons with known travel histories had traveled to the Balkan region during the month before diagnosis of their infection: 2 to Kosovo and 1 each to Serbia, Montenegro, and Bosnia and Herzegovina. All had received hospital care in Balkan countries because of an illness or accident that occurred during the journey (7). Two of the latter cases (4,8) and a case from Germany (9) were subsequently published. No patient had any apparent link to the Indian subcontinent.

Although the way NDM-1 isolates might have been imported to western Europe not only from the Indian subcontinent but also from Balkan countries (10) has been highlighted, awareness of western Europe as a possible area of endemicity remains limited.

The accumulating evidence of NDM-1 from the Balkan area could suggest a possible multifocal spread of this enzyme, with the Balkans as a possible second area of endemicity, in addition to the Indian subcontinent, and prompts for widespread epidemiologic surveillance.
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Adherence to Oseltamivir Guidelines during Influenza Pandemic, the Netherlands

To the Editor: In the Netherlands, the outbreak of pandemic influenza A (H1N1) 2009 led to a 100-fold increase from 2008 in prescriptions for the antiviral neuraminidase inhibitor oseltamivir (1). The guidelines for prescribing oseltamivir during the 2009 pandemic were adapted throughout the year. After August 7, prescribers were advised to restrict prescriptions to patients with influenza symptoms plus 1 additional risk factor (2) (Table).

Community pharmacists dispensed oseltamivir as a 5-day course of sachets produced exclusively for the Dutch government program and documented all prescriptions. Our objective was to assess whether oseltamivir dispensed through community pharmacies was prescribed according to the national guideline for the pandemic virus and to investigate how patients used oseltamivir. The Institutional Review Board of the Division of Pharmacoepidemiology and Clinical Pharmacology of Utrecht University approved the study.

Pharmacists in 19 pharmacies belonging to the Utrecht Pharmacy Practice Network for Education and Research (UPPER) selected all patients who had filled a prescription for oseltamivir during May 1, 2009–February 8, 2010. These patients were contacted by phone and, after giving consent, completed a structured questionnaire. The questionnaire contained questions about potential risk factors, the reason for receiving the oseltamivir prescription (influenza symptoms or other reasons), and whether the oseltamivir course was started and completed.

Of the 630 patients eligible for contact, 361 (57.3%) completed the questionnaire. To assess whether the current guidelines were adhered to, because of the changes in policy throughout the year, we analyzed only the 300 respondents who had filled the oseltamivir prescription at the height of the pandemic, i.e., after August 7, 2009.

A total of 156 (52.0%) participants were female patients; most participants were 18–59 years of age. Of the 212 patients >18 years of age, education level was available for 195; of these, 55 (28.2%) had a low education level, 94 (48.2%) a middle education level, and 46 (23.6%) a high education level.

Of the 300 respondents, 111 (37.0%) received a prescription while they did not meet guideline criteria (Table). They had risk factors but did not experience influenza symptoms (67 [22.3%] of all respondents); had influenza symptoms but not risk factors (34 [11.3%]); or had neither influenza symptoms nor any risk factors (10 [3.3%]).

Compared with respondents who had a low education level, respondents >18 years of age who had a middle or high education level were 2.2× more likely to receive an oseltamivir prescription that was not in accordance with guideline criteria (odds ratio 2.20; 95% CI 1.12–4.32). Sex and age were not associated with the likelihood of receiving off-guideline oseltamivir.

Of the 189 respondents who received oseltamivir in accordance with guideline criteria, 184 (97.4%) started treatment and 167 (90.8%) completed the oseltamivir course. Of the 111 respondents who received a prescription for oseltamivir that was not in accordance with guideline criteria, 62 (55.9%) started treatment, and 56 (90.3%) completed the course.

We showed that during the pandemic the guideline criteria were not met by nearly one third of patients who received an oseltamivir prescription. Patients with a higher education level more often received a prescription, suggesting that they are more informed or empowered than patients with a lower education level to request a prescription. Another explanation for the inadequate adherence to guideline criteria is that prescribers themselves were not immediately aware of the current criteria, possibly because of changes throughout the year.

In addition, in nearly half of instances in which guideline criteria were not met but in which oseltamivir was prescribed, the patients did not start the oseltamivir course. These prescriptions could have been used for stockpiling, which