LETTERS

- Dominguez M, Calavas D, Jaÿ M, Languille J, Fediaevsky A, Zientara S, et al. Preliminary estimate of Schmallenberg virus infection impact in sheep flocks—France. Vet Rec. 2012;171:426. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/vr.100883
- Bilk S, Schulze C, Fischer M, Beer M, Hlinak A, Hoffmann B. Organ distribution of Schmallenberg virus RNA in malformed newborns. Vet Microbiol. 2012;159:236–8. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2012.03.035
- Bhudevi B, Weinstock D. Detection of bovine viral diarrhea virus in formalin fixed paraffin embedded tissue sections by real time RT-PCR (Taqman). J Virol Methods. 2003;109:25–30. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/S0166-0934(03)00040-5
- Garigliany MM, Hoffmann B, Dive M, Sartelet A, Bayrou C, Cassart D, et al. Schmallenberg virus in calf born at term with porencephaly, Belgium. Emerg Infect Dis. 2012;18:1005–6.
- van den Brom R, Luttikholt SJ, Lievaart-Peterson K, Peperkamp NH, Mars MH, van der Poel WH, et al. Epizootic of ovine congenital malformations associated with Schmallenberg virus infection. Tijdschr Diergeneeskd. 2012;137:106–11.
- Godsey MS Jr, Amoo F, Yuill TM, Defoliart GR. California serogroup virus infections in Wisconsin domestic animals. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 1988;39:409–16.
- Blitvich BJ, Saiyasombat R, Da Rosa AT, Tesh RB, Calisher CH, Garcia-Rejon JE, et al. Orthobunyaviruses, a common cause of infection of livestock in the Yucatan Peninsula of Mexico. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2012;87:1132–9. http://dx.doi. org/10.4269/ajtmh.2012.12-0188
- Black SS, Harrison LR, Pursell AR, Cole JR Jr, Appel MJ, Shope RE, et al. Necrotizing panencephalitis in puppies infected with La Crosse virus. J Vet Diagn Invest. 1994;6:250–4. http://dx.doi. org/10.1177/104063879400600218
- Tatum LM, Pacy JM, Frazier KS, Weege JF, Baldwin CA, Hullinger GA, et al. Canine LaCrosse viral meningoencephalomyelitis with possible public health implications. J Vet Diagn Invest. 1999;11:184–8. http://dx.doi. org/10.1177/104063879901100216

Address for correspondence: Corinne Sailleau, Agence nationale de sécurité sanitaire de l'alimentation, de l'environnement et du travail, Laboratoire de santé animale, 23 av Gal de Gaulle, 94706 Maisons-Alfort, France; email: corinne.sailleau@anses.fr

Geographic Co-distribution of Influenza Virus Subtypes H7N9 and H5N1 in Humans, China

To the Editor: Human infection with a novel low pathogenicity influenza A(H7N9) virus in eastern China has recently raised global public health concerns (1). The geographic sources of infection have yet to be fully clarified, and confirmed human cases from 1 province have not been linked to those from other provinces. While some studies have identified epidemiologic characteristics of subtype H7N9 cases and clinical differences between these cases and cases of highly pathogenic influenza A(H5N1), another avian influenza affecting parts of China (2-4), the spatial epidemiology of human infection with influenza subtypes H7N9 and H5N1 in China has yet to be elucidated. To test the hypothesis of co-distribution of high-risk clusters of both types of infection, we used all available data on human cases in mainland China and investigated the geospatial epidemiologic features.

Data on individual confirmed human cases of influenza (H7N9) from February 19, 2013, through May 17, 2013, and of influenza (H5N1) from October 14, 2005, through May 17, 2013, were collected from the Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention. The definitions of these cases have been described (3,5). A total of 129 confirmed cases of influenza (H7N9) (male:female ratio 2.39:1) and 40 confirmed cases of influenza (H5N1) (male:female ratio 0.90:1) were included in the analysis. The median age of persons with influenza (H7N9) was higher than for persons with influenza (H5N1) (58 years vs. 27 years; z = -7.73; p<0.01). Most (75.0%) persons with influenza (H5N1) had direct contact (e.g., occupational contact) with poultry (including dead and live birds) or their excrement and urine, whereas most (64.3%) persons with influenza (H7N9) had only indirect exposure to live poultry, mainly during visits to live poultry markets.

Reported cases of influenza (H5N1) were distributed over 40 townships in 16 provinces, whereas cases of influenza (H7N9) were relatively more concentrated, in 108 townships but only 10 provinces (Figure). To identify a spatial overlap between the primary cluster of influenza (H7N9) cases, detected in April 2013 (relative risk [RR] 78.40; p<0.01), and the earliest space-time cluster of influenza (H5N1) cases, detected during November 2005-February 2006 (RR 65.27; p<0.01), we used spatiotemporal scan statistics with a maximum spatial cluster size of 5% of the population at risk in the spatial window and a maximum temporal cluster size of 25% of the study period in the temporal window (6) (Figure). The results suggest that the overlap is not perfect and is concentrated around an area southeast of Taihu Lake (south of Jiangsu Province), bordering the provinces of Anhui and Zhejiang. Smaller clusters of influenza (H7N9) cases were identified in the boundary of Jiangsu and Anhui Province (8 cases; RR 64.86; p<0.01) and Jiangxi Province (Nanchang County and Qingshanhu District) (4 cases; RR 105.67; p<0.01). A small cluster of influenza (H5N1) cases was detected during 2012-2013 along the boundaries of Guanshanhu, Yunyan, and Nanming Counties in Guizhou Province (3 cases; RR 496.60; p<0.01).

In addition, family clustering, defined as ≥ 2 family members with laboratory-confirmed cases, was found for influenza (H7N9) cases during March–April 2013 in Shanghai and Jiangsu Provinces and for influenza (H5N1) cases during December 2007 in Jiangsu Province.

All material published in Emerging Infectious Diseases is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without special permission; proper citation, however, is required.

LETTERS



Figure. Geographic and temporal distribution of human cases of infection with avian influenza subtypes H7N9 (circles) and H5N1 (triangles), China. A) Distribution and space-time clusters of human influenza (H7N9) and influenza (H5N1) cases, calculated by using Kulldorff's scan statistics in SaTScan version 9.1.1 (6). B) Spatial overlap between influenza (H7N9) and influenza (H5N1) case clusters in an area bordering the provinces of Anhui and Zhejiang. C) Primary cluster of influenza (H5N1) cases in Guizhou Province (relative risk [RR] 496.60). D) Secondary cluster of influenza (H5N1) cases in Anhui and Zhejiang Provinces (RR 65.27). E) Primary (RR 78.40) and secondary clusters of influenza (H7N9) cases on the boundary of Jiangsu and Anhui Provinces (RR 64.86) and in Jiangxi Province (RR 105.67).

Family clustering may indicate person-to-person viral transmission or may reflect common exposure to infected poultry or their excrement in the household or in a contaminated environment (7). No evidence supports person-to-person viral transmission as the means of transmission in family clusters.

In conclusion, we found compelling evidence that the high-risk areas for human infection with subtype H7N9 and H5N1 viruses are co-distributed in an area bordering the provinces of Anhui and Zhejiang, which suggests that this area might be a common ground for the transmission of emerging avian influenza viruses in China. We also found that visits to live poultry markets or exposure to contaminated environments are a pathway to infection with influenza

(H7N9) virus, whereas infection with influenza (H5N1) is more tied to occupational hazards. These differences may reflect the differences in the pathogenicity of the viruses in poultry, which influences disease progression and identification of clinical signs further down the poultry market chain. Further empirical investigation into our findings could identify risk factors that might be involved in disease transmission to humans in high-risk areas and could help public health authorities develop targeted control and surveillance strategies to prevent disease transmission.

This work was partly supported by grants from National Natural Science Foundation of China (81102169) and National Basic Research Program of China (2012CB955500-955504).

Liya Wang,¹ Wenyi Zhang,¹ Ricardo J. Soares Magalhaes,¹ Archie C.A. Clements,¹ Wenbiao Hu,¹ Fan Ding,¹ Hailong Sun, Shenlong Li, Qiyong Liu, Zeliang Chen, Yansong Sun, Liuyu Huang, and Cheng-Yi Li

Author affiliations: Institute of Disease Control and Prevention of People's Liberation Army, Beijing, China (L. Wang, W. Zhang, H. Sun, S. Li, Z. Chen, Y. Sun, L. Huang, C.-Y. Li); University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia (R.J. Soares Magalhaes, A.C.A. Clements, W. Hu); and Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention, Beijing (F. Ding, Q. Liu)

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1911.130815

¹These authors contributed equally to this article.

LETTERS

References

- Gao R, Cao B, Hu Y, Feng Z, Wang D, Hu W, et al. Human infection with a novel avian-origin influenza A (H7N9) virus. N Engl J Med. 2013;368:1888–97. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1304459
- Lam WY, Yeung AC, Ngai KL, Li MS, To KF, Tsui SK, et al. Effect of avian influenza A H5N1 infection on the expression of microRNA-141 in human respiratory epithelial cells. BMC Microbiol. 2013;13:104. http://dx.doi. org/10.1186/1471-2180-13-104
- Zhang W, Wang L, Hu W, Ding F, Sun H, Li S, et al. Epidemiological characteristics of cases for influenza A (H7N9) virus infections in China. Clin Infect Dis. 2013;57:619–20. http://dx.doi. org/10.1093/cid/cit277
- Cowling BJ, Jin L, Lau EH, Liao Q, Wu P, Jiang H, et al. Comparative epidemiology of human infections with avian influenza A H7N9 and H5N1 viruses in China: a population-based study of laboratoryconfirmed cases. Lancet. 2013;382:129– 37. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736 (13)61171-X
- World Health Organization. WHO case definitions for human infections with influenza A(H5N1) virus. 2006 [cited 2013 May 10]. http://www.who.int/ influenza/resources/documents/
- Kulldorff M. SaTScan user guide for version 9.0. 2010 [cited 2013 May 10]. http://www.satscan.org
- Olsen SJ, Ungchusak K, Sovann L, Uyeki TM, Dowell SF, Cox NJ, et al. Family clustering of avian influenza A (H5N1). Emerg Infect Dis. 2005;11:1799–801. http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1111.050646

Address for correspondence: Cheng-Yi Li, Institute of Disease Control and Prevention, 20 Dong-Da St, Fengtai District, Beijing 100071, People's Republic of China; email: licy 60@163.com



New Variant of Rabbit Hemorrhagic Disease Virus, Portugal, 2012–2013

To the Editor: During November 2012–February 2013, rabbit hemorrhagic disease virus (RHDV) strains belonging to the new variant RHDV were isolated in Portugal from wild European rabbits (*Oryctolagus cuniculus* subsp. *algirus*). The major capsid protein, VP60, of these strains was partially characterized. RHDV had been previously detected in Portugal in 1989 (*I*). Before 2011, RHDV outbreaks in wild European rabbit (*O. cuniculus*) populations in the Iberian Peninsula were exclusively caused by strains belonging to genogroup 1 (2,3).

In the Iberian Peninsula, 2 subspecies of European rabbit are found, *O. cuniculus* subsp. *algirus* and *O. cuniculus* subsp. *cuniculus*. These subspecies are equally susceptible to RHDV (3). In 2011, a new variant was isolated in young rabbits belonging to *O. cuniculus* subsp. *cuniculus* from a rabbitry in the province of Navarra, Spain (4). The topology of the phylogenetic tree that included this variant and the susceptibility of kits <2 months old suggest that this strain is similar to that described in France in 2010 (5).

Before the new variant of RHDV emerged and, on the basis of phylogenetic relationships, RHDV strains had been divided into 6 genogroups (G1-G6) (1), with strains of G6, or RHD-Va, having a distinct antigenic profile (6). All of these strains replicate in the liver and are responsible for causing death in rabbits >2 months of age. Nonpathogenic and weakly pathogenic RHDV-related strains have also been described. The nonpathogenic and weakly pathogenic strains are phylogenetically distinct from the G1–G6 strains with ≈20% of nucleotide divergence (7); they typically replicate in the intestines (8,9). New variant RHDV causes death in kits as young as 30 days old and affects vaccinated and unvaccinated animals (4). Phylogenetically, this new variant falls between the nonpathogenic groups (4,5).

During November 2012-February 2013, our laboratory, CIBIO, Universidade do Porto, Portugal, received liver samples from wild adult rabbits and kits, belonging to O. cuniculus subsp. algirus, from 3 areas of Portugal, Valpaços, Barrancos, and Algarve. The rabbits had appeared dead and had clinical signs suggesting rabbit hemorrhagic disease (RHD). We analyzed the samples for RHDV by reverse transcription PCR. For this process, total RNA was extracted by using the RNeasy Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany), according to the manufacturer's instructions. Reverse transcription was performed by using oligo(dT) as primer (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and SuperScript III reverse transcription (Invitrogen) as recommended by the manufacturer. Screening of the samples consisted of PCR with a pair of primers as described by Dalton et al. (4). This pair amplifies a 738-bp fragment of the gene encoding the capsid protein, VP60 (PCR conditions are available on request). After purification, PCR products were sequenced on an automatic sequencer ABI PRISM 310 Genetic Analyzer (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with the same pair of primers.

The virus was detected in 15 samples, 5 from each locality. The obtained sequences were aligned with those available from public databases. Retrieved sequences represent the RHDV groups G1-G6, the nonpathogenic groups, and the new variant (GenBank accession nos. KF442960-KF442964). A phylogenetic tree was inferred in MEGA5 (10) by using a maximumlikelihood (ML) approach. Reliability of the nodes was assessed with a bootstrap resampling procedure consisting of 500 replicates of the ML trees. The best-fit nucleotide substitution model was determined by using MEGA5.