Treponemal Infection in Nonhuman Primates as Possible Reservoir for Human Yaws

To the Editor: In 2012, the World Health Organization launched plans for a second campaign to eradicate the neglected tropical disease, yaws (1). The first campaign, conducted during the mid-20th century, was tremendously successful in terms of treatment and reduced the number of cases by 95%. However, it failed to eradicate the disease, and when local efforts to prevent new cases proved insufficient, yaws resurfaced in some areas. Comments on the new yaws eradication campaign have emphasized the need for sustained support and resources. Here we draw attention to an additional concern that could impede yaws eradication efforts.

The success of any eradication campaign depends on the absence of a nonhuman reservoir. Smallpox had no known animal reservoir, and polio and dracunculiasis (guinea worm disease), which are currently the focus of the World Health Organization eradication campaigns, also have none. By contrast, compelling evidence suggests that yaws exists in wild nonhuman primates. During the 1960s, researchers reported that many baboons in West Africa were seropositive for treponemal infection (4). Since then, high levels of infection have been documented in other monkey species in West Africa and in great apes (5). Recently, we documented *T. pallidum* infection in olive baboons (*Papio anubis*) at Lake Manyara National Park in Tanzania (6). In West Africa, clinical signs of infection in nonhuman primates are usually mild, if present at all, consisting of small lesions around the muzzle, eyelids, and armpits (4). A recent survey in 2013 at Parc National du Niokolo-Koba, Senegal, revealed *T. pallidum* antibodies in Guinea baboons (*P. papio*) with no signs of infection (S. Knauf et al, unpublished data). By contrast, severe manifestations resembling tertiary-stage yaws have been reported in wild gorillas (5). In terms of genetic distance, studies thus far indicate that the organisms infecting baboons in West and East Africa closely resemble *T. pallidum* subsp. *pertenue*, the agent responsible for yaws in humans (2,7). In fact, the genome sequence of a *T. pallidum* strain collected from a baboon in Guinea indicates that it should be considered a *T. pallidum* subsp. *pertenue* strain (8). Infection has been confirmed by serologic tests in a variety of nonhuman primate species in the yaws belt of Africa and by PCR in baboons from East and West Africa (Figure).
The high prevalence of nonhuman primate infection in areas of tropical Africa where yaws is common in humans (Figure) suggests that cross-species infection may occur. Decades ago, researchers reported that the Fribourg-Blanc simian strain, collected in Guinea, can cause sustained infection in humans after inoculation (9). Such experiments are ethically questionable and the details given are scant, but this work suggests that simian strains have zoonotic potential. Additional research is needed to determine whether interspecies transmission of T. pallidum occurs under natural conditions. Bush meat preparation is common in many African countries and a major source of zoonotic infection. It involves frequent skin-to-skin contact, which is the preferred mode of transmission for yaws. Insects also have been proposed to be vectors of infection, although this has not been documented (10). If evidence of interspecies yaws transmission, either direct or by vector, is discovered, then nonhuman primates may be a major reservoir of infection for humans.

Additional studies comparing human and simian strains may show whether zoonotic transmission of T. pallidum occurs frequently, an important consideration with regard to disease eradication and the conservation of great apes and other endangered nonhuman primates. To eradicate yaws, all host species and any possible reservoirs need to be taken into account. We, like the rest of the world, want the second yaws eradication campaign to succeed and hope that nonhuman primate infection will be evaluated as a factor in disease transmission.
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Porcine Hokovirus in Domestic Pigs, Cameroon

To the Editor: Since 2005, new parvoviruses forming a novel genus of the proposed name Partetravirus, within the subfamily Parvovirinae, have been described (1). Human parvovirus 4 (PARV4) with 3 different genotypes globally infects humans (2). A related porcine virus, hokovirus (HoV or porcine partetravirus), was found in wild boar and domestic pig populations in Germany, Romania, China, and the United States, with prevalences of 12%–47%, forming 1 common genotype (3–6). Prevalence figures from sub-Saharan Africa are not available. Furthermore, no information about possibly region-associated genotypes is available for porcine HoV, although it is for human PARV4 from the same genus. We therefore used samples (collected during February–March 2012) from a study investigating hepatitis E virus (HEV) in pigs from Cameroon (7) to analyze the occurrence of porcine HoV in pigs in Africa and to determine the respective genotype.

Viral DNA was extracted from liver samples by using the RTP DNA/RNA Virus Mini Kit II (STRATEC-Molecular, Berlin, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA samples were pooled, with each pool containing 3 different samples. A total of 94 pooled samples from 282 animals originating from 3 districts in Cameroon (Doula, Yaoundé, and Bamenda) were investigated by using quantitative real-time PCR (3,7). Samples from pools that tested positive were analyzed individually.

We detected HoV in 65 (69%) of the 94 pooled samples: 2 (15%) of 13 from Bamenda, 39 (70%) of 56 from Douala, and 24 (96%) of 25 from Yaoundé. We used an online tool to estimate the individual prevalence from pooled samples for fixed pool size and perfect test with exact 5% upper and lower CIs (http://epitools.ausvet.com.au/content.php?page = PooledPrevalence). A pool size of 3 with a total of 94 pooled samples and 65 positive samples resulted in an estimated general prevalence of 32.4% (95% CI 27%–39%). For Bamenda, the estimated prevalence was 5.4% (95% CI 1%–16%); for Douala, 32.8% (95% CI 25%–41%); and for Yaoundé, 65.8% (95% CI 44%–87%).

From 94 positive pools, a total of 184 samples were available for individual testing: 6 from Bamenda, 110 from Douala, and 68 from Yaoundé; 12 were missing. Using the results from the negative tested pools and the individual testing, we found an estimated general prevalence of 47% (128/270). The regional prevalence was 10% (4/39) for Bamenda, 41% (65/160) for Douala, and 83% (59/71) for Yaoundé.

These prevalences are higher than the estimates, but lie within the regional estimates within the range of the CI determined with the online tool. The discrepancy in the total prevalence might be due to the missing samples for the individual testing. Our results show that pooled sample testing can yield a good approximation of the actual prevalence, at least for settings in Africa. The varying prevalence and inhomogeneous regional distribution of porcine HoV correspond to previous findings from Europe, China, and the United States in wild boar and domestic pigs (3,5,6). Overall, no general defined pig-breeding program is in place in Cameroon. Douala and Yaoundé are the main markets for pig trade. Yaoundé, the main town for pig purchase and slaughter, gets live pigs from northwestern (Bamenda), western, and northern Cameroon, and Douala receives pigs from northwestern (Bamenda), western, and southwestern Cameroon. To fully understand the observed regional prevalences, the presence of HoV needs to be investigated in detail in the southwest, west, and north, where intensive farming systems are in place and pig farming is of economic importance.

Near full-length genome data were generated from 3 positive samples, and partial sequence information was retrieved for 8 additional samples (Figure) as described (3). The phylogenetic analysis showed a very close relation, with 98%–99% homology between the porcine HoV isolates from Cameroon, Europe, the