Plasmodium falciparum with Multidrug Resistance 1 Gene Duplications, Senegal

To the Editor: Amplification and overexpression of the Plasmodium falciparum multidrug resistance 1 gene (Pfmdr1) have been associated with mefloquine resistance in P. falciparum malaria in Asia (1). Amplification of Pfmdr1 in Africa has occurred rarely. Only 12 isolates with ≥2 copies of Pfmdr1 were identified in Africa during 1993–2012: 3 in Côte d’Ivoire (2), 1 in Burkina Faso (3), 1 in Togo (3), 3 in eastern Sudan (4), 2 in Kenya (5,6), and 1 Senegal (7). Another isolate was obtained in a patient from Benin who did not respond clinically to mefloquine treatment (8). Pfmdr1 amplification has not been found in samples collected either before or after treatment for recurring P. falciparum infection in Africa in many studies.

In Dakar, Senegal, and its surrounding suburbs, malaria is transmitted with spatial heterogeneity to the human mosquito bite rate, which ranged from 0.1 to 250 bites per person per night during the rainy seasons of 2007–2010. P. falciparum isolates from patients with malaria who lived in Dakar (>80%) and the surrounding area and did not travel during the previous month were obtained during the rainy seasons of October 2009–January 2010 (172 patients, 42% female) and August 2010–January 2011 (129 patients, 38% female). Informed verbal consent from the patients and/or their parents/guardians was obtained before blood collection; the study was approved by the ethical committee of the Hôpital Principal de Dakar.

Of the 301 patients, 54% were recruited from the emergency department during each of the 2 seasons; other patients were recruited from the intensive care unit (18% during October 2009–January 2010 and 20% during August 2010–January 2011), pediatric department (9% and 5%), and other units (19% and 21%). No significant differences were found between the 2 seasons for parasitemia (p = 0.160), sex ratio (p = 0.446), living area (p = 0.651), or hospital admission status (p = 0.567). Information on antimalarial treatment before admission was not available.

We analyzed the Pfmdr1 copy number for the 301 isolates by using TaqMan real-time PCR as described (7); 167 isolates were successfully evaluated by using the 72-h histidine-rich protein 2 test as described (9). P. falciparum clones 3D7 (1 Pfmdr copy) and W2 (3 Pfmdr1 copies) were used as controls for the determination of the Pfmdr1 copy number and for the validation of the batches of plates used in the susceptibility tests.

A total of 9 isolates with 2 Pfmdr1 copies were identified, 1 collected during the 2009–2010 season and 8 collected during the 2010–2011 season. This finding reflects a statistically significant 10-fold increase in frequency of isolates from 1 season to the next (p = 0.0057 by Fisher exact test). All of the isolates with duplicated copies had 1 allelic family for each of the 3 genes (msp1, msp2, and glurp), confirming that these infections were single and not mixed.

We did not find an association between Pfmdr1 copy number and the 50% inhibitory concentration values for mefloquine (p = 0.345), monodesethylamodiaquine (p = 0.729), lumefantrine (p = 0.314) and chloroquine (p = 0.579), but a significant association was found for dihydroartemisinin (p = 0.003). Odds ratio for in vitro reduced susceptibility to dihydroartemisinin associated with 2 Pfmdr1 copies was 1.4. Although the number of isolates with 2 Pfmdr1 copies we obtained is small, these data are consistent with previous reports on Pfmdr1 copies and in vitro responses to artemisinin derivatives (10).

The role of the amplification of Pfmdr1 in P. falciparum resistance to antimalarial drugs in Africa is debated. In our study, we identified as many samples with multiple Pfmdr1 copies (9) as had been identified during the previous 19 years. The 9 patients from whom these isolates were collected were successfully treated with quinine. One study found clinical treatment failure for mefloquine in Africa was associated with in vitro resistance and amplification of Pfmdr1 (8). In addition, amodiaquine resistance is not related to the amplification of Pfmdr1 (6). In Sudan, 3 isolates with 2 Pfmdr1 copies were identified in patients before and after treatment with artemether-lumefantrine during the 28-day follow-up period, but these patients were classified as having adequate clinical and parasitologic responses (4).

The increased prevalence of Pfmdr1 duplication in P. falciparum isolates from patients in Dakar within a 2-year period is cause for concern and vigilance. The presence of these duplicated Pfmdr1 copies could be associated with rare clinical failures of P. falciparum infections to respond to mefloquine treatment or artemisinin-based combination therapy. However, our findings highlight the need for active surveillance of the prevalence of Pfmdr1 duplication in P. falciparum isolates and for ex vivo and in vivo studies in Senegal and in other parts of Africa.
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Atypical Erythema Migrans in Patients with PCR-Positive Lyme Disease

To the Editor: The best diagnostic sign in patients with early Lyme disease is a skin lesion, erythema migrans (EM). However, this sign may not occur or be recognized in 30% of cases (1). Furthermore, the EM rash may not display a classic bull’s-eye (ring-within-a-ring) appearance, a fact that may be underestimated (2,3). Some studies noted uncharacteristic variants of EM in 25%–30% of cases (4–7). One study reported the rash to be uniformly red in 60% of cases (6). Other atypical variants of EM are a blue-red appearance and, occasionally, a vesicular central region (4,5). We describe the occurrence of atypical EM in patients with microbiologically proven *Borrelia burgdorferi* infection.

During spring and summer 2009, a total of 29 patients with classic or possible EM and suspected Lyme disease were referred by primary care physicians for an ongoing prospective study. Laboratory methods have been described (8). The patients were >18 years of age and lived in suburban Baltimore, Maryland, USA, where Lyme disease is endemic. All patients had extracutaneous manifestations (e.g., virus-like symptoms). Fourteen patients met laboratory criteria for study analysis: 1) positive PCR at the initial study visit, detected by a *B. burgdorferi*–specific nucleic acid–enhancing PCR method on a 1.25-mL whole blood sample (8), and 2) evidence of *B. burgdorferi* exposure by the 2-tiered antibody test at the initial or posttreatment visit. Other entry criteria were a rash >5 cm and symptoms compatible with early Lyme disease (1); exclusion criteria were certain preexisting medical conditions (8).

A panel of experienced specialists, including dermatologists, were shown photographs of the patients’ skin lesions and asked if they would expect the average primary care physician to diagnose the lesions as EM. To avoid bias, PCR and serologic test results were withheld from the specialists and they were asked to categorize lesions by characteristics common to target-like and non–target-like lesions. Lesions with the classic bull’s-eye appearance, with central clearing and peripheral erythema, were classified as classic EM; those with uniform red or red-blue or other appearance and lacking central clearing were classified as possible atypical EM. If any lesion of a multiple lesion set was classic in appearance, we categorized the rash as classic EM. Of the patients with positive PCR, 10 had nonclassic EM (Figure) and 4 had classic, target-like EM. Atypical rashes varied from those close to classic EM to those resembling...