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Martin Eiden, Ariel Vina-Rodriguez, Bezeid O. El Mamy, Katia Isselmou, Ute Ziegler, Dirk Höper, Susanne Jäckel, Anne Balkema-Buschmann, Hermann Unger, Baba Doumbia, and Martin H. Groschup

Author affiliations: Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany (M. Eiden, A. Vina-Rodriguez, U. Ziegler, D. Höper, S. Jäckel, A. Balkema-Buschmann, M.H. Groschup); Centre National de l’Elevage et de Recherches Vétérinaires, Nouakchott, Mauritania (B.O. El Mamy, K. Isselmou, B. Doumbia); Joint FAO/IAEA Division of Nuclear Techniques in Food and Agriculture, Vienna, Austria (H. Unger); and Ministère du Développement Rural, Nouakchott (B. Doumbia)

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2012.140787

References


Address for correspondence: Martin H. Groschup, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Südufer 2176, 10, 17493 Greifswald-Insel Riems, Germany; email: martin.groschup@flb.bund.de

Peste des Petits Ruminants Virus, Eastern Asia

To the Editor: Peste des petits ruminants virus (PPRV) is reported widely with global frequency. Recently, PPRV has been detected in areas where it is considered endemic and in neighboring areas where it previously has not been reported. The reporting of “first cases” in regions where PPRV has been considered endemic is of little surprise and perhaps represents increased interest both in agricultural practices and diagnostic capacity (1–3). Increased development of the small ruminant health sector, expanding small ruminant populations, increased trade movement, and rinderpest eradication might all have affected PPRV detection (4). The latter theory is of great interest because rinderpest eradication may have affected the epidemiology of PPRV through complete removal of cross-protective rinderpest infection of small ruminants and cessation of small ruminant vaccination with the rinderpest vaccine to prevent PPRV infection. Indeed, the potential effect of rinderpest eradication on PPRV epidemiology should not be underestimated because it might have profoundly affected PPRV emergence by enabling free transmission and spread of the virus, perhaps overcoming the genetic and geographic bottlenecks created by rinderpest circulation and/or the use of rinderpest vaccines. In addition, rinderpest eradication has highlighted the possibility that PPRV could be eradicated by using comparable systems and tools (5).

Historically, PPRV has been identified across much of the developing world; genetic analyses have grouped viruses into 4 lineages that were originally thought to be phylogeographically restricted (6). However, in recent years, lineages of PPRV have apparently emerged in new areas. This has been most convincingly demonstrated with the detection of lineage IV virus—a lineage thought restricted to the Indian subcontinent and the Middle East—across northern and central Africa (online Technical Appendix Figure, http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/20/12/14-0907-Techapp1.pdf) (7,8). However, reporting of PPRV in areas where it has not been previously detected is perhaps of greater interest. This is increasingly the case across southern and eastern Asia where virologic and serologic evidence of circulating PPRV has been reported (6). During 2014, PPRV caused extensive agricultural losses across
China. Although regions within China had previously reported relatively small outbreaks, during December 2013–June 2014, the virus appears to have greatly extended its distribution. In 2007, PPRV was detected for the first time in the Ngari region of southwestern Tibet (9). This emergence was thought to have arisen through the circulation of mild forms of PPRV infection and the unfamiliarity of agricultural workers and professionals (e.g., veterinarians, farmers, livestock owners) with the disease and the inability to differentiate between mild forms of PPRV infections and other diseases of small ruminants. PPRV returned in 2008 and 2010 and was controlled by using stamping-out procedures, animal movement control, and increased screening of herds. The disease was controlled without the use of vaccines in 2008; vaccination was used in 2010 (10).

Three years passed without reports of PPRV infections in Tibet or elsewhere in China before the virus was detected in Xinjiang, China’s largest administrative division, in December 2013. Xinjiang, an area of 1.6 million km², borders Afghanistan, India, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Mongolia, Pakistan, Russia, and Tajikistan, several of which have reported PPRV infection. Within 2 months, PPRV had caused 3 outbreaks with rates of illness (and death) of 17% (2%), 58% (11%), and 79% (19%), respectively. Measures to contain these outbreaks were implemented as in 2007; however, during April and May 2014, the number of PPRV outbreaks increased sharply across much of China, including in Anhui, Guizhou, Guangxi, Hubei, Hunan, Shanxi, Xinjiang, Yunnan, and Zhejiang Provinces (Figure). The origin of these outbreaks remains undefined; however, the ability of the virus to circulate causing mild clinical disease and its presence in numerous bordering countries suggest several possibilities regarding the source of disease, including spread from the original China outbreaks. Similarly, the threat of further spread from China to neighboring countries cannot be ignored.

Once the current situation has been resolved, full genetic analysis of the viruses causing the outbreaks should be conducted because it might indicate the direction of spread. A further area of interest is the application and choice of control measures. Although predicting the spread of a viral pathogen is impossible, especially across the vast distances involved in the current reports, the experiences in China might influence future responses to incursions of PPRV into areas where PPRV previously has not been documented. The current lack of disease in areas where vaccination was reported in 2010 could explain the continued absence of disease from such areas while other regions are significantly affected (10). Effective vaccines against PPRV have been available for decades and will now, as both reactive and preventive tools, aid in controlling and preventing onward transmission of this viral pathogen. Once the situation in China is under control, where this emerging infection of small ruminants will appear next remains to be seen.
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The genus *Exiguobacterium* was first described in 1983 by Collins et al., who characterized the species *E. aurantiacum* (1). Since then, 9 new species have been added: *E. acetylicum*, *E. antarcticum*, *E. undae*, *E. oxidotolerans*, *E. aestuarii*, *E. marium*, *E. mexicanum*, *E. artemiae*, and *E. sibiricum* (2,3). The genus *Exiguobacterium* belongs to the group of co-ryneform bacteria, which encompasses aerobically growing, non-spor-forming, irregularly shaped, gram-positive rods (2). *Exiguobacterium* spp. have been isolated from a wide range of habitats, including cold and hot environments (3). Although strains of *Exiguobacterium* spp. have been isolated from human clinical specimens (e.g., skin, wounds, and cerebrospinal fluid), the clinical significance of these bacteria is poorly understood (4). We present a case of cutaneous infection possibly caused by *E. sibiricum*.

In January 2014, a previously healthy 66-year-old farmer was admitted to the Health Center of Molina de Aragón (Guadalajara, Spain) with a 7-day history of an ulcer on the dorsal surface of the second finger on his right hand with a painful black eschar surrounded by edema, greenish exudate, erythema, and a broken blister. The lesion had progressively increased in size. The patient was a hunter who had handled the skin of a deer and a wild boar 4 days before. He had no history of trauma or receipt of antimicrobial drugs. At admission, he was afibrile with no systemic symptoms. Cutaneous anthrax was suspected on the basis of the clinical appearance of the lesion and the patient’s contact with animals. An exudate sample was obtained for culture, and treatment with oral ciprofloxacin (500 mg/12 hour) was initiated. The Gram-stained sample showed leukocytes without organisms. Culture was performed according to standard practice.

Colonies observed after 24 hours of incubation on blood agar in pure culture were gray but turned orange after 48 hours. The colonies appeared mucoid and were nonhemolytic. Gram staining revealed wide, short, non-spor-forming, gram-positive rods. The isolate was motile, catalase positive, oxidase negative, and it fermented glucose and lactose. Reactions for indole, urea, and bile esculin were negative. The strain did not grow on McConkey agar and was facultatively anaerobic. The strain was initially identified as *Bacillus* spp. and was sent to the National Reference Laboratory of Majadahonda (Madrid, Spain) for species identification. There, the isolate was identified as *E. sibiricum* by means of 16S rRNA sequence analysis according to a previously reported method (5). The fragment of 16S RNA gene obtained from this isolate was 1,413 bp, and similarity with GenBank sequences was 99.6% (GenBank accession nos. CP00122, GQ869573, and others).

After the organism was identified, we found that it was able to grow on blood agar at 4°C after 6 days of incubation. Antimicrobial drug susceptibility testing was performed by using the Ettest method (AB Biodisk, Solna, Sweden) on Mueller-Hinton agar plates incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The isolate was susceptible to penicillin (MIC 0.023 mg/L), cefotaxime (0.5 mg/L), imipenem (0.047 mg/L), levofloxacin (0.19 mg/L), vancomycin (0.5 mg/L), clindamycin (0.125 mg/L), erythromycin (0.047 mg/L), gentamicin (0.094 mg/L), doxycycline (0.032 mg/L), linezolid (0.5 mg/L), and daptoycin (0.5 mg/L). The patient’s clinical outcome was good, and the lesion resolved after 10 days of continuous ciprofloxacin therapy.

This patient’s cutaneous infection and the morphologic appearance of the lesion resembled cutaneous anthrax.
Technical Appendix. Maps showing the historic and current detection of peste des petits ruminants virus (PPRV) lineage IV across Africa. A) Lineages detected across Africa before the detection of lineage IV in Africa in 1997. B) Emergence of lineage IV across Africa during 1997–2014. Lineages are numbered as detailed in (1). Dates of first report of lineage IV are shown in B. Hatched shading indicates regions where multiple lineages may be circulating (data from 1–3).
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