that HV-CV1 and its closest homologue, an ssDNA circular virus of unknown taxon discovered in an Antarctic shelf pond, are distantly related to other CRESS-DNA viruses (online Technical Appendix Figure 2). HV-GcV2 and HV-CV1 displayed no capsid protein similarity between them or with any other virus, as determined by blastp. Annotation of the HV-CV1 capsid gene required use of HHBlits (https://toolkit.tuebingen.mpg.de/hhblits), a more sensitive algorithm (E-value 1.2E-06, probability of 97.2%).

PCR confirmed the absence of HV-GcV1 in PF$_2$ and HV-GcV2 and HV-CV1 in PF$_1$, suggesting multiple infections before each pericarditis event or a rapid fluctuation in the load of all 3 persisting viruses. An additional blastx search on 53 other virus metagenomes sequenced from pericardial fluids after pericarditis events failed to retrieve these sequences. To exclude the possibility of sample contamination during procedures, we simultaneously treated a sample with the same reagents and kits used for PF$_1$ and PF$_2$, and surveyed it by PCR; results were negative. All metagenomes are publically available in the METAVIR (http://metavir-meb.univ-bpclermont.fr) directory under the pericardial fluids heading.

No relationship between these viruses and pericarditis was established. However, the fact that some CRESS-DNA viruses are animal pathogens (10) and the growing number of GcVs found in human samples in pathologic contexts (6,7) indicate that the viral genomes described here might replicate in human cells, possibly as opportunistic pathogens (8). On the other hand, although diagnostic tests ruled out fungal or bacterial infections, we should still consider the possibility that these viruses infect other uncharacterized organisms. The genomes described here will assist further studies of the prevalence of these viruses in human populations.
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To the Editor: Japanese encephalitis (JE) is caused by a virus transmitted by Culex tritaeniorhynchus mosquitoes. JE was the major public health concern in South Korea until the late 1960s, with several thousand cases reported annually. The national vaccination program with the inactivated mouse brain–derived Nakayama strain was initiated in 1983 and targeted children <15 years of age. During 1983–2000, annual booster vaccinations were given to children <15 years of age, but in 2000, the booster schedule was changed to 2 doses (1 dose each) for children 6 and 12 years of age. The live attenuated JE vaccine SA
14-14-2 was introduced in 2002 and included in the national immunization program in 2014. After introduction of the mandatory immunization program, JE was nearly eliminated; during most of the past 3 decades, <0.02 cases per 100,000 population have been reported annually (1). However, since 2010, JE has reemerged in South Korea. We describe epidemiologic data for JE, focusing on the recent increase in number of cases in South Korea. We accessed demographic information from the disease web statistics system provided by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2). Our study was exempted from review by the Institutional Review Board at Seoul National University Hospital (E-1602-053-739).

During 2010–2015, South Korea reported 129 JE cases (2). JE was diagnosed on the basis of clinical signs and symptoms and laboratory examination that showed either the presence of JE virus (JEV)–specific IgM in serum or cerebrospinal fluid samples or identification of a >4-fold increase in neutralizing antibody titers between the acute and convalescent stages. Laboratory procedures were conducted by the Korea Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, as described (3). Clinical features suggesting JEV infection were acute encephalitis syndrome (defined as altered consciousness with fever or seizures) and focal neurologic deficits. Reports excluded clinically suspected but serologically unconfirmed cases. Among the 129 confirmed cases, only 1 (0.78%) case-patient had documented evidence of JEV vaccination. Domestic or international travel history was evident in 18 (14.0%) case-patients; 16 (12.4%) were found to live in proximity to a pigsty; and 8 (6.2%) were foreign-born residents.

Annual incidences of JE have increased markedly since 2010, except for 2011, when only 3 cases were reported (Figure, panel A). Incidence was highest during 2015, when 40 cases were reported. A total of 19 patients died during 2010–2014 (overall case-fatality rate 21.3%), whereas during the previous 25 years (1985–2009), only 5 deaths were attributable to JE (4).

Median age of the 129 patients with JE was 53 years (interquartile range 46.5–62.0). Most (73 [56.6%]) patients were male; 56 (43.4%) were female. On average, affected female patients were older than male patients (mean 56.6 ± 16.3 years vs. 51.4 ± 12.8 years; p = 0.017 by Mann-Whitney U test). When patients were stratified by age, those 50–59 years of age (37.2%) were the most affected group, followed by those 40–49 years of age (24%). Patients <19 years of age accounted for only 3.1% of cases (Figure, panel B). Analysis of the monthly incidence of JE revealed a distinctive summer peak; 109 (84.5%) cases occurred during August–October, suggesting a temporal association with activity of mosquito vectors (5). Analysis of geographic distribution showed that 58 (45%) cases originated in Seoul, the capital of South Korea, or in Gyeonggi Province, the area surrounding Seoul (online Technical Appendix Figure, http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/22/10/16-0288-Techapp.pdf).

Our findings indicated that reemerging JE predominantly affects unvaccinated adults >40 years of age. Shifts in age distribution toward older groups after initiation of vaccination programs were also evident in Japan and Taiwan (6,7). Many researchers believe that prolonged periods with near elimination of JE over the past 3 decades and an unvaccinated adult population have contributed to older adults’ high vulnerability to JE. However, recent JEV seroprevalence data showed that 98.1% of persons in high-risk age groups had neutralizing antibodies, with no differences appearing among age groups (8). Although those findings conflict with the assumption that lack of vaccination among older adults contributes to vulnerability to infection, high seroprevalences could be explained by natural infection resulting from the large epidemics of the 1950s and 1960s.
Our study has several limitations. For example, information on clinical features and outcomes of patients, except for death, was unavailable, and we could not determine prognostic factors for recent JE cases. Because details of each patient’s travel history was not identified, we could not clearly understand the mechanism of JEV transmission. In addition, we do not explore the possible cause of JE re-emergence. Moreover, although JE incidence was detected by the national surveillance system, incidence might be underestimated because the database identified only serologically confirmed cases.

JE vaccination is presumed to have failed to induce lifelong immunity so that older age groups become susceptible again. Further research is warranted to determine the long-term protection against JEV after primary vaccination. Moreover, future studies should address the need for booster vaccination for adults to maintain immunity against JEV.
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To the Editor: Enterovirus A71 (EV-A71) strains circulate worldwide, and numerous outbreaks have been reported from Asia, Australia, Europe, and America (1). Symptomatic infections range from mild febrile illness or characteristic diseases such as hand, foot and mouth disease to severe neurologic disorders such as meningitis/encephalitis and acute flaccid paralysis. EV-A71 infections are usually asymptomatic and self-limiting but can also result in life-threatening complications such as pulmonary edema and cause death, predominantly in children <5 years of age. On the basis of viral protein 1 (VP1) sequences, 3 genogroups (A, B, C), including different subgenogroups (B0–B5, C1–C5), have been defined (2,3). Additional genogroups (D, E, F, G) have been proposed (4,5). In Europe, C1 and C2 strains have circulated predominantly within the past 2 decades, and recent introduction of C4 strains has been reported (6,7). Within subgenogroup C1, a lineage is replaced by the subsequent lineage over time (8).

National enterovirus surveillance (EVSurv) in Germany monitors polio-free status by testing fecal or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) samples from hospitalized patients with suspected meningitis/encephalitis or acute flaccid paralysis. Enterovirus typing, using molecular and virologic methods, is performed within a laboratory network for enterovirus diagnostics. Since 2006, ≈2,500 samples have been tested annually; 25%–30% were enterovirus positive. Of the typed strains, 0.8%–12.7% were identified as EV-A71 (2006, 0.8%; 2007, 6.8%; 2008, 0.9%; 2009, 3.4%; 2010, 12.7%; 2011, 2.3%; 2012, 2.8%; 2013, 8.6%; 2014, 2.7%), indicating peaks with increased EV-A71 detection rates. Molecular characterization based on the VP1 region of a subset of EV-A71–positive samples revealed that C2 was the predominant subgenogroup in Germany from 2006 to 2014. Subgenogroups B3, C1, and C4 have also been identified, but less frequently (online Technical Appendix Table 3, http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/22/10/16-0357-Techapp1.pdf).

In 2015, a total of 419 samples tested enterovirus positive within EVSurv. Of these, 43 fecal specimens and

---

1Contributing members of the Laboratory Network for Enterovirus Diagnostics are listed at the end of this article.