Detection and Genotyping of *Coxiella burnetii* in Pigs, South Korea, 2014–2015
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We assessed *Coxiella burnetii* prevalence and genotypes in pigs in South Korea during 2014–2015. Prevalence was low among 1,030 samples tested by ELISA and immunofluorescent assay and 1,124 samples tested by PCR. Despite this finding, possible transmission of *C. burnetii* from pigs to humans cannot be excluded.

Q fever is a zoonotic disease caused by the extremely infectious bacterium *Coxiella burnetii*. Humans can be infected by inhalation of infectious aerosols or contaminated dust from infected ruminants or through contact with infected animal products. Ruminants are known as the primary reservoirs for the bacterium. Wildlife may also serve as reservoirs (1). However, epidemiologic data on the occurrence of *C. burnetii* in pigs are limited. Their susceptibility to *C. burnetii* infection has been confirmed by the presence of serum antibodies (2), but strong evidence for pigs serving as reservoirs of *C. burnetii* is lacking. In addition, transmission of *C. burnetii* from pigs to humans has not been confirmed.

In the veterinary field, commercial immunologic methods are the easiest to interpret and are used at the herd level to detect *C. burnetii* infection or exposure within a population of animals (3). In South Korea, there have been several studies on *C. burnetii* in ruminants (4,5), but studies evaluating *C. burnetii* in pigs are lacking. As first step toward understanding the epidemiology of *C. burnetii* in pigs, we evaluated the prevalence and genotypes of this bacterium in pigs reared in Gyeongsang Province, South Korea.

The Study

During 2015 in South Korea, a total of 10,332,000 pigs were raised, of which 2,338,521 (22.6%) were raised on 645 farms in Gyeongsang Province (6). For this study, we collected 1,030 blood and 97 tissue samples from pigs (645 breeding and 479 fattening pigs) reared on 209 pig farms in Gyeongsang Province during 2014–2015. Sample size was determined using a formula with an expected disease prevalence of 50%, accepted absolute error of 5%, and CI of 99% in a simple random sampling design (online Technical Appendix, http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/22/12/16-1236-Techapp1.pdf); a minimum of 664 samples were required. Samples were collected by practicing veterinarians during treatment or regular medical checkups; ethical approval was not required. The number of samplings was based on the number of pigs and farms within each of the Province’s administrative districts (Figure 1).

Whole blood was used for PCR; the serum was separated for serologic testing. Lung, lymph node, liver, spleen, and kidney samples were collected for differential diagnosis of diseases in pigs that aborted or had a stillbirth, respiratory symptoms, or weakness.

To detect *C. burnetii*-positive samples, we used 2 different assays and nested PCR. We used an indirect multispecies ELISA (ID Screen Q Fever Indirect Multi-species Kit; IDvet, Montpellier, France) according to the manufacturer’s instructions to detect *C. burnetii* antibodies in samples; a sample optical density to positive-control optical density value of >50% was considered positive. We also performed an indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA), using the *Coxiella burnetii* (Q Fever) FA Substrate Slide (VMRD, Pullman, WA, USA), as recommended by the manufacturer; titers ≥64 to phase-1 or phase-2 antigens were considered seropositive. We used the DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions to extract DNA from whole blood and tissue samples. The *Coxiella* 16S rRNA gene in extracted DNA was then amplified using nested PCR and sequencing primers (online Technical Appendix). We sequenced amplification products with Macrogen (Seoul, South Korea) and analyzed results using sequence alignment programs and statistical methods (online Technical Appendix).

Of the 1,030 sampled pigs, 70 (6.8%) were positive for *C. burnetii* by ELISA (Table); these pigs were from 32 (15.3%) of the 209 sampled farms. Two of the 32 farms had 8 positive pigs each; the other 30 had 1–3 positive pigs each. Fifty-three (5.2%) sampled pigs had samples identified as phase-1 or phase-2 antigen seropositive by IFA; these samples were also seropositive by ELISA. An additional 17 samples seropositive by ELISA were seronegative by IFA. *C. burnetii* seroprevalence was significantly higher (p<0.0001) in breeding than in fattening pigs by ELISA and IFA.
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ELISA and IFA results were in agreement for 1,013 (98.4%) of the 1,030 samples; 53 (5.2%) samples were positive, and 960 (93.2%) were negative. The Cohen κ coefficient was 0.85 (i.e., very good agreement; 95% CI 0.79–0.92).

Three (0.3%) pigs were positive for *C. burnetii* by PCR; all were breeding pigs and seronegative for *C. burnetii*. One positive sample was lung tissue from a pig that appeared to have respiratory signs; other respiratory pathogens were also detected in the sample. Acute *C. burnetii* infection with organ involvement was confirmed by PCR. However, the infection status of seropositive pigs cannot be determined on the basis of a single titer. *C. burnetii*-seronegative pigs can, however, shed the organism and, thus, might serve as a reservoir for transmission of the bacterium to humans. 16S rRNA gene sequences for the 3 *C. burnetii* PCR-positive samples (GenBank accession nos. KT945014–16; Figure 2) showed 100% identity with each other; nucleotide sequences showed high (96.6%–96.9%) identity with those of other *C. burnetii* strains. Phylogenetic analysis showed that the 3 isolates belong to clade A, clustering with previously published *C. burnetii* sequences (Figure 2).

Conclusions

We found that 6.8%, 5.2%, and 0.3% of tested pig samples in Gyeongsang Province were positive for *C. burnetii* by ELISA, IFA, and PCR, respectively. These rates of seropositivity are relatively low compared with the rate found in a study in Uruguay, in which 18.4% (83/479) of the blood samples were seropositive by layer microagglutination (7). In that study, the innate susceptibility of pigs to *C. burnetii* was confirmed during a Q fever epidemic. Seropositivity in our study was, however, higher than that reported in blood tested by IFA in Japan (0/396 samples) (8) and by complement fixation in Bulgaria (0.05%; 1/1,809 samples) (9).

In *C. burnetii*-positive animals, bacterial burden is highest in birth products. We did not test such tissues; however, the positivity rate in our study was similar to that (0/16) in a previous examination of pig placentas by real-time PCR in the Netherlands (10). In our study, the PCR-positive pig samples did not test positive by serologic methods. Similar to results from a previous study (11), our results showed that IFA was less sensitive than ELISA at detecting *C. burnetii* in serum. However, serologic diagnosis of coxiellosis in animals is complicated. Animals can maintain seropositivity after acute infection has cleared, and they can seroconvert without shedding (12); thus, serologic methods are not useful for determining which animals currently pose a risk for transmission.

In our study, seroprevalence among breeding pigs was significantly high (p<0.05). In addition, only breeding pigs were positive for *C. burnetii* by PCR. Because of pregnancy...
stress, breeding pigs probably experienced a recrudescent infection, making them more likely to shed the organism. A study on the epidemiology of Q fever suggested that breeding pigs can cause infection in humans (13).

The genus *Coxiella* is divided into 4 highly divergent genetic clades (A–D); *C. burnetii* belongs to clade A (14). Phylogenetic analysis showed that the 3 *C. burnetii* isolates in our study were closely related to clade A strains from the United States, Japan, and Greenland, indicating a close epidemiologic link.

Although the number of *C. burnetii*–positive pigs was low in our study, a previous study identified contact with pigs as a risk factor for *C. burnetii* seropositivity in humans (15). Therefore, pigs may serve as potential reservoirs for *C. burnetii*. However, several questions remain unanswered regarding the epidemiology of *C. burnetii* infection in pigs and possible transmission to humans. Additional investigations of the infection prevalence in other animals are necessary to understand the epidemiology of *C. burnetii*.
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### Table. Assay determinations of *Coxiella burnetii* prevalence among different types of pigs reared in Gyeongsang Province, South Korea, 2014–2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>No. positive pigs/no. total*</th>
<th>% Pigs positive (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>ELISA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breeding pigs Farms</td>
<td>29/101</td>
<td>28.7 (19.9–37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigs</td>
<td>66/637</td>
<td>10.4 (8.0–12.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fattening pigs Farms</td>
<td>3/108</td>
<td>2.8 (0–5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pigs</td>
<td>4/393</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1–2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IFA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breeding pigs Phase-1 antigens</td>
<td>46/637</td>
<td>7.2 (5.2–9.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase-2 antigens</td>
<td>48/637</td>
<td>7.5 (5.5–9.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase-1 or -2 antigens</td>
<td>49/637</td>
<td>7.7 (5.6–9.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fattening pigs Phase-1 antigens</td>
<td>4/393</td>
<td>1.0 (0–2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase-2 antigens</td>
<td>4/393</td>
<td>1.0 (0–2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phase-1 or -2 antigens</td>
<td>4/393</td>
<td>1.0 (0.1–2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PCR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breed type Breed type</td>
<td>3/645</td>
<td>0.5 (0–1.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fattening pigs</td>
<td>0/479</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample type Blood</td>
<td>2/1,030</td>
<td>0.2 (0–0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue</td>
<td>1/94</td>
<td>1.1 (0–3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td>3/1,124</td>
<td>0.3 (0–0.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bold indicates significantly different (p<0.05) compared with fattening pigs.*
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Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree constructed using the maximum-likelihood method from Coxiella burnetii 16S rRNA sequences. Arrows indicate Coxiella sequences from study to detect and genotype Coxiella burnetii in pigs in Gyeongsang Province, South Korea, 2014–2015. Rounded rectangle indicates Coxiella group. The 4 Coxiella clades (A–D) are indicated at right. GenBank accession numbers for other sequences are shown in parentheses. Numbers on branches indicate bootstrap support (1,000 replicates). Scale bar represents the evolutionary distance between sequences. CLB, Coxiella-like bacteria.
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Technical Appendix

Simple Random Sampling

The sample size was determined using the following formula, with an expected disease prevalence of 50%, accepted absolute error of 5%, and confidence level of 99% in a simple random sampling design (1):

\[
    n = \frac{1.96^2 p_{exp} (1 - p_{exp})}{d^2}
\]

where \( n \) = the required sample size, \( p_{exp} \) = expected prevalence, and \( d \) = desired absolute precision.

Molecular Detection of *Coxiella*

The AccuPower HotStart PCR Premix Kit (Bioneer, Daejeon, South Korea) was used for PCR amplification. Nested PCR (nPCR) was conducted to amplify the 16S rRNA of *C. burnetii* and *Coxiella*-like bacteria (CLB), and sequencing differentially identified *C. burnetii* from CLB (2). First-round PCR was performed with the primers Cox16SF1 (5’-CGTAGGAATCTACCTTTRTAGWGG-3’) and Cox16SR2 (5’-GCCTACCCGCTTTCTGTAACATT-3’), which produced amplicons of 1,321–1,429 bp. Then, nPCR was performed using the primers Cox16SF2 (5’-TGAGAACTAGCTGTTGGRRAGT-3’) and Cox16SR2, which produced amplicons of 624–627 bp. Samples yielding amplicons of the expected size were bi-directionally sequenced using the primers Cox16SF1 and Cox16SR1 (5’-ACTYYCCAACAGCTATTCTCA-3’), which produced amplicons of 719–826 bp. All PCR amplifications were performed using a Mastercycler Pro (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) with pre-denaturation at 93°C for 3 min followed by 30 cycles of denaturation at 93°C for 30 s, annealing at 56°C for 30 s, and polymerization at 72°C for 1 min, and a post-polymerization step.
at 72°C for 5 min. PCR products (10 μL) from the second amplification, along with a 100-bp DNA ladder (Intron Biotechnology, Seongnam, South Korea), were electrophoresed on 1.5% agarose gels at 100 V for 30 min and then visualized by ultraviolet transillumination after ethidium bromide staining.

**Phylogenetic Analysis**

The results were analyzed using the multiple sequence alignment program CLUSTAL Omega (ver. 1.2.1; http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/), and a homology comparison of the *Coxiella* 16S rRNA gene was performed. Alignments were edited using BioEdit (ver. 7.2.5; http://www.mbio.ncsu.edu/bioedit/bioedit.html). Phylogenetic analysis was performed using MEGA (ver. 6.0; http://megasoftware.net/) following the maximum likelihood method. The stability of the trees obtained was estimated by bootstrap analysis with 1,000 replicates.

**Statistical Analysis**

The chi-square test was used to analyze significant differences between the groups, where *p* values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. The analytical software package GraphPad Prism (ver. 5.04; http://www.graphpad.com/scientific-software/prism/) was used for statistical analysis. A 95% CI was determined for all estimates. To compare the diagnosis methods, inter-assay agreement between ELISA and IFA in detecting *C. burnetii* was measured using Cohen’s kappa coefficient, calculated with GraphPad QuickCalcs (http://graphpad.com/quickcalcs/kappa1.cfm). Kappa values ≤0.20 represented poor agreement, values between 0.21 and 0.40 represented fair agreement, those between 0.41 and 0.60 represented moderate agreement, and those between 0.61 and 0.80 represented good agreement, whereas values between 0.81 and 1.00 represented very good agreement. The Cohen’s kappa coefficient must be interpreted with caution, as this value only indicates agreement, without suggesting the validity of the two tests (1).

**GenBank Accession Numbers for Nucleotide Sequence of *Coxiella burnetii***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P-GN-B3</td>
<td>KT945014</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-GN-T39</td>
<td>KT945015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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