In tropical and subtropical regions, especially in Africa and Southeast Asia, T. vitulorum roundworms infect suckling bovine calves (6). T. vitulorum roundworms have been identified in Florida, USA, in 2010 (7) and Canada in 2012 (8). Although T. vitulorum roundworms cause diarrhea and weight loss in calves ≤3 months of age, infection with these roundworms is largely asymptomatic in adults.

Further investigation indicated that the source of infection was a pair of young-adult, free-range pigs living alongside the affected cattle. The cattle had been fed a round bale of hay to which the pigs had access. Parasitologic examination of the hay bale showed contamination with A. suum nematode eggs, indicating that the hay bale was probably exposed to pig feces. The hay bale was removed from the remaining cattle, and clinical signs gradually resolved without additional loss.

To unambiguously identify the nematodes, genomic DNA was extracted from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissue of infected lung. On the basis of the mitochondrial DNA sequence of A. suum, primers were designed to amplify a partial sequence encoding NADH dehydrogenase subunit 5: VMDL-F2 (5’-TGCTAAAGTTGGTTTAGGA-3’) and M3-R (5’-CCTACTGCGTAGAGCCAGA-3’). PCR was performed by using the GoTaq Hot Start Green Master Mix (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) under the following conditions: 95°C for 4 min; 45 cycles of 94°C for 45 sec, 52°C for 45 sec, and 72°C for 1 min; and final extension at 72°C for 5 min.

The resulting amplicon was purified by using spin chromatography (QIAGEN, Valencia, CA, USA) and sequenced with amplification primers at the University of Missouri DNA Core Facility. The resulting 354-bp sequence (GenBank accession no. KT808321) was compared with sequences in GenBank by using blastn (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch) and found to be 100% identical with that of A. suum nematode, thus confirming the identity. The sequence was only 98.3% identical with that of A. lumbricoides nematode, the species that had the next closest match (6 polymorphisms).

Increasing interest in and demand for organic meat results in proliferation of small, suburban farms that raise free-range animals. Reports have warned the community about major increases in zoonotic parasitic infections in organically raised pigs compared with animals raised under modern husbandry practices (9, 10). The recent zoonotic case in Maine also involved a farm that grew and sold organic vegetables and organic livestock, including pigs (4). Until new proven preventive protocols are established, health personnel and veterinarians should be well informed about the risk for aberrant parasitic infections in pigs and possible transmission to humans and other domestic animals.
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To the Editor: As in many West Africa nations, vectorborne diseases represent a substantial health burden in Mali; however, beyond malaria, the incidence and etiology of many of these diseases is poorly understood. Of the estimated 14.1 million persons living in sub-Saharan Mali, ≈70% live in remote rural settings with an ecological landscape that puts inhabitants at an increased risk for contact with rodent and arthropodborne diseases. We retrospectively analyzed serum samples for evidence of recent (IgM+) and previous (IgG+) infection with chikungunya (CHIKV), dengue (DENV), West Nile (WNV), Lassa (LASV), Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever (CCHFV), and Ebola (EBOV) virus, as well as Old World hantaviruses (OW-HANVs) and Leptospira spp., which is regularly misdiagnosed as an acute viral infection.

We tested 376 deidentified serum samples collected from acutely ill patients who had a history of fever and hemorrhagic, diarrheal, or icteric syndromes (online Technical Appendix Figure, http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/22/2/15-0688-Techapp1.pdf). Research on samples from humans was conducted in accordance with the policies and regulations of the National Institutes of Health and adhered to the principles of the Belmont Report (1979) (http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/humansubjects/guidance/belmont.html). This research was conducted under an institutional review board–approved document.

Samples had previously tested negative for acute Plasmodium falciparum malaria and yellow fever virus infections. Commercially available IgM capture and conventional IgG ELISAs were used for serologic testing for CHIKV (GenWay Biotech, San Diego, CA, USA); DENV (all four serotypes) and WNV (both from Focus Diagnostics, Cypress, CA, USA); OW-HANVs (Euroimmun, Luebeck, Germany); and CCHFV Vector-Best, Novosibirsk, Russia), and reagents for the EBOV IgM/IgG ELISA (infected/uninfected cell lysates) were prepared at the Rocky Mountain Laboratories (Hamilton, MT, USA) and validated with serum from experimentally infected monkeys. With the exception of the CHIKV, Leptospira spp., and in-house EBOV assays, the tests conducted in this study are under preclinical development for human diagnostic assays.

Samples were tested at a 1:100 dilution according to manufacturer specifications (CHIKV, CCHFV, WNV, DENV, OW-HANVs, LASV, and Leptospira spp.) or in-house quality-control assessments (EBOV), in a...
blinded fashion. Serologic reactivity was assessed according to manufacturer recommendations. For the EBOV ELISA, samples were deemed positive if optical density at 405 nm was >3 SD above that of the average of known negative samples.

Serologic evidence suggestive of acute infection (IgM+) with 1 of the pathogens tested for was observed for 39.9% of samples (Table). At 14.4%, *Leptospira* spp. was the most prevalent probable etiologic agent of acute disease identified. Of mosquitoborne viruses tested, DENV had the highest prevalence at 7.7%, followed by CHIKV (5.3%) and WNV (0.27%). Of rodentborne pathogens, OW-HANVs had a seroprevalence of 7.2%, whereas LASV was considerably lower (0.27%). CCHFV IgM was documented in 4.8% of samples. Overall, little annual variation in the IgM seroprevalence was noted, except for *Leptospira* spp., for which 2 obvious peaks in seroprevalence were observed (Table).

Most IgM+ samples demonstrated serologic reactivity in only 1 assay. The exception was 2 samples that were IgM+ for hantaviruses and *Leptospira* spp., an acute dual infection that might be unrecognized (1). With the exception of DENV, few samples were both IgM+ and IgG+, suggesting the results were not attributable to IgM persistence. The DENV IgM+/IgG+ results might represent IgM persistence. However, because the ELISA detected all 4 serotypes, it is plausible that some results represent recent infection with DENV in the presence of IgG reactive with a different serotype.

The relatively high IgG seroprevalence for most of the pathogens tested supports the findings of the IgM assays and further suggest the circulation of and potential for human exposure to these agents in Mali (Table). Geographically, serologic evidence of infections with *Leptospira* spp., DENV, WNV, OW-HANVs, and CHIKV was observed throughout Mali (online Technical Appendix). No samples were reactive with EBOV, and the low incidence of LASV infection is not surprising because the samples analyzed here were collected outside of the 1 documented LASV-endemic region in Mali (2).

We used commercially available diagnostic platforms, primarily IgM capture and conventional IgG ELISAs, many of which are validated for human diagnostics. Ideally, diagnostics for zoonotic diseases would not rely on IgM/IgG serologic analysis because of caveats including IgM persistence and cross-reactivity between closely related pathogens (3,4). In the industrialized world, as well as in several countries throughout Africa, molecular approaches are often used to genetically identify pathogens, or follow-up convalescent-phase serum samples are collected to determine seroconversion or increased antibody titers or to conduct plaque reduction neutralization assays. Unfortunately, because of the nature of the samples available, including time of collection, storage history, and remaining volume, many of these tests were not feasible for our study.

Despite these limitations, these serologic findings indicate that flaviviruses, bunyaviruses, and togaviruses, as well as *Leptospira* spp., are contributing to human illness in Mali. These results add to those recently documented in studies conducted in Sierra Leone, implying that several of these zoonotic pathogens are widely distributed yet underreported throughout West Africa (5,6).
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Technical Appendix

**Technical Appendix Figure.** Distribution of samples tested (N = 376), by region and year, Mali. Samples from Kayes (n = 66), Koulikoro (n = 166), Sikasso (n = 61), Segou (n = 28), Mopti (n = 18), Timbuktu (n = 12), Gao (n = 21), and Kidal (n = 4) were tested for serologic evidence of infection with 8 zoonotic pathogens.
**Technical Appendix Table.** IgM and IgG seroprevalence rates of selected vectorborne pathogens, by region of sample collection, Mali*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Assay</th>
<th>Kayes, n = 66</th>
<th>Koulikoro, n = 166</th>
<th>Sikasso, n = 61</th>
<th>Segou, n = 28</th>
<th>Mopti, n = 18</th>
<th>Timbuktu, n = 12</th>
<th>Gao, n = 21</th>
<th>Kidal, n = 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chikungunya virus</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>4 (6.1)</td>
<td>9 (6.0)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>2 (7.1)</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>10 (15.2)</td>
<td>11 (6.6)</td>
<td>2 (3.3)</td>
<td>2 (7.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Nile virus</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>40 (60.6)</td>
<td>51 (30.7)</td>
<td>8 (13.1)</td>
<td>12 (43)</td>
<td>11 (61.1)</td>
<td>8 (66.7)</td>
<td>17 (81)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dengue virus</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>7 (10.1)</td>
<td>11 (6.6)</td>
<td>6 (9.8)</td>
<td>1 (3.6)</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>41 (62.1)</td>
<td>56 (33.7)</td>
<td>10 (16.4)</td>
<td>12 (42.9)</td>
<td>10 (55.6)</td>
<td>3 (25)</td>
<td>16 (72.7)</td>
<td>2 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leptospira spp.</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>10 (15.2)</td>
<td>23 (13.9)</td>
<td>9 (14.8)</td>
<td>7 (25.0)</td>
<td>1 (5.6)</td>
<td>3 (25.0)</td>
<td>1 (4.8)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>12 (18.2)</td>
<td>40 (24.1)</td>
<td>7 (11.5)</td>
<td>6 (21.4)</td>
<td>3 (16.7)</td>
<td>2 (16.7)</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OW-HANV</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>5 (7.8)</td>
<td>12 (7.2)</td>
<td>5 (8.2)</td>
<td>1 (3.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>3 (14.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>1 (&lt;0.01)</td>
<td>11 (6.6)</td>
<td>4 (6.6)</td>
<td>4 (14.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lassa virus</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCHFV</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>4 (6.1)</td>
<td>9 (5.4)</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>3 (10.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>5 (7.6)</td>
<td>1 (0.6)</td>
<td>1 (1.6)</td>
<td>1 (3.6)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (25)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebola virus</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IgG</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*OW-HANV, Old World hantavirus; CCHFV, Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus.