

We assume that the high rates of PNTMD in Japan are consistent with data suggesting that Asians are particularly susceptible to PNTMD (1,7,8). Other factors contributing to the increase might be the simplified diagnosis according to the 2007 American Thoracic Society/Infectious Diseases Society of America statements, increased awareness by medical staff, population aging, and increased frequency of medical checkups with computed tomography of the chest.

Another finding was the characteristic gradient clustering of the ratios of *M. avium* and *M. intracellulare* (online Technical Appendix Figure 2). This finding supports the widely accepted belief that environmental factors strongly affect the epidemiology of PNTMD; therefore, the role of factors such as soil, humidity, temperature, and saturated vapor pressure should be seriously considered (9).

We also found dramatic increases in incidence of pulmonary *M. abscessus* disease and pulmonary MAC disease, whereas incidence of pulmonary *M. kansasii* disease was stable. Although we did not distinguish *M. massiliense* from *M. abscessus*, the incidence rate for pulmonary *M. abscessus* disease increased from 0.1 cases in 2001 to 0.5 cases per 100,000 person-years in 2014. This epidemiologic tendency should be monitored (10).

This study has several limitations. First, differing characteristics between the responding and nonresponding hospitals could cause bias. Second, we did not collect data outside of hospitals. Third, incomplete reporting could undermine the accuracy of our estimates (online Technical Appendix Tables 3, 4). Therefore, the epidemiologic data should be verified by using other approaches (online Technical Appendix Table 1).

The dramatic increase in incidence rates for PNTMD warrants its recognition as a major public health concern. Because the prevalence rates of this currently incurable lifelong chronic disease are estimated to be high, the effect on the community could be enormous. Further investigations are needed.

This research was supported by the Research Program on Emerging and Re-emerging Infectious Disease from the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development. The nationwide survey was also supported by the Japanese Respiratory Society; the Japanese Society for Tuberculosis; and the Ministry of Health, Labour and Welfare.

## References

1. Adjemian J, Olivier KN, Seitz AE, Holland SM, Prevots DR. Prevalence of nontuberculous mycobacterial lung disease in U.S. Medicare beneficiaries. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2012;185:881–6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.201111-2016OC>
2. Thomson RM. Changing epidemiology of pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacteria infections. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2010;16:1576–83. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1610.091201>
3. Morimoto K, Iwai K, Uchimura K, Okumura M, Yoshiyama T, Yoshimori K, et al. A steady increase in nontuberculous

mycobacteriosis mortality and estimated prevalence in Japan. *Ann Am Thorac Soc*. 2014;11:1–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1513/AnnalsATS.201303-067OC>

4. Ide S, Nakamura S, Yamamoto Y, Kohno Y, Fukuda Y, Ikeda H, et al. Epidemiology and clinical features of pulmonary nontuberculous mycobacteriosis in Nagasaki, Japan. *PLoS ONE*. 2015;10:e0128304. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0128304>
5. Kajiki A. Non-tuberculous mycobacteriosis. What has been coming out [in Japanese]. *Kekkaku*. 2011;86:113–25.
6. Griffith DE, Aksamit T, Brown-Elliott BA, Catanzaro A, Daley C, Gordin F, et al. An official ATS/IDSA statement: diagnosis, treatment, and prevention of nontuberculous mycobacterial diseases. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med*. 2007;175:367–416. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200604-571ST>
7. Koh WJ, Kwon OJ, Jeon K, Kim TS, Lee KS, Park YK, et al. Clinical significance of nontuberculous mycobacteria isolated from respiratory specimens in Korea. *Chest*. 2006;129:341–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1378/chest.129.2.341>
8. Lai CC, Tan CK, Chou CH, Hsu HL, Liao CH, Huang YT, et al. Increasing incidence of nontuberculous mycobacteria, Taiwan, 2000–2008. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2010;16:294–6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid1602.090675>
9. Chou MP, Clements AC, Thomson RM. A spatial epidemiological analysis of nontuberculous mycobacterial infections in Queensland, Australia. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2014;14:279. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-14-279>
10. Prevots DR, Marras TK. Epidemiology of human pulmonary infection with nontuberculous mycobacteria: a review. *Clin Chest Med*. 2015;36:13–34. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2014.10.002>

Address for correspondence: Atsuyuki Kurashima, Respiratory Disease Center, Fukuji Hospital, Japan Anti-Tuberculosis Association, 3-1-24 Matsuyama, Kiyose-shi, Tokyo 204-8522, Japan; email: krsmgm@gmail.com

## Elevated Pertussis Reporting in Response to 2011–2012 Outbreak, New York City, New York, USA

Robert J. Arciuolo, Jennifer B. Rosen, Jane R. Zucker

Author affiliations: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention/ Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists Applied Epidemiology Fellowship, Atlanta, Georgia, USA (R.J. Arciuolo); New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, New York, New York, USA (R.J. Arciuolo, J.B. Rosen, J.R. Zucker); Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta (J.R. Zucker)

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2206.151514>

**To the Editor:** Pertussis is a highly communicable, acute bacterial respiratory infection caused by *Bordetella pertussis*. In the United States, the incidence of pertussis declined dramatically after pertussis-containing vaccine was introduced in the 1940s (1,2). However, a resurgence

of disease results in widespread outbreaks of pertussis nationally (3).

Beginning in August 2011, an outbreak of pertussis occurred in New York City (NYC), New York, USA. Reported pertussis incidence by month peaked in December 2011 (1.03 cases/100,000 persons) and remained above the baseline average monthly incidence of 0.11 cases/100,000 persons until February 2013. We hypothesized that provider awareness and altered practices after the start of the outbreak contributed to the sustained elevation in reported pertussis incidence.

To test this hypothesis, we surveyed NYC providers to assess their awareness of the outbreak, their consideration of pertussis in symptomatic patients, and the type and frequency of diagnostic testing ordered. The survey (available on request) was designed in FeedbackServer 5 (University of Massachusetts, Worcester, MA, USA; <https://w3.umassmed.edu/fs/FeedbackServer/help/feedbackserver.htm>) and consisted of 20 questions that required  $\approx$ 5 minutes to complete by using a Web link. We distributed the survey in January 2013 to providers through 3 health department email lists: the NYC Health Alert Network, the Citywide Immunization Registry, and the Primary Care Information Project. The lists included  $\approx$ 30,000 email addresses that were not mutually exclusive and that included nonmedical providers.

Through March 7, 2013, we received 1,316 responses; 887 (67%) were excluded from analyses for  $\geq 1$  reason: respondent did not complete all survey questions (74%); respondent did not practice in a hospital or outpatient facility

(31%); respondent indicated that his or her primary facility was located outside NYC (6%); or response was a duplicate ( $<1\%$ ). Of the 429 (33%) responses included in our analyses, 69% of respondents served adults and 54% served children (23% served both adults and children); 38% practiced in a hospital, and 81% practiced in an outpatient setting (18% practiced in both hospital and outpatient settings).

Respondents were asked if and how they were aware of the pertussis outbreak; 84% reported previous awareness of the outbreak. The top reported sources contributing to respondents' outbreak awareness included health advisory alerts (local [80%], state [36%], and national [40%]); media reports (36%); and discussion with colleagues (29%).

In addition, respondents were asked how likely they were to consider pertussis infection in patients with prolonged cough before 2012 and currently. Reported consideration of pertussis before 2012 varied: 35% of respondents were likely or very likely to consider pertussis, 33% were somewhat likely to consider pertussis, 30% were unlikely to consider pertussis, and 3% did not know (unknown). However, 73% of respondents said that they were more likely to consider pertussis at the time of the survey than before 2012. The top reported sources contributing to increased consideration of pertussis mirrored those contributing to outbreak awareness.

Respondents were last asked to assess the type and frequency of diagnostic testing they used before and since 2012 (Table). Most (66%) respondents indicated that they did not perform diagnostic testing for pertussis before 2012. Among the 34% who tested for pertussis before 2012, the

**Table.** Provider responses to survey questions related to diagnostic testing for pertussis, New York City, New York, USA\*

Questions and responses	No. (%)
Did respondent perform diagnostic tests for pertussis before 2012?	
Did not perform diagnostic tests	282 (66)
Performed $\geq 1$ diagnostic test	147 (34)
Which types of diagnostic tests did respondent perform before 2012?†	
Bacterial culture	68 (46)
PCR	66 (45)
Serology	35 (24)
DFA	41 (28)
Did respondent change the types of diagnostic tests he/she performed since 2012?‡	
Yes	52 (12)
No	269 (63)
Unknown	108 (25)
Which types of diagnostic tests was respondent more likely to perform since 2012?§	
Bacterial culture	17 (33)
PCR	33 (63)
Serology	6 (12)
DFA	5 (10)
No test	3 (6)
Did respondent change the frequency of diagnostic tests he/she performed since 2012?	
More frequent testing	93 (22)
Less frequent testing	14 (3)
Frequency of testing unchanged	231 (54)
No response provided	1 ( $<1$ )

\*N = 429 except as indicated. DFA, direct fluorescent antibody test.

†For 147 respondents who reported performing  $\geq 1$  diagnostic test before 2012. Response choices are not mutually exclusive.

‡For all respondents, including those who reported not performing diagnostic tests before 2012.

§For 52 respondents who reported a change in type of diagnostic test performed since 2012. Response choices are not mutually exclusive.

main diagnostic methods used were bacterial culture (46%) and PCR (45%). However, 12% of respondents indicated that they had changed the type of diagnostic test they used beginning in 2012; among these respondents, 33% were more likely to use pertussis culture and 63% were more likely to use PCR or to use culture and PCR. Of total respondents, 22% indicated that they ordered diagnostic tests more frequently since the beginning of 2012.

Our investigation has limitations. We could not determine a survey response rate because of extensive overlap of the email lists used, and we lacked access to the lists; the response rate is assumed to be very low. Respondents included in the analysis may not have been representative of the broader NYC provider community. In addition, respondents may not have uniformly interpreted the survey because of the subjective nature of some survey questions, and recall bias may have affected responses. Also, respondent awareness of the outbreak is likely overestimated because the email lists used for survey distribution were used during the outbreak to distribute health alerts. Despite these limitations, our investigation shows the value of Web-based surveys distributed by email to gather information rapidly from a large provider community in a cost-effective and practical manner.

This investigation indicates the importance of provider knowledge and practices for public health surveillance data. High awareness of an outbreak, increased clinical suspicion of pertussis, and increased frequency of diagnostic testing likely contributed to a sustained elevation in pertussis incidence. Advisory alerts and media reports were successful mechanisms for disseminating information to providers during the outbreak and likely altered provider behaviors that contributed to the increase in reported pertussis incidence. Previous reports have documented increased submission of disease notifications after media coverage of health concerns (4,5). Responses to our survey also highlight how pertussis incidence may be routinely underestimated because providers do not suspect the disease or test for it consistently.

This work was supported in part by an appointment to the Applied Epidemiology Fellowship Program administered by the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists and funded by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Cooperative Agreement 5U38HM000414-5).

## References

1. Davis SF, Strebel PM, Cochi SL, Zell ER, Hadler SC. Pertussis surveillance—United States, 1989–1991. *MMWR CDC Surveill Summ.* 1992;41:11–9.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Pertussis cases by year (1922–2014). 2015 Mar 6 [cited 2015 Sep 8]. <http://www.cdc.gov/pertussis/surv-reporting/cases-by-year.html>
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 2013 Final pertussis surveillance report. 2014 Oct [cited 2015 Sep 8]. <http://www.cdc.gov/pertussis/downloads/pertuss-surv-report-2013.pdf>

4. Olowokure B, Clark L, Elliot AJ, Harding D, Fleming A. Mumps and the media: changes in the reporting of mumps in response to newspaper coverage. *J Epidemiol Community Health.* 2007;61:385–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech.2005.042598>
5. Davis JP, Vergeront JM. The effect of publicity on the reporting of toxic-shock syndrome in Wisconsin. *J Infect Dis.* 1982;145:449–57. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/145.4.449>

Address for correspondence: Robert J. Arciuolo, New York City Department of Health and Mental Hygiene, 42-09 28th St, CN #21, Long Island City, NY 11101, USA; email: [rciuolo@health.nyc.gov](mailto:rciuolo@health.nyc.gov)

## Hemophagocytic Lymphohistiocytosis and Progressive Disseminated Histoplasmosis

**Kenice Ferguson-Paul, Spencer Mangum, Ashley Porter, Vasiliki Leventaki, Patrick Campbell, Joshua Wolf**

Author affiliations: St. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Memphis, Tennessee, USA (K. Ferguson-Paul, S. Mangum, A. Porter, V. Leventaki, P. Campbell, J. Wolf); University of Tennessee Health Sciences Center, Memphis (K. Ferguson-Paul, S. Mangum, A. Porter, J. Wolf)

DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3201/eid2206.151682>

**To the Editor:** Progressive disseminated histoplasmosis (PDH) of infancy occurs most commonly in previously healthy infants <1 year of age, typically after exposure to a large fungal inoculum (*I*). Even with treatment, the disease is fatal in ≈13% of cases (*I*). Common symptoms include fever, hepatosplenomegaly, lymphadenopathy, and failure to thrive. Laboratory abnormalities frequently include cytopenia and coagulopathy (*I,2*). Many clinical manifestations of PDH overlap with those of the hyperinflammatory condition hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH), and co-existence of HLH and histoplasmosis has been reported in adults. We report a case of simultaneous PDH and HLH in an infant.

A 6-month-old African American girl was brought for treatment to St. Jude Children's Research Hospital in April 2015 with a 1-month history of daily fever. Her history was notable only for a methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* skin abscess diagnosed when she was 5 months old; it was drained, and she received oral clindamycin.

On initial evaluation, she had fever, lethargy, and hepatosplenomegaly. Laboratory testing showed pancytopenia and mild hepatitis, and abdominal ultrasound confirmed hepatosplenomegaly. After admission, respiratory distress