of 1/2, 5/6, 943, and 944 but PCR negative for the 1/6 amplicon (Figure, panels B–D). The only minor difference between strains Stre15001 and 05ZYH33 is 12 3/4 amplicon (Figure, panel C). Clearly the 3/4 DNA fragment is present in the 89K PAI from strain 05ZYH33 but not in the counterpart of the strain Stre15001 (Figure, panel C); that is, strain Stre15001 carries a variant of 89K PAI lacking (at least part of, if not all) the 3/4 DNA fragment. In terms of 89K PAI (and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis/Sao protein), we propose that a heterogeneous SS2 population is circulating in China. Also, we observe that the differentiation of bacterial virulence is related to the clinical strains using the infection model of Balb/c mice (online Technical Appendix Figure 5).

In summary, the loss of 89K PAI might highlight the emergence of an epidemic SS2 population. This population appears to have genetic heterogeneity that is undergoing evolution in an adaption to some selection pressure from the environment, host restriction, or both.
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To the Editor: Next-generation sequencing (NGS) technology is becoming more affordable and is increasingly being widely used for high-resolution molecular epidemiology of tuberculosis. Using an example of the emerging multidrug-resistant strain of Mycobacterium tuberculosis, we showed the value of informed understanding when in silico prediction from NGS data achieved with available bioinformatics tools is placed within the context of the existing genotyping framework.

Spoligotyping is a classical method of M. tuberculosis genotyping, and the SITVIT_WEB database contains data on 7,105 spoligotype patterns of 58,180 isolates from 153 countries (http://www.pasteur-guadeloupe.fr:8081/SITVIT_ONLINE). Spoligotyping targets a variation of the DR/CRSIPR locus, whose evolution in M. tuberculosis occurs through deletion of single or multiple spacers. By
virtue of the orientation of the associated *cas* genes, the locus is situated on the minus strand, whereas its spacers are numbered within the locus, not the genome. Spoligotype international type (SIT) 266 (Figure, panel A) is an epidemiologically significant genotype. It constitutes a substantial proportion of the population structure of *M. tuberculosis* in Belarus, a post-Soviet state in Eastern Europe (1,2), and has been described sporadically in the neighboring provinces in northwestern and central Russia (2–5) and in Latvia (6). More important, it is multidrug resistant (MDR) (and most likely extensively drug resistant). In a recent Belarus study, SIT266 was found in 25 of 163 strains; all 25 were MDR (1). This situation contrasts clearly with its apparently parental type SIT264, which differs from SIT266 in a single spacer 8 (Figure, panel A). SIT264 is more widespread across Eastern Europe but at very low prevalence and is not associated with multidrug resistance (3,6,7). On the basis of 24 mycobacterial interspersed repetitive unit variable number tandem repeats clustering and robust phylogenetic single-nucleotide polymorphisms, SIT264 and SIT266 isolates are assigned to the Latin American–Mediterranean lineage of *M. tuberculosis* (2).

We recovered 2 MDR *M. tuberculosis* isolates of SIT266 from pulmonary tuberculosis patients from northwestern Russia in 2014. Bacterial DNA was subjected to macroarray-based spoligotyping (8) and whole-genome sequencing on the MiSeq platform (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA). *M. tuberculosis* NGS data were deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive (project no. PRJNA305488).

The short sequencing reads were subjected to analysis by using the SpoTyping program (https://github.com/xiaeryu/SpoTyping) (9) and the TGS-TB online tool (https://gph.niid.go.jp/tgs-tb/) (10) to deduce their spoligoprobe profile. We used the TGS-TB tool to map the IS6110 insertion sites and detect drug resistance mutations. The reads also were mapped to the genome of reference strain H37Rv (GenBank accession no. NC_009623.2) by using the Geneious 9.0 package (Biomatters Ltd, Auckland, New Zealand). We obtained 1,294,895 and 816,693 paired reads for strains 4542 and 8279, respectively, and mapped them to the reference. Mean read length was 300 bp, and the average genome coverage was 72.

Strains 4542 and 8279 were phenotypically MDR and harbored mutations associated with resistance to all 5 first-line drugs. The macroarray hybridization spoligotyping assigned both strains to spoligotype SIT266. However, by in silico typing, their spoligotype was predicted to be SIT264 (Figure, panel A). To reconcile these findings, we hypothesized that this discrepancy resulted from an IS6110 asymmetrically inserted in the direct repeat unit adjacent to the spacer 8 in a SIT266 isolate. This insertion would disrupt a target sequence for biotin-labeled DRA primer, thus preventing spacer 8 from amplification. Indeed, in both SIT266 isolates, the in silico analysis identified a forward IS6110 insertion that was mapped to position 3122916 in H37Rv genome (online Technical Appendix Figure, http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/22/6/15-2051-Techapp1.pdf). This location correlates with the location of spacer 8 in this same genome from positions 3122954 to 3122918. Thus, IS6110 precedes spacer 8 in the genome of isolate with spoligotype SIT266, or follows it, within the DR locus (Figure, panel B; online Technical Appendix Figure).

An immediate excellent contribution of NGS with regard to tuberculosis treatment and control is its capacity to rapidly screen for multiple gene targets linked to the development of drug resistance.
of drug resistance. However, knowledge of strain genotype is no less clinically and epidemiologically relevant. A superspreading strain might be marked with other pathobiologically important features. In the case presented here (indeed emerging and MDR), the NGS-based in silico spoligotyping would confuse the MDR/extensively drug resistant SIT266 with “less dangerous” SIT264. To be precise, the revealed discrepancy is not inherent to the NGS technology itself. Although the general limitation of the use of short sequencing reads to infer repetitive genome regions is known, it did not pose a problem in our study. However, both bioinformatics tools predicted the spoligoprofile solely from the presence or absence of spacer sequences and did not take into account a “hiding” effect exerted by a putative IS6110 insertion on adjacent spacer under classical spoligotyping.

In conclusion, we suggest that an accurate NGS-based prediction requires an integrative approach to all relevant information obtained by in silico analysis of a given genome locus. In particular, not only presence of CRISPR spacers but also presence and location of potentially interfering IS6110 insertion(s) should be considered for correct NGS-based assignment to internationally recognized spoligotypes.
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To the Editor: Accumulating evidence indicates that dromedaries (Camelus dromedarius) are a reservoir for zoonotic transmission of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV). Although numerous studies have looked at other livestock in the Middle East region, evidence for MERS-CoV infection has only been found in dromedaries (1). Extensive and continuous circulation of MERS-CoV occurs in the Al Shahaniya region of Qatar, most likely because of the presence of an international camel racing track and numerous barns holding camels (2,3). In April 2015, we investigated the MERS-CoV infection status of 15 healthy alpacas (Vicugna pacos) in a herd of 20 animals and 10 healthy dromedaries in a herd of 25

1These authors contributed equally to this article.