Febrile or Exanthematous Illness Associated with Zika, Dengue, and Chikungunya Viruses, Panama
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To the Editor: The earliest clinical cases of Zika virus infection were reported from continental South America in 2015 (1), after which the virus spread rapidly through the Americas (2). Here we describe an investigation of febrile or exanthematous illnesses for possible association with Zika, dengue, or chikungunya virus; these illnesses occurred in the Guna Yala region of eastern Panama, which borders northern Colombia (Figure).

We collected and analyzed a convenience sample of 276 serum samples and 26 paired urine samples from 276 patients who sought care at clinics in Guna Yala during November 27, 2015–January 22, 2016, for reported fever or rash of <5 days’ duration in addition to 1 of the following: headache, malaise, arthralgia, myalgia, or conjunctivitis. We also collected data on clinical signs and symptoms, date of illness onset, age, sex, residence, and self-reported status of pregnancy.

At first, we performed real-time reverse transcription PCR (rRT-PCR) tests specific for dengue (3) and chikungunya (4) viruses. However, because all the samples received during the week of November 27 were negative for those viruses and Zika virus was being reported in Colombia as of October 2015, we also tested the samples with a flavivirus-specific rRT-PCR (5), followed by amplicon sequencing; or with an rRT-PCR specific for Zika virus (6).

Of the 276 patients whose samples were tested, 164 (60%) were female. A total of 22 (8%) samples were positive for dengue; 2 were positive for chikungunya. Of the remaining 252 patients, 50 (20%) had ≥1 sample that tested positive for Zika virus (50/252 serum samples, 4/26 paired urine samples). Of these 50 patients, 30 (60%) were female. Most of these patients reported illness onset during December 9–27, 2015 (online Technical Appendix Figure 1, http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/22/8/16-0292-Techapp1.pdf). Zika virus infection affected all age groups (median age 35 y, range 0.1–80 y).

The most commonly reported signs and symptoms were fever (86%), exanthema (72%), and headache (62%). The clinical characteristics of these infections showed no statistically significant difference with those associated with dengue and chikungunya virus infections and with cases found to be negative for all 3 viruses, suggesting that the negative cases could represent Zika virus infections (online Technical Appendix Table). One of the patients with confirmed Zika virus infection reported being in her second trimester of pregnancy; she underwent a fetal ultrasound at 36 weeks’ gestation, which was interpreted as normal, and the infant was found to have no neurologic defects at birth.

By using Vero E6 cells (American Type Culture Collection), we isolated Zika virus from 9 samples (8 serum, 1 urine). Phylogenetic analysis of 5 Zika virus sequences (a 428-nucleotide fragment encompassing a conserved region of the nonstructural protein 5 gene) placed these isolated (GenBank accession nos. KU724096–100).
within the Asian lineage, the lineage involved in the spread of Zika virus in the Americas (online Technical Appendix Figure 2) (2,7).

By using molecular methods, we confirmed diagnoses in 27% of patients during this outbreak. The distribution of positive results suggests that Zika virus was the predominant etiologic virus in this cohort, but we cannot firmly conclude this because most specimens tested negative for Zika, dengue, and chikungunya viruses.

Although results from patient sampling and laboratory testing are not comparable, an assessment in Puerto Rico was able to detect Zika virus RNA by rRT-PCR or IgM by ELISA in 19% of 155 patients with suspected Zika virus infection (8). Despite the addition of IgM testing, most of the patients whose specimens were tested by rRT-PCR were negative for dengue and Zika viruses.

Several reasons might exist for the high proportion of specimens testing negative for Zika virus. Viremia is often low and short-lived in persons infected with Zika virus (7); the PCR test might not be sensitive enough; some patients with Zika virus infection may have sought care after the virus had been cleared from the blood and urine; our diagnostic capacity was limited by the lack of reliable serologic tests for Zika virus; and we did not test for other viral, bacterial, or parasitic causes of fever or rash illness.

The Panama Ministry of Health is following up with known pregnant women of the Guna Yala region who report Zika virus infection symptoms and is testing urine samples by using Zika virus–specific rRT-PCR within 14 days of symptom onset. Pregnant women confirmed to have Zika virus infection will receive ultrasound monitoring; however, the test has relatively low positive predictive value for detecting microcephaly (9). In Guna Yala, no symptoms of Guillain-Barré syndrome or other neurologic conditions have been detected; however, since January 2016, Zika virus has spread to other regions of Panama, and at least 1 case of Guillain-Barré syndrome has been reported (10). Our experience shows the challenge of diagnosing the causes of fever or rash by using only molecular methods, underscoring the need for diagnostic tools that are rapid and inexpensive but more sensitive and specific.
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To the Editor: Although the provisionally named influenza D virus was first isolated as an influenza C–like virus from pigs with respiratory illness in Oklahoma in 2011 (1,2), epidemiologic analyses suggested that cattle are major reservoirs of this virus (3) and the virus is potentially involved in the bovine respiratory disease complex. The high rates of illness and death related to this disease in feedlot cattle are caused by multiple factors, including several viral and bacterial co-infections. Influenza D viruses were detected in cattle and pigs with respiratory diseases (and in some healthy cattle) in China (4), France (5), Italy (6), among other countries, indicating their wide global geographic distribution. Although the influenza D virus, like the human influenza C virus, is known to use 9-O-acetylated sialic acids as the cell receptor (2,7), its zoonotic potential is undefined because of limited research (1,8). We report influenza D virus infection in a herd of cattle in Japan.

To determine the presence of influenza D virus, on January 8, 2016, we used hemagglutination inhibition (HI) to test a convenience sample of 28 serum samples from healthy animals in a herd of female cattle in the Ibaraki Prefecture in central Japan. Two viruses with heterologous antigenicities, D/swine/Oklahoma/1334/2011 (D/OK) and D/bovine/Nebraska/9–5/2012 (D/NE) (9), were used for the assay with receptor-destroying enzyme (Denka: RDE II)–treated samples. Eight samples were positive for antibodies against both viruses, with HI titers of 1:80–1:640 for D/OK and with 2-fold or 4-fold lower HI titers (1:40–1:160) for D/NE in each sample, indicating previous infections in these cows, which ranged in age from ≈2 to 9 years. We also detected HI antibodies in serum samples from other cattle herds in several regions of Japan, although positivity rates varied (T. Horimoto, unpub. data). These data demonstrate the circulation of influenza D virus in Japan, as reported in other countries (3–6), emphasizing that the virus could be distributed worldwide.

Because 4 of the tested cows showed mild respiratory illness in January, we collected serum samples from the same 28 cows on February 3. At that time, only 1 cow still showed clinical signs; we collected a nasal swab sample from this cow. HI testing revealed that, of the 20 cows that had negative results in the first round of testing, 19 were positive for both D/OK and D/NE on the second test, which strongly confirms that influenza D virus infection had occurred and readily spread in this herd during January. However, most cows seemed to be subclinically infected with the virus. We cannot exclude the possibility of influenza D virus being a co-factor in causing respiratory illness because we did not evaluate the role of other viruses and bacteria in disease progression. HI titers (range 1:40–1:320) for D/NE were the same as or only 2 times lower than those for D/OK in all seroconversion samples, unlike the results for the seropositive samples in the first testing (online Technical Appendix, http://wwwnc.cdc.gov/
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Technical Appendix

**Technical Appendix Table.** Distribution of symptoms among patients with confirmed cases of Zika (n = 50), dengue (n = 22), chikungunya (n = 2) virus infections and those found to be negative for all 3 viruses (n = 202), Guna Yala region, Panama, November 27, 2015–January 22, 2016*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Zika, n (%)</th>
<th>Dengue, n (%)</th>
<th>Chikungunya, n (%)</th>
<th>Negative, n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>43 (86)</td>
<td>20 (91)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>181 (90)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exanthema</td>
<td>36 (72)</td>
<td>14 (64)</td>
<td>2 (100)</td>
<td>159 (79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>31 (62)</td>
<td>11 (50)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>110 (54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arthralgia</td>
<td>20 (40)</td>
<td>6 (27)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>58 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myalgia</td>
<td>17 (34)</td>
<td>8 (36)</td>
<td>1 (50)</td>
<td>59 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chill</td>
<td>12 (24)</td>
<td>7 (32)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>51 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retroorbital pain</td>
<td>7 (14)</td>
<td>5 (23)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28 (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctivitis</td>
<td>5 (10)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petechial rash</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Statistical analysis were done using chi-square or Fisher's exact test. No statistical differences were found between Zika and dengue, Zika and negative, or dengue and negative.
Technical Appendix Figure 1. Epidemiologic curve of the number of confirmed cases of Zika virus infection per day, by date of illness onset.
Technical Appendix Figure 2. Maximum-likelihood tree of the Zika virus isolated from the Guna Yala outbreak in Panama, based in a partial fragment (~428bp) of the nonstructural protein 5 gene of Zika virus. Panama samples are highlighted. Tree is midpoint rooted. The tree was inferred under TN93 +G6 substitution model selected by smart model selection as is implemented in PhyML 3.0 beta version. The tree was reconstructed with PhyML. The tree reliability topology was estimated using bootstrap resampling (1,000 replicates). Tree was visualized with FigTree v1.4.2. A clustering (bootstrap value >85%) is observed among the sequences published from the ongoing outbreak in the Americas, suggesting that a common genotype, within the Asian lineage, is involved.