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To the Editor: Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus (MRSA) is a versatile pathogen capable of causing a wide variety of human diseases. Increased frequency of S. aureus infections imposes a high and increasing burden on healthcare resources. In many countries, MRSA infections in hospitals are common. Data from the National Nosocomial Infections Surveillance system suggest that, in the United States, incidence of nosocomial MRSA infections is steadily increasing and that these infections account for >60% of intensive care unit admissions (1,2). S. aureus has developed resistance to several antimicrobial drugs, including second- and third-line drugs. Only a few drugs, such as vancomycin (a glycopeptide), daptomycin (a lipopeptide), and linezolid (an oxazolidinone), have been approved for the treatment of serious infections caused by MRSA. Another drug, tigecycline (a glycylcycline), has shown good activity against MRSA strains in vitro (3). The epidemiology of MRSA is constantly changing, which results in variation in its drug-resistance patterns throughout regions and countries (4). Therefore, to support clinicians in preventing and treating infection, epidemiologic surveillance is essential. We report resistance patterns of S. aureus collected over 2 years (December 2013–November 2015) from blood samples of patients admitted to 1 hospital in Odisha, eastern India.

A total of 47 S. aureus isolates were collected; only 1 isolate per patient was included in the study. Susceptibility of the isolates was tested against antimicrobial agents according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute broth microdilution procedure and interpretation criteria (http://clsi.org/). MICs for the isolates were confirmed by using a Vitek 2 Compact automated system (bioMérieux, Marcy l’Étoile, France). S. aureus ATCC 25923 was used as a control strain. S. aureus identification was confirmed by using a Vitek 2 system, by hemolytic activity on blood agar, and by positive catalase activity test results. Clinical MRSA isolates were analyzed by using PCR with specific primers: mecA (5), cfr (6), and VanA (7).

Among the 47 S. aureus isolates, 28 (60%) were resistant to oxacillin (MICs 4–64 mg/L) and cefoxitin (MICs 8–64 mg/L). All MRSA isolates were able to grow in selective medium containing either aztreonam (75 mg/L) or colistin (10 mg/L). Screening of MRSA isolates showed that 2 isolates were highly resistant to vancomycin (MIC ≥100 mg/L) (Figure). Further screening showed that both vancomycin-resistant isolates were also resistant to linezolid (MIC ≥100 mg/L) (Figure). PCR amplification of both isolates indicated presence of all 3 genetic determinants: mecA (methicillin resistance), cfr (linzolid resistance), and VanA (vancomycin resistance). Among the 3 isolates that showed resistance to tigecycline (MIC ≥50 mg/L), 1 isolate was susceptible to vancomycin and linezolid (Figure). Unlike previously reported isolates, these 2 MRSA isolates showed resistant phenotypes to linezolid, tigecycline, and vancomycin.

MICs observed in this study were higher than those previously reported. Vancomycin-resistant S. aureus has been identified in many other countries. Most linezolid-resistant S. aureus has been isolated from patients in North America and Europe (8). The tigecycline-resistant S. aureus isolate (MIC >0.5 mg/L) reported from Brazil was also susceptible to linezolid, teicoplanin, and vancomycin (9).

This study indicates the emergence of multidrug-resistant S. aureus with co-resistance to methicillin, vancomycin,
linezolid, and tigecycline. Although the clinical significance of these findings is unknown, the decline in drug effectiveness against S. aureus infections represents a looming threat to patient health and highlights the possibility of a return to illness and death rates similar to those before antimicrobial drugs were available.
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To the Editor: Colistin belongs to the last line of bactericidal antimicrobial drugs active against multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria such as carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae and carbapenem-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii. Consequently, the discovery of the plasmid-mediated colistin-resistant gene mcr-1 in Escherichia coli (1) raises concern in the medical community because colistin might be useless in treating infections caused by mcr-1–carrying Enterobacteriaceae.

During December 8, 2015–January 8, 2016, we conducted prospective laboratory surveillance of mcr-1–carrying Enterobacteriaceae and Acinetobacter species in a university-affiliated tertiary hospital serving a population of ~0.53 million in Hong Kong, China. Clinical specimens were processed by using standard operating procedures for different specimen types (2). All Enterobacteriaceae and Acinetobacter spp. isolates were plated onto MH1 agar, which is Mueller-Hinton agar (BD Diagnostics, Sparks, MD, USA), supplemented with 1 µg/mL colistin sulfate (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) for overnight incubation at 37°C in air. Intrinsically colistin-resistant organisms, including Proteus spp., Providencia spp., Serratia spp., and Morganella morganii, were excluded. E. coli ATCC 25922 was used as a negative control. We screened bacteria that grew on MHC1 for mcr-1 by real-time PCR that used specific primers MCR1_22697_F1 (5′-CACT-TATGGGACCGGTCTATGA-3′) and MCR1_22810_R1 (5′-CCCACACCAATGATACGCT-3′) and the hydrolysis probe MCR1_22763_Pb1 (FAM-TGGTCTCGG/ ZEN/CTTGTCGCCGTCTAGGGC-3IABkFQ) (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA, USA). The complete mcr-1 gene found in PCR-positive isolates was amplified and sequenced by specific primers. The colistin MIC of positive isolates was measured by using Etest strips (Bio-Mérieux, Marcy l’Etoile, France). Susceptibility to other antimicrobial drugs was determined by using the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method, according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (3). We retrieved clinical details of patients whose sample had mcr-1–carrying Enterobacteriaceae from the hospital clinical management system.