Incentives for Bushmeat Consumption and Importation among West African Immigrants, Minnesota, USA
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The knowledge, attitudes, and practices surrounding bushmeat consumption and importation in the United States are not well described. Focus groups of West African persons living in Minnesota, USA, found that perceived risks are low and unlikely to deter consumers. Incentives for importation and consumption were multifactorial in this community.

Bushmeat hunting and butchery are risk factors for zoonotic disease transmission (1–3). However, less is known about health risks to those who consume products that are already butchered when purchased. Bushmeat in this report refers to meat from wild African animals such as rodents, hoofed animals, carnivores, primates, and bats (3).

Thousands of pounds of bushmeat are illegally imported into the United States annually (4), mostly from West Africa (5). A previous study of bushmeat consumption by African immigrants in the United States described mixed perceptions regarding the risks and benefits of consuming bushmeat (5). Improved understanding of the complex social drivers of these practices is needed to better characterize risk and formulate communication strategies.

To identify the cultural perspectives and knowledge, attitudes, and practices surrounding bushmeat importation and consumption, we held focus groups with members of the Liberian community living in the Minneapolis–St. Paul area of Minnesota, USA. Minneapolis–St. Paul has the largest Liberia-born population in the United States, and ranks fifth in overall African populations in US metropolitan areas (6). Recognizing the history of stigmatization associated with increased risk for Ebola virus among persons from West Africa, we engaged a community-based organization to partner in the planning and execution of this study (7,8). Creating a comfortable environment where participants share personal experiences and insights freely is a key tenet of focus group methodology (9); this partnership was essential in gaining trust and maintaining cultural sensitivity.

Inclusion criteria for participant selection included: 1) minimum age 18 years, 2) self-identification as West African, and 3) willingness to discuss bushmeat in a group setting. The partner organization recruited community members by using a combination of purposeful sampling and social media advertisement and facilitated 3 focus groups (10–12 participants, each for 90 min) in January and February 2016; a designated research team member attended each session. A standard guide for questions was used for each session (online Technical Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/23/12/17-0563-Techapp1.pdf). The University of Minnesota Institutional Review Board approved this study.

Sessions were audio recorded and transcribed; participants were not identified. Nonverbal cues (i.e., gestures, emotions, points of hesitation, nods of agreement) and other participant interactions were added to the transcript by a notetaker. We analyzed the collected data by using a modified grounded theory method with inductive analysis as previously described (10). Two authors (E.W., J.D.A.) analyzed each transcript by using an open and selective coding approach. Subsequently, all transcripts were analyzed together by using axial coding further describing relationships among themes (Table); representative quotes from participants were selected to exemplify a relationship or common theme (9) (Table). We supported validity of findings by using member-checking, triangulation of findings with multiple sources, and peer debriefing (9). Many themes were repeated in all groups; however, this study was limited by inability to confirm that we had reached saturation of perspectives. According to Creswell, it is ideal

1These senior authors contributed equally to this article.
consistent gaps in knowledge of import regulations, it was
in the processing of carcasses. Although there were con
chased dried consumer products and had not participated
and butchering techniques, but most participants pur
long durations) as a protective factor (Table).
additional Liberian cooking techniques (extensive boiling for
cooking mitigated risk. For instance, participants cited tra
potential, most believed careful preparation and thorough
harbor Ebola virus. Among those who acknowledged this
suaded few participants from obtaining or consuming
bushmeat, despite heightened awareness that wildlife could
habor Ebola virus. Among those who acknowledged this
potential, most believed careful preparation and thorough
cooking mitigated risk. For instance, participants cited tra
ditional Liberian cooking techniques (extensive boiling for
long durations) as a protective factor (Table).
Some participants were knowledgeable of hunting
and butchering techniques, but most participants pur
bought dried consumer products and had not participated in
the processing of carcasses. Although there were con
sistent gaps in knowledge of import regulations, it was
commonly perceived that political, public health, or dis
criminatory (e.g., racist, xenophobic) justifications were fac
tors (Table).
These focus groups yielded detailed and nuanced
information on the knowledge, attitudes, and practices
related to bushmeat use and consumption among Libe
rians and Liberian Americans in a US metro area. Al
though this study did not directly enumerate the volume
and type of bushmeat imported into the United States, our
results provide a description of sociocultural fac
ors involved on the demand side of the supply chain, a
common gap in most risk assessments, and give insight
into potential education and risk management strategies.
We found that engaging the community in a culturally
appropriate manner encouraged open dialogue, creating
opportunities for education regarding import regulations
and risk mitigation strategies (e.g., careful preparation
and thorough cooking).

### Acknowledgments

We acknowledge African Career, Education, and Resources Inc.
for assistance in planning, hosting, and conducting the
focus groups. Particular thanks to Nelima Stati Munene,
Denise Butler, and Wynfred Russell for initial planning stages
and contributions to wording of the question script and to
Wokie Freeman for moderating the focus groups. We thank Ar
nold Vang for contributions in planning and information on local
airport confiscations. Additional thanks to Jared Erdmann with
the Minneapolis Health Department for providing collaborative
training with partners.

### Table. Representative quotations and associated themes discussed by Liberian immigrants in bushmeat focus groups, Minnesota, USA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Theme</th>
<th>Key quotation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Nostalgia/cultural connection is a driver for consumption</td>
<td>“So it goes back to the cultural thing, like she said. The taste and that which you’re used to. I mean it’s how you’re brought up, and all that stuff. It’s just something like you go away to school and you just miss your mom’s cooking. So that’s just what it is.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Bushmeat is readily accessible and consumed when visiting friends/relatives in West Africa</td>
<td>Moderator: So for those that I hear, you know, about the regulations, about disease and all of that, do you think that if they were to go back home, would they still eat bushmeat? “Oh yeah.” “Yeah.” (Many others nodding)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Skepticism over potential zoonoses from bushmeat</td>
<td>“I don’t believe that monkey or bat is carrying this virus. But these beliefs come from my experience. When I was growing up, I would talk to my grand uncle and we used to walk in the forest, teaching me how to survive in the forest… And he taught me one thing, anything that can kill any animal can kill you. And anything an animal carries that can kill it… When you see the animal, you’ll see it’s sick and you see it dead. So anything that can kill me, the animal will not survive. So monkey cannot carry a virus that can kill me [and not look sick].”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Cooking and proper food preparation can mitigate disease risk</td>
<td>“When you kill the bushmeat in Africa, before you even eat it, it goes over the fire, they dry the meat, and there it goes in the pot and we are cooking it in Africa—we are not cooking for five minutes. I don’t care how the virus or bacteria is, when you put it in the fire it will not survive for a minute. When we start talking about Ebola, well, Ebola did not come from eating bushmeat, but the Ebola virus might have been on the meat, but when you put it on the fire, I don’t think that the Ebola virus could survive.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. African bushmeat may be banned in the United States due to human health risks</td>
<td>“So if you tell somebody, you know someone who don’t know anything about Africa or West Africa and you tell a person, ‘I eat bushmeat,’ right, and they think ‘do you know how many animals over there… who have XYZ, difficult diseases?’ So, from their perspective, I’m going to freak out, like, why are you bringing this into my country, where most likely, I don’t know what it carries, or it could be transmitted and there’ll be a big epidemic.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bold text indicates emphasis of quotation.*
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Using next-generation sequencing, we identified and genetically characterized a porcine astrovirus type 3 strain found in tissues from the central nervous system of 1 piglet and 3 sows with neurologic signs and nonsuppurative polioencephalomyelitis. Further studies are needed to understand the potential for cross-species transmission and clinical impact.

Astroviruses have been identified in a variety of mammals and birds; infection is often asymptomatic (1). Recently astroviruses have been implicated in cases of encephalomyelitis in humans, mink, cattle, and sheep (2–5). We describe the use of unbiased next-generation sequencing to identify and genetically characterize a porcine astrovirus type 3 (PoAstV-3) in central nervous system (CNS) tissues of a 5-week-old piglet and 3 sows with neurologic signs and histopathologic lesions compatible with a neurotropic viral infection.

A multisite swine production farm submitted swine neurologic cases on 3 different occasions over a 9-month period to the Iowa State Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory (Ames, Iowa, USA); 1 submission (2 live piglets) represented a population of 4–12-week-old pigs and 2 submissions (submission 2, two live sows; submission 3, head and tissue of sow) representing a population of 4–12-week-old pigs and 2 submissions (submission 2, 1 sow each). In all cases, affected swine exhibited clinical signs that ranged from hind limb weakness to quadriplegia and occasionally convulsions (Video, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/23/12/17-0703-V1.htm). The sow farm reported a case-fatality rate of 100%. The young pigs, which were farrowed from sows from the aforementioned sow farm, originated...