Use of Blood Donor Screening to Monitor Prevalence of HIV and Hepatitis B and C Viruses, South Africa

Marion Vermeulen, Ronel Swanevelder, Dhuly Chowdhury, Charlotte Ingram, Ravi Reddy, Evan M. Bloch, Brian S. Custer, Edward L. Murphy, for the NHLBI Recipient Epidemiology and Donor evaluation Study-III (REDS-III) International Component

Among 397,640 first-time blood donors screened in South Africa during 2012–2015, HIV prevalence was 1.13%, hepatitis B virus prevalence 0.66%, and hepatitis C virus prevalence 0.03%. Findings of note were a high HIV prevalence in Mpumalanga Province and the near absence of hepatitis C virus nationwide.

South Africa has one of the largest HIV epidemics in the world. HIV prevalence is 18.8% among those 15–49 years of age, and estimated HIV incidence in sexually active persons is 1.21/100 person-years for men and 2.28/100 person-years for women (1,2). Chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is also common; among young adults, hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg) prevalence is ≈4%, and universal HBV vaccination of infants was introduced in 1995 (3). Other than in an outdated study that found PCR-positive hepatitis C virus (HCV) in 0.05% of blood donors (4), the prevalence of HCV infection in South Africa is poorly described but is probably lower than in other countries in Africa (5). Recent published data on the prevalence of HIV, HBV, and HCV among blood donors in South Africa are scant (6,7). We assessed prevalence of these viruses by demographic and geographic characteristics to inform donor-selection criteria and to aid public health surveillance.

The Study
We included all eligible first-time blood donors at South African National Blood Service (SANBS) facilities for January 2012–September 2015, covering all provinces except Western Cape Province. We excluded those deferred from donation because of risk behaviors or poor health.

We screened blood donations individually for HIV RNA, HCV RNA, and HBV DNA by using the Procleix Ulitro Plus assay (Grifols, Barcelona, Spain) and serologically for HIV antibodies, HCV antibodies, and HBsAg by using Abbott Prism ChLia (Abbott, Delkenheim, Germany). We further tested serologic repeat–reactive but nucleic acid testing (NAT)–negative donations by using supplemental assays: HIV Western blot (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA); HCV InnoLIA (Innogenetics, Ghent, Belgium); or HBsAg neutralization (Roche, Pleasanton, CA, USA).

We calculated prevalences and derived odds ratios (ORs) and 95% CIs for associations from multivariable logistic regression by using SAS/STAT 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Because of statistically significant interactions between sex and age and between sex and race (online Technical Appendix 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/23/9/16-1594-Techapp1.pdf), we built separate models for male and female donors.

During January 2012–September 2015, a total of 3,075,422 blood donations were made at SANBS facilities from repeat donors; 397,640 (13%) donations were from first-time donors, who were predominantly young and equally distributed by sex (Table). Approximately half of donors were black, one third white, and the remainder of South African Colored (SAC) (an admixed group made up of 5 source populations [African Khoisan, African Bantu, European, South Asian, and East Asian]); or unknown race/ethnicity.

A total of 4,481 (1.13%) first-time donors were classified as HIV positive. Prevalence was highest (1.3%–1.9%) among persons 20–49 years of age, higher among female (1.4%) than male (0.8%) donors, and higher among those of black race/ethnicity (2.0%) than other races/ethnicities (Table). In logistic regression models (online Technical Appendix 2, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/23/9/16-1594-Techapp2.xlsx), HIV infection was more strongly associated with older age among male donors than among female donors and more strongly with black and unknown race/ethnicity among female donors than among male donors.
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donors (online Technical Appendix 1). We observed a significant association between HIV and HBV infection in both sexes and a stronger association between HIV and HCV infection in female donors only. Compared with Gauteng Province, HIV infection was associated with donation in Mpumalanga, KwaZulu-Natal, and Free State provinces for both sexes and with Eastern Cape Province for female donors and Northern Cape Province for male donors (Figure).

The 1.13% HIV prevalence among first-time blood donors in South Africa is much higher than that for high-income countries but lower than for many countries in sub-Saharan Africa, where HIV prevalence ranges from 3% to 5% (8). HIV prevalence among donors was substantially lower than that among the general adult population of South Africa (estimated at 18.8%), but similar demographic associations were observed (1,2). Geographic distributions of HIV infection were also generally similar to national data, although we found higher adjusted odds for HIV infection in Mpumalanga Province compared with KwaZulu-Natal Province (1). Incorporation of blood donor prevalence and incidence data might help to refine statistical models of the HIV epidemic, which have not performed well in some subgroups (2,9). In addition, blood bank testing for HIV includes men and older persons, who are not well-represented in current surveillance strategies (10).

A total of 2,638 (0.66%) first-time donors were classified as HBV-positive. HBV prevalence was 0.9%–1.3% among those 20–49 years of age, and only 0.2% among those <20 years of age (Table). HBV prevalence was 0.9% among male donors versus 0.5% among female donors, 1.1% among blacks, 0.5% among persons of SAC race/ethnicity, and 0.1% among whites. In the logistic regression models (online Technical Appendix 2), HBV infection was more strongly associated with older age among men than among women and had a geographic distribution slightly different from that of HIV.

The HBV prevalence of 0.66% was substantially less than the median of 4.35% for all countries in Africa; however, lack of confirmatory testing might inflate the proportion for all of Africa (11). In our study, a 5-fold lower prevalence among donors <20 years of age compared with those 20–29 years of age is consistent with the implementation of HBV vaccination of infants in South Africa in 1995 and could be used to estimate vaccination coverage (3). Male donors appear to be at higher risk for chronic HBV infection, as reported in the United States (12).

Only 125 (0.03%) donors were confirmed positive for HCV infection. HCV prevalence was highest (0.4%) among those ≥60 years of age (0.04% among men, 0.02% among women) (Table). We observed little difference in HCV prevalence by race/ethnicity. In logistic regression models, HCV
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**Table. Prevalence of HIV, HBV, and HCV, by demographic characteristics, among persons making blood donations through the South African National Blood Service, January 2012–September 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No. first-time donors</th>
<th>HIV-positive</th>
<th>HBV-positive</th>
<th>HCV-positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>397,640</td>
<td>4,481 (1.13)</td>
<td>2,638 (0.66)</td>
<td>125 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>185,983</td>
<td>1,139 (0.61)</td>
<td>382 (0.21)</td>
<td>6 (0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–29</td>
<td>103,373</td>
<td>1,702 (1.65)</td>
<td>999 (0.97)</td>
<td>39 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>55,420</td>
<td>1,038 (1.87)</td>
<td>721 (1.30)</td>
<td>17 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>35,330</td>
<td>440 (1.22)</td>
<td>366 (1.10)</td>
<td>21 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>16,518</td>
<td>146 (0.85)</td>
<td>151 (0.91)</td>
<td>31 (0.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>3,016</td>
<td>16 (0.53)</td>
<td>19 (0.63)</td>
<td>11 (0.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>177,729</td>
<td>1,396 (0.79)</td>
<td>1,635 (0.92)</td>
<td>77 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>219,903</td>
<td>3,085 (1.40)</td>
<td>1,003 (0.46)</td>
<td>48 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race/ethnicity†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>211,722</td>
<td>4,204 (1.99)</td>
<td>2,355 (1.11)</td>
<td>62 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>122,894</td>
<td>74 (0.06)</td>
<td>80 (0.07)</td>
<td>43 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>28,428</td>
<td>28 (0.10)</td>
<td>41 (0.14)</td>
<td>11 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAC</td>
<td>20,246</td>
<td>98 (0.48)</td>
<td>99 (0.49)</td>
<td>5 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>14,350</td>
<td>77 (0.54)</td>
<td>63 (0.44)</td>
<td>4 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Province</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern Cape</td>
<td>37,055</td>
<td>365 (0.99)</td>
<td>315 (0.85)</td>
<td>4 (0.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Free State</td>
<td>20,759</td>
<td>241 (1.16)</td>
<td>68 (0.33)</td>
<td>3 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gauteng</td>
<td>175,623</td>
<td>1,774 (1.01)</td>
<td>967 (0.55)</td>
<td>77 (0.44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KwaZulu-Natal</td>
<td>80,111</td>
<td>918 (1.15)</td>
<td>728 (0.91)</td>
<td>14 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limpopo</td>
<td>15,661</td>
<td>159 (1.02)</td>
<td>113 (0.72)</td>
<td>7 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mpumalanga</td>
<td>35,720</td>
<td>779 (2.18)</td>
<td>305 (0.85)</td>
<td>8 (0.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northwest</td>
<td>19,205</td>
<td>124 (0.65)</td>
<td>65 (0.34)</td>
<td>7 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Cape</td>
<td>10,333</td>
<td>74 (0.72)</td>
<td>57 (0.55)</td>
<td>3 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3,173 donors had missing information on province. HBc, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; SAC, South African Coloured.†The Department of Home Affairs in South Africa classifies the South Africa population into 4 race groups: African, Indian, White, and Coloured. The SAC population is an admixed group made up of 5 source populations (African Khoisan, African Bantu, European, South Asian, and East Asian) dating back to slavery and the early settlers.
infection was associated with older age and with HIV co-infection among women only (online Technical Appendix 2). Among men only, HCV was inversely associated with blood donation in Eastern Cape and KwaZulu-Natal Provinces.

Contrary to some reports, which included small studies and those lacking confirmatory testing (13), HCV infection appears to be rare among South Africa blood donors and, by extrapolation, its general population. The 0.03% blood donor prevalence we found is consistent with an older study (4) and much lower than the median of 0.86% for other countries in Africa (11). Reasons for this low prevalence are unclear but likely relate to the relative absence of injection drug use or other parenteral risk factors for HCV transmission. Further study of why South Africa has lower HCV prevalence than many countries in the world is warranted. One clue might be the predominance of infection among older and male persons, suggesting a possible birth cohort effect related to historical parenteral exposures (14).

Conclusions

Our study attests to the success of blood donor selection and screening: HIV prevalence was ≈18-fold lower and HBV prevalence 5-fold lower than that of the general population of South Africa. This difference is attributable to selection of low-risk and healthy donors and underrepresentation of blacks among blood donors. These biases need to be accounted for in extrapolating directly to the general population, but comparisons between donor subgroups or periods might still mirror population data. Prevalent infections in donors are detected by serologic testing, and blood products are discarded accordingly. To mitigate the risk posed by seronegative window period infections, SANBS performs routine individual donation NAT. This parallel serology and NAT testing has generated substantial data on HIV and HBV incidence, further contributing to public health surveillance (6).
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Technical Appendix 1

**Figure.** Interaction effects of age and sex (A) and race/ethnicity and sex (B) in the multivariate logistic regression model for HIV Infection. Adjusted odds ratios for each age or racial group compared with the reference group (age <20 years or white race) are graphed by sex. SAC, South African colored.