A novel highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H5N6) virus affecting wild birds and commercial poultry was detected in the Netherlands in December 2017. Phylogenetic analysis demonstrated that the virus is a reassortant of H5N8 clade 2.3.4.4 viruses and not related to the Asian H5N6 viruses that caused human infections.

In 2014 and 2016, outbreaks of highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) subtype H5N8 clade 2.3.4.4 were observed among wild birds and domestic poultry worldwide (1) and in the Netherlands (2–4). Transcontinental spread of these viruses, and that of the earlier HPAI H5N1 virus (goose/Guangdong lineage) (5), has been linked to dissemination by migratory wild birds (6). A novel group B HPAI H5N6 virus (7) was detected in wild birds and commercial poultry in the Netherlands in December 2017. On December 6–7, 2017, meat ducks on a 16,400-duck farm in the municipality of Biddinghuizen, the Netherlands, began dying at an exponentially increasing rate (Figure 1; online Technical Appendix Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/24/4/17-2124-Techapp1.pdf). The duck farm consisted of 2 barns, each housing ≈8,200 ducks. One-day-old ducklings started production in barn 1 on November 9 and in barn 2 on December 7. Mean water intake of ducks in barn 1 dropped by 8.5% during December 4–5. Mean feed intake dropped by 4.3% during December 3–5. Recording ended on December 5. On December 7, the clinical signs observed in barn 1, in addition to sudden death, were watery diarrhea, conjunctivitis, and nervous disorders. The following clinical signs were checked, but absent: edema (of the neck, head, and eyes); cyanosis (in the comb, wattle, and feet); hemorrhagic conjunctivae; and respiratory problems. No clinical signs were observed in the ducklings in barn 2.
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The Study
We collected swab samples from the trachea and cloaca of clinically affected ducks for diagnostic testing. The samples tested positive by real-time PCR on the matrix gene (3) and H5-PCR (8), demonstrating notifiable avian influenza A subtype H5 virus. We performed hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) sequence analysis (3), which showed a HA cleavage site with polybasic properties PLREKRRKR*GLF, and subtyped the virus as HPAI subtype H5N6 on December 8. The intravenous pathogenicity index determined in 6-week-old chickens for the novel H5N6 virus was 2.99, similar to that of the 2016 H5N8 subtype, confirming the high pathogenicity of the H5N6 subtype.

The farm was located in a water-rich area, densely populated with wild waterbirds. Several mute swans (Cyanus olor) and a tufted duck (Aythya fuligula) were found dead in this area (online Technical Appendix Figure 1), and tested positive for HPAI H5N6 on December 11.

Since 2013, HPAI H5N6 viruses have emerged in poultry and caused sporadic infections in humans in Asia, raising global concerns regarding their potential as human pandemic threats. H5N6 viruses constitute >34 distinct genotypes, of which 4 were detected in humans (9). To genetically characterize the novel H5N6 subtype influenza virus detected in the Netherlands, we sequenced the full genome of the viruses found at the duck farm, and in the 2 mute swans and the tufted duck (GISAID [http://platform.gisaid.org] accession nos. EPI ISL 287907, EPI ISL 288409, EPI ISL 288410, and EPI ISL 288412), as described previously (4). Database searches (GISAID and GenBank) showed that these viruses are highly similar to the HPAI H5N8 clade 2.3.4.4 viruses, which were detected previously in wild birds at the Russia–Mongolia border in May 2016 (10), for the gene segments polymerase basic 1 (PB1), polymerase acidic (PA), HA, nucleoprotein (NP), matrix protein (MP), and nonstructural protein (NS) (Table). The polymerase basic 2 (PB2) and NA segments shared sequence similarity with Eurasian low pathogenicity avian influenza (LPAI) viruses. Moreover, the N6 gene of the H5N6 viruses found in the Netherlands showed high homology to those detected in Greece in February and in Japan and Taiwan in November–December 2017.
To study the origin of the H5N6 virus detected in the Netherlands in December 2017, we performed a detailed phylogenetic analysis for all gene segments individually (online Technical Appendix Figure 2). This analysis shows that the novel H5N6 virus is genetically distinct from human H5N6 viruses found in China. The PB1, PA, HA, NP, MP, and NS gene segments are closely related to HPAI H5N8 viruses detected in Europe in 2016 (online Technical Appendix Figure 2, panels B–E, G, H). In contrast, the PB2 and NA genes are most closely related to Eurasian LPAI viruses (online Technical Appendix Figure 2, panels A, F). Of note, the N6 segment of the virus in the Netherlands is closely related to, but distinct from, that of the H5N6 viruses detected in Greece, Japan, and Taiwan in 2017. Furthermore, the virus in the Netherlands has PB2 and PA segments that are distinct from those found in the viruses from Greece, Japan, and Taiwan (Figure 2). These results indicate that H5N6 virus in the Netherlands is a reassortant of the HPAI H5N8 subtype that obtained novel PB2 and NA segments.

To explain the emergence of the novel H5N6 virus, we performed molecular dating using the Bayesian skyline coalescent model in BEAST version 1.8 software (http://beast.community/beast; online Technical Appendix Figure 3) and calculated the time to most recent common ancestor for the HA and NA gene segments (online Technical Appendix Table 1). For the H5 segment, the viruses in the Netherlands, Greece, Taiwan, and Japan share a common ancestor with HPAI H5N8, which was dated in January–September 2016 (online Technical Appendix Figure 3, panel A [node 1]). For the N6 segment, the common ancestor of the viruses in the Netherlands, Greece, Taiwan, and Japan was dated in December 2014–July 2016 (online Technical Appendix Figure 3, panel B [node 2]). The novel H5N6 virus probably arose by reassortment of HPAI H5N8 and descendants of LPAI A/barnacle goose/Netherlands/2014 (node 1), sometime in 2015–2016. These results suggest that the reassortment event that generated the novel HPAI H5N6 virus probably did not occur within the Netherlands in 2017.

Finally, we analyzed the genome of the novel H5N6 virus for potential zoonotic signatures associated with increased human risk (online Technical Appendix Table 2). We found that the virus has a typical avian receptor specificity and identified no sequence signatures associated with increased airborne transmission. In the MP and NS genes, we identified mutations that were associated with increased virulence, but similar mutations have been found in other H5 clade 2.3.4.4 viruses. Our analysis demonstrated that the virus may have reduced sensitivity to treatment with the antiviral drug oseltamivir.
A novel reassortant HPAI H5N6 virus affected wild birds and commercial poultry in the Netherlands in December 2017. Phylogenetic analysis demonstrated that the virus is related to the HPAI H5N8 clade 2.3.4.4 viruses but contains novel PB2 and NA segments derived from Eurasian LPAI viruses. The N6 gene segment is related to that of HPAI H5N8 viruses found in Greece, Japan, and Taiwan, for which a common ancestor was estimated around November 2015. In addition, the H5N6 virus in the Netherlands differs from that in Greece by the PA and PB2 gene segments. This suggests that the H5N6 virus in the Netherlands did not result from continued circulation of the virus in Greece (or Europe) that was detected in February 2017 but likely represents a separate introduction related to wild bird migration in fall 2017. The reassortment events may have occurred on breeding grounds in Siberia, where large numbers of wild birds congregate, and the virus may have spread by long-distance flights of infected migratory birds.

Phylogenetic analysis demonstrated that the virus is not related to the zoonotic Asian H5N6 strains that cause infections in humans. Furthermore, genetic analysis identified no sequence features related to increased human risk. There are no indications that mammals (such as humans) can be infected by the novel reassortant HPAI H5N6 viruses detected in the Netherlands, Greece, Japan, and Taiwan. We recommend further studies in mammals (ferrets or mice) to provide experimental data on the virulence for mammals.
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Technical Appendix

Technical Appendix Table 1. Calculated time to the most recent common ancestor for selected segments of avian influenza (H5N6) virus with 95% credible interval and posterior value*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Node†</th>
<th>tMRCA</th>
<th>95% HPD</th>
<th>Posterior value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2013 Sep 07</td>
<td>2012 Jun 04 - 2014 Oct 01</td>
<td>0.9965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2015 Nov 17</td>
<td>2014 Dec 10 - 2016 Jul 14</td>
<td>0.9999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2016 May 24</td>
<td>2015 Aug 16 - 2016 Nov 23</td>
<td>0.9966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2017 Oct 16</td>
<td>2017 Jul 07 - 2017 Dec 06</td>
<td>0.9988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2016 Jun 01</td>
<td>2016 Jan 15 - 2016 Sep 24</td>
<td>0.9994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2016 Jun 22</td>
<td>2016 Feb 20 - 2016 Oct 07</td>
<td>0.2298</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2016 Oct 08</td>
<td>2016 Jul 19 - 2016 Nov 22</td>
<td>0.9997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2017 Jul 26</td>
<td>2017 Feb 26 - 2017 Oct 31</td>
<td>0.9950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2017 Sep 14</td>
<td>2017 Jun 04 - 2017 Nov 20</td>
<td>0.9994</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HPD, highest posterior density interval; tMRCA, time to most recent common ancestor (median).
†Nodes of the time-scaled phylogenetic tree.

Technical Appendix Table 2. Analysis of sequence features in influenza viruses associated with increased human risk*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Present</th>
<th>Increased</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PB2</td>
<td>D256G</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q591K</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E627K</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D701N</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S714R</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB1†</td>
<td>V3A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H99Y</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Airborne transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K207R</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N328K</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I368V</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Airborne transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N375S</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>T85I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T97I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G186M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L336S</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S409N</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Human transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K615N</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G631S</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H5</td>
<td>Various residues</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Binding to α2–6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>119Y, 172A, 238L, 240S</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Airborne transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>450K, 459K, 403I</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Acid stability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>R99K</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Airborne transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N319K</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Replication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S345N</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Airborne transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q357K</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N6</td>
<td>V116A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Resistance to oseltamivir, zanamivir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E119V</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Resistance to oseltamivir, zanamivir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Q136K</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Resistance to zanamivir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D199N</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Resistance to oseltamivir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H275Y</td>
<td></td>
<td>Resistance to oseltamivir, zanamivir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>N30D</td>
<td>Yes†‡</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T139A</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y215A</td>
<td>Yes†‡</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS1</td>
<td>P42S</td>
<td>Yes†‡</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D92E</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L103F</td>
<td>Yes†‡</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I106M</td>
<td>Yes†‡</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>80–84</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Virulence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PB, polymerase basic; PA, polymerase; HA, hemagglutinin; NA, neuraminidase; M, matrix; NP, nucleoprotein; NS, nonstructural protein.
†PB1-F2 truncation amino acids 12–90
‡Sequence features of H5 Clade 2.3.4.4 viruses

Technical Appendix Figure 1. Map of the Netherlands, showing locations of the duck farm (red) and the dead wild birds infected with HPAI H5N6 (blue).
Technical Appendix Figure 2 (following pages). Phylogenetic analysis was performed for each gene segment. Related sequences in the GISAID database (http://platform.gisaid.org) were clustered using the CD-HIT algorithm, using identity setting of 0.97–0.985. Phylogenetic trees were generated using the neighbor-joining method with 1,000 bootstrap replicates within the MEGA6 software package. The maximum composite likelihood model was used with a gamma distribution (shape parameter = 1) for rate variation. The optimal phylogenetic trees are shown, and are drawn to scale. The GISAID accession numbers of the viruses are shown in the trees, as are the novel viruses isolated from the commercial duck farm (EPI ISL 287907), the tufted (EPI ISL 288412), and the 2 mute swans (EPI ISL 288409 and EPI ISL 288410). Groups A–D of clade 2.3.4.4 are indicated. The H5N6 viruses isolated in the Netherlands are in red, the H5N6 viruses isolated in Greece, Japan, and Taiwan are in blue, and the taxons comprising H5N6 viruses isolated from humans are in green. Segments shown are A) polymerase basic (PB2); B) polymerase basic (PB1); C) polymerase (PA); D) hemagglutinin (HA); E) nucleoprotein (NP); F) neuraminidase (NA); G) matrix protein (MP); H) nonstructural protein (NS).
Technical Appendix Figure 3 (following pages). Molecular dating was performed for the (A) hemagglutinin (HA) and (B) neuraminidase (NA) gene segments. We estimated the tMRCA of 86 HA and 118 NA gene segment sequences; the GISAID accession numbers of the viruses are shown in the trees. Time scaled phylogenies (dates shown on the horizontal axis) were reconstructed using a Bayesian Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) framework implemented in the BEAST software package (v 1.8.4) (http://beast.community/beast) with the SRD06 nt substitution model, the Bayesian Skyline coalescent model, and an uncorrelated lognormal relaxed molecular clock. Three MCMC runs of 50 × 10^6 states sampling each 5,000 steps were combined to obtain an effective sample size of >200. Maximum clade credibility (MCC) trees were reconstructed with 10% burn-in and the posterior distribution of relevant parameters were assessed in Fig Tree v 1.4.3 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/figtree). The H5N6 viruses isolated in the Netherlands are in red and those isolated in Greece, Japan, and Taiwan are in blue.