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We assessed Zika virus seroprevalence among healthy 1–4-year-old children using a serum sample collection assembled in 2014 representing 30 urban sites across Indonesia. Of 662 samples, 9.1% were Zika virus seropositive, suggesting widespread recent Zika virus transmission and immunity. Larger studies are needed to better determine endemicity in Indonesia.

Zika virus, first isolated in 1947 (1), is a flavivirus phylogenetically related to dengue virus (DENV) that is, like DENV, also transmitted by Aedes mosquitoes. Because of the epidemic that swept through the Americas in 2016, Zika virus infection is known to cause microcephaly, as well as other congenital defects and Guillain-Barré syndrome (2).

Zika virus has long been known to be endemic in Southeast Asia (3,4), but laboratory confirmation of infection can be challenging. Acute infections are often asymptomatic. In those who are symptomatic, viral RNA typically persists in blood <7 days and in urine <10 days after symptom onset, limiting the usefulness of nucleic acid testing (5). Zika virus antibody cross-reacting with DENV can confuse results of tests conducted in regions where the viruses co-circulate (6). Virus-specific neutralization assays can more accurately detect and measure Zika virus antibody, but because of their complex requirements, these tests have seldom been used in epidemiologic studies (7).

Acute Zika virus cases have been reported in Indonesia (8), Singapore (9), Malaysia (10), Vietnam (11), and Thailand (12). However, little is known about Zika virus prevalence in the region. Limited retrospective testing of archived specimens collected from clinically ill patients in Thailand (12) and Cambodia (13) suggest that incidence in these countries is low. However, given the limited number of samples tested and lack of confirmatory testing in these studies, information on prevalence and distribution is challenging to assess. Likewise, little is known about the prevalence and geographic distribution of Zika virus in Indonesia, the biggest country in Southeast Asia.

DENV and chikungunya virus, also transmitted by Aedes mosquitoes, are endemic throughout Indonesia, suggesting the ecologic conditions exist for Zika virus transmission as well. An estimated 80% of the population in Indonesia is infected with ≥1 DENV by the age of 10 years (14). In our study, we assessed Zika virus seroprevalence among healthy 1–4-year-old children to determine the prevalence and distribution of Zika virus in Indonesia.

The Study
We used serum samples collected during October–November 2014 for a previous population-based, cross-sectional cluster survey conducted to assess DENV seroprevalence; in the study, 3,312 samples were collected from 1–18-year-old children in 30 urban districts in 14 provinces of Indonesia (14). In our study, we assessed only the children 1–4 years (range 12–59 months) of age because these children were least likely to have cross-reactive DENV antibodies. Ethics clearance was obtained from the Health Research Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC; Atlanta, Georgia, USA).

Plaque reduction neutralization tests (PRNTs) that could differentiate Zika virus neutralizing antibodies from those produced in response to DENV infection were adapted from protocols developed by the CDC (online Technical Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/24/9/18-0582-Techapp1.pdf). The challenge virus used in the PRNT was Zika virus JMB-185, acquired from a patient in 2014 (8). Convalescent serum from this same patient was used as a PRNT positive control. We subjected all specimens
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to 2 tiers of testing by PRNT<sub>90</sub> (i.e., a PRNT in which serum samples suppressing ≥90% of challenge virus were considered positive for neutralizing antibody). In the first tier, we tested serum samples diluted 1:10. Samples that suppressed ≥90% of Zika virus PFUs were considered potentially positive for Zika virus antibodies because DENV-specific antibodies, if present, could have cross-reacted and neutralized Zika virus. We then subjected the specimens considered potentially positive to a second PRNT<sub>90</sub> in which we tested serum samples against Zika virus and all 4 DENV serotypes (online Technical Appendix). Specimens that tested positive for Zika virus neutralizing antibody and negative for DENV neutralizing antibody by PRNT<sub>90</sub> were classified as Zika virus seropositive, as were specimens that had Zika virus PRNT<sub>90</sub> titers >4-fold higher than all DENV PRNT<sub>90</sub> titers. We categorized specimens as flavivirus seropositive when Zika virus neutralizing antibodies were present but at titers <4-fold higher than any DENV neutralizing antibody titer (online Technical Appendix Table). We also tested a subset of samples for Japanese encephalitis virus antibody by PRNT<sub>90</sub>; none of the samples tested had a titer >20, and none of the sample classifications were changed after testing.

In the initial PRNT<sub>90</sub> screening, we detected possible Zika virus antibody in 73 (11.0%) of the 662 serum samples (Table). Of these, 72 had a sufficient volume to undergo second-tier testing; 60 (83.3%) of 72 samples were Zika virus seropositive, and 12 (16.7%) were flavivirus seropositive. Serum samples from 11 of 14 provinces were Zika virus seropositive, and the collections from the provinces ranged from ~4.5% seropositive (North Sumatra, Banten, East Kalimantan) to >18% seropositive (Central Java, Jambi, Figure). Overall, Zika virus seroprevalence in the 1–4-year-old cohort was 9.1% (95% CI 3.95%–11.01%).

Our assessment, involving use of the PRNT<sub>90</sub>, which is highly specific for Zika virus antibodies, indicates widespread, recent Zika virus infection in much of western and central Indonesia. Our criterion for confirmed Zika virus antibodies (i.e., PRNT<sub>90</sub> titer for Zika virus >4-fold higher than that for any DENV in the same specimen) is the international standard. In just 2% (12/662) of specimens, we could not determine whether the antibodies were Zika virus or DENV specific. When using the more conservative criterion of only classifying a sample as positive for Zika virus antibodies if no DENV-specific neutralizing antibodies are detected, the number of Zika virus antibody–positive samples decreases by only 6, leaving 54 samples still classified as Zika virus seropositive. Further evidence for the validity of the PRNT<sub>90</sub> was that DENV neutralizing antibody–positive samples were negative for the presence of Zika virus neutralizing antibodies across a range of titers (R.T. Sasmono, unpub. data).

Although our data provide some evidence regarding geographic distribution, no information is presented regarding a specific threshold titer associated with clinical illness or a correlation between geography and titer. Further studies involving larger sample sets would be necessary to address these topics. The single age group, relatively small number of specimens, and limited number of sites, particularly from eastern parts of the country, do not give a comprehensive picture of endemicity throughout Indonesia. The small numbers of specimens available from most localities did not enable accurate estimation of the proportional differences between localities. We could perform PRNT<sub>90</sub> with samples from the remaining cohort (the 5–18-year-olds), but we expect higher percentages of non-specific flavivirus seropositivity in the samples from this older age group.

### Table. Seropositivity of 1–4-year-old urban children for Zika virus and other flaviviruses, by province, Indonesia, October–November 2014<sup>*</sup>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Province</th>
<th>Suspected Zika virus seropositive†</th>
<th>Confirmed Zika virus seropositive‡</th>
<th>Flavivirus seropositive§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aceh</td>
<td>0 (0/22)</td>
<td>0 (0/22)</td>
<td>0 (0/22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Sumatra</td>
<td>9.1 (2/22)</td>
<td>4.5 (1/22)</td>
<td>4.5 (1/22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Sumatra</td>
<td>18.2 (4/22)</td>
<td>13.6 (3/22)</td>
<td>4.5 (1/22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jambi</td>
<td>18.2 (4/22)</td>
<td>18.2 (4/22)</td>
<td>0 (0/22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lampung</td>
<td>8.7 (2/23)</td>
<td>8.7 (2/23)</td>
<td>0 (0/23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banten</td>
<td>4.4 (2/45)</td>
<td>4.4 (2/45)</td>
<td>0 (0/45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DKI Jakarta</td>
<td>10.6 (7/66)</td>
<td>10.6 (7/66)</td>
<td>0 (0/66)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Java</td>
<td>11.1 (17/153)</td>
<td>8.5 (13/153)</td>
<td>2.0 (3/153)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central Java</td>
<td>20.5 (18/88)</td>
<td>18.2 (16/88)</td>
<td>2.3 (2/88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Java</td>
<td>11.7 (13/111)</td>
<td>9.0 (10/111)</td>
<td>2.7 (3/111)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bali</td>
<td>0 (0/22)</td>
<td>0 (0/22)</td>
<td>0 (0/22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Kalimantan</td>
<td>4.5 (1/22)</td>
<td>4.5 (1/22)</td>
<td>0 (0/22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Sulawesi</td>
<td>0 (0/22)</td>
<td>0 (0/22)</td>
<td>0 (0/22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southeast Sulawesi</td>
<td>13.6 (3/22)</td>
<td>4.5 (1/22)</td>
<td>9.1 (2/22)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All provinces 11.0 (73/662), 95% CI 5.34–13.32 9.1 (60/662), 95% CI 3.95–11.01 1.8 (12/662), 95% CI 0.23–3.35

<sup>*</sup>DENV, dengue virus; PRNT<sub>90</sub>, plaque reduction neutralization test with neutralization defined as ≥90% reduction in challenge virus PFUs.

<sup>†</sup>Serum samples that neutralized ≥90% of the challenge virus at a 1:10 dilution on initial Zika virus PRNT<sub>90</sub> screening.

<sup>‡</sup>Serum samples that neutralized Zika virus only or had a PRNT<sub>90</sub> titer >4-fold higher for Zika virus than for any DENV.

<sup>$</sup>Serum samples that neutralized Zika virus and DENV and had a PRNT<sub>90</sub> titer for Zika virus that was >4-fold higher than that for any DENV.
Conclusions
Much has been published on epidemic Zika virus, but little is known about the effect of Zika virus in endemic areas. Determining the prevalence of Zika virus in Indonesia can provide clues to its potential long-term public health significance in endemic settings. Mild or asymptomatic infection is common, and confusion with dengue during diagnosis probably accounts for how long Zika virus was unrecognized in Indonesia and other areas of Southeast Asia. Besides the need to better evaluate Zika virus incidence and distribution, a high priority for future investigations will be determining the extent of Zika virus–related birth defects. If, like other flaviviruses, a primary Zika virus infection results in lifelong immunity, infections during childhood could reduce a person’s risk for infection later in life and thus the incidence of Zika virus–related birth defects. This knowledge provides clues for understanding future patterns of Zika virus transmission in the Americas.
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Zika virus (ZIKV), a mosquito-transmitted flavivirus, has been isolated from sentinel monkeys, mosquitoes, and sick persons in Africa and Southeast Asia. Serologic surveys indicate that ZIKV infections can be relatively common among persons in southeastern Senegal and other areas of Africa, but that ZIKV-associated disease may be underreported or misdiagnosed. In 2007, a large outbreak of ZIKV infection occurred on Yap Island in the southwestern Pacific that infected ≈70% of the island’s inhabitants, which highlighted this virus as an emerging pathogen. The purpose of this study was to investigate and report 3 unusual cases of arboviral disease that occurred in Colorado in 2008.

Clinical and serologic evidence indicates that two American scientists contracted Zika virus infections while working in Senegal in 2008. One of the scientists transmitted this arbovirus to his wife after his return home. Direct contact is implicated as the transmission route, most likely as a sexually transmitted infection.