Rickettsiales in Ticks Removed from Outdoor Workers, Southwest Georgia and Northwest Florida, USA
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We determined the prevalence of selected Rickettsiales in 362 ticks removed from outdoor workers in southwest Georgia and northwest Florida, USA. Persons submitted an average of 1.1 ticks/month. We found *Ehrlichia chaffeensis* in an *Amblyomma maculatum* tick, and Panola Mountain *Ehrlichia* sp. in 2 *A. maculatum* ticks and 1 *Dermacentor variabilis* tick.

The southeastern United States has multiple tick species that can transmit pathogens to humans. The most common tick species, *Amblyomma americanum*, is the vector for the causative agents of human ehrlichioses and southern tick-associated rash illness, among others (1). *Dermacentor variabilis* ticks can transmit the causative agent of Rocky Mountain spotted fever, and *Ixodes scapularis* ticks can transmit the causative agents of Lyme disease, babesiosis, and human granulocytic anaplasmosis (1). Although less common in the region, *A. maculatum* ticks are dominant in specific habitats and can transmit the causative agent of *Rickettsia parkeri* rickettsiosis (1).

Persons who have occupations that require them to be outside on a regular basis might have a greater risk for acquiring a tickborne disease (2). Although numerous studies have been conducted regarding risks for tickborne diseases among forestry workers in Europe, few studies have been performed in the United States (2,3). The studies that have been conducted in the United States have focused on forestry workers in the northeastern region (2). However, because of variable phenology and densities of ticks, it is useful to evaluate tick activity and pathogen prevalence in various regions and ecosystems.

Burn-tolerant and burn-dependent ecosystems, such as pine (*Pinus* spp.) and mixed pine forests commonly found in the southeastern United States, have unique tick dynamics compared with those of other habitats (4). The objective of this study was to determine the tick bite risk and tickborne pathogen prevalence in ticks removed from forestry workers working in pine and mixed pine forests in southwest Georgia and northwest Florida, USA.

During June 2009–December 2011, forestry workers in southwestern Georgia (7 counties) and northwestern Florida (1 county) submitted ticks crawling on or attached to them. We identified ticks and tested them for selected pathogens (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/25/5/18-0438-App1.pdf). Immature forms of the same species from the same day and person were pooled (≤5 nymphs and ≤20 larvae) for testing.

A total of 53 persons submitted 362 ticks (Table). Excluding larvae, the most common tick species submitted was *A. maculatum*, followed by *A. americanum*, *I. scapularis*, and *D. variabilis*. On 4 occasions, 1 person submitted *A. tuberculatum* ticks (3 batches of larvae and 1 batch of nymphs) from a longleaf pine site in Baker County, Georgia. Average submissions per person were 2.6 ticks (median 1 tick), but 1 person submitted 100 ticks. A total of 24 persons submitted ticks more than once, and they submitted an average of 0.08–6.5 ticks/month (overall average submission rate of 1.1 ticks/month). Three ticks were engorged (1 *D. variabilis* adult, 1 *A. americanum* nymph, and 1 *Amblyomma* sp. nymph); only the *Amblyomma* sp. nymph was positive for a pathogen (*R. amblyomma*).

*Rickettsia* spp. prevalence was 36.4% in adult, 27.9% in nymphal, and 20% in larval *A. americanum* ticks; *R. amblyomma* was the only species identified (Table). *Rickettsia* spp. were detected in 23% of *A. maculatum* adults; *R. amblyomma* was most common (6.0%), followed by...
R. parkeri (4.8%). A previously detected novel Rickettsia sp. was identified in 10 of 11 A. tuberculatum larval pools and was reported by Zemtsova et al. (6). An additional pool of A. tuberculatum nymphs was tested in this study and also was positive for the novel Rickettsia sp. E. chaffeensis was detected in 1 A. maculatum adult (prevalence 1.2%), and Panola mountain Ehrlichia sp. was detected in 2 A. maculatum adults (prevalence 2.4%) and 1 D. variabilis adult (prevalence 10%). No ticks were positive for Borrelia spp., E. ewingii, or Anaplasma phagocytophilum.

Thus, forestry workers were found to encounter ticks on a regular basis, and peak encounter rates reflected previously reported tick seasonality in this region (4). Only 3 (0.8%) of the ticks submitted were engorged, indicating prompt removal of most ticks and thus low risk for pathogen transmission. A. maculatum, a fairly uncommon tick in the southeastern United States, was the most commonly submitted tick. However, A. maculatum ticks dominate in regularly burned pine ecosystems (4), which is where most of these workers spent their time.

We observed several unique findings related to pathogens during this study. Larvae and nymphs of A. tuberculatum ticks were submitted on multiple occasions, a tick rarely reported on humans (7). These findings, in conjunction with the identification of a novel Rickettsia sp. (6), suggest that additional research is warranted. This study also identified E. chaffeensis and Panola Mountain Ehrlichia in A. maculatum ticks. Although A. americanum ticks are considered the primary vector of Ehrlichia spp., these pathogens have been occasionally reported in questing A. maculatum ticks, suggesting that this tick might be involved in their transmission cycles (5,8). We also detected Panola Mountain Ehrlichia in 1 D. variabilis tick. Thus, further research regarding these alternative tick species as potential vectors of these pathogens is warranted, particularly in the case of A. maculatum ticks, which were a common species on forestry workers and are widespread in this region (4).
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We report a case of hepatic brucelloma in France. This diagnosis may be suspected in any patient who has a liver abscess after traveling to a brucellosis-endemic area. *Brucella* spp. may be detected by PCR in the liver tissue or suppuration. Abscess drainage and prolonged antimicrobial therapy help achieve healing.

Brucellosis is a zoonosis found worldwide (1,2) caused by gram-negative, facultative intracellular bacteria of the genus *Brucella*. Approximately 500,000 new infections are diagnosed annually, mainly in the Mediterranean basin, the Middle East, Latin America, and Asia (1–3). Brucellosis is a rare and mainly imported disease in other countries, including France (1,4). *Brucella* infection usually occurs after contact with infected animals or consumption of contaminated unpasteurized dairy products. Hepatic brucelloma (HB) is a chronic form of brucellosis arising up to 40 years after initial infection (1,3,5). Only 60 cases (1%–2% of all brucellosis infections) have been reported in English-language literature since 1904 (1,3,5,6). HB is associated with nonspecific systemic clinical symptoms (e.g., fever, malaise, weight loss, upper abdominal pain), moderate biologic abnormalities, and typical hypodense hepatic lesion with peripheral enhancement and central calcification (1–3,5,6).

In April 2015, a previously healthy 55-year-old woman was referred to Grenoble University Hospital after 7 days of fever, asthenia, and weight loss. She had lived in France for 20 years, but had been born in and had traveled every year to Algeria. Her clinical examination was unrevealing. Blood tests showed moderate inflammation and anicteric cholestasis (Table). Hepatic ultrasound (HUS) and computed tomography (CT) confirmed a defect 60 mm in diameter in liver segments IV and VIII with several subcapsular liquid collections and central calcification (Appendix Figure, panel A, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/25/5/18-0613-App1.pdf).

Blood cultures remained sterile. Serologic test results were negative for HIV, amebiasis, and echinococcosis, but positive for *Yersinia enterocolitica* serotype O:9 and *Brucella* sp. (Table). HUS-guided drainage of the abscess yielded thick purulent fluid. Fluid cultures were negative, but we detected *Brucella melitensis* DNA by PCR amplification and sequencing of the 16S rRNA–encoding gene. Histological findings of liver tissue were compatible with a chronic abscess. We confirmed diagnosis on 2 occasions by PCR detection of *Brucella* DNA in the liver abscess, as previously reported (1,3,5–7). The serologic profile was suggestive of chronic brucellosis combining low IgM but strong IgG *Brucella* antibody titers (1,3,5,7). However, *Brucella* serologic diagnosis is poorly specific, due to antigenic cross-reactions (e.g.,
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