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We developed an electronic records methodology to programmatically estimate the date of first appearance of coccidioidomycosis symptoms in patients. We compared the diagnostic delay with overall healthcare utilization charges. Many patients (46%) had delays in diagnosis of >1 month. Billed healthcare charges before diagnosis increased with length of delay.

Coccidioidomycosis (also known as Valley fever) is an endemic fungal infection (1,2). In Arizona, USA, it is responsible for one quarter of all community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) (3,4). Although accurate diagnosis requires specific laboratory tests (5), such testing was only ordered in ≤13% of patients with CAP in Phoenix, Arizona (6), raising the possibility that delays in accurate diagnosis might be extensive. We report a retrospective analysis from the coccidioidomycosis-endemic region of Phoenix to estimate diagnostic delays and healthcare utilization before and after a coccidioidal diagnosis was confirmed.

The Study

This study focused on cases recorded at clinics operated by Banner Health in the Phoenix area, using programmatic use of data from NextGen (https://www.nextgen.com). This electronic medical record system was used during the study period by most of Banner Health’s clinics but not its hospitals. We programmatically searched the system for all patients >18 years of age who were seen from January 1, 2011, through December 31, 2014, whose records showed codes from the International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision (ICD-9), that indicated coccidioidomycosis (114.*). The earliest diagnosis of coccidioidomycosis served as the diagnosis date for each patient. We excluded patients with a coccidioidomycosis diagnosis in 2011 who also had a coccidioidomycosis diagnosis in the prior 12 months. We corroborated all diagnoses by a programmatic identification of any positive coccidioidal serologic test result (5) ≤30 days before or >60 days after the date of diagnosis.

To estimate the date when patients first sought medical attention for their illness, we used a set of ICD-9 codes that represented an expansion of codes previously associated with early coccidioidal illnesses that were used in a Phase I independent chart review of 37 patients performed by 2 physicians in training and an internist (7). For our study, an internist and a medical student independently reviewed all ICD-9 codes occurring 6 months before the coccidioidomycosis diagnosis and included only those that they both judged to be similar to the original set from the previous Phase I study. An infectious disease specialist settled cases of nonconcordance. We removed 3 Phase I symptoms that were deemed erroneous. This process resulted in a total of 121 ICD-9 codes (Appendix Table, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/25/8/19-0019-App1.pdf). We defined the date of first presentation (i.e., the date signs and symptoms were first clinically noted) as the earliest record in which ≥1 of the expanded ICD-9 codes were recorded, within 6 months before the diagnosis date. We calculated the delay in diagnosis as the difference between the date of first presentation and the diagnosis date.

Healthcare charges represented total healthcare utilization. Billing data were available for ambulatory care from NextGen and for Banner Health’s hospitalizations from MedSeries4 (Siemens Medical Solutions USA, Inc., https://www.siemens.com). We defined healthcare utilization as the total charges, for any cause, from the date of first visit to 6 months after the diagnosis date. We distinguished total charges from actual reimbursement amount because the total is only 1 aspect of a complicated financial billing system.

We used the SAS Enterprise Guide Software Suite version 7.13 (SAS Institute Inc., https://www.sas.com) for preliminary results from this study were presented in part at the 62nd Annual Coccidioidomycosis Study Group Meeting, April 14, 2018, Flagstaff, Arizona, USA.
data retrieval and analysis. The Banner Health Institutional Review Board approved this study.

We identified newly diagnosed coccidioidal infections in 139 patients. The mean patient age was 49.2 years (SD ± 15.3 years) (Appendix Figure 1), and 52% of patients were female.

The 3 most frequently occurring coccidioidal ICD-9 codes were unspecified (114.9, 47%), primary pulmonary (114.0, 37%), and pulmonary unspecified (114.5, 8%) (Appendix Figure 2). Confirmatory serologic tests were recorded within 15 days before or after the date of diagnosis in 81% of patients (Appendix Figure 3).

The expanded set of ICD-9 codes failed to identify an initial presentation date for 20 patients. Of the 119 patients with an identified presentation date, 16 (13%) received a diagnosis on the day of presentation, 24% within 1 week of first presentation, 46% within 1 month, and 54% from 30 days to 6 months after presentation (Figure).

We queried total charges for all 139 patients from the date of first visit (the coccidioidomycosis diagnosis date, if no initial presentation date was available) until 6 months after the diagnosis date. By cross-referencing patient identifiers, we found 66 (47%) patients to have hospitalization billings, which were included in the total healthcare cost. Median healthcare charges seemed to increase as diagnosis delays increased (Table). When we compared diagnosis delay to the log-normal of total charges, we found a significant, positive, linear relationship for overall charges (Figure).

Conclusions

For this retrospective study, we developed a set of ICD-9 codes to use as a surrogate indicator for the date coccidioidomycosis patients were first seen within Banner Health clinics for signs or symptoms of their infection. This list expanded on an initial set of codes discovered through a manual chart review (7). Many of the codes are common and are unlikely themselves to be predictive of diagnosis. Previous studies have been unable to meaningfully distinguish presenting syndromes of coccidioidomycosis in patients from other overlapping etiologies (3,4,8). However, this code set was useful to computationally establish the date of first healthcare contact for these patients. If this analytic method is validated and perhaps improved in future studies, it could allow for automated monitoring of diagnostic delay as a metric of quality assessment, without the need for expert chart review.

Of the 139 patients analyzed in this study, 20 did not have an eligible ICD-9 code, and 16 others had their initial presentation code recorded on the same day as their coccidioidomycosis diagnosis. Factors leading to these problems might include failure of a clinician to record sufficient diagnostic information or use of codes that are not sufficiently comprehensive. Future studies could manually examine the full patient records to further expand the code set. It is also possible that patients entered the Banner Health electronic system with a diagnosis of coccidioidomycosis from elsewhere or that the coccidioidal infection was truly without symptoms.

This investigation identified a prolonged diagnosis delay for much of the population; 46% had a delay >1 month (Figure). With increased delays, total healthcare charges increased substantially. However, this study was

---

**Table.** Median charges by monthly range of diagnostic delays before and after the date of coccidioidomycosis diagnosis for cohort of patients in Phoenix, Arizona, USA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time from presentation to diagnosis, mo</th>
<th>No. patients</th>
<th>Before diagnosis</th>
<th>After diagnosis</th>
<th>Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>$373</td>
<td>$30,081</td>
<td>$637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>$791</td>
<td>$217,662</td>
<td>$2,707</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4–6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>$915</td>
<td>$338,810</td>
<td>$1,199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No recorded symptoms</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>$4,172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>$637</td>
<td>$586,553</td>
<td>$875</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NA, not applicable.
not limited to coccidioidomycosis-related charges; any diagnosis delay could result in increased healthcare utilization, regardless of relationship to coccidioidomycosis. In addition, data gaps may have occurred because of difficulty in cross-referencing identifiers or visits by patients to a non-Banner facility. However, our findings are consistent with the simple concept that diagnostic delays in a protracted coccidioidal illness would result in additional testing, visits, and possible use of empiric antibacterial treatments until a precise diagnosis is achieved.

Our study investigates only patients with confirmed coccidioidomycosis and not patients who never received a correct diagnosis. Previous studies indicate that appropriate testing for coccidioidomycosis is often not done in Phoenix and Tucson (6,9,10). Thus, the costs of delayed coccidoidal diagnosis probably underestimates the situation for this clinical practice within a coccidioidomycosis-endemic region. A recent study of coccidioidomycosis diagnosed outside endemic regions also showed substantial delays (11), making our research relevant to those with a recent travel history to endemic regions.
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