Public mental health response to coronavirus disease is essential. After reviewing systemic and local efforts in China, we found efficient coordination and human resources. We recommend better symptom assessment, monitoring of organizations, and basic needs protection. This recommendation can inform how other countries can overcome mental health challenges during this pandemic.

The coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak and quarantines have caused major distress in China (1,2). Therefore, effective public mental health response to COVID-19 is needed (3). We review systemic and local mental health efforts in China based on psychiatric emergency guidelines from the Inter-Agency Standing Committee (4). These guidelines are coordination between multiple sectors; human resources; assessment, monitoring, and evaluation; and protection and human rights standards. Our discussion will inform mental health response for the COVID-19 pandemic.

Mental health efforts in China have been coordinated and facilitated through multiple systems, including government, academic societies, universities, hospitals, and nonprofit organizations (5). Services include a countrywide 24/7 hotline, text support through apps, psychoeducation materials, and webinars (5). The government prioritized psychosocial support for COVID-19, as shown by the National Health Commission mandate requiring all mental health associations to provide psychosocial support, establish professional focus groups, and aid the provincial and city health departments (6).

Academic organizations in psychology (Chinese Psychological Society [CPS]) (Table) and psychiatry (Chinese Society of Psychiatry) provide evidence-based guidelines on psychosocial support and training (5,7). The Ministry of Education (MoE) has mandated all college counselors across the nation to volunteer for the primary Huazhong University hotline at the epicenter in Wuhan (8). At the systemic level, there is good coordination and human resources. The government agencies coordinate human resources, and academic associations provide professional knowledge and guidelines for frontline effort.

Coordination and resource allocation were compiled from local efforts at the Wuhan epicenter (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/10/20-1113-App1.pdf). On January 23, 2020, immediately after the quarantine, Zhongnan Hospital and the Hubei Psychological Consultant Association began offering hotline services. As of April 30, more than 2,000 persons had been served. Beyond the hotline, Wuhan University and Huazhong
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University provide online text support through apps staffed by >3,000 professionals across China. This support demonstrates how hospitals, professional associations, and universities have collectively provided immediate resources. Furthermore, resources have been mobilized from other regions to support the epicenter. The hotline of Huazhong University became the primary hotline for Hubei residents and was staffed by college counselors throughout China under the mandate of the MoE (8). Psychologists and nurses from other provinces were dispatched to Wuhan Third Hospital on January 28. Psychosocial efforts might be sourced by different organizations, but they illustrate pooling of resources and coordination from other regions to ensure access to psychosocial support at the epicenter.

The MoE and CPS recruited professionals and volunteers across China, which suggests adequate resource allocation (5,7,8). CPS trained 1,448 registered psychologists in train-the-trainer workshops (8); these psychologists in turn supervised and provided live consultations to frontline volunteers (7). China has also implemented Artificial Intelligence Tree Holes Rescue to reduce suicidal risk. These programs demonstrate efficient task-sharing, by pooling professionals together, supervising less-trained staff, and using technology to overcome resource shortages.

The Inter-Agency Standing Committee calls for assessment of mental well-being and program evaluation of psychosocial support effectiveness (4). Guidelines of the National Health Commission document the need for assessment and program evaluation, but enforcement was unclear beyond the guidelines (6). Although there were nationwide surveys of psychological well-being (9,10), they did not describe use of surveys in psychological services. Clinical assessment, such as previous mental illness history or distressors (e.g., grief, financial stress), should be routinely integrated into services.

CPS published a list of approved hotline organizations based on survey evaluation of organizations (8). However, this survey was not conducted until 3 weeks after the outbreak. At the outset of a psychiatric emergency, a team of professionals should evaluate and monitor whether individual organizations meet national guidelines. A negative experience from an unregulated organization can deter persons from seeking help.

Although COVID-19 does not cause intentional harm, there are human rights issues on access to basic needs (4). During the sudden lockdown of Wuhan, access to food and medical needs was threatened because of food hoarding, price gouging, and transportation freeze. In response, the government coordinated supply with tons of vegetables and meat. These threats were documented by nationwide surveys of well-being of persons. Professionals can further use these documentations to advocate for victims. For example, professionals can educate policymakers about the need for transparency, such

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Coronavirus disease</th>
<th>Chinese Psychological Society response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2019 Dec 9</td>
<td>First suspected case</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019 Dec 31</td>
<td>Cluster of pneumonia cases in Wuhan</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Jan 20</td>
<td>Cases in China, Thailand, Japan, and South Korea</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Jan 21</td>
<td>Cases reported in other provinces</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Jan 23</td>
<td>Lockdown of Wuhan</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Jan 25</td>
<td>Cases in all of China except Tibet</td>
<td>Published self-help article on emotional support</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Jan 26</td>
<td>No event</td>
<td>Conducted first round of training for supervisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Jan 27</td>
<td>Lockdown of all cities in Hubei</td>
<td>Published list of psychologist consultants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Jan 28</td>
<td>No event</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Jan 29</td>
<td>No event</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Jan 30</td>
<td>World Health Organization declared public health emergency of international concern</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Feb 2</td>
<td>No event</td>
<td>Publications handbook for hotline organizations and volunteers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Feb 3</td>
<td>No event</td>
<td>Published list of organizations for hotline and counseling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Feb 5</td>
<td>Foreign airlines cancelled flights to China</td>
<td>Updated guidelines for hotline organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Feb 6</td>
<td>No event</td>
<td>Published ethics guidelines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Feb 7</td>
<td>Death of whistleblower doctor</td>
<td>Updated handbook for general public</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Feb 9</td>
<td>No event</td>
<td>Conducted second round of training for supervisors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Feb 10</td>
<td>No event</td>
<td>Published hotline support questions and answers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Feb 13</td>
<td>No event</td>
<td>Updated handbook for hotline organizations and volunteers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Mar 3</td>
<td>No event</td>
<td>Published list of 52 recommended hotline organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020 Mar 8</td>
<td>No event</td>
<td>Conducted 7-day self-help psychosocial support for healthcare workers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
as informing the public about food shortage while reassuring the public that supply will arrive in a few days. China has provided free, country-wide psychosocial support, funded by the government and institutions (5–7). The accessibility is remarkable compared with that in other countries that depend on health insurance benefits.

Our review suggests that China has overcome resource shortages with coordination and resource allocation in its mental health response. The government, universities, and academic societies provide coordination, and independent organizations provide local support. We recommend integration of assessment in direct support, monitoring of organizations, and advocating for affected persons. These recommendations can inform how other countries can overcome shortage of mental health resources when facing this pandemic.
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We compared levels of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 neutralizing antibodies in recovery plasma from 7 completely asymptomatic coronavirus disease patients with those in symptomatic patients in South Korea. We found that serologic diagnostic testing was positive for 71% (5/7) of completely asymptomatic patients, but neutralizing antibody response occurred in all 7 patients.

1 These first authors equally contributed to this article.
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Appendix

Personal Perspectives

The sudden lockdown in Wuhan led to psychological distress (1–3). In response, there has been coordination of national and local mental health efforts across China and at the epicenter (Wuhan) (4–12). With the plethora of mental health resources described, little has been written about the firsthand experience and unique challenges from psychosocial support organizations. Below are perspectives from a frontline volunteer and the cofounder of Yong Xin Kang Yi (用心抗疫 [Fighting Coronavirus with Heart]), a major psychosocial support organization with >120 volunteers who are licensed professionals in China and overseas (13).

The cofounder was one of the coauthors (H.C.). Yong Xin Kang Yi is a volunteer-based organization that serves medical staff. We began recruitment on January 24 and the hotline started on January 27. With additional support from the community, we eventually added the following services: confidential texting, support for online medical group, webinars, online groups, self-care manuals, and psychoeducation for the public. Together, our organization has >200 volunteers, 20 clinical supervisors, and 100 administrative staff. Up until now, we have served 300 frontline healthcare staff and >10,000 persons viewed our webinars. We intervened in 3 suicidal crisis cases. During each hotline shift, there is a supervisor on-call to provide immediate support to the hotline volunteer.

We have encountered some challenges. The primary challenges were related to volunteers in terms of their limited time availability and limited experience in crisis intervention. Examples of other challenges include not having a toll-free hotline and not being listed on the national hotline registry until early March.

On the basis of experiences during the past 2 months, I have learned many lessons. It is useful to be agile and adaptable to the situations. For example, because medical staff were too tired to talk after their shift, we began providing support by texts. As a result, more persons used
our services. We immediately strengthened the crisis intervention skills of our volunteers with more training and supervision after someone showed a risk for suicide.

Because we also noticed grief and anger of volunteers in the wake of Dr. Li Wenliang’s death, we facilitated group compassion exercises for the volunteers. These exercises were effective in reducing burnout. We found it helpful to guide our organization on the basis of the ethical value of Do-No-Harm and evidence-based knowledge. Despite various practical challenges, our organization worked to help our service users feel validated and supported.

Psychosocial support for a disease outbreak differs from that for other emergencies, such as a natural disaster or a terrorist attack. Because the coronavirus disease outbreak is an ongoing pandemic, rather than a discontinued event, it has complicated the ability to provide direct support to frontline staff. Given that this crisis has mixed natural and human causes, early psychological reactions include both anxiety toward outbreak uncertainties and also societal resentment. There are often new discoveries about this unknown disease, and this requires volunteers to constantly keep themselves up-to-date with new developments. Psychosocial support needs to be timely. Otherwise, it could contribute to societal panic and chaos. Volunteers and supervisors need to practice good self-care to prevent compassion fatigue. Psychosocial support during an epidemic requires quick decision-making and flexibility.

One of the coauthors (B.Z.) was a hotline volunteer from January through February. I received mostly text messages from ≈15 persons, and most of them were frontline medical staff and their family and friends. Presenting concerns included stress, anxiety, panic attacks, fear, anger, relationship conflicts, and helplessness. I practiced several effective approaches, such as empathic listening, normalization of feelings, and psychoeducation about stress reactions. Other approaches included motivational interviewing, and teaching coping skills (e.g., grounding, relaxation, communication). Reflecting on these hotline calls, I learned sometimes the most appropriate intervention is to put off intervening and to make space for callers to let out their emotions and to be heard. One major challenge I experienced was maintaining the flow of dialogues, which was more difficult given that the support was provided by texts, which was not instant information exchange. Nevertheless, the convenience it offers cannot be overlooked, especially at a time when phone access might be limited to the frontline health providers.
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