

# Multiple Introductions of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhi H58 with Reduced Fluoroquinolone Susceptibility into Chile

Mailis Maes,<sup>1</sup> Zoe A. Dyson,<sup>1</sup> Ellen E. Higginson, Alda Fernandez, Pamela Araya, Sharon M. Tennant, Stephen Baker, Rosanna Lagos, Myron M. Levine, Juan Carlos Hormazabal,<sup>2</sup> Gordon Dougan<sup>2</sup>

*Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi H58, an antimicrobial-resistant lineage, is globally disseminated but has not been reported in Latin America. Genomic analysis revealed 3 independent introductions of *Salmonella* Typhi H58 with reduced fluoroquinolone susceptibility into Chile. Our findings highlight the utility of enhanced genomic surveillance for typhoid fever in this region.

*Salmonella enterica* serovars Typhi, Paratyphi A, and Paratyphi B are the etiologic agents of typhoid and paratyphoid fever. Each year, ≈11–21 million cases and 128,000–161,000 typhoid-related deaths occur, making typhoid a continued health concern in many low- and middle-income countries, particularly among populations without access to clean water or improved sanitation (1). *Salmonella* Typhi H58 lineage, genotype 4.3.1, commonly is associated with multidrug resistance, including resistance to chloramphenicol, ampicillin, and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. In addition, isolates exhibiting resistance to fluoroquinolones have been linked to emergent clades of genotype 4.3.1 in South Asia (2), the spread of which could cause major challenges for disease management.

*Salmonella* Typhi H58 4.3.1 is the dominant genotype in many parts of Southeast and South Asia

and in East Africa (3) and has spread globally but has not been reported in Latin America. Recent data on typhoid fever in South America are limited, and little is known about the population structure and antimicrobial susceptibility profiles of *Salmonella* Typhi on the continent. However, a report of 402 *Salmonella* Typhi isolates collected in Colombia during 2012–2015 showed that only 2.2% were resistant to fluoroquinolones (4). In 2016, Colombia reported collecting 204 *Salmonella* Typhi isolates, 12.7% of which exhibited decreased susceptibility to fluoroquinolones (5). Because these reports did not include whole-genome sequencing (WGS) data, determining whether isolates were genotype 4.3.1 is not possible.

Before the 1970s, typhoid fever was endemic in parts of South America and hyperendemic in Chile. However, water quality and sanitation improvements across the continent, partly in response to a major cholera epidemic in 1991, likely have contributed to a steep decline in the incidence of typhoid fever (6). During 1982–1992, Chile implemented interventions to reduce typhoid fever, including immunizing schoolchildren, prohibiting use of untreated sewage to irrigate crops, and detecting and treating chronic carriers. These interventions drastically reduced transmission and typhoid incidence has declined to 0.2 cases/100,000 persons (7), including in the greater Santiago metropolitan region (8).

Chile's epidemiologic surveillance system tracks suspected typhoid fever. Two thirds of cases are confirmed by pathogen isolation from ordinarily sterile body fluids, such as blood or bone marrow. *Salmonella* Typhi isolates from Chile typically are susceptible

Author affiliations: University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK (M. Maes, Z.A. Dyson, E.E. Higginson, S. Baker, G. Dougan); Monash University, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia (Z.A. Dyson); London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine, London, UK (Z.A. Dyson); Instituto de Salud Publica de Chile, Santiago, Chile (A. Fernandez, P. Araya, J.C. Hormazabal); University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA (S.M. Tennant, M. M. Levine); Hospital de Niños de Santiago, Santiago, Chile (R. Lagos)

<sup>1</sup>These first authors contributed equally to this work.


<sup>2</sup>These authors contributed equally to this work.

to antimicrobial agents, but ciprofloxacin resistance has been reported. Among isolates collected during 2009–2016, nearly 2% were ciprofloxacin resistant and 14% displayed intermediate resistance (9). We used WGS and bioinformatic analyses to characterize *Salmonella* Typhi isolates from Chile to determine if antimicrobial-resistant H58 4.3.1 isolates have been introduced into South America.


## The Study

We used a HiSeq WGS platform (Illumina, <https://www.illumina.com>) to generate 150 bp paired-end reads from *Salmonella* Typhi isolates collected during 2011–2017 by Chile's National Typhoid Surveillance System. We assigned sequences to previously

defined genotypes and identified 7 genotype 4.3.1 isolates (Appendix 1, <https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/11/20-1676-App1.pdf>). Isolates were obtained from clinical cases in the Santiago metropolitan region: 1 in 2012, 5 in 2015, and 1 in 2016. For global context, we analyzed these 7 genomes and 2,386 publicly available sequences (Appendix 2 Table 1, <https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/11/20-1676-App2.xlsx>). Among publicly available sequences, 2,326 were genotype 4.3.1 and 60 were non-4.3.1 genotypes (Appendix 1 Table 2). We used the non-4.3.1 genotypes and a *Salmonella* Paratyphi A sequence as an outgroup for phylogenetic tree rooting. We produced clean and filtered SNP alignments (Appendix 1) and used these alignments to



**Figure 1.** Global context of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi genotype 4.3.1 from Chile. *Salmonella* Typhi H58 genotype 4.3.1-based phylogenetic tree. Branches are colored by genotypes labeled in the tree. A, B, and C arrows indicate isolates from the Chile and the 3 independent introductions. The inner circle indicates country of isolation. The middle circle indicates AMR, excluding reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones caused by QRDR SNPs; MDR, including resistance to chloramphenicol, ampicillin, and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole; or XDR, multidrug resistance plus resistance to third-generation cephalosporins and reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones. The outer circle indicates number of SNPs, 0, 1, 2 or 3, in the quinolone resistance determining region of *gyrA* and *parC* genes. Scale bar indicates nucleotide substitutions per site. AMR, antimicrobial resistance; MDR, multidrug-resistant; QRDR, quinolone-resistance determining region; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; XDR, extremely drug-resistant.



**Figure 2.** Nearest-neighbor calculations of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi of genotype 4.3.1 and maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees for 3 introductions of *Salmonella* Typhi genotype 4.3.1 into Chile in the context of their closest *Salmonella* Typhi isolate neighbors. A) Isolate collected during 2012–2014 resembles isolates from South Asia. B) Isolate collected during 2015 resembles isolates from India. C) Isolate collected in 2016 is closely related to a cluster of sequences from India and Bangladesh. Accession numbers, genotypes, countries, and years of isolation are shown. Stars indicate mutations in the quinolone resistance determining region of genes *gyrA*, *gyrA*-S83F and *gyrA*-D87N, and *parC*-S80I. Scale bars indicate SNP distance. SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.

infer maximum likelihood phylogenies and specified a generalized time-reversible model and a Gamma distribution to model site-specific rate variation by using GTRGAMMA in RAxML version 8.2.9 (<https://github.com/stamatak/standard-RAxML>) and 100 bootstrap pseudoreplicates to assess branch support. SNP distances were calculated by using *snp-dists* (<https://github.com/tseemann/snp-dists>; Appendix 2). Raw Illumina reads were assembled by using either Velvet version 1.2 (European Bioinformatics Institute, <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/~zerbino/velvet>) or Unicycler version 0.4.7 (10). Assembled reads were input into Pathogenwatch (<https://pathogen.watch>) to detect nonsynonymous mutations in the quinolone-resistance determining region of *gyrA* and *parC* genes responsible for reduced fluoroquinolone susceptibility. We also used this approach to look for known antimicrobial resistance (AMR) genes. We further screened the sequences from Chile and close genetic relatives to determine molecular determinants of AMR and known plasmid replicon genes (Appendix 1 Table).

Phylogenomic and SNP analyses confirmed 7 *Salmonella* Typhi genotype 4.3.1 isolates from Chile. Contact tracing implies that 4/5 isolates from 2015 were part of a localized outbreak. We found that the 7 isolates were members of 2 different sublineages, lineage I (4.3.1.1) and lineage II (4.3.1.2), suggesting multiple introductions into Chile. The 2 isolates of lineage I carried a single *gyrA*-S83F mutation predicted to confer reduced susceptibility to fluoroquinolones. The 5 lineage II isolates carried 3 quinolone-resistance determining region mutations, 2 in *gyrA* genes, S83F and D87N, and 1 in *parC*-S80I. Genotype 4.3.1 triple mutants were predicted to be resistant to fluoroquinolones, and isolates of this sublineage with identical mutations have been observed on the subcontinent of India and have been associated with treatment failure (2,11,12). None of the lineage II triple mutants in Chile carried detectable horizontally acquired AMR genes.

To provide a global contextualization of *Salmonella* Typhi genotype 4.3.1 in Chile, we analyzed the novel sequences alongside 2,326 existing sequences from 31 countries (Figure 1). The 4.3.1.2 triple

mutants from Chile formed a closely related phylogenetic cluster (median distance 2 SNPs) with sequences that have the same antimicrobial susceptibility profile isolated from India during 2012–2014, indicating an introduction from South Asia (Figure 2, panel A).

The two 4.3.1.1 isolates from 2015 and 2016 in Chile were in distinct subclades of the tree and were separated by 19 SNPs, suggestive of 2 separate introductions. Of these, 1 introduction was closely related to a 2015 isolate from India (5 SNPs apart) (Figure 2, panel B) and the other was nested in a cluster of sequences from Southeast and South Asia and most closely related (median distance of 20 SNPs) to sequences from India and Bangladesh (Figure 2, panel C).

## Conclusions

Our study confirmed *Salmonella* Typhi H58 genotype 4.3.1 in South America. Phylogenomic and SNP analyses indicate  $\geq 3$  separate genotype introductions into Chile; 5/7 isolates carried 3 distinct mutations, 2 in the *gyrA* gene, at D87N and S83F, and 1 in the *parC* gene at S80I, which are associated with ciprofloxacin resistance. For a high-income country with adequate surveillance, like Chile, the presence of fluoroquinolone-resistant genotype 4.3.1 *Salmonella* Typhi has no immediate implications. However, if this genotype is transferred to low- or middle-income countries in South America, it could have major consequences. Therefore, these data should be of concern to other countries in the region where potential typhoid fever transmission remains high and adequate sanitation might be lacking (5,6,10). Ciprofloxacin is a first-line drug for typhoid fever in much of Latin America, and fluoroquinolone-resistant genotype 4.3.1 would reduce its long-term efficacy.

Most diagnostic laboratories across South America are using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis to study *Salmonella* Typhi epidemiology (13), but efforts are underway to implement WGS for epidemiologic surveillance in several countries (14,15). However, WGS-based approaches for detecting genotype 4.3.1 and understanding trends in genotype population, circulating lineages, and AMR dynamics have not been adopted widely across the region. Our work highlights the need for a uniform WGS platform for global *Salmonella* Typhi monitoring and the need to elucidate the current epidemiology of typhoid fever in South America.

## Acknowledgments

The authors thank Jennifer Jones for her assistance with isolation of genomic DNA, the Wellcome Sanger Institute

for facilitating sequencing, and Nick Thompson for enabling access to the Sanger cluster and pipelines.

This work was supported by The Wellcome Trust (STRATAA grant nos. 106158 and 098051) and the Gates Foundation (TyVAC grant no. OPP1151153). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

M.M. and G.D. receive funding from the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) of the Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre at the Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health and Social Care.

## About the Author

Ms. Maes is a PhD student at the University of Cambridge. Her research interests include the intracellular pathogen *Salmonella* Typhi, phylogenetics of *Salmonella* Typhi in Latin America, and the behavior of *Salmonella* Typhi in macrophages and the gallbladder.

## References

1. World Health Organization. Typhoid [cited 2020 Apr 16]. <https://www.who.int/health-topics/typhoid>
2. Wong VK, Baker S, Pickard DJ, Parkhill J, Page AJ, Feasey NA, et al. Phylogeographical analysis of the dominant multidrug-resistant H58 clade of *Salmonella* Typhi identifies inter- and intracontinental transmission events. *Nat Genet.* 2015;47:632–9. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3281>
3. Park SE, Pham DT, Boinett C, Wong VK, Pak GD, Panzner U, et al. The phylogeography and incidence of multi-drug resistant typhoid fever in sub-Saharan Africa. *Nat Commun.* 2018;9:5094. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07370-z>
4. Diaz-Guevara P, Montaña LA, Duarte C, Zabaleta G, Maes M, Martinez Angarita JC, et al. Surveillance of *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi in Colombia, 2012–2015. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2020;14:e0008040. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0008040>
5. Pan American Health Organization. Epidemiological alert, *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi haplotype H58, 18 October 2018 [cited 2020 Apr 16]. <https://iris.paho.org/handle/10665.2/50533>
6. Marco C, Delgado I, Vargas C, Muñoz X, Bhutta ZA, Ferreccio C. Typhoid fever in Chile 1969–2012: Analysis of an epidemic and its control. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2018;99(3\_Suppl):26–33. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.18-0125>
7. Government of Chile, Department of Epidemiology. Quarterly epidemiological bulletin 2015 Jan 13 [in Spanish] [cited 2020 Apr 16]. [http://epi.minsal.cl/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/FT\\_BET2\\_2015.pdf](http://epi.minsal.cl/wp-content/uploads/2016/01/FT_BET2_2015.pdf)
8. Gauld JS, Hu H, Klein DJ, Levine MM. Typhoid fever in Santiago, Chile: insights from a mathematical model utilizing venerable archived data from a successful disease control program. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis.* 2018;12:e0006759. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006759>
9. Ministerio de Salud, Chile; Instituto de Salud Pública. Antimicrobial resistance bulletin 2018 [in Spanish] [cited


- 2020 Apr 16]. <http://www.ispch.cl/sites/default/files/BoletinRam2018-08012019A.pdf>
10. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput Biol*. 2017;13:e1005595. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595>
  11. Wong VK, Baker S, Connor TR, Pickard D, Page AJ, Dave J, et al.; International Typhoid Consortium. An extended genotyping framework for *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi, the cause of human typhoid. *Nat Commun*. 2016;7:12827. <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12827>
  12. Pham Thanh D, Karkey A, Dongol S, Ho Thi N, Thompson CN, Rabaa MA, et al. A novel ciprofloxacin-resistant subclade of H58 *Salmonella* Typhi is associated with fluoroquinolone treatment failure. *eLife*. 2016; 5:e14003. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.14003>
  13. Campos J, Pichel M, Vaz TMI, Tavechio AT, Fernandes SA, Muñoz N, et al. Building PulseNet Latin America and Caribbean *Salmonella* regional database: first conclusions of genetic subtypes of *S. Typhi*, *S. Typhimurium* and *S. Enteritidis* circulating in six countries of the region. *Food Res Int*. 2012;45:1030–6. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2011.10.020>
  14. Baker KS, Campos J, Pichel M, Della Gaspera A, Duarte-Martínez F, Campos-Chacón E, et al. Whole genome sequencing of *Shigella sonnei* through PulseNet Latin America and Caribbean: advancing global surveillance of foodborne illnesses. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2017;23:845–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2017.03.021>
  15. Chinen I, Campos J, Dorji T, Pérez Gutiérrez E. PulseNet Latin America and the Caribbean network: present and future. *Foodborne Pathog Dis*. 2019;16:489–97. <https://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2018.2587>

Address for correspondence: Mailis Maes, Cambridge Institute of Therapeutic Immunology & Infectious Disease Medicine, University of Cambridge, Puddicombe Way, Cambridge, Cambridgeshire CB2 0AW, UK; email: mm2032@medschl.cam.ac.uk

September 2020

## Coronavirus

- Disparate Effects of Invasive Group A *Streptococcus* on Native Americans
- Seroepidemiologic Study Designs for Determining SARS-COV-2 Transmission and Immunity
- Polyclonal *Burkholderia cepacia* Complex
- Outbreak in Peritoneal Dialysis Patients Caused by Contaminated Aqueous Chlorhexidine
- Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Prevalence, Seroprevalence, and Exposure among Evacuees from Wuhan, China, 2020
- Pathology and Pathogenesis of SARS-CoV-2 Associated with Fatal Coronavirus Disease, United States
- Encephalopathy and Encephalitis Associated with Cerebrospinal Fluid Cytokine Alterations and Coronavirus Disease, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 2020
- Invasive Infections with *Nannizziopsis obscura* Species Complex in 9 Patients from West Africa, France, 2004–2020
- *Saprochaete clavata* Outbreak Infecting Cancer Center through Dishwasher
- Retrospective Description of Pregnant Women Infected with Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2, France



- Evaluation of World Health Organization– Recommended Hand Hygiene Formulations
- Heterogeneity of Dengue Illness in Community-Based Prospective Study, Iquitos, Peru
- Association of Biosecurity and Hygiene Practices with Environmental Contamination with Influenza A Viruses in Live Bird Markets, Bangladesh
- Costs Associated with Nontuberculous Mycobacteria Infection, Ontario, Canada, 2001–2012
- No Change in Risk for Antibiotic-Resistant Salmonellosis from Beef, United States, 2002–2010
- Detection of H1 Swine Influenza A Virus Antibodies in Human Serum Samples by Age Group
- Incidence and Seroprevalence of Avian Influenza in a Cohort of Backyard Poultry Growers, Egypt, August 2015– March 2019
- Risk-Based Estimate of Human Fungal Disease Burden, China
- Molecular Description of a Novel *Orientia* Species Causing Scrub Typhus in Chile
- Large Outbreak of Coronavirus Disease among Wedding Attendees, Jordan
- Q Fever Osteoarticular Infection in Children
- Updated Estimates of Chronic Conditions Affecting Risk for Complications from Coronavirus Disease, United States
- Web-Based Interactive Tool to Identify Facilities at Risk of Receiving Patients with Multidrug-Resistant Organisms
- Isolation, Sequence, Infectivity, and Replication Kinetics of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2

**EMERGING  
INFECTIOUS DISEASES**

To revisit the September 2020 issue, go to:  
<https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/articles/issue/26/9/table-of-contents>

# Multiple Introductions of *Salmonella enterica* Serovar Typhi H58 with Reduced Fluoroquinolone Susceptibility, Chile

## Appendix 1

### Computational Methods

#### Read Alignment and SNP Analysis

Seven *Salmonella* Typhi isolates collected from Chile during 2012–2016 were identified as being members of genotype 4.3.1, formerly known as H58 under the haplotyping scheme of Roumagnac et al. 2006 (1) by using Pathogenwatch (<https://pathogen.watch>). Two isolates were typed as H58 lineage 1 (genotype 4.3.1.1), and another further 5 were determined to be members of H58 lineage II (genotype 4.3.1.2). Because *Salmonella* Typhi genotype 4.3.1 has not been reported in South America to date, we contextualized these 7 isolates with a global collection of 2,326 previously published genotype 4.3.1 sequenced to date (2–11; Rahman et al. unpub. data, <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/664136v1>) to determine if these might be recent introductions to the region. Subsequently, *Salmonella* Typhi raw read data from all isolates were mapped to the CT18 reference sequence (accession no. AL513382) by using the RedDog mapping pipeline version 1β.11 (<https://github.com/katholt/RedDog>). RedDog uses Bowtie version 2.2.9 (12) to map reads to the reference genome and SAMtools version 1.3.1 (13) to identify SNPs that have phred quality scores >30, and to filter out SNPs supported by <5 reads or with 2.5× the average read depth that represent putative repetitive sequences, or those with ambiguous base calls. For every SNP that passed these criteria in any 1 isolate, the consensus base calls for the SNP locus were extracted from all mapped genomes and those with phred scores <20 were treated as unknown alleles and represented with a gap character. These SNPs were used to confirm the 7 *Salmonella* Typhi genomes from Chile were members of genotype 4.3.1 according to an extended global *Salmonella* Typhi framework (4,14; Rahman et al. unpub.

data, <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/664136v1>) with the GenoTyphi python script (<https://github.com/katholt/genotyphi>).

Chromosomal SNPs with confident homozygous allele calls (phred score >20) in all genomes mapped were concatenated to form an alignment of alleles at 15,434 variant sites. SNPs called in both prophage and repetitive sequences of 354 kbp; »74% of bases in the CT18 reference sequence, as defined previously (2,3; Rahman et al. unpub. data, <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/664136v1>), were excluded along with recombinant regions detected by Gubbins version 2.3.2 (15) giving a final alignment length of 11,145 chromosomal SNPs out of a total alignment length of 4,462,203 bp for 2,334 H58 isolates (Appendix 2 Table 1, <https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/11/20-1676-App2.xlsx>). SNP alleles from 60 *Salmonella* Typhi genomes, and *Salmonella* Paratyphi A AKU1\_12601 (accession no. FM2000053) were included as an outgroup for phylogenetic tree rooting (Appendix 2 Table 2). SNP distances were calculated using snp-dists (<https://github.com/tseemann/snp-dists>).

### **Phylogenetic Analysis**

Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees were inferred from the chromosomal SNP alignments with RAxML version 8.2.9 (16). A generalized time-reversible model and Gamma distribution were used to model site-specific rate variation (GTR+  $\Gamma$  substitution model) by using GTRGAMMA in RAxML with 100 bootstrap pseudoreplicates to assess branch support for the maximum likelihood phylogeny. Resulting phylogenies were visualized with Microreact (17) (<https://microreact.org/project/ktISRBvRz>) and iTOL (18). Raw read data were deposited in the European Nucleotide Archive and individual accession numbers are listed in Appendix 2 Table 1.

### **Molecular Determination of AMR Genes and Plasmids**

Whole sequences were screened using SRST2 version 0.2.0 (19) with the ARG-ANNOT (20) and PlasmidFinder (21) databases to determine molecular determinants of AMR and known plasmid replicon genes

### **References**

1. Roumagnac P, Weill F-X, Dolecek C, Baker S, Brisse S, Chinh NT, et al. Evolutionary history of *Salmonella* Typhi. Science. 2006;314:1301–4. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1134933](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1134933)

2. Ingle DJ, Nair S, Hartman H, Ashton PM, Dyson ZA, Day M, et al. Informal genomic surveillance of regional distribution of *Salmonella* Typhi genotypes and antimicrobial resistance via returning travellers. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13:e0007620. [PubMed](#)  
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007620>
3. Wong VK, Baker S, Connor TR, Pickard D, Page AJ, Dave J, et al.; International Typhoid Consortium. An extended genotyping framework for *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi, the cause of human typhoid. Nat Commun. 2016;7:12827. [PubMed](#) <https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12827>
4. Britto CD, Dyson ZA, Duchene S, Carter MJ, Gurung M, Kelly DF, et al. Laboratory and molecular surveillance of paediatric typhoidal *Salmonella* in Nepal: antimicrobial resistance and implications for vaccine policy. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018;12:e0006408. [PubMed](#)  
<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006408>
5. Britto CD, Dyson ZA, Mathias S, Bosco A, Dougan G, Jose S, et al. Persistent circulation of a fluoroquinolone-resistant *Salmonella enterica* Typhi clone in the Indian subcontinent. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2020;75:337–41. [PubMed](#) <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkz435>
6. Klemm EJ, Shakoor S, Page AJ, Qamar FN, Judge K, Saeed DK, et al. Emergence of an extensively drug-resistant *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhi clone harboring a promiscuous plasmid encoding resistance to fluoroquinolones and third-generation cephalosporins. MBio. 2018;9:e00105–18. [PubMed](#) <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.00105-18>
7. Matono T, Morita M, Yahara K, Lee KI, Izumiya H, Kaku M, et al. Emergence of resistance mutations in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi against fluoroquinolones. Open Forum Infect Dis. 2017;4:ofx230. [PubMed](#) <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofx230>
8. Park SE, Pham DT, Boinett C, Wong VK, Pak GD, Panzner U, et al. The phylogeography and incidence of multi-drug resistant typhoid fever in sub-Saharan Africa. Nat Commun. 2018;9:5094. [PubMed](#) <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-07370-z>
9. Tanmoy AM, Westeel E, De Bruyne K, Goris J, Rajoharison A, Sajib MSI, et al. *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhi in Bangladesh: exploration of genomic diversity and antimicrobial resistance. MBio. 2018;9:e02112–8. [PubMed](#) <https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02112-18>
10. Pham Thanh D, Thompson CN, Rabaa MA, Sona S, Sopheary S, Kumar V, et al. The molecular and spatial epidemiology of typhoid fever in rural Cambodia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2016;10:e0004785. [PubMed](#) <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004785>

11. Hooda Y, Sajib MSI, Rahman H, Luby SP, Bondy-Denomy J, Santosham M, et al. Molecular mechanism of azithromycin resistance among typhoidal *Salmonella* strains in Bangladesh identified through passive pediatric surveillance. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2019;13:e0007868. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007868](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007868)
12. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 2012;9:357–9. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923)
13. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al. 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics. 2009;25:2078–9. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352)
14. Wong VK, Baker S, Connor TR, Pickard D, Page AJ, Dave J, et al.; International Typhoid Consortium. An extended genotyping framework for *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhi, the cause of human typhoid. Nat Commun. 2016;7:12827. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12827](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12827)
15. Croucher NJ, Page AJ, Connor TR, Delaney AJ, Keane JA, Bentley SD, et al. Rapid phylogenetic analysis of large samples of recombinant bacterial whole genome sequences using Gubbins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015;43:e15. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1196](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1196)
16. Stamatakis A. RAxML-VI-HPC: maximum likelihood-based phylogenetic analyses with thousands of taxa and mixed models. Bioinformatics. 2006;22:2688–90. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl446)
17. Argimón S, Abudahab K, Goater RJE, Fedosejev A, Bhai J, Glasner C, et al. Microreact: visualizing and sharing data for genomic epidemiology and phylogeography. Microb Genom. 2016;2:e000093. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000093](https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000093)
18. Letunic I, Bork P. Interactive Tree Of Life (iTOL): an online tool for phylogenetic tree display and annotation. Bioinformatics. 2007;23:127–8. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl529](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btl529)
19. Inouye M, Dashnow H, Raven LA, Schultz MB, Pope BJ, Tomita T, et al. SRST2: rapid genomic surveillance for public health and hospital microbiology labs. Genome Med. 2014;6:90. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-014-0090-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13073-014-0090-6)
20. Gupta SK, Padmanabhan BR, Diene SM, Lopez-Rojas R, Kempf M, Landraud L, et al. ARG-ANNOT, a new bioinformatic tool to discover antibiotic resistance genes in bacterial genomes.

Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58:212–20. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01310-13](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01310-13)

21. Carattoli A, Zankari E, García-Fernández A, Voldby Larsen M, Lund O, Villa L, et al. In silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2014;58:3895–903. [PubMed https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02412-14](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02412-14)

**Appendix Table.** Metadata and SRST2 results from isolates of *Salmonella* Typhi H58 from Chile and nearest neighbors

Accession no.	Original publication	Genotype	Country	Year	QRDR mutations		Resistance genes	Plasmids
					<i>gyrA</i>	<i>parC</i>		
SRR1957928	Ingle et al. 2019 (2)	4.3.1.2	India	2014	D87N, S83F	S80I	–	–
ERS3348134	This study	4.3.1.2	Chile	2012	D87N, S83F	S80I	–	–
ERS3348156	This study	4.3.1.2	Chile	2015	D87N, S83F	S80I	–	–
ERS3348160	This study	4.3.1.2	Chile	2015	D87N, S83F	S80I	–	–
ERS3348158	This study	4.3.1.2	Chile	2015	D87N, S83F	S80I	–	–
ERS3348157	This study	4.3.1.2	Chile	2015	D87N, S83F	S80I	–	–
DRR071039	Matono et al. 2017 (7)	4.3.1.2	India	2012	D87N, S83F	S80I	–	–
DRR071040	Matono et al. 2017 (7)	4.3.1.2	India	2012	D87N, S83F	S80I	–	–
DRR071041	Matono et al. 2017 (7)	4.3.1.2	India	2012	D87N, S83F	S80I	–	–
SRR5990036	Ingle et al. 2019 (2)	4.3.1.1	India	2015	S83F	–	–	pHCM2
ERS3348167	This study	4.3.1.1	Chile	2015	S83F	–	–	pHCM2
ERS362834	Wong et al. 2016 (3)	4.3.1.1	India	2009	D87N	–	–	pHCM2
SRR5982990	Ingle et al. 2019 (2)	4.3.1.1	India	2016	D87N	–	–	pHCM2
ERS1867197	Britto et al. 2020 (5)	4.3.1.1	India	2016	–	–	–	pHCM2
ERS248938	Wong et al. 2016 (3)	4.3.1.1	India	2006	–	–	–	pHCM2
ERS326284	Wong et al. 2016 (3)	4.3.1.1	India	2011	–	–	–	pHCM2
ERS326476	Wong et al. 2016 (3)	4.3.1.1	India	2011	–	–	–	pHCM2
ERS3348176	This study	4.3.1.1	Chile	2016	S83F	–	–	–
DRR071000	Matono et al. 2017 (7)	4.3.1.1	India	2001	S83F	–	–	–
SRR5193310	Ingle et al. 2019 (2)	4.3.1.1	India	2015	S83F	–	–	–
ERR2663466	Tanmoy et al. 2018 (9)	4.3.1.1	Bangladesh	1999	S83F	–	–	–
ERS168231	Wong et al. 2016 (3)	4.3.1.1	India	2006	S83F	–	–	–
ERR2663468	Tanmoy et al. 2018 (9)	4.3.1.1	Bangladesh	2001	S83F	–	–	–

\*QDR, quinolone resistance determining region.