## Temporary Fertility Decline after a Large Rubella Outbreak, Japan

## Appendix

Appendix Table 1.	Cross-correlation coefficients	between rubella cases	, Google Trends,	and elevated fertility rate	s, 2012–2018,
Tokyo, Japan*					

	·	·			y	
Lag time,	Rubella cases vs. fer	tility rates	Google Trends vs. fer	tility rates	Rubella cases vs. Google Trends	
mo	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error
-12	-0.014	0.33	-0.022	0.33	-0.023	0.33
-11	0.004	0.33	-0.004	0.33	0.006	0.33
-10	0.013	0.32	0.031	0.32	0.013	0.32
-9	0.042	0.32	0.006	0.32	0.026	0.32
-8	0.095	0.32	0.070	0.32	0.011	0.32
-7	0.093	0.31	0.148	0.31	0.013	0.31
-6	0.153	0.31	0.161	0.31	0.029	0.31
-5	0.168	0.30	0.186	0.30	0.100	0.30
-4	0.105	0.30	0.055	0.30	0.271	0.30
-3	0.079	0.30	0.022	0.30	0.506	0.30
-2	0.006	0.29	-0.018	0.29	0.787	0.29
-1	-0.001	0.29	-0.019	0.29	0.926	0.29
0	-0.048	0.29	-0.105	0.29	0.931	0.29
1	-0.044	0.29	-0.102	0.29	0.749	0.29
2	-0.066	0.29	-0.059	0.29	0.482	0.29
3	0.009	0.30	0.037	0.30	0.224	0.30
4	0.102	0.30	0.144	0.30	0.019	0.30
5	0.150	0.30	0.186	0.30	-0.083	0.30
6	0.154	0.31	0.189	0.31	-0.122	0.31
7	0.053	0.31	0.023	0.31	-0.111	0.31
8	-0.081	0.32	-0.160	0.32	-0.084	0.32
9	-0.280	0.32	-0.382	0.32	-0.055	0.32
10	-0.390	0.32	-0.468	0.32	-0.040	0.32
11	-0.408	0.33	-0.397	0.33	-0.036	0.33
12	-0.284	0.33	-0.170	0.33	-0.037	0.33

\*Bold text indicates statistical significance. Data on Google searches for the term "rubella" collected from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).

Appendix Table 2.	Cross-correlation coeffic	ients between	rubella cases,	Google 7	Trends,	and elevated f	fertility rates	, 2012–2018,
Kanagawa, Japan*				-			-	

	Rubella cases vs. fer	ility rates	Google Trends vs. fertility rates		Rubella cases vs. Google Trends	
Lag time, mo	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error
-12	-0.015	0.33	-0.012	0.33	-0.002	0.33
-11	0.026	0.33	0.003	0.33	0.020	0.33
-10	0.082	0.32	0.112	0.32	0.026	0.32
-9	0.129	0.32	0.138	0.32	0.027	0.32
-8	0.221	0.32	0.222	0.32	0.011	0.32
-7	0.204	0.31	0.213	0.31	0.004	0.31
6	0.162	0.31	0.148	0.31	0.021	0.31
-5	0.115	0.30	0.090	0.30	0.077	0.30
-4	0.006	0.30	-0.047	0.30	0.210	0.30
-3	-0.067	0.30	-0.086	0.30	0.434	0.30
-2	-0.100	0.29	-0.153	0.29	0.717	0.29
-1	-0.114	0.29	-0.132	0.29	0.917	0.29
0	-0.164	0.29	-0.187	0.29	0.979	0.29
1	-0.129	0.29	-0.119	0.29	0.806	0.29
2	-0.076	0.29	-0.032	0.29	0.530	0.29
3	0.051	0.30	0.080	0.30	0.236	0.30
4	0.189	0.30	0.232	0.30	0.018	0.30
5	0.214	0.30	0.199	0.30	-0.092	0.30
6	0.189	0.31	0.198	0.31	-0.127	0.31
7	0.078	0.31	0.029	0.31	-0.109	0.31
8	-0.082	0.32	-0.123	0.32	-0.063	0.32
9	-0.320	0.32	-0.385	0.32	-0.021	0.32
10	-0.428	0.32	-0.461	0.32	-0.006	0.32
11	-0.446	0.33	-0.432	0.33	-0.009	0.33
12	-0.272	0.33	-0.238	0.33	-0.022	0.33
*Bold text indica	tes statistical significance. D	ata on Google	searches for the term "rubella	a" collected from	Google Trends (https://trends.	google.com).

Appendix Table 3. Cross-correlation coefficients between rubella cases, Google Trends, and elevated fertility rates, 2012–2018,

Osaka, Japan						
	Rubella cases vs. fer	tility rates	Google Trends vs. fer	tility rates	Rubella cases vs. Google Trends	
Lag time, mo	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error
-12	-0.020	0.33	-0.048	0.33	-0.028	0.33
-11	-0.046	0.33	-0.052	0.33	-0.014	0.33
-10	-0.083	0.32	-0.081	0.32	-0.014	0.32
-9	-0.108	0.32	-0.115	0.32	-0.008	0.32
-8	-0.090	0.32	-0.049	0.32	-0.029	0.32
-7	-0.016	0.31	0.034	0.31	-0.056	0.31
-6	0.167	0.31	0.136	0.31	-0.068	0.31
-5	0.266	0.30	0.238	0.30	-0.047	0.30
-4	0.178	0.30	0.207	0.30	0.030	0.30
-3	0.042	0.30	0.050	0.30	0.215	0.30
-2	-0.122	0.29	-0.130	0.29	0.509	0.29
-1	-0.278	0.29	-0.242	0.29	0.800	0.29
0	-0.263	0.29	-0.259	0.29	0.952	0.29
1	-0.099	0.29	-0.175	0.29	0.870	0.29
2	0.027	0.29	0.007	0.29	0.573	0.29
3	0.163	0.30	0.169	0.30	0.231	0.30
4	0.271	0.30	0.286	0.30	0.000	0.30
5	0.296	0.30	0.316	0.30	-0.113	0.30
6	0.240	0.31	0.263	0.31	-0.142	0.31
7	0.062	0.31	0.065	0.31	-0.109	0.31
8	-0.153	0.32	-0.156	0.32	-0.057	0.32
9	-0.365	0.32	-0.356	0.32	-0.027	0.32
10	-0.424	0.32	-0.437	0.32	-0.021	0.32
11	-0.319	0.33	-0.361	0.33	-0.025	0.33
12	-0.149	0.33	-0.202	0.33	-0.030	0.33

\*Bold text indicates statistical significance. Data on Google searches for the term "rubella" collected from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).

	Rubella cases vs. fer	tility rates	Google Trends vs. fertility rates		Rubella cases vs. Google Trends	
Lag time, mo	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error
-12	-0.041	0.33	-0.043	0.33	0.004	0.33
-11	-0.056	0.33	-0.077	0.33	0.038	0.33
-10	-0.103	0.32	-0.087	0.32	0.059	0.32
-9	-0.089	0.32	-0.094	0.32	0.053	0.32
-8	-0.016	0.32	-0.012	0.32	0.012	0.32
-7	0.064	0.31	0.103	0.31	-0.024	0.31
-6	0.175	0.31	0.181	0.31	-0.040	0.31
-5	0.188	0.30	0.198	0.30	-0.014	0.30
-4	0.143	0.30	0.129	0.30	0.083	0.30
-3	0.075	0.30	0.087	0.30	0.285	0.30
-2	-0.005	0.29	-0.033	0.29	0.593	0.29
-1	-0.156	0.29	-0.169	0.29	0.879	0.29
0	-0.257	0.29	-0.298	0.29	0.981	0.29
1	-0.268	0.29	-0.246	0.29	0.843	0.29
2	-0.103	0.29	-0.107	0.29	0.538	0.29
3	0.121	0.30	0.101	0.30	0.240	0.30
4	0.258	0.30	0.274	0.30	0.009	0.30
5	0.347	0.30	0.346	0.30	-0.092	0.30
6	0.265	0.31	0.269	0.31	-0.126	0.31
7	0.086	0.31	0.067	0.31	-0.115	0.31
8	-0.118	0.32	-0.116	0.32	-0.086	0.32
9	-0.309	0.32	-0.310	0.32	-0.060	0.32
10	-0.410	0.32	-0.398	0.32	-0.039	0.32
11	-0.402	0.33	-0.393	0.33	-0.038	0.33
12	-0.254	0.33	-0.263	0.33	-0.037	0.33

**Appendix Table 4.** Cross-correlation coefficients between rubella cases, Google Trends, and elevated fertility fates, 2012–2018, Hyogo, Japan\*

\*Bold text indicates statistical significance. Data on Google searches for the term "rubella" collected from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).

**Appendix Table 5.** Cross-correlation coefficients between influenza cases, Google Trends, and elevated fertility rates, 2012–2018, Tokyo, Japan\*

	Influenza cases vs. fertility rates		Google Trends vs. fertility rates		Influenza cases vs. Google Trends	
Lag time, mo	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error
-12	-0.093	0.33	-0.098	0.33	0.354	0.33
–11	-0.168	0.33	-0.227	0.33	0.376	0.33
-10	-0.053	0.32	-0.157	0.32	0.329	0.32
-9	-0.094	0.32	-0.187	0.32	0.079	0.32
-8	-0.099	0.32	-0.156	0.32	-0.144	0.32
-7	-0.039	0.31	-0.015	0.31	-0.271	0.31
-6	0.012	0.31	0.067	0.31	-0.335	0.31
-5	0.001	0.3	0.051	0.3	-0.354	0.3
-4	0.069	0.3	0.129	0.3	-0.384	0.3
-3	0.155	0.3	0.157	0.3	-0.308	0.3
-2	0.073	0.29	-0.004	0.29	-0.089	0.29
-1	-0.112	0.29	-0.059	0.29	0.467	0.29
0	0.043	0.29	0.065	0.29	0.914	0.29
1	-0.143	0.29	-0.117	0.29	0.528	0.29
2	-0.222	0.29	-0.183	0.29	0.19	0.29
3	-0.062	0.3	-0.043	0.3	-0.001	0.3
4	0.123	0.3	0.093	0.3	-0.18	0.3
5	0.077	0.3	0.103	0.3	-0.323	0.3
6	0.117	0.31	0.097	0.31	-0.391	0.31
7	0.117	0.31	0.082	0.31	-0.346	0.31
8	-0.062	0.32	-0.056	0.32	-0.277	0.32
9	-0.114	0.32	-0.097	0.32	-0.161	0.32
10	-0.004	0.32	-0.021	0.32	0.006	0.32
11	0.061	0.33	0.006	0.33	0.183	0.33
12	-0.083	0.33	-0.137	0.33	0.377	0.33

\*Bold text indicates statistical significance. Data on Google searches for the term "influenza" collected from Google Trends

(https://trends.google.com).

Appendix Table 6.	Cross-correlation c	coefficients betwe	en influenza	cases, G	Google T	Frends, a	and elevated	fertility rates	, 2012–2018,
Kanagawa, Japan*									

	Influenza cases vs. fertility rates		Google Trends vs. fertility rates		Influenza cases vs. Google Trend	
Lag time, mo	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error
-12	-0.089	0.33	-0.076	0.33	0.358	0.33
-11	-0.156	0.33	-0.163	0.33	0.362	0.33
-10	-0.073	0.32	-0.155	0.32	0.304	0.32
-9	-0.196	0.32	-0.265	0.32	0.079	0.32
-8	-0.133	0.32	-0.144	0.32	-0.137	0.32
-7	0.005	0.31	-0.032	0.31	-0.258	0.31
-6	0.015	0.31	0.054	0.31	-0.316	0.31
-5	0.148	0.3	0.125	0.3	-0.332	0.3
-4	0.092	0.3	0.081	0.3	-0.371	0.3
-3	0.079	0.3	0.062	0.3	-0.299	0.3
-2	0.09	0.29	0.029	0.29	-0.099	0.29
-1	-0.039	0.29	0.006	0.29	0.431	0.29
0	-0.074	0.29	0.007	0.29	0.916	0.29
1	-0.153	0.29	-0.071	0.29	0.507	0.29
2	-0.204	0.29	-0.157	0.29	0.163	0.29
3	-0.078	0.3	-0.015	0.3	0.016	0.3
4	0.208	0.3	0.184	0.3	-0.139	0.3
5	0.109	0.3	0.098	0.3	-0.286	0.3
6	0.084	0.31	0.089	0.31	-0.35	0.31
7	0.037	0.31	0.007	0.31	-0.313	0.31
8	-0.101	0.32	-0.061	0.32	-0.255	0.32
9	-0.034	0.32	-0.043	0.32	-0.153	0.32
10	0.014	0.32	-0.031	0.32	-0.015	0.32
11	0.065	0.33	0.024	0.33	0.143	0.33
12	-0.055	0.33	-0.057	0.33	0.334	0.33

\*Bold text indicates statistical significance. Data on Google searches for the term "influenza" collected from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).

Appendix Table 7. Cross-correlation coefficients between influenza cases,	Google Trends, and elevated fertility rates, 2012-2018,
Osaka, Japan*	

	Influenza cases vs. fertility rates		Google Trends vs. fert	Google Trends vs. fertility rates		Influenza cases vs. Google Trends	
Lag time, mo	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	
-12	-0.016	0.33	0.028	0.33	0.329	0.33	
–11	-0.073	0.33	-0.1	0.33	0.338	0.33	
-10	-0.035	0.32	-0.157	0.32	0.273	0.32	
-9	-0.225	0.32	-0.299	0.32	0.06	0.32	
-8	-0.079	0.32	-0.11	0.32	-0.131	0.32	
-7	0.036	0.31	-0.016	0.31	-0.25	0.31	
6	0.049	0.31	0.091	0.31	-0.306	0.31	
-5	0.096	0.3	0.108	0.3	-0.323	0.3	
-4	0.01	0.3	0.09	0.3	-0.375	0.3	
-3	0.128	0.3	0.184	0.3	-0.313	0.3	
-2	0	0.29	0.016	0.29	-0.092	0.29	
-1	-0.154	0.29	-0.106	0.29	0.48	0.29	
0	0.022	0.29	-0.057	0.29	0.927	0.29	
1	-0.172	0.29	-0.208	0.29	0.506	0.29	
2	-0.101	0.29	-0.15	0.29	0.158	0.29	
3	0.097	0.3	0.09	0.3	-0.007	0.3	
4	0.142	0.3	0.084	0.3	-0.16	0.3	
5	0.046	0.3	0.064	0.3	-0.294	0.3	
6	0.146	0.31	0.18	0.31	-0.361	0.31	
7	0.15	0.31	0.173	0.31	-0.343	0.31	
8	0.064	0.32	0.125	0.32	-0.267	0.32	
9	-0.088	0.32	-0.069	0.32	-0.146	0.32	
10	-0.2	0.32	-0.181	0.32	0.027	0.32	
11	-0.181	0.33	-0.205	0.33	0.214	0.33	
12	-0.172	0.33	-0.243	0.33	0.344	0.33	

\*Bold text indicates statistical significance. Data on Google searches for the term "influenza" collected from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).

	Influenza cases vs. fe	ertility rates	Google Trends vs. fert	tility rates	Influenza cases vs. Google Trends	
Lag time, mo	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error	Correlation coefficient	Std. error
-12	-0.057	0.33	-0.006	0.33	0.327	0.33
-11	-0.054	0.33	-0.024	0.33	0.297	0.33
-10	-0.208	0.32	-0.169	0.32	0.253	0.32
-9	-0.295	0.32	-0.263	0.32	0.077	0.32
-8	-0.099	0.32	-0.13	0.32	-0.115	0.32
-7	-0.077	0.31	-0.137	0.31	-0.248	0.31
-6	0.055	0.31	-0.013	0.31	-0.308	0.31
-5	0.172	0.3	0.108	0.3	-0.327	0.3
-4	0.095	0.3	0.071	0.3	-0.377	0.3
-3	-0.003	0.3	-0.003	0.3	-0.312	0.3
-2	-0.045	0.29	-0.034	0.29	-0.126	0.29
-1	-0.059	0.29	-0.018	0.29	0.413	0.29
0	0.102	0.29	0.064	0.29	0.932	0.29
1	-0.042	0.29	-0.019	0.29	0.545	0.29
2	0.052	0.29	0.068	0.29	0.184	0.29
3	-0.075	0.3	-0.035	0.3	0.015	0.3
4	-0.048	0.3	-0.03	0.3	-0.12	0.3
5	0.117	0.3	0.133	0.3	-0.257	0.3
6	0.177	0.31	0.159	0.31	-0.337	0.31
7	0.062	0.31	0.084	0.31	-0.32	0.31
8	0.058	0.32	0.117	0.32	-0.25	0.32
9	-0.044	0.32	-0.035	0.32	-0.148	0.32
10	-0.153	0.32	-0.129	0.32	-0.005	0.32
11	-0.085	0.33	-0.103	0.33	0.169	0.33
12	-0.117	0.33	-0.185	0.33	0.293	0.33

Appendix Table 8. Cross-correlation coefficients between influenza cases, Google Trends, and elevated fertility rates, 2012–2018, Hyogo, Japan\*

\*Bold text indicates statistical significance. Data on Google searches for the term "influenza" collected from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).

Appendix Table 9. Wavelet cross-correlation coefficients between rubella cases and fertility rates, 2012–2018, Japan*												
Lag time,	Tokyo, level			Kanagawa, level			Osaka, level			Hyogo, level		
mo	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
-12	0.031	0.006	0.013	0.192	0.004	-0.03	-0.052	-0.013	0.014	-0.066	-0.002	0.009
-11	-0.150	0.013	-0.002	-0.234	0.012	-0.052	0.061	-0.007	0.002	-0.068	-0.001	0.001
-10	0.236	0.039	-0.021	0.212	0.033	-0.064	0.077	0.023	-0.015	0.12	0.01	-0.011
-9	-0.226	0.061	-0.033	-0.132	0.059	-0.064	-0.184	0.074	-0.034	-0.17	0.029	-0.025
-8	0.100	0.020	-0.025	-0.044	0.030	-0.047	0.034	0.102	-0.040	0.092	0.030	-0.026
-7	0.043	-0.085	0.013	0.127	-0.081	-0.007	0.103	0.027	-0.001	0.041	-0.013	0.013
-6	-0.167	-0.176	0.094	-0.115	-0.185	0.074	0.019	-0.168	0.105	-0.108	-0.074	0.116
-5	0.209	-0.181	0.217	0.090	-0.178	0.197	-0.059	-0.303	0.269	0.145	-0.102	0.275
-4	-0.145	-0.081	0.357	-0.029	-0.049	0.344	-0.15	-0.167	0.444	-0.180	-0.068	0.441
-3	0.026	0.113	0.477	-0.084	0.130	0.480	0.196	0.128	0.559	0.144	-0.01	0.556
-2	0.013	0.288	0.534	0.140	0.236	0.563	0.009	0.279	0.568	-0.022	0.025	0.576
-1	0.042	0.269	0.459	-0.062	0.206	0.532	-0.132	0.241	0.442	-0.013	0.102	0.460
0	0.063	0.085	0.192	0.043	0.121	0.320	0.091	0.161	0.138	-0.12	0.265	0.169
1	-0.293	-0.048	-0.125	-0.174	0.060	0.005	-0.036	0.052	-0.185	0.184	0.308	-0.153
2	0.355	-0.033	-0.391	0.263	-0.023	-0.296	0.078	-0.117	-0.430	0.019	0.059	-0.405
3	-0.262	0.000	-0.536	-0.165	-0.141	-0.498	-0.11	-0.227	-0.532	-0.218	-0.269	-0.530
4	0.165	-0.084	-0.529	-0.002	-0.211	-0.551	0.017	-0.179	-0.470	0.212	-0.383	-0.500
5	0.008	-0.264	-0.379	0.076	-0.21	-0.454	0.037	-0.095	-0.272	-0.144	-0.271	-0.335
6	-0.280	-0.363	-0.146	-0.138	-0.207	-0.246	0.036	-0.131	-0.011	0.014	-0.095	-0.101
7	0.415	-0.275	0.103	0.242	-0.204	0.003	-0.002	-0.159	0.223	0.158	0.009	0.129
8	-0.294	-0.050	0.305	-0.215	-0.079	0.228	-0.213	0.062	0.368	-0.208	0.063	0.299
9	0.074	0.252	0.407	-0.017	0.231	0.374	0.207	0.369	0.388	0.104	0.162	0.363
10	-0.025	0.509	0.387	0.111	0.522	0.409	0.109	0.359	0.281	-0.001	0.269	0.310
11	0.093	0.507	0.264	0.036	0.497	0.333	-0.201	0.089	0.101	0.018	0.290	0.174
12	0.026	0.221	0.073	0.001	0.163	0.171	-0.005	-0.037	-0.099	-0.109	0.221	-0.010

		33 0011010	ation coefficients between Google Trends and len					mily rates, 2012–2016, Japan				
Tokyo, level			Kanagawa, level			0	saka, leve	el	Hyogo, level			
1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	
0.317	0.015	0.053	0.280	0.023	0.119	0.027	-0.027	0.114	0.148	0.000	0.037	
-0.262	0.008	0.190	-0.236	0.026	0.168	-0.011	0.053	0.111	-0.185	0.035	0.097	
0.117	0.021	0.264	0.143	0.03	0.149	0.031	0.078	0.049	0.174	0.035	0.102	
-0.046	0.08	0.244	-0.085	0.052	0.074	0.010	0.069	-0.024	-0.187	0.029	0.058	
-0.082	0.081	0.143	-0.065	0.028	-0.026	-0.170	0.074	-0.072	0.044	0.034	-0.011	
0.234	-0.084	0.020	0.183	-0.094	-0.107	0.213	0.012	-0.070	0.125	-0.011	-0.051	
-0.154	-0.279	-0.055	-0.136	-0.211	-0.112	-0.069	-0.173	0.007	-0.101	-0.109	-0.012	
-0.110	-0.219	-0.027	-0.02	-0.168	-0.014	0.038	-0.316	0.138	-0.012	-0.119	0.107	
0.162	0.088	0.098	0.121	0.014	0.164	-0.155	-0.217	0.296	-0.026	-0.021	0.282	
-0.048	0.293	0.264	-0.149	0.169	0.380	0.110	0.082	0.457	0.142	0.008	0.458	
0.115	0.213	0.405	0.205	0.206	0.555	0.017	0.319	0.561	-0.016	-0.028	0.568	
-0.24	0.039	0.443	-0.257	0.177	0.597	0.040	0.327	0.542	-0.274	0.090	0.556	
0.239	-0.019	0.287	0.262	0.14	0.415	-0.150	0.218	0.292	0.308	0.321	0.335	
-0.197	0.000	0.028	-0.218	0.055	0.095	0.102	0.100	-0.045	-0.114	0.324	0.001	
0.171	-0.002	-0.239	0.158	-0.083	-0.242	0.074	-0.078	-0.341	0.081	0.019	-0.318	
-0.080	-0.013	-0.433	-0.062	-0.176	-0.491	-0.069	-0.245	-0.521	-0.111	-0.286	-0.529	
-0.127	-0.048	-0.497	-0.129	-0.175	-0.581	-0.235	-0.273	-0.527	-0.030	-0.361	-0.572	
0.318	-0.206	-0.412	0.285	-0.185	-0.496	0.451	-0.236	-0.363	0.137	-0.267	-0.44	
-0.282	-0.378	-0.212	-0.274	-0.25	-0.279	-0.303	-0.218	-0.105	-0.122	-0.133	-0.192	
0.095	-0.285	0.032	0.184	-0.229	-0.010	0.052	-0.128	0.159	0.129	-0.003	0.078	
-0.042	0.085	0.253	-0.125	-0.008	0.236	-0.045	0.105	0.348	-0.211	0.110	0.293	
0.008	0.429	0.384	-0.01	0.323	0.394	0.110	0.364	0.399	0.227	0.191	0.396	
0.131	0.483	0.385	0.165	0.529	0.425	-0.061	0.433	0.318	-0.058	0.239	0.363	
-0.141	0.265	0.276	-0.183	0.441	0.338	0.125	0.263	0.158	-0.146	0.277	0.233	
0.065	0.000	0.092	0.218	0.122	0.162	-0.233	0.061	-0.032	0.167	0.254	0.044	
	1   0.317   -0.262   0.117   -0.046   -0.082   0.234   -0.154   -0.154   -0.154   -0.171   0.048   0.115   -0.24   0.239   -0.197   0.171   -0.080   -0.127   0.318   -0.282   0.095   -0.042   0.008   0.131   -0.141   0.065	$\begin{array}{r c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	

Appendix Table 10. Wavelet cross-correlation coefficients between Google Trends and fertility rates, 2012–2018, Japan\*

\*Data on Google searches for the term "rubella" collected from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).

Appendix Table 11. Wavelet cross-correlation coefficients between rubella cases and Google Trends, 2012–2018, Japan

Lag time,	Tokyo			Kanagawa			Osaka			Нуодо		
mo	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3	1	2	3
12	0.165	0.018	0.104	0.094	0.019	-0.025	-0.132	0.030	-0.019	0.029	0.021	0.038
-11	-0.233	0.035	0.022	-0.137	0.021	-0.008	-0.059	0.006	-0.062	-0.050	0.031	-0.003
-10	0.216	-0.001	-0.062	0.154	-0.006	-0.098	0.189	-0.006	-0.069	-0.062	0.013	-0.033
-9	-0.269	-0.144	-0.116	-0.219	-0.099	-0.069	-0.169	-0.059	-0.023	-0.008	-0.054	-0.029
-8	0.253	-0.300	-0.116	0.151	-0.224	-0.008	0.021	-0.145	0.037	0.097	-0.166	0.011
-7	-0.161	-0.256	-0.075	0.036	-0.255	0.050	0.122	-0.204	0.071	0.056	-0.242	0.065
-6	-0.117	0.104	-0.036	-0.182	-0.044	0.065	-0.055	-0.138	0.063	-0.086	-0.138	0.089
-5	0.140	0.589	-0.055	-0.067	0.387	-0.006	-0.216	0.136	-0.017	-0.224	0.217	0.037
-4	-0.074	0.767	-0.174	0.107	0.720	-0.169	0.033	0.504	-0.155	-0.032	0.625	-0.104
-3	0.569	0.373	-0.375	0.355	0.575	-0.399	0.277	0.618	-0.332	0.447	0.662	-0.322
-2	-0.624	-0.355	-0.604	-0.226	-0.068	-0.650	0.063	0.240	-0.547	0.236	0.113	-0.571
-1	0.302	-0.876	-0.805	0.283	-0.766	-0.858	0.010	-0.436	-0.762	-0.301	-0.657	-0.797
0	-0.375	-0.834	-0.909	-0.754	-0.970	-0.928	-0.166	-0.885	-0.921	-0.373	-0.980	-0.941
1	0.073	-0.306	-0.825	0.262	-0.524	-0.819	-0.412	-0.702	-0.879	-0.175	-0.582	-0.890
2	0.213	0.306	-0.640	0.058	0.196	-0.617	0.126	-0.023	-0.745	0.465	0.158	-0.729
3	-0.115	0.621	-0.434	0.157	0.659	-0.396	0.464	0.567	-0.539	-0.054	0.643	-0.516
4	0.200	0.530	-0.249	0.115	0.625	-0.201	-0.043	0.639	-0.304	0.256	0.606	-0.298
5	-0.171	0.201	-0.110	-0.197	0.259	-0.058	-0.211	0.293	-0.108	-0.252	0.229	-0.120
6	0.066	-0.102	-0.030	-0.050	-0.101	0.022	0.053	-0.086	0.003	-0.038	-0.132	-0.020
7	-0.065	-0.224	0.001	0.094	-0.250	0.050	0.014	-0.245	0.028	-0.002	-0.262	0.010
8	0.042	-0.188	0.004	0.031	-0.208	0.049	-0.091	-0.191	0.006	0.016	-0.186	0.004
9	-0.063	-0.094	-0.008	-0.085	-0.098	0.035	0.059	-0.068	-0.018	0.092	-0.053	-0.011
10	0.013	-0.012	-0.022	-0.043	-0.010	0.013	0.132	0.013	-0.028	-0.099	0.030	-0.021
11	0.044	0.028	-0.029	0.061	0.029	-0.012	-0.242	0.033	-0.027	0.018	0.043	-0.022
12	-0.025	0.033	-0.030	-0.039	0.035	-0.038	0.010	0.023	-0.023	-0.060	0.020	-0.023

\*Data on Google searches for the term "rubella" collected from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).



**Appendix Figure 1.** Cross-correlation between rubella cases, Google Trends, and elevated fertility rates, 2012–2018, Kanagawa, Japan. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (–12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Upper limit, 0.28; lower limit, –0.28. Bars represent the cross-correlation coefficients in time series between (top panel) fertility rates and rubella cases; (middle panel) fertility rate and Google searches for the term "rubella"; and (bottom panel) rubella cases and Google searches for "rubella." Horizontal dashed lines represent confidence limits for the null hypothesis of 0 true cross-correlation coefficients between the 2 time-series. Data on Google searches aggregated from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).



**Appendix Figure 2.** Cross-correlation between rubella cases, Google Trends, and elevated fertility rates, 2012–2018, Osaka, Japan. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (–12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (–12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Upper limit, 0.28; lower limit, –0.28. Bars represent the cross-correlation coefficients between (top panel) fertility rates and rubella cases; (middle planel) fertility rate and Google searches for the term "rubella"; and (bottom panel) rubella cases and Google searches for "rubella." Horizontal dashed lines represent confidence limits for the null hypothesis of 0 true cross-correlation coefficients between the 2 time-series. Data on Google searches aggregated from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).



**Appendix Figure 3.** Cross-correlation between rubella cases, Google Trends, and elevated fertility rates, 2012–2018, Hyogo, Japan. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (–12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (–12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Upper limit, 0.28; lower limit, –0.28. Bars represent the cross-correlation coefficients in time series between (top panel) fertility rates and rubella cases; (middle planel) fertility rate and Google searches for the term "rubella"; and (bottom panel) rubella cases and Google searches for "rubella." Horizontal dashed lines represent confidence limits for the null hypothesis of 0 true cross-correlation coefficients between the 2 time-series. Data on Google searches aggregated from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).



Seasonal Decomposition of Fertility Rate by Precture, 2013–2018, Japan

**Appendix Figure 4.** Decomposition of additive time series for fertility rates by prefecture, 2013–2018, Japan. A) Tokyo; B) Kanagawa; C) Osaka; and D) Hyogo. Observed data, trend component, seasonal component and random component are displayed.



**Appendix Figure 5.** Cross-correlation between influenza cases, Google Trends, and elevated fertility rates, 2012–2018, Tokyo, Japan. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (–12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (–12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Upper limit, 0.28; lower limit, –0.28. Bars represent the cross-correlation coefficients in time series between (top panel) fertility rates and influenza cases; (middle planel) fertility rate and Google searches for the term "influenza"; and (bottom panel) influenza cases and Google searches for "influenza." Horizontal dashed lines represent confidence limits for the null hypothesis of 0 true cross-correlation coefficients between the 2 time-series. Data on Google searches aggregated from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).



Excess Fertility Rates and Influenza Cases, Kanagawa

Appendix Figure 6. Cross-correlation between influenza cases, Google Trends, and elevated fertility rates, 2012–2018, Kanagawa, Japan. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (-12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (-12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Upper limit, 0.28; lower limit, -0.28. Bars represent the cross-correlation coefficients in time series between (top panel) fertility rates and influenza cases; (middle planel) fertility rate and Google searches for the term "influenza"; and (bottom panel) influenza cases and Google searches for "influenza." Horizontal dashed lines represent confidence limits for the null hypothesis of 0 true cross-correlation coefficients between the 2 time-series. Data on Google searches aggregated from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).



**Appendix Figure 7.** Cross-correlation between influenza cases, Google Trends, and elevated fertility rates, 2012–2018, Osaka, Japan. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (–12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (–12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Upper limit, 0.28; lower limit, –0.28. Bars represent the cross-correlation coefficients in time series between (top panel) fertility rates and influenza cases; (middle planel) fertility rate and Google searches for the term "influenza"; and (bottom panel) influenza cases and Google searches for "influenza." Horizontal dashed lines represent confidence limits for the null hypothesis of 0 true cross-correlation coefficients between the 2 time-series. Data on Google searches aggregated from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).



**Appendix Figure 8.** Cross-correlation between influenza cases, Google searches for the term "influenza," and elevated fertility rates, 2012–2018, Hyogo, Japan. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (–12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Cross-correlation coefficients were calculated in each lag (–12 months) and lead period (+12 months) including 0. Upper limit, 0.28; lower limit, –0.28. Bars represent the cross-correlation coefficients in time series between (top panel) fertility rates and influenza cases; (middle planel) fertility rate and Google searches for the term "influenza"; and (bottom panel) influenza cases and Google searches for "influenza." Horizontal dashed lines represent confidence limits for the null hypothesis of 0 true cross-correlation coefficients between the 2 time-series. Data on Google searches aggregated from Google Trends (https://trends.google.com).



**Appendix Figure 9.** Wavelet cross-correlation between rubella cases and fertility rates, 2012–2018, Tokyo, Japan. Dotted line represents 95% confidence interval.



**Appendix Figure 10.** Wavelet cross-correlation between rubella cases and fertility rates, 2012–2018, Kanagawa, Japan. Dotted line represents 95% confidence interval.



**Appendix Figure 11.** Wavelet cross-correlation between rubella cases and fertility rates, 2012–2018, Osaka, Japan. Dotted line represents 95% confidence interval.



**Appendix Figure 12.** Wavelet cross-correlation between rubella cases and fertility rates, 2012–2018, Hyogo, Japan. Dotted line represents 95% confidence interval.



**Appendix Figure 13.** Wavelet cross-correlation between Google Trends and fertility rates, 2012–2018, Tokyo, Japan. Dotted line represents 95% confidence interval.



**Appendix Figure 14.** Wavelet cross-correlation between Google searches for "rubella" and fertility rates, 2012–2018, Kanagawa, Japan. Dotted line represents 95% confidence interval.



**Appendix Figure 15.** Wavelet cross-correlation between Google searches for "rubella" and fertility rates, 2012–2018, Osaka, Japan. Dotted line represents 95% confidence interval.



**Appendix Figure 16.** Wavelet cross-correlation between Google Trends and fertility rates, 2012–2018, Hyogo, Japan. Dotted line represents 95% confidence interval.



**Appendix Figure 17.** Wavelet cross-correlation between rubella cases and Google searches for "rubella," 2012–2018, Tokyo, Japan. Dotted line represents 95% confidence interval.



**Appendix Figure 18.** Wavelet cross-correlation between rubella cases and Google searches for "rubella," 2012–2018, Kanagawa, Japan. Dotted line represents 95% confidence interval.



**Appendix Figure 19.** Wavelet cross-correlation between rubella cases and Google searches for "rubella," 2012–2018, Osaka, Japan. Dotted line represents 95% confidence interval.



**Appendix Figure 20.** Wavelet cross-correlation between rubella cases and Google searches for "rubella," 2012–2018, Hyogo, Japan. Dotted line represents 95% confidence interval.