RESEARCH LETTERS

N Engl J Med. 2020;382:1708–20. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa2002032

- Pan Y, Zhang D, Yang P, Poon LL, Wang Q. Viral load of SARS-CoV-2 in clinical samples. Lancet Infect Dis. 2020; 20:411–2. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30113-4
- Wu Y, Guo C, Tang L, Hong Z, Zhou J, Dong X, et al. Prolonged presence of SARS-CoV-2 viral RNA in faecal samples. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2020;5:434–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-1253(20)30083-2
- Wang W, Xu Y, Gao R, Lu R, Han K, Wu G, et al. Detection of SARS-CoV-2 in different types of clinical specimens. JAMA. 2020. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.3786
- Zheng S, Fan J, Yu F, Feng B, Lou B, Zou Q, et al. Viral load dynamics and disease severity in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2 in Zhejiang province, China, January-March 2020: retrospective cohort study. BMJ. 2020;369:m1443. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m1443
- Sun J, Zhu A, Li H, Zheng K, Zhuang Z, Chen Z, et al. Isolation of infectious SARS-CoV-2 from urine of a COVID-19 patient. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2020;Apr 28:1–8. https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1760144
- Xiao F, Tang M, Zheng X, Liu Y, Li X, Shan H. Evidence for gastrointestinal infection of SARS-CoV-2. Gastroenterology. 2020;158:1831–1833.e3. https://doi.org/10.1053/ j.gastro.2020.02.055
- Yu IT, Li Y, Wong TW, Tam W, Chan AT, Lee JH, et al. Evidence of airborne transmission of the severe acute respiratory syndrome virus. N Engl J Med. 2004;350:1731–9. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa032867

Address for correspondence: Jincun Zhao, State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, National Clinical Research Center for Respiratory Disease, Guangzhou Institute of Respiratory Health, the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou 510182, China, and Institute of Infectious Disease, Guangzhou Eighth People's Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou 510060, China; email: zhaojincun@gird.cn or jczhao@gzhmu.edu.cn

Estimation of Coronavirus Disease Case-Fatality Risk in Real Time

Yang Ge, Shengzhi Sun

Author affiliations: The University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, USA (Y. Ge); Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, Massachusetts, USA (S. Sun)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2608.201096

We ran a simulation comparing 3 methods to calculate case-fatality risk for coronavirus disease using parameters described in previous studies. Case-fatality risk calculated from these methods all are biased at the early stage of the epidemic. When comparing real-time casefatality risk, the current trajectory of the epidemic should be considered.

We read with interest the research letter on estimating case-fatality risk for coronavirus disease (COVID-19) by Wilson, et al. (1). In their analyses, the authors estimated the case-fatality risk adjusted to a fixed lag time to death. They acknowledged that the calculated adjusted case-fatality risk (aCFR) might be influenced by residual uncertainties from undiagnosed mild COVID-19 cases and a shortage of medical resources. However, we believe the time-varying number of cumulative cases and deaths also should be considered in the epidemic profile.

Because of the exponential growth curve of the COVID-19 outbreak, the numbers of cumulative cases and cumulative deaths have been relatively close to each other in the early stages of the outbreak, leading to a much higher aCFR. As the outbreak progresses, the ratio of the cumulative cases and deaths declines, which reduces the aCFR. Thus, a higher aCFR does not necessarily indicate increased disease severity.

To test our hypothesis, we performed a simulation study by using a susceptible-infectious-recovered-death model and parameters set according to prior studies. We set the infectious period as 10 days (2); case-fatality risk as 3% (3); basic reproductive ratio (R_0) as 2.5 (4); recovery rate as 1/13 day (5), that is, 13 days from illness onset to recovery; and the population size as 1 million. We compared crude casefatality risk, aCFR per Wilson et al.'s method, and aCFR per Mizumoto et al.'s method (6). Although the case-fatality risk calculated from these methods all are biased at the early stage of the epidemic, caseFigure. Progression of coronavirus disease outbreak and changes in the case-fatality risk by crude and adjusted rates. Crude case-fatality risk is the cumulative number of deaths on a given day divided by the cumulative number of cases on the same day. We set the infectious period as 10 days (2); case-fatality risk as 3% (3); basic reproductive ratio (R₀) as 2.5 (4); recovery rate as 1/13 day (5), that is, 13 days from illness onset to recovery; and the population size as 1 million. A) Changes in the number of subpopulations over time after the first infection. B) Changes in crude case-fatality risk after 13th day of exposure and aCFR calculated by using



Wilson et al.'s method (1) and by using Mizumoto et al.'s method (6). aCFR, adjusted case-fatality risk.

fatality risk calculated from Mizumoto et al.'s method was closer to the true case-fatality risk of 3% (Figure).

In conclusion, we recommend the Mizumoto et al. method (6) to calculate aCFR in real time. When comparing real-time estimation of the case-fatality risk across countries and regions, our results indicate that the current trajectory of the epidemic should be considered, particularly if the epidemic is still in its early growth phase.

About the Authors

Mr. Ge is a PhD candidate in the Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics at the University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia, USA. His research interests include infectious disease modeling and vaccine design.

Dr. Sun is a research scientist at the Boston University School of Public Health. His research focuses on estimating the impact of air pollution and climate change on human health.

References

 Wilson N, Kvalsvig A, Barnard LT, Baker MG. Case-fatality risk estimates for COVID-19 calculated by using a lag time for fatality. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020 Mar 13 [Epub ahead of print]. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2606.200320

- Guan W-J, Ni Z-Y, Hu Y, Liang W-H, Ou C-Q, He J-X, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. N Engl J Med. 2020 Feb 28 [Epub ahead of print]. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2002032
- 3. Novel Coronavirus Pneumonia Emergency Response Epidemiology Team. The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China [in Chinese]. Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi. 2020;41:145–51. https://doi.org/10.3760/ cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2020.02.003
- Li Q, Guan X, Wu P, Wang X, Zhou L, Tong Y, et al. Early transmission dynamics in Wuhan, China, of novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia. N Engl J Med. 2020 Jan 29 [Epub ahead of print]. https://doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa2001316
- World Health Organization. Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). 2020 Feb 24 [cited 2020 Mar 27]. https://www.who.int/docs/ default- source/coronaviruse/who-china-joint- mission-oncovid-19-final-report.pdf
- Mizumoto K, Chowell G. Estimating risk for death from 2019 novel coronavirus disease, China, January–February 2020. Emerg Infect Dis. 2020 Mar 13 [Epub ahead of print]. https:// doi.org/10.3201/eid2606.200233

Address for correspondence: Yang Ge, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of Georgia, 101 Buck Rd, Athens, GA 30602-7396, USA; email: yang.ge@uga.edu; Shengzhi Sun, Department of Environmental Health, Boston University School of Public Health, 715 Albany St, Boston, MA 02118, USA; email: szsun@bu.edu