Appendix

Cutoff Definition for the SARS-CoV-2–specific ELISpot

The cutoff was based on the negative control values (nonstimulated cultures) and on the consideration that 3-fold higher values for stimulated versus nonstimulated cells are frequently considered as a positive response in cellular assays. The negative controls had on average 0.27 spots (range 0–2) and its 3-fold standard deviation was $3 \times 0.48$ spots = 1.44 spots, which we considered as background of the negative controls. As we used increment values, a 3-fold higher value versus background means $3 \times 1.44$ spots minus $1 \times 1.44$ spots, i.e., 2.88 spots increment. We therefore chose 3 as cutoff for positivity, together with the criterion of 3-fold higher values of stimulated versus non-stimulated cultures (which was fulfilled in all cases).

Appendix Figure 1. Sequence alignment of the S antigens of SARS-CoV-2. This figure contains all available information on the S antigens used for the ELISA and ELISpot assays. We modified an image.
that Miltenyi Biotec shows on its homepage (https://www.miltenyibiotech.com/DE-en/products/peptivator-sars-cov-2-prot-s-107090.html#130-126-700), containing information on their PepTivator product and the protein sequence of SARS-CoV-2. The peptide mix (PepTivator) of the S protein (S1/S2) consists mainly of 15-mer sequences with 11 amino acids overlap, covering the immunodominant sequence domains of the surface glycoprotein of SARS-CoV-2. The S1 protein (Sino Biological, http://www.sinobiological.com) is a recombinant protein expressed in (human) HEK293 cells. The S1 antigen used in the ELISA assay (Euroimmun, https://www.euroimmun.com) is also produced in HEK293 cells and contains the S1 domain including the immunologically relevant receptor binding domain.

**Appendix Figure 2.** Antibody results determined parallel to cellular immunity against SARS-CoV-2 (Figure 2). The 3 left groups represent potential convalescent plasma donors with PCR-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection. They either had a strong positive antibody response to an S1-specific Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG ELISA (Euroimmun) as defined by an antibody ratio (R) of >3 (n = 15); an intermediate response (ratio of 1.1-3, n = 4); or borderline or negative results (ratio of <1.1, n = 9). The right group displays data in healthy controls without symptoms of respiratory or gastrointestinal infections and without household contact with SARS-CoV-2 infected patients since January 2020 (negative controls, NC, n = 22). The latter group has been tested negative or has not been tested by SARS-CoV-2 PCR. Responses in the 4 groups of volunteers were compared by Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn’s correction. The dotted line represents a ratio of 1.1, the cutoff for positive antibody responses.
Appendix Figure 3. Interrelationship between cellular and humoral immunity to S antigens of SARS-CoV-2. The plots include the first data set in potential convalescent-plasma donors and in negative controls. We used the following color-coding: antibody ratio to an S1-specific Anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG ELISA (Euroimmun) >3: red; 1.1-3: black; <1.1: blue; negative controls: green. The left panel shows ELISpot data on a peptide pool of the S1/S2 antigen and the right on an S1 protein antigen of SARS-CoV-2.