# Retrospective Study of Kyasanur Forest Disease and Deaths among Nonhuman Primates, India, 1957–2020

Sulagna Chakraborty, William E. Sander, Brian F. Allan, Flavia C.D. Andrade

Kyasanur Forest disease (KFD) is a tickborne hemorrhagic disease affecting primates along the Western Ghats mountain range in India. Our retrospective study indicated that  $\geq$ 3,314 monkey deaths attributed to KFD were reported in KFD-endemic states in India during 1957–2020. These data can help guide surveillance to protect animal and human health.

Kyasanur Forest disease (KFD) is a highly infec-tious tickborne disease affecting humans and monkeys. The etiologic agent of this disease is the Kyasanur Forest disease virus (KFDV), a flavivirus. Since its discovery in 1957 in Karnataka State, India, KFD has expanded to 5 states along the western coastline in India (1) and ≈10,000 reported cases of KFD in humans, averaging 400–500 cases annually (2). After an incubation period of 3–8 days, primary clinical symptoms include fever, myalgia, and gastrointestinal and bleeding problems. In a small subset of patients, a second phase of the disease can include neurologic manifestations and fever. If the disease is detected early, symptomatic supportive care can improve recovery from the disease. Case-fatality rates range from 3% to 15% (1,3). The primary vectors of KFDV are *Haemaphysalis* spinigera and *H. turturis* ticks, which are endemic to southern India and transmit the virus to monkeys and humans (4). Larvae and nymphs of these ticks feed on monkeys when the monkeys are ground foraging, providing routes of infection and spread. In addition, KFDV can be transmitted transovarially in these ticks (Figure 1).

*Macaca radiata* and *Semnopithecus entellus* are 2 monkey species in the KFD-endemic region frequently associated with KFD; these monkeys can succumb

Author affiliation: University of Illinois Urbana–Champaign, Urbana–Champaign, Illinois, USA

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2707.210463

to the virus quickly (*3*). For monkeys, KFDV causes nonspecific and degenerative changes in abdominal organs, hemorrhage, and encephalitis. Experimentally infected monkeys have diarrhea, bradycardia, and hypotension and ultimately die (*5*). Monkey migration might expand KFDV geographic distribution, in which infected ticks are carried across state borders through connected natural areas (*1*,*3*,*4*). Although reporting of monkey deaths from KFD during the past 60 years has been unsystematic and inconsistent, the data provide valuable information. We summarize reports of monkey deaths connected with KFD in India and evaluate the utility of reporting KFD occurrence in monkeys for human disease surveillance.

### The Study

We conducted a retrospective review of scientific literature through Web of Science, PubMed Central, and Google Scholar and included data from Pro-MED Mail, newspapers, and government reports issued during 1957–2020. The search keywords included KFD, KFDV, monkey fever, Kyasanur Forest disease, and mankan kayla (a local term in Karnata-ka, India). We used 55 peer-reviewed journal articles, 109 Pro-MED Mail reports, 1 report by the Karnataka State government, and 1 newspaper report to generate estimates. We created a database from all information sources; our final dataset (Table 1) contains the most updated information for all years from the available data.

Information on monkey deaths caused by KFD is limited, particularly for species-specific deaths. Our review of all data sources indicates that ≥3,314 monkey deaths associated with KFD were reported during 1957–2020 (Table 1). However, only a subset of deaths were tested for KFDV. During this period, 760 monkeys underwent necropsy, and 334 were laboratory-confirmed to have KFDV infection (Appendix).

## **Kyasanur Forest Disease Virus Ecology**

The hard tick *Haemaphysalis spinagera* is the reservoir and vector of Kyasanur Forest Disease Virus (KFDV). Once infected, ticks remain so for life and are able to pass KFDV to offspring via the egg.

Transmission of KFDV to humans may occur after a tick bite or contact with an infected animal, most commonly a sick or recently dead monkey. No person-to-person transmission has been described.

Human cases occur more frequently in drier months (Nov-June) and in Southwest and South India.



Figure 1. Ecology of Kyasanur Forest disease virus. Reproduced from https://www.cdc.gov/vhf/kyasanur/resources/virus-ecology.html.

Of the reported monkey deaths, a total of 1,676 deaths occurred in *S. entellus* monkeys and 400 deaths occurred in *M. radiata* monkeys; species were not reported for the remaining 1,238 deaths.

We found an early report of KFD in monkeys outside of Karnataka in Tamil Nadu state in 2012, which could be linked to an outbreak of human cases at the Bandipur Tiger Reserve in 2012. Monkeys from Karnataka might have entered Tamil Nadu carrying the virus or infected ticks. Subsequently, KFD in monkeys was reported in Kerala state in 2014 and Goa and Maharashtra states in 2016. Substantial overlap occurred between reported monkey deaths and human cases of KFD (Figure 2). We identified the drivers behind KFD transmission and geographic expansion based on the literature (Table 2).

Higher mortality rates occurred among *S. entellus* monkeys (81% of 1,159 deaths) than among *M. radiata* monkeys during 1957–1964 (7). Most monkey deaths were reported in evergreen and semievergreen

forests in the Western Ghats (8). We found no other information associating the frequency of monkey deaths to habitat.

The abundance of these primate species in the area of interest is difficult to determine because of limited studies with inconsistent sampling methods. In Karnataka, higher encounter rates with M. radiata monkeys were reported in wet evergreen forests and human-inhabited areas (9). M. radiata monkeys were encountered mainly in the Western Ghats and the Southern Plateau, whereas *S. entellus* monkeys were abundant in the Western Ghats and Northern Plains. Based on a 2001 Environmenta Information System bulletin (10), the national population of *M. radiata* monkeys in India was  $\approx 150,000$ and that of *S. entellus* monkeys was  $\approx 300,000$ . Both species have suffered population decline because of habitat loss, translocation, and hunting, and minimal efforts have been undertaken to conserve these species (9,11).

### Conclusions

Our study highlights the need for consistent surveillance of monkey deaths. Monkey deaths caused by KFDV are harbingers of human cases (1,3,4), making these animals potential sentinels for KFD (6). Therefore, determining these primate species' relative susceptibility to KFDV to evaluate the potential to use monkey deaths for surveillance is essential. In laboratory experiments, higher mortality rates have been reported in *S. entellus* than *M. radiata* monkeys (12). Patil et al. (13) experimentally infected *M. radiata* monkeys with KFDV and found that only 20% of these primates had onset of severe clinical signs, but all exhibited viral shedding and a humoral immune response. Thus, other factors might contribute to KFD mortality rates under natural conditions, and *M. radiata*  monkeys might be less susceptible to KFD than previously thought. KFDV infection can often be subclinical in nature, explaining why fewer deaths have been observed for *M. radiata* than *S. entellus* monkeys. By shedding the virus through body secretions, *M. radiata* monkeys might aid in expanding KFDV into new areas. This phenomenon underscores the need for conducting serum or fecal surveillance of primates to determine KFDV epidemiology and transmission.

Most human cases of KFD are typically reported during December–May, the same period during which monkey deaths generally occur. Local public health authorities often undertake precautionary measures on the basis of monkey deaths, including spraying acaricide around areas with monkey carcasses and vaccination of persons within a 5-km

Table 1. Monkey deaths attributed to Kyasanur Forest disease in the southwestern states of India, 1957–2020*		
Year	Total no. monkey deaths	No. monkey deaths, state of occurrence
1957 Jan–Sep	105	105, KN
1957–1958 Oct–Sep	92	92, KN
1958–1959	290	290, KN
1959–1960	187	187, KN
1960–1961	80	80, KN
1961–1962	114	114, KN
1962–1963	147	147, KN
1963–1964	144	144, KN
1964–1965	109	109, KN
1965–1966	191	191, KN
1967–1968	126	126, KN
1968–1969	138	138, KN
1969–1970	135	135, KN
1970–1971	88	88. KN
1971–1972	75	75, KN
1972–1973	101	101. KN
1973–1974	83	83, KN
1975–1981	No data	No data
1982–1983	>35	<35, KN
1983–1997	No data	No data
1998	Dead monkeys reported	No figure reported for KN
1999	No data	No data
2000	Several dead monkeys reported	No figure reported for KN
2001–2002	No data	No data
2003	132	132, KN
2004	86	86, KN
2005	53	53. KN
2006	61	61, KN
2007	19	19, KN
2008	23	23, KN
2009	86	86. KN
2010	28	28, KN
2011	>35	<35. KN
2012	>64	39-64, KN; No figure reported for TN
2013	50	50. KN
2014	>131	31. KN: <100. KL
2015	60	42. KN: 18. KL
2016	72	3. MH: 69. GA
2017	>81	<51. KL: <10. GA
2018	>76	<76. KN: No figure reported for KL or MH
2019	>15	15, KN; No figure reported for KL
2020	>2	2, KN; No figure reported for KL

\*GA, Goa; KL, Kerala; KN, Karnataka; MH, Maharashtra; TN, Tamil Nadu.

†No figure reported indicates that monkey deaths were reported in a state but an exact number was not provided.



Figure 2. Hotspot areas for human cases and monkey deaths attributable to Kyasanur Forest disease, India, 1957–2020. Inset map shows the region in context of the Indian subcontinent.

radius (6,14). The importance of animals as sentinels of infectious diseases, environmental hazards, and acts of bioterrorism is well documented (15). Because monkey deaths are used as sentinels for KFD, establishing year-round surveillance systems that consistently report KFD-related monkey deaths by date, location, and species is essential to better understand the epidemiology of the disease and design appropriate public health measures. One limitation of our review is the inconsistency and gaps in the availability of reported monkey deaths caused by KFD. Few studies report monkey mortality data or provide specific monkey deaths by location, year, and species, so assessing whether mortality rates have changed over time is difficult. Another limitation is the incomplete data on testing and diagnoses of monkey carcasses for KFDV because of challenges such as distance to the testing site and delays in discovery.

<b>Table 2.</b> Information about potential drivers of Kyasanur Forest Disease transmission based on review of available literature		
Drivers	Source of information (reference)	
Large-scale deforestation for various reasons (e.g., paddy fields and plantations)	Ajesh et al., 2017 ( <i>1</i> ); Pattnaik, 2006 ( <i>3</i> )	
Human encroachment into forested areas	Pattnaik, 2006 (3); Murhekar et al., 2015 (6)	
Humidity in paddy fields ideal for tick survival	Pattnaik, 2006 (3)	
Vector ticks can survive in various kinds of biotypes	Sadanandane et al., 2018 (4)	
Number of small mammalian animals that act as reservoirs for the virus and for the	Pattnaik, 2006 (3)	
vector tick		
Movement of monkeys into new areas	Chakraborty et al., 2019 (2); Pattnaik, 2006 (3)	
Cattle may act as amplifying hosts for Kyasanur Forest disease virus and help in	Chakraborty et al., 2019 (2)	
maintenance and propagation of the tick vector (handling of cows might also be a		
risk factor)		

Further research is needed to develop serosurveys specific to KFDV among monkeys, determine species-specific vulnerability to KFDV, and assess whether KFDV can spread through routes other than tick transmission. Testing capacity in KFD-endemic states should be strengthened to conduct timely monkey necropsies, providing more information on the prevalence of KFD in these sentinel animals, to elucidate the epidemiology of KFDV and protect monkey and human health.

Funding for this study was obtained through the University of Illinois Campus Research Board and was supported by the Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service of the US Department of Agriculture (project no. ILLU 875-952).

### About the Author

Ms. Chakraborty is a doctoral candidate in the Program in Ecology Evolution and Conservation Biology at the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign. Her research interests include the ecology and epidemiology of vectorborne diseases and One Health approaches in disease surveillance and prevention.

### References

- 1. Ajesh K, Nagaraja BK, Sreejith K. Kyasanur Forest disease virus breaking the endemic barrier: an investigation into ecological effects on disease emergence and future outlook. Zoonoses Public Health. 2017;64:e73-80. https://doi.org/10.1111/zph.12349
- 2. Chakraborty S, Andrade FCD, Ghosh S, Uelmen J, Ruiz MO. Historical expansion of Kyasanur Forest disease in India from 1957 to 2017: a retrospective analysis. Geohealth. 2019;3:44-55. https://doi.org/10.1029/2018GH000164
- 3. Pattnaik P. Kyasanur Forest disease: an epidemiological view in India. Rev Med Virol. 2006;16:151-65. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/rmv.495
- 4. Sadanandane C, Gokhale MD, Elango A, Yadav P, Mourya DT, Jambulingam P. Prevalence and spatial distribution of Ixodid tick populations in the forest fringes of Western Ghats reported with human cases of Kyasanur Forest disease and monkey deaths in South India. Exp Appl Acarol. 2018;75:135-42. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10493-018-0223-5

- 5. Pavri K. Clinical, clinicopathologic, and hematologic features of Kyasanur Forest disease. Rev Infect Dis. 1989;11(Suppl 4):S854-9. https://doi.org/10.1093/ clinids/11.Supplement\_4.S854
- 6. Murhekar MV, Kasabi GS, Mehendale SM, Mourya DT, Yadav PD, Tandale BV. On the transmission pattern of Kyasanur Forest disease (KFD) in India. Infect Dis Poverty. 2015;4:37. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-015-0066-9
- 7. Goverdhan MK, Rajagopalan PK, Narasimha Murthy DP, Upadhyaya S, Boshell-M J, Trapido H, et al. Epizootiology of Kyasanur Forest disease in wild monkeys of Shimoga District, Mysore State (1957-1964). Indian J Med Res. 1974;62:497-510.
- Mehla R, Kumar SR, Yadav P, Barde PV, Yergolkar PN, 8. Erickson BR, et al. Recent ancestry of Kyasanur Forest disease virus. Emerg Infect Dis. 2009;15:1431-7. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid1509.080759
- 9. Kumara HN, Singh M, Kumar S, Sinha A. Distribution, abundance, group size and demography of dark-bellied bonnet macaque Macaca radiata in Karnataka, South India. Curr Sci. 2010;99:663-7.
- Sinha A. The bonnet macaque revisited: ecology, 10 demography and behavior. Environmental Information System bulletin: Wildlife and Protected Areas. 2001;1:32-41.
- 11. Kumara HN, Kumar S, Singh M. Of how much concern are the 'least concern' species? Distribution and conservation status of bonnet macaques, rhesus macaques and Hanuman langurs in Karnataka, India. Primates. 2010;51:37-42. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10329-009-0168-8
- 12. Technical Information Bulletin Indian Council on Medical Research. Kyasanur Forest disease 1957-1964. Poona (India): Virus Research Centre.; 1964.
- Patil DR, Yadav PD, Shete A, Chaubal G, Mohandas S, 13 Sahay RR, et al. Study of Kyasanur Forest disease viremia, antibody kinetics, and virus infection in target organs of Macaca radiata. Sci Rep. 2020;10:12561. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-020-67599-x
- 14. Kasabi GS, Murhekar MV, Sandhya VK, Raghunandan R, Kiran SK, Channabasappa GH, et al. Coverage and effectiveness of Kyasanur Forest disease (KFD) vaccine in Karnataka, South India, 2005-10. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7:e2025. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002025
- 15. Neo JPS, Tan BH. The use of animals as a surveillance tool for monitoring environmental health hazards, human health hazards and bioterrorism. Vet Microbiol. 2017;203:40-8. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2017.02.007

Address for correspondence: William Sander, University of Illinois Urbana-Champaign, 1008 W Hazelwood Dr, Urbana, IL 61802, USA; email: wsander@illinois.edu