Forestry and Fisheries of Japan (project no. JPJ008837) as part of its program for funding commissioned projects for promotion of strategic international collaborative research.

About the Author

Dr. Sobolev is a researcher at the Federal Research Center of Fundamental and Translational Medicine, Russia. His primary research interest is the molecular diagnosis and epidemiology of avian influenza viruses.

References

- Duan L, Campitelli L, Fan XH, Leung YH, Vijaykrishna D, Zhang JX, et al. Characterization of low-pathogenic H5 subtype influenza viruses from Eurasia: implications for the origin of highly pathogenic H5N1 viruses. J Virol. 2007;81:7529–39. https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00327-07
- Lee DH, Sharshov K, Swayne DE, Kurskaya O, Sobolev I, Kabilov M, et al. Novel reassortant clade 2.3.4.4 avian influenza A(H5N8) virus in wild aquatic birds, Russia, 2016. Emerg Infect Dis. 2017;23:359–60. https://doi.org/10.3201/ eid2302.161252
- 3. Verhagen JH, Fouchier RAM, Lewis N. Highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses at the wild-domestic bird interface in Europe: future directions for research and surveillance. Viruses. 2021;13:212. https://doi.org/10.3390/v13020212
- King J, Schulze C, Engelhardt A, Hlinak A, Lennermann SL, Rigbers K, et al. Novel HPAIV H5N8 reassortant (clade 2.3.4.4b) detected in Germany. Viruses. 2020;12:281. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12030281
- Isoda N, Twabela AT, Bazarragchaa E, Ogasawara K, Hayashi H, Wang ZJ, et al. Re-invasion of H5N8 high pathogenicity avian influenza virus clade 2.3.4.4b in Hokkaido, Japan, 2020. Viruses. 2020;12:1439. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/v12121439
- Jeong S, Lee DH, Kwon JH, Kim YJ, Lee SH, Cho AY, et al. Highly pathogenic avian influenza clade 2.3.4.4b subtype H5N8 virus isolated from Mandarin Duck in South Korea, 2020. Viruses. 2020;12:1389. https://doi.org/10.3390/v12121389
- Beerens N, Heutink R, Harders F, Roose M, Pritz-Verschuren S, Germeraad E, et al. Novel incursion of a highly pathogenic avian influenza subtype H5N8 virus in the Netherlands, October 2020. Emerg Infect Dis. 2021;27:1750–3. https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2706.204464
- Lewis NS, Banyard AC, Whittard E, Karibayev T, Al Kafagi T, Chvala I, et al. Emergence and spread of novel H5N8, H5N5 and H5N1 clade 2.3.4.4 highly pathogenic avian influenza in 2020. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2021;10:148– 51. https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2021.1872355
- Olsen B, Munster VJ, Wallensten A, Waldenström J, Osterhaus AD, Fouchier RA. Global patterns of influenza a virus in wild birds. Science. 2006;312:384–8. https://doi.org/ 10.1126/science.1122438
- Marchenko V, Goncharova N, Susloparov I, Kolosova N, Gudymo A, Svyatchenko S, et al. Isolation and characterization of H5Nx highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses of clade 2.3.4.4 in Russia. Virology. 2018;525:216–23. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2018.09.024

Address for correspondence: Ivan Sobolev, Federal Research Center of Fundamental and Translational Medicine, Timakov st. 2, Novosibirsk, 630117, Russia; email: sobolev_i@hotmail.com

Tuberculosis-Associated Hospitalizations and Deaths after COVID-19 Shelter-In-Place, San Francisco, California, USA

Janice K. Louie, Rocio Agraz-Lara, Laura Romo, Felix Crespin, Lisa Chen,¹ Susannah Graves¹

Author affiliations: San Francisco Department of Public Health Tuberculosis Prevention and Control Program, San Francisco, California, USA (J.K. Louie, R. Agraz-Lara, L. Romo, F. Crespin, S. Graves); University of California, San Francisco (J.K. Louie, L. Chen)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2708.210670

A mandated shelter-in-place and other restrictions associated with the coronavirus disease pandemic precipitated a decline in tuberculosis diagnoses in San Francisco, California, USA. Several months into the pandemic, severe illness resulting in hospitalization or death increased compared with prepandemic levels, warranting heightened vigilance for tuberculosis in at-risk populations.

Cince the emergence of a novel coronavirus, se-Overe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which causes coronavirus disease (COVID-19), unprecedented measures have been recommended to reduce transmission. In San Francisco, California, USA, progressively restrictive health officer orders implemented since early 2020 have included travel quarantines, shelter-in-place (SIP), deferral of routine medical appointments and elective surgeries, closure of public-facing events and businesses, and isolation and quarantine when appropriate (1). Nationwide, disruptions in medical services have contributed to delaying or avoiding routine care and a decrease in non-COVID-19-related hospital admissions and emergency department visits (2). Similarly, worldwide tuberculosis (TB) case reports have declined, including in San Francisco, where a $\approx 60\%$ decrease in newly diagnosed TB cases compared with prior years was observed in the first 4 months of the pandemic (3,4).

The San Francisco Department of Public Health (SFDPH) Tuberculosis Prevention and Control Program manages all cases of active TB in San Francisco residents (≈881,549 population). In 2019, San Francisco had a high incidence of TB, with rates >4-fold higher (11.9 cases/100,000 persons) than the national rate. The affected population is predominantly

¹These senior authors contributed equally to this article.

Figure. Comparison of TB cases pre-SIP (January 1, 2019-March 15, 2020) and after SIP (March 16, 2020-January 31, 2021), San Francisco, California, USA. Scales for the y-axes differ substantially to underscore patterns but do not permit direct comparisons. Total TB cases indicates total number of casepatients receiving TB treatment, by month. Cases were counted according to the month when TB was diagnosed. In the first months of the pandemic after SIP was implemented (March 16-June 30, 2020), numbers of patients newly diagnosed with TB decreased compared with the 14.5 months prior. In early July 2020, the number of



patients newly diagnosed with TB began to increase, with a higher proportion requiring hospitalization or having a TB-related death. SIP, shelter-in-place; TB, tuberculosis.

non-US-born (86%) with >80% residing in the United States >5 years (5). We reviewed overall numbers of active TB case-patients in San Francisco and newly diagnosed cases including those resulting in hospitalization, intensive care unit admission, and death. We divided our analysis into 2 periods: pre-SIP (January 1, 2019-March 15, 2020) and during SIP (March 16, 2020-January 31, 2021). TB was reportable within 1 working day of diagnosis. Cases were diagnosed by microbiologic testing or medical assessment for consistent clinical and radiographic findings. All patients who received a TB diagnosis after SIP began were tested for SARS-CoV-2 co-infection at the time of TB diagnosis, except for 7 patients during March-May 2020, when testing was less available. For all fatalities, we used a standardized algorithm to review medical records and death certificates to determine whether cause of death was TB-related. Because

these activities were public health surveillance and not research, review by institutional review board was not requested.

During the 14.5-month pre-SIP period, the monthly average number of patients receiving TB treatment was 73.0 persons, compared with 42.7 persons during the 10.5-month SIP period, resulting in a 42% reduction. The initial SIP period was marked by low numbers of new TB diagnoses during mid-March through June; increasing numbers starting in July, when more case-patients had TB diagnosed while they were hospitalized or dying from TB (Figure). Pre-SIP, a total of 114 patients (average 7.9 patients/month) were newly diagnosed with TB. A total of 38 (33.3%) patients were hospitalized, including 5 (4.4%) who required intensive care. A total of 4 (3.5%) patients died with cause of death assessed as TB-related. In comparison, after SIP

Table. New diagnoses of TB pre-SIP compared with during SIP during the coronavirus disease pandemic, San Francisco, California, USA, January 1, 2020–January 30, 2021*			
New diagnoses of active TB	114 (100)	52 (100)‡	NA
Average no. new TB cases/month	7.9	5.0	NA
Median age of case-patients, y (range)	64.0 (3–101)	66.0 (15–97)	NS
New case-patients with cavitary TB	33 (28.9)	11 (21.2)	NS
New TB case-patients requiring hospitalization	38 (33.3)	33 (63.5)	0.0003
New TB case-patients requiring intensive care	5 (4.4)	12 (23.1)	0.0002
New TB case-patients who died	15 (13.2)	10 (19.2)	NS
New TB case-patients with TB-related deaths§	4 (3.5)	7 (13.5)	0.017

*Values are no. (%) unless indicated. Cases were counted according to the month when TB was diagnosed. NA, not applicable; NS, not significant (p≥0.05); SIP, shelter-in-place; TB, tuberculosis.

†By Pearson χ² test.

‡All patients were tested for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 co-infection at time of TB diagnosis, except for 7 patients for whom testing was not routinely available in the first 3 months of the pandemic (March–May 2020); no patients were positive.

§Cause of death was evaluated by standardized algorithm and review of medical records and death certificate, when available. Deaths were counted as TB-related when TB was assessed as an immediate or contributing cause of death.

began, 52 patients (average 5.0 patients/month) were newly diagnosed with TB. A total of 33 (63.5%) patients were hospitalized, including 12 (23.1%) patients who required intensive care; 7 (13.5%) patients died with cause of death assessed as TBrelated. No patients diagnosed with TB during SIP reported having previous SARS-CoV-2 infection; all patients screened for SARS-CoV-2 had negative results. One patient experienced new-onset low-grade fever and cough 37 days after starting TB treatment and subsequently tested SARS-CoV-2 positive; this patient had no new radiographic abnormalities or COVID-19-related complications. More patients during SIP than before SIP required hospitalization, received intensive care, or had a TB-related death (p<0.05 by Pearson χ^2 test (Table). We found no difference in duration of TB symptoms pre-SIP (median 1 month, range 0-120 months) than that during SIP (median 1.5 months, range 0-24 months).

Our preliminary findings suggest that delayed TB diagnosis early in the pandemic, coinciding with implementation of SIP and other restrictive measures, might have contributed to an increasing proportion of patients who later experienced severe illness or death. Although we used SIP as a proxy, other factors probably contributed to the trend. The same racial, ethnic, and socioeconomic inequities that contributed to limited healthcare access during the COVID-19 pandemic are prevalent in TB-infected populations (6). Symptomatic patients might have been reluctant or unable to seek medical evaluation, thereby leading to worsening TB disease. The overlap of signs, symptoms, and abnormal radiographic findings for COVID-19 and TB could have resulted in prioritizing COVID-19 screening over TB diagnosis.

Our observations are a snapshot in time and are not representative of TB activity in other cities or regions where COVID-19 transmission rates and corresponding SIP and public health responses differ. Nevertheless, we collected real-world data consistent with the Stop-TB Partnership modeling studies predicting that prolonged disruption of TB activities could result in an excess of millions of TB cases and deaths through 2025 (7). As vaccination rates increase and restrictions ease, continued vigilance and public messaging about the importance of early diagnosis of TB in high-risk populations remain critical.

Acknowledgments

We thank the SFDPH Tuberculosis clinic staff for their dedicated care during the COVID-19 pandemic, with special acknowledgement to Eva Cheung, Sheila Davis-Jackson, Rita Estrada, Michelle Huang, Ana Li, George Lee, Talibah Miller, Luc Marzano, Min Naing Ma Khine, Allison Philips, Manuel Penton, Cathleen Qing, Lin Qiu, Jennifer Stella, and Pubu Zhuoga. We also thank Chris Keh for her review and helpful comments on our manuscript.

About the Author

Dr. Louie is the medical director of the San Francisco Department of Public Health's Tuberculosis Prevention and Control Program. Her research interests include the epidemiology, clinical management, and treatment of tuberculosis in older populations.

References

- 1. San Francisco Department of Public Health. Coronavirus (COVID-19) health orders [cited 2021 Mar 22]. https://www. sfdph.org/dph/alerts/coronavirus-healthorders.asp
- Czeisler ME, Marynak K, Clarke KEN, Salah Z, Shakya I, Thierry JM, et al. Delay or avoidance of medical care because of COVID-19-related concerns – United States, June 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2020;69:1250–7. https://doi.org/10.15585/mmwr.mm6936a4
- World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2020 [cited 2021 Mar 22]. https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/ handle/10665/336069/9789240013131-eng.pdf
- Louie JK, Reid M, Stella J, Agraz-Lara R, Graves S, Chen L, et al. A decrease in tuberculosis evaluations and diagnoses during the COVID-19 pandemic. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis. 2020;24:860–2. https://doi.org/10.5588/ijtld.20.0364
- California Department of Public Health Tuberculosis Control Branch. Tuberculosis disease data and publications [cited 2021 Mar 22]. https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CID/ DCDC/Pages/TB-Disease-Data.aspx
- Anderson KE, McGinty EE, Presskreischer R, Barry CL. Reports of forgone medical care among US adults during the initial phase of the COVID-19 pandemic. JAMA Netw Open. 2021;4:e2034882. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamanetworkopen.2020.34882
- 7. Stop TB Partnership. The potential impact of the COVID-19 response on tuberculosis in high-burden countries: a modeling analysis [cited 2021 Mar 22]. http://www.stoptb. org/assets/documents/news/Modeling%20Report_1%20 May%202020_FINAL.pdf

Address for correspondence: Janice Louie, San Francisco Department of Public Health Tuberculosis Prevention and Control Program, 2460 22nd St, Bldg 90, 4th Fl, San Francisco, CA 94110, USA; email: janice.louie@sfdph.org