Introduction and Differential Diagnosis of Monkeypox in Argentina, 2022
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We report detection of cases of monkeypox virus infection in Argentina in the context of a marked increase in confounding cases of atypical hand-foot-and-mouth syndrome caused by enterovirus coxsackie A6. We recommend performing an accurate differential virological diagnosis for exanthematous disease in suspected monkeypox cases.
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Global surveillance of monkeypox cases has resulted in the detection of an increasing number of suspected cases in countries to which the disease is not endemic (1). We report the results of a virological investigation of 9 suspected cases of monkeypox from Argentina (n = 6) and Bolivia (n = 3) detected during May 22–June 8, 2022. The investigation was conducted using World Health Organization case definitions (2).

We attempted laboratory diagnosis for all 9 cases by using classical and molecular methods such as electron microscopy (EM) and conventional orthopoxvirus PCR. We analyzed swab samples collected from the skin, genital lesions, or both for monkeypox screening. We performed negative staining electron microscopy using direct absorption for 10 minutes of a 10-μL sample volume on formvar-coated 400 mesh grids. We performed staining with 1% phosphotungstic acid (3) and examined samples using a Zeiss EM-109 transmission electron microscope.

We extracted viral nucleic acid by using the High Pure Viral RNA kit (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, https://www.roche.com) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. We performed end-point PCR amplification by using primers EACP1 and EACP2 targeting the complete viral hemagglutinin gene, as done previously (4). We sequenced amplicon PCR fragments by using BigDye Terminator version 3.1 reagent in an ABI3500 Genetic Analyzer automatic sequencer (both ThermoFisher Scientific, https://www.thermofisher.com). We produced bootstraps using 500 replicates. For differential diagnosis, we analyzed negative monkeypox virus (MPXV) samples by molecular methods for the detection of herpes simplex virus, varicella zoster virus, and enterovirus. We performed molecular typing of enteroviruses as previously reported (5).

The images obtained by EM in cases 1–3, all from Argentina, showed the presence of viral particles compatible with a member of the genus Orthopoxvirus (Appendix Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/28/10/22-1075-App1.pdf). The phylogenetic analysis of the complete hemagglutinin genes for these viruses confirmed the identification of MPXV (West African clade) (Appendix Figure 2). Enterovirus was identified by PCR in 4 (66.7%) of the remaining 6 cases (2 from Argentina and 2 from Bolivia). Coxsackievirus A6 (CV-A6) was identified in 3 of these 4 cases. CV-A6 is usually associated with atypical hand-foot-mouth syndrome. Finally, the 6 samples analyzed were negative for herpes simplex virus and varicella zoster virus. In summary, of the 9 detected during May 22–June 8, 2022. The investigation was conducted using World Health Organization case definitions (2).

We attempted laboratory diagnosis for all 9 cases by using classical and molecular methods such as electron microscopy (EM) and conventional orthopoxvirus PCR. We analyzed swab samples collected from the skin, genital lesions, or both for monkeypox screening. We performed negative staining electron microscopy using direct absorption for 10 minutes of a 10-μL sample volume on formvar-coated 400 mesh grids. We performed staining with 1% phosphotungstic acid (3) and examined samples using a Zeiss EM-109 transmission electron microscope.

We extracted viral nucleic acid by using the High Pure Viral RNA kit (Roche Molecular Biochemicals, https://www.roche.com) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. We performed end-point PCR amplification by using primers EACP1 and EACP2 targeting the complete viral hemagglutinin gene, as done previously (4). We sequenced amplicon PCR fragments by using BigDye Terminator version 3.1 reagent in an ABI3500 Genetic Analyzer automatic sequencer (both ThermoFisher Scientific, https://www.thermofisher.com). We produced bootstraps using 500 replicates. For differential diagnosis, we analyzed negative monkeypox virus (MPXV) samples by molecular methods for the detection of herpes simplex virus, varicella zoster virus, and enterovirus. We performed molecular typing of enteroviruses as previously reported (5).

The images obtained by EM in cases 1–3, all from Argentina, showed the presence of viral particles compatible with a member of the genus Orthopoxvirus (Appendix Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/28/10/22-1075-App1.pdf). The phylogenetic analysis of the complete hemagglutinin genes for these viruses confirmed the identification of MPXV (West African clade) (Appendix Figure 2). Enterovirus was identified by PCR in 4 (66.7%) of the remaining 6 cases (2 from Argentina and 2 from Bolivia). Coxsackievirus A6 (CV-A6) was identified in 3 of these 4 cases. CV-A6 is usually associated with atypical hand-foot-mouth syndrome. Finally, the 6 samples analyzed were negative for herpes simplex virus and varicella zoster virus. In summary, of the 9
cases from South America with exanthematic disease that fit the definition of suspected cases, 3 (33%) cases were confirmed for MPXV and 4 (44%) cases were differentially diagnosed as CV-A6 infections.

We evaluated the clinical manifestations of all 9 cases. In the 3 laboratory-confirmed cases of MPXV, clinical manifestations included pustular lesions of heterogeneous distribution in the body, multiple painful intergluteal and perianal lesions, and genital ulcers (Table). All 3 patients reported multiple sexual partners during the previous few weeks, 2 during international travel to Spain and 1 with international travelers from countries reporting cases. No patients experienced lymphadenopathy. Patients 1 and 3 were hospitalized briefly for pain management related to their symptoms.

The remaining 6 patients who were negative for orthopoxvirus displayed vesicular lesions in various stages on the palms, soles, and genital locations. Some reported travel from the Dominican Republic, Colombia, Paraguay, or Spain.

The epidemiologic information we collected on these monkeypox cases, together with genetic analysis, confirm that they are directly related to outbreaks in several countries in Europe (6) and are not linked to previous introductions in the United States (7, C.M. Gignasse et al., unpub. data, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.06.10.495526v1). Although 1 patient did not travel, he reported direct physical contact with persons who had traveled to countries with reported cases, revealing local community transmission.

Of note, South America is experiencing a marked increase in cases of atypical hand-foot-mouth syndrome caused by CV-A6 (8,9). Unlike the classic syndrome, this atypical variant also affects young adults and occurs in unusual regions of the body, including the genital areas, and could easily be confused with monkeypox. A wide case definition makes surveillance easier, but it also emphasizes the need to perform precise differential virological diagnosis for exanthematic disease in suspected cases.

In summary, we report 3 cases of monkeypox in patients in Argentina. Six additional patients in Argentina and Bolivia had monkeypox ruled out by differential diagnosis; 4 of those cases were atypical hand-foot-mouth syndrome caused by CV-A6. We recommend considering virological diagnosis of this

Table. Characteristics of suspected cases of monkeypox in Argentina and Bolivia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no.</th>
<th>Age, y/sex</th>
<th>Clinical manifestations</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Travel history</th>
<th>Hospital admission</th>
<th>Background</th>
<th>MPXV PCR</th>
<th>EV PCR/EV type</th>
<th>HSV PCR</th>
<th>VZV PCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40/M</td>
<td>Pustular lesions on the left shoulder, sternal, cervical, right scapula, left lower limb, multiple painful intergluteal and perianal lesions</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Multiple sexual partners, HIV+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40/M</td>
<td>Genital ulcer</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Multiple sexual partners</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>36/M</td>
<td>Fever, headache, myalgia, back pain, maculopapular lesions and pustules</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>No reported travel</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Multiple sexual partners</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>36/M</td>
<td>Vesicular lesions on the palms, soles, and perineum</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3-year-old son with blistering lesion on the perineum</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>43/M</td>
<td>Fever, maculopapular lesions and pustules</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+/CV-A6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>39/F</td>
<td>Vesicular lesions on hand, mouth, and groin area</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Dominican Republic and Colombia</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+/CV-A6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>53/F</td>
<td>Exanthematous lesions of unspecified distribution, lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>No reported travel</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>22/F</td>
<td>Exanthematous lesions of unspecified distribution, lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+/CV-A6</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>27/M</td>
<td>Exanthematous lesions of unspecified distribution, lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>No reported travel</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>HIV+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+/ND</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CV-A6, coxsackievirus A6; EV, enterovirus; HSV, herpes simplex virus; MPXV, monkeypox virus; ND, not done; VZV, varicella zoster virus; +, positive; –, negative.
disease in suspected cases of monkeypox. Clinicians should be aware of the possibility for misdiagnosis related to these viral infections.
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Epidemic typhus, caused by Rickettsia prowazekii bacteria and transmitted through body lice (Pediculus humanus corporis), was a major public health threat in Eastern Europe as a consequence of World War II. In 2022, war and the resulting population displacement in Ukraine risks the return of this serious disease.

T

he war in Ukraine has produced devastation in the region unseen since World War II. Epidemic typhus, one of the diseases that ravaged Europe during that period and before, but nearly forgotten in 2022, risks returning because of war and massive population displacement. History suggests that planning is needed to prevent this disease from aggravating the current war-induced public health crisis. Epidemic typhus (also called louse-borne typhus) is caused by Rickettsia prowazekii bacteria and is transmitted through the feces of body lice (Pediculus humanus corporis) that live in clothes. Before the advent of antibiotics, mortality rates from epidemic typhus reached 60%, especially in persons who were elderly and malnourished. The disease can be reactivated, in the absence of lice, after many decades as Brill–Zinsser disease, which can lead rapidly to further epidemics if patients become infested with body lice (1).

Epidemic typhus is associated with war, poverty, homelessness, cold weather, crowding, unsanitary conditions, and refugee camps. The disease has generated very little recent public awareness; the most recent regional outbreak reported in the public domain in English occurred in Russia in 1997 (2).

During World War II, Ukraine and adjacent countries were ravaged by epidemic typhus, especially the Jewish populations who were forced
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Appendix Figure 1. Electron microimage of monkeypox cases, Argentina, 2022. The virus was identified from the biologic fluid of the vesicular lesions of a patient with a presumptive clinical diagnosis of monkeypox.
Appendix Figure 2. Phylogenetic analysis of 3 monkeypox cases, Argentina, 2022. The 3 Argentine samples are highlighted with a black circle (ON800897.1, ON720962.1, and ON669283.1). Sequences from other countries where an increase in cases of monkeypox virus has occurred are included. The following information is included in the tree: Genbank accession number/virus/strain name/country/year. CPX, cowpox virus; MPXV, monkeypox virus; RPX, rabbitpox virus; VAC, Vaccinia virus. Bootstrap results are included and only those with bootstrap values >70% are shown.