Phylogenetic Analysis of Spread of Hepatitis C Virus Identified during HIV Outbreak Investigation, Unnao, India

Arati Mane, Sunitha Manjari Kasibhatla, Pallavi Vidhate, Vandana Saxena, Sandip Patil, Amrita Rao, Amit Nirmalkar, Urmila Kulkarni-Kale, Samiran Panda

An HIV outbreak investigation during 2017-2018 in Unnao District, Uttar Pradesh, India, unearthed high prevalence of hepatitis C virus (HCV) antibodies among the study participants. We investigated these HCV infections by analyzing NS5B and core regions. We observed no correlation between HIV-HCV viral loads and clustering of HCV sequences, regardless of HIV serostatus. All HCV isolates belonged to genotype 3a. Monophyletic clustering of isolates in NS5B phylogeny indicates emergence of the outbreak from a single isolate or its closely related descendants. The nucleotide substitution rate for NS5B was 6 \times 10^{-3} and for core was 2 \times 10^{-3} substitutions/ site/year. Estimated time to most recent common ancestor of these isolates was 2012, aligning with the timeline of this outbreak, which might be attributable to unsafe injection practices while seeking healthcare. HIV-HCV co-infection underlines the need for integrated testing, surveillance, strengthening of healthcare systems, community empowerment, and molecular analyses as pragmatic public health tools.

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a major public health concern worldwide and recognized as the leading cause of chronic liver disease, cirrhosis, and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). India, Pakistan, Georgia, and many countries in the western world have recorded high HCV prevalence among persons who inject drugs (PWID) (1–4). In addition,

Author affiliations: Indian Council of Medical Research–National AIDS Research Institute, Pune, India (A. Mane, P. Vidhate, V. Saxena, S. Patil, A. Rao, A, Nirmalkar, S. Panda); Savitribai Phule Pune University Bioinformatics Centre, Pune (S.M. Kasibhatla, U. Kulkarni-Kale); Centre for Development of Advanced Computing High Performance Computing–Medical and Bioinformatics Applications Group, Pune (S.M. Kasibhatla); Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, India (S. Panda) unsafe injection practices in therapeutic settings have been responsible for spread of HCV infection in the general population (5,6). HCV shares the same routes of transmission as HIV, although heterosexual sex is a less efficient one. Owing to the shared routes of transmission, about one third of persons living with HIV have been estimated to be coinfected with HCV in the United States, Europe, and some developing countries (7,8).

Increased detection of HIV among the attendees of the Integrated Counselling and Testing Center, located in the district hospital of Unnao, in the northern state of Uttar Pradesh, India, was reported in July 2017. A case-control investigation was initiated while 2 patients from Premganj Township of Bangarmau Block, Unnao, raised concerns that a local doctor (frequented mostly by persons from lower socioeconomic status) had been using a single syringe and needle to inject different persons. That investigation indicated that unsafe injecting practices in therapeutic settings were associated with HIV transmission and identified clustering around a monophyletic HIV-1 clade C. Serologic analyses revealed very high prevalence of antibodies to HCV among HIV-infected persons (85%) and controls (56%), suggesting a concerning level of spread of HCV infection in the study community, which was a serendipitous finding (5). Detection and molecular analysis of community HCV outbreaks is important because they can inform targeted public health interventions to interrupt HCV transmission. These initiatives are even more imperative as India aims to achieve the Sustainable Development Goal 3.3, which seeks to end viral hepatitis by 2030, as articulated in the National Health Policy (9). In this article, we describe the findings of molecular and phylogenetic analysis of HCV infections discovered during the HIV outbreak investigation in Unnao, India.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2804.211845

Methods

Ethics Statement

We obtained approval for the investigation from the Ethics Committee of the Indian Council of Medical Research–National AIDS Research Institute (Pune, India). All study participants provided written informed consent.

Clinical Specimens and Data

We conducted molecular and phylogenetic analyses for 98 HCV seroreactive participants, including 70 with HCV and 28 with HIV-HCV co-infection. We enrolled these participants as part of our previous case-control investigation, which was undertaken to identify the factors associated with increased detection of HIV in Unnao District Hospital, Unnao, India, during September-December 2018, details of which are published elsewhere (5). In brief, case-patients (n = 33) were persons found to be HIV seroreactive during a 6-month period (November 2017-April 2018) from 3 locations (Premganj, Karimuddinpur, and Chakmeerapur) in the Bangarmau Block of Unnao District. Controls (n = 125) were persons who lived in the same geographic locale as case-patients and tested HIV serononreactive either in health camps or at the Integrated Counselling and Testing Centers where the cases were detected. Eighty-five percent (28/33) of HIV-positive and 56% (70/125) of HIV-negative study participants were HCV seroreactive. We used the HCV seroreactive specimens stored at -80°C for the molecular analysis for HCV infection, along with corresponding metadata pertaining to sociodemographic and laboratory data.

Viral Load Estimation

We performed HCV viral load testing by using the Xpert HCV viral load assay (Cepheid, https://www. cepheid.com). In brief, we placed 1 mL serum into the Xpert cartridge, scanned it, and loaded it into the GeneXpert IV instrument. We recorded the results according to the manufacturer's instructions.

We estimated HIV-1 viral load by using the Abbott Real Time HIV-1 assay (Abbot https://www. abbott.com), which uses reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) with homogenous detection of real-time fluorescence. We carried out automated sample preparation on the m2000sp instrument for RNA isolation by using magnetic microparticle technology and dispensed it to a PCR tray along with the amplification reagents. We then transferred the PCR tray to the Abbott m2000rt instrument for amplification and real-time detection according to the manufacturer's instructions.

HCV RNA Extraction, NS5B and Core Gene Amplification, and Sequencing

We extracted viral RNA by using the NucliSens EasyMag total nucleic acid extraction system (bio-Mérieux, https://www.biomerieux.com). We amplified the extracted RNA for the core and NS5B region of HCV. We amplified the core region with outer sense primer 5'-ACTGCCTGATAGGGTGCT TGC-3'; outer antisense primer 5'-ATGTACCCCAT-GAGGTCGGC-3'; inner sense primer 5'-AGGTCTC-GTAGACCGTGCA-3'; and inner antisense primer 5'-CATGTGAGGGTATCGATGAC-3' (10). We amplified the NS5B region with outer sense primer 5'-CNTAYGGITTCCARTACTCICC-3', antisense primer 5'-GAGGARCAIGATGTTATIARCTC-3', inner sense primer 5'-TATGAYACCCGCTGYTTT-GACTC-3' and inner antisense primer 5'-GCNGAR-TAYCTVGTCATAGCCTC-3' (11). We used the Onestep RT-PCR kit (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen. com) for cDNA synthesis. We carried out the outer PCR in 25 µL reaction containing 4 µL 5× PCR buffer, 1 μL 2.0 mmol/L dNTP, 4.5 μL RNase-free water, 2 μL each sense and antisense primers, 1 μL RT-PCR enzyme mix, 0.5 µL RNase out (Invitrogen, https:// www.thermofisher.com), and 10 µL extracted RNA (treated with heat at 65°C for 30 s for core and 42°C for 5 min for NS5B) with PCR conditions: 50°C for 35 min; 95°C for 15 min; 95°C for 20 s, 55°C for 45 s, 72°C for 2 min, 35 cycles; and 72°C for 10 min. We carried out the inner PCR in 25 µL reaction containing 2.5 μ L 10× PCR buffer, 1 μ L 2.5 mmol/L dNTP, 11 μ L water, 1 μ L each sense and antisense primers, $0.5 \ \mu L$ Tag enzyme, and $5 \ \mu L$ template cDNA with PCR conditions: 95°C for 1 min, 95°C for 15 s, 56°C for 45 sec, 72°C for 1 min, 30 cycles; and 72°C for 5 min. We verified the PCR products by gel electrophoresis. We sequenced all amplified products on the Applied Biosystems 3130XL genetic analyzer (Applied Biosystems/ThermoFisher, https://www. thermofisher.com). We then performed sequence assembly and base-calling with SeqScape 2.7 software (ThermoFisher), using the FASTA files generated for further analysis. We submitted nucleotide sequences to GenBank (accession nos. MW675966-MW676030 for the core gene and MW675899-MW675965 for the NS5B gene).

HCV Genotyping and Phylogenetic Analysis

We genotyped partial sequences of core and NS5B genes of HCV samples from persons with HIV-HCV coinfection (labeled as i) and HCV monoinfection (labeled as ic) by using GenomeDetective (https://www.genomedetective.com/app/typingtool/hcv)

and HCV-Blast (12). We performed multiple sequence alignments by using the prototype sequence of H77 isolate (GenBank accession no. NC_038882) belonging to genotype 1, using MAFFT (13). We determined the exactly identical sequences by using the CD-HIT web server (14). We then extracted core and NS5B genes belonging to India and global HCV isolates from GenBank (15) by using blastn (16). We carried out recombination detection by using RDP4 (17) and then reconstructed phylogenetic trees for core and NS5B sequences by using the maximum-likelihood method as implemented in IQTREE (18). We used partial sequences of core and NS5B genes belonging to other India and global isolates, which clustered with Unnao samples for evolutionary analysis. We used BEAST version 1.10.4 to determine time to most recent common ancestor (tMRCA) (19).

We used the generalized time reversible model as a nucleotide substitution model with relaxed clock and log-normal distribution and coalescent constant growth as demographic model for tMRCA calculation. We carried out Markov Chain Monte Carlo simulations for 100 million steps and sampled every 10,000 steps. We used Tracer 1.6 (https://bioweb.pasteur.fr/packages/pack@Tracer@v1.6) for assessing convergence and iTOL (https://itol.embl.de) and FigTree (https://github.com/rambaut/figtree) for visualization of phylogenetic trees. To generate information on drug-resistant mutation substitutions observed in NS5B protein sequences, we mapped to sofosbuvir and ribavirin drug-resistant variants (http://hcv.geno2pheno.org).

Statistical Analysis

We compared the means between 2 samples by using an independent *t* test. We used Pearson's correlation analysis to determine whether the values of 2 variables were associated. We considered a probability level lower than the conventional 5% (p<0.05) as statistically significant. We assessed the association between dependent variable and cofactors by using Pearson's χ^2 or Fisher exact tests as appropriate.

Results

Participant Profile

We profiled persons with HCV monoinfection (n = 70) and those with HIV-HCV co-infection (n = 28) (Table 1); sex, area of residence, occupation, and sexual risk distribution were not significantly different

Characteristic HIV-HCV co-infection HCV monoinfection p value Total no. persons 28 (100) 70 (100)	Table 1. Characteristics of anti-HCV positive persons identified during HIV outbreak investigation, by HIV serostatus, Unnao, India*			
Total no. persons 28 (100) 70 (100) Mean age, y 50 38 0.044 Sex	Characteristic	HIV-HCV co-infection	HCV monoinfection	p value
Mean age, y 50 38 0.044 Sex	Total no. persons	28 (100)	70 (100)	
Sex 09 (32.1) 33 (47.1) 0.175 F 19 (67.9) 37 (52.9) 1 Area of residence 12 (42.9) 32 (45.7) 0.957 Kirvidyapur 1 (3.6) 2 (2.9) 2 Prenganj 15 (53.6) 36 (51.4) 0 Occupation 17 (60.7) 29 (41.4) 0.147 Farmer 5 (17.9) 12 (17.1) 0.147 Nonagricultural 6 (21.4) 29 (41.4) 0.453 No 6 (85.7) 31 (93.9) 0 Ever had sex with female casual partner (as reported by male participants)† Yes 1 (5.26) 0 0.345 No 6 (85.7) 31 (93.9) 0 0 0.345 No 1 (5.26) 0 0 0.345 0 No 18 (94.74) 36 (100) 0 0.345 No 21 (87.5) 53 (82.8) 0 0 Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years 77 (96.4) 47 (67.1) 0.002 No	Mean age, y	50	38	0.044
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Sex			
F 19 (67.9) 37 (52.9) Area of residence	Μ	09 (32.1)	33 (47.1)	0.175
Area of residence 12 (42.9) 32 (45.7) 0.957 Kirvidyapur 1 (3.6) 2 (2.9) 9 Premganj 15 (53.6) 36 (51.4) 0 Occupation 17 (60.7) 29 (41.4) 0.147 Farmer 5 (17.9) 12 (17.1) 0 Nonagricultural 6 (21.4) 29 (41.4) 0.453 No 6 (21.4) 29 (41.4) 0.453 No 6 (21.4) 29 (41.4) 0.453 No 6 (25.7) 31 (93.9) 10.453 Ever had sex with female casual partner (as reported by female participants)† 7 7 Yes 1 (5.26) 0 0.345 No 18 (94.74) 36 (100) 0.750 No 21 (87.5) 53 (82.8) 1 Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years 7 7 96.4) 47 (67.1) 0.002 No 1 (3.6) 23 (32.86) 1 1 1 1 3 0.001 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) 1 1 0.001 1 3 0.001<	F	19 (67.9)	37 (52.9)	
Chakmeerapur 12 (42.9) 32 (45.7) 0.957 Kirvidyapur 1 (3.6) 2 (2.9) Premganj 15 (53.6) 36 (51.4) Occupation 17 (60.7) 29 (41.4) 0.147 Farmer 5 (17.9) 12 (17.1) 0.001 Nonagricultural 6 (21.4) 29 (41.4) 0.147 Ever had sex with female casual partner (as reported by male participants)† Yes 1 (14.3) 2 (6.1) 0.453 No 6 (85.7) 31 (93.9) 0 0.345 0 0.345 No 18 (94.74) 36 (100) 0 0.345 0 0 Condom use during last sex† 3 (12.5) 11 (17.2) 0.750 0 0 0.345 No 21 (87.5) 53 (82.8) 10 0.002 0 0 0.002 0 0.002 0 0.002 0 0.001 No 1 (3.6) 23 (32.86) 0 0.001 0.002 No 1 (3.6) 23 (32.86) 0 0.001 No 1 (3.6)	Area of residence			
Kirvidyapur 1 (3.6) 2 (2.9) Premganj 15 (53.6) 36 (51.4) Occupation 17 (60.7) 29 (41.4) 0.147 Farmer 5 (17.9) 12 (17.1) 0.001 Nonagricultural 6 (21.4) 29 (41.4) 0.453 Ever had sex with female casual partner (as reported by male participants)† 7 26 (51.7) 0.453 No 6 (85.7) 31 (93.9) 2 6 (100) 0.345 Ever had sex with male casual partner (as reported by female participants)† 7 7 9 0 0.345 No 18 (94.74) 36 (100) 0 0.345 0 0 Condom use during last sex† 7 7 9 11 (17.2) 0.750 0 No 21 (87.5) 53 (82.8) 1 1 1 0.002 No 1 3.6 0 0.002 No 1 3.6 23 (32.86) 1 1 1 0.002 No 1 3.6 25 (35.7) 1 0.001	Chakmeerapur	12 (42.9)	32 (45.7)	0.957
Premganj 15 (53.6) 36 (51.4) Occupation 17 (60.7) 29 (41.4) 0.147 Farmer 5 (17.9) 12 (17.1) 0.147 Nonagricultural 6 (21.4) 29 (41.4) 0.147 Ever had sex with female casual partner (as reported by male participants)† Yes 1 (14.3) 2 (6.1) 0.453 No 6 (85.7) 31 (93.9) 0 0 0.345 Ever had sex with male casual partner (as reported by female participants)† Yes 0 0 0.345 No 6 (85.7) 31 (93.9) 0 0 0.345 No 18 (94.74) 36 (100) 0 0.345 Condom use during last sex† Yes 3 (12.5) 11 (17.2) 0.750 No 21 (87.5) 53 (82.8) 0 0 0 Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years 27 (96.4) 47 (67.1) 0.002 No 1 (3.6) 23 (32.86) 1 1 0.001 No 1 0.001 No 0.001	Kirvidyapur	1 (3.6)	2 (2.9)	
Occupation17 (60.7)29 (41.4)0.147Farmer5 (17.9)12 (17.1)Nonagricultural6 (21.4)29 (41.4)Ever had sex with female casual partner (as reported by male participants)†7 (60.7)29 (41.4)Yes6 (85.7)31 (93.9)0.453No6 (85.7)31 (93.9)0.453Ever had sex with male casual partner (as reported by female participants)†7 (52.6)00.345Yes1 (5.26)00.3450.0345No18 (94.74)36 (100)0.0500.050Condom use during last sex†3 (12.5)11 (17.2)0.750Yes3 (12.5)11 (17.2)0.7500.002No21 (87.5)53 (82.8)0.001Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years27 (96.4)47 (67.1)0.002No1 (3.6)23 (32.86)0.001No1 (3.6)25 (35.7)Way syringe and needle used while receiving intramuscular injection in past 5 years† Injected by used syringe and needle9 (32.1)3 (4.3)0.0001Injected by used syringe and needle13 (46.4)60 (85.7)0.002	Premganj	15 (53.6)	36 (51.4)	
Unemployed 17 (60.7) 29 (41.4) 0.147 Farmer 5 (17.9) 12 (17.1) 0 Nonagricultural 6 (21.4) 29 (41.4) 0 Ever had sex with female casual partner (as reported by male participants)† Yes 1 (14.3) 2 (6.1) 0.453 No 6 (85.7) 31 (93.9) 0 0 0.345 Ever had sex with male casual partner (as reported by female participants)† Yes 0 0 0.345 No 18 (94.74) 36 (100) 0 0.345 Condom use during last sex† 18 (94.74) 36 (100) 0 0.750 No 21 (87.5) 53 (82.8) 0 0 0.002 Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years Yes 27 (96.4) 47 (67.1) 0.002 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) 0 0 0 0 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) 0 0.001 No 0.0001 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) 0 0.0001 0.0	Occupation			
Farmer5 (17.9)12 (17.1)Nonagricultural6 (21.4)29 (41.4)Ever had sex with female casual partner (as reported by male participants)† Yes1 (14.3)2 (6.1)No6 (85.7)31 (93.9)Ever had sex with male casual partner (as reported by female participants)† Yes1 (5.26)0No18 (94.74)36 (100)Condom use during last sex†70.750Yes3 (12.5)11 (17.2)0.750No21 (87.5)53 (82.8)0Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years796.4)47 (67.1)0.002No1 (3.6)23 (32.86)00Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years27 (96.4)45 (64.3)0.001No1 (3.6)25 (35.7)00Way syringe and needle9 (32.1)3 (4.3)0.0001Injected by used syringe and needle9 (32.6)60 (85.7)0	Unemployed	17 (60.7)	29 (41.4)	0.147
Nonagricultural 6 (21.4) 29 (41.4) Ever had sex with female casual partner (as reported by male participants)† 1 (14.3) 2 (6.1) 0.453 No 6 (85.7) 31 (93.9) 0.453 Ever had sex with male casual partner (as reported by female participants)† 7 7 Yes 1 (5.26) 0 0.345 No 18 (94.74) 36 (100) 0.750 Condom use during last sex† 7 9 3 (12.5) 11 (17.2) 0.750 No 21 (87.5) 53 (82.8) 0 0 0.002 0 0.002 0 0.002 0 0.002 0 0.002 0 0.002 0 0.002 0 0.002 0 0.002 0 0.002 0 0.002 0 0.001 0 0.002 0 0.001 0 0.001 0 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001 0.0001	Farmer	5 (17.9)	12 (17.1)	
Ever had sex with female casual partner (as reported by male participants)†Yes1 (14.3)2 (6.1)0.453No6 (85.7)31 (93.9)Ever had sex with male casual partner (as reported by female participants)†7Yes1 (5.26)00.345No18 (94.74)36 (100)Condom use during last sex†7Yes3 (12.5)11 (17.2)0.750No21 (87.5)53 (82.8)Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years27 (96.4)47 (67.1)0.002No1 (3.6)23 (32.86)Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years27 (96.4)45 (64.3)0.001No1 (3.6)25 (35.7)0.001Way syringe and needle used while receiving intramuscular injection in past 5 years†1 (3.6)25 (35.7)Way syringe and needle9 (32.1)3 (4.3)0.0001Injected by used syringe and needle9 (32.1)3 (4.3)0.0001	Nonagricultural	6 (21.4)	29 (41.4)	
Yes1 (14.3)2 (6.1)0.453No6 (85.7)31 (93.9)Ever had sex with male casual partner (as reported by female participants)† Yes1 (5.26)00.345No18 (94.74)36 (100)Condom use during last sex† Yes3 (12.5)11 (17.2)0.750No21 (87.5)53 (82.8)Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years Yes27 (96.4)47 (67.1)0.002No1 (3.6)23 (32.86)Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years Yes27 (96.4)45 (64.3)0.001No1 (3.6)25 (35.7)0.001No1 (3.6)25 (35.7)0.001No1 (3.6)25 (35.7)0.001	Ever had sex with female casual partner (as reported by male participa	ants)†		
No $6 (85.7)$ $31 (93.9)$ Ever had sex with male casual partner (as reported by female participants)† Yes $1 (5.26)$ 0 0.345 No $18 (94.74)$ $36 (100)$ Condom use during last sex† Yes $3 (12.5)$ $11 (17.2)$ 0.750 No $21 (87.5)$ $53 (82.8)$ Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years Yes $27 (96.4)$ $47 (67.1)$ 0.002 No $1 (3.6)$ $23 (32.86)$ Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years Yes $27 (96.4)$ $45 (64.3)$ 0.001 No $1 (3.6)$ $25 (35.7)$ Way syringe and needle used while receiving intramuscular injection in past 5 years† Injected by used syringe and needle $9 (32.1)$ $3 (4.3)$ 0.0001	Yes	1 (14.3)	2 (6.1)	0.453
Ever had sex with male casual partner (as reported by female participants)† Yes1 (5.26)00.345No18 (94.74)36 (100)Condom use during last sex† Yes3 (12.5)11 (17.2)0.750No21 (87.5)53 (82.8)Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years Yes27 (96.4)47 (67.1)0.002No1 (3.6)23 (32.86)Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years Yes27 (96.4)45 (64.3)0.001No1 (3.6)25 (35.7)0.001No1 (3.6)25 (35.7)0.0001Injected by used syringe and needle9 (32.1)3 (4.3)0.0001Injected by used syringe and needle113 (46.4)60 (85.7)	No	6 (85.7)	31 (93.9)	
Yes1 (5.26)00.345No18 (94.74)36 (100)Condom use during last sex† 3 (12.5)11 (17.2)0.750No21 (87.5)53 (82.8)Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years 27 (96.4)47 (67.1)0.002No1 (3.6)23 (32.86)Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years 27 (96.4)45 (64.3)0.001No1 (3.6)25 (35.7) 13 (4.3)0.001No1 (3.6)25 (35.7) 3 (4.3)0.0001	Ever had sex with male casual partner (as reported by female participa	ants)†		
No 18 (94.74) 36 (100) Condom use during last sex† 7 0.750 Yes 3 (12.5) 11 (17.2) 0.750 No 21 (87.5) 53 (82.8) 0.002 Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years 7 96.4) 47 (67.1) 0.002 No 1 (3.6) 23 (32.86) 0.001 0.002 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years 27 (96.4) 45 (64.3) 0.001 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) 0.001 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) Way syringe and needle used while receiving intramuscular injection in past 5 years† 9 (32.1) 3 (4.3) 0.0001 Injected by used syringe and needle 9 (32.1) 3 (4.3) 0.0001	Yes	1 (5.26)	0	0.345
Condom use during last sex† Yes0.750No $3 (12.5)$ $11 (17.2)$ 0.750 No $21 (87.5)$ $53 (82.8)$ Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years Yes $27 (96.4)$ $47 (67.1)$ 0.002 No $1 (3.6)$ $23 (32.86)$ Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years Yes $27 (96.4)$ $45 (64.3)$ 0.001 No $1 (3.6)$ $25 (35.7)$ Way syringe and needle used while receiving intramuscular injection in past 5 years† Injected by used syringe and needle $9 (32.1)$ $3 (4.3)$ 0.0001 Injected by used syringe and needle $13 (46.4)$ $60 (85.7)$	No	18 (94.74)	36 (100)	
Yes $3 (12.5)$ $11 (17.2)$ 0.750 No $21 (87.5)$ $53 (82.8)$ Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years $7 (96.4)$ $47 (67.1)$ 0.002 No $1 (3.6)$ $23 (32.86)$ Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years $7 (96.4)$ $45 (64.3)$ 0.001 No $1 (3.6)$ $25 (35.7)$ 0.001 No $1 (3.6)$ $25 (35.7)$ 0.001 No $9 (32.1)$ $3 (4.3)$ 0.0001	Condom use during last sex†			
No 21 (87.5) 53 (82.8) Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years 27 (96.4) 47 (67.1) 0.002 No 1 (3.6) 23 (32.86) 1 Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years 27 (96.4) 45 (64.3) 0.001 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) 0.001 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) 0.001 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) 0.0001 Way syringe and needle 9 (32.1) 3 (4.3) 0.0001 Injected by used syringe and needle 13 (46.4) 60 (85.7)	Yes	3 (12.5)	11 (17.2)	0.750
Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 yearsYes27 (96.4)47 (67.1) 0.002 No1 (3.6)23 (32.86)Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years $27 (96.4)$ 45 (64.3) 0.001 No1 (3.6)25 (35.7)Way syringe and needle9 (32.1)3 (4.3) 0.001 Injected by used syringe and needle13 (46.4)60 (85.7)	No	21 (87.5)	53 (82.8)	
Yes 27 (96.4) 47 (67.1) 0.002 No 1 (3.6) 23 (32.86) 1 Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years 27 (96.4) 45 (64.3) 0.001 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) 0.001 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) 0.001 No 9 (32.1) 3 (4.3) 0.0001	Intravenous injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years			
No1 (3.6)23 (32.86)Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years Yes27 (96.4)45 (64.3)0.001No1 (3.6)25 (35.7)Way syringe and needle used while receiving intramuscular injection in past 5 years† Injected by used syringe and needle9 (32.1)3 (4.3)0.0001Injected by new syringe and needle13 (46.4)60 (85.7)	Yes	27 (96.4)	47 (67.1)	0.002
Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years 27 (96.4) 45 (64.3) 0.001 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) Way syringe and needle used while receiving intramuscular injection in past 5 years† 1	No	1 (3.6)	23 (32.86)	
Yes 27 (96.4) 45 (64.3) 0.001 No 1 (3.6) 25 (35.7) Way syringe and needle used while receiving intramuscular injection in past 5 years† 1 1 Injected by used syringe and needle 9 (32.1) 3 (4.3) 0.0001 Injected by new syringe and needle 13 (46.4) 60 (85.7)	Intramuscular injection in therapeutic setting in past 5 years			
No1 (3.6)25 (35.7)Way syringe and needle used while receiving intramuscular injection in past 5 years†1Injected by used syringe and needle9 (32.1)3 (4.3)Injected by new syringe and needle13 (46.4)60 (85.7)	Yes	27 (96.4)	45 (64.3)	0.001
Way syringe and needle used while receiving intramuscular injection in past 5 years† Injected by used syringe and needle 9 (32.1) 3 (4.3) 0.0001 Injected by new syringe and needle 13 (46.4) 60 (85.7)	No	1 (3.6)	25 (35.7)	
Injected by used syringe and needle9 (32.1)3 (4.3)0.0001Injected by new syringe and needle13 (46.4)60 (85.7)	Way syringe and needle used while receiving intramuscular injection in	n past 5 years†		
Injected by new syringe and needle 13 (46.4) 60 (85.7)	Injected by used syringe and needle	9 (32.1)	3 (4.3)	0.0001
	Injected by new syringe and needle	13 (46.4)	60 (85.7)	

*Values are no. (%) except as indicated. HCV, hepatitis C virus.

†Because of nonresponse from some participants, numbers may not sum to total.

SYNOPSIS

between the 2 groups. We observed statistically significant differences between the 2 groups with regards to age and receipt of injection during treatmentseeking within the last 5 years.

We compared the characteristics of HCV reactive (n = 98) and nonreactive (n = 60) persons and observed that a statistically significant higher proportion of HCV reactive persons reported being exposed to used syringes and needles while seeking treatment and were HIV seropositive compared with HCV nonreactive persons. We did not observe significant differences with regards to age, sex, area of residence, occupation, and sexual risk distribution between the 2 groups (Appendix Table, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/ EID/article/28/4/21-1845-App1.pdf). None of the study participants reported ever injecting drugs for nonmedicinal or recreational purposes.

Association between HCV and HIV Viral Load

We tested all HCV antibody-positive specimens for HCV viral load. Samples collected from 2 participants with HIV-HCV co-infection (identified by presence of antibody) and 3 with HCV monoinfection (seroreactive) had insufficient volume. Thus, we used specimens from 26 participants with HIV-HCV co-infection and 67 participants with HCV monoinfection for viral load testing. Eighty-eight percent (23/26) of specimens from HIV-HCV coinfected persons and 71% (49/67) specimens from HCV monoinfected participants had detectable HCV RNA (chronic infection). HCV RNA load in participants with HIV-HCV co-infection was (mean + SD log IU/mL) 5.93 + 0.91 and HCV monoinfection was 5.46 + 1.09; the difference was not statistically significant (p = 0.07). HCV RNA load did not differ significantly in HIV-positive persons with detectable (6.06 + 1.15) and undetectable (5.85 + (0.76) viral load (p = 0.65) (Table 2). We did not observe any correlation between HIV and HCV viral load (r = 0.0567; p = 0.88).

Genetic Variability in the HCV Core and NS5B Genes and HCV genotypes

We obtained a total of 67 (23 with HIV–HCV coinfection and 44 with HCV monoinfection) and 65 (22 with HIV-HCV coinfection and 43 with HCV monoinfection) sequences belonging to core and NS5B regions. The partial core sequence mapped to 394-722 (329 bases) and NS5B gene mapped to 8,196-8,647 (452 bases) of H77 prototype. We observed the extent of sequence similarities in the core HIV-HCV coinfection was 98.15%, in HCV monoinfection was 96.9%, and in combined datasets of the sequence similarity was 96.6%. Similarly, for the NS5B sequence similarities in HIV-HCV coinfection was 98.9%, HCV monoinfection was 98.03%, and in combined datasets of the sequence similarity was 97.36%. All the isolates from Unnao belonged to genotype 3a regardless of HIV coinfection status. No recombination was observed in the regions of NS5B and core genes sequenced.

With reference to the H77 prototype, we observed a total of 71 nucleotide and 12 amino acid substitutions in the core protein, whereas the NS5B protein showed 70 nucleotide and 43 amino acid substitutions in Unnao isolates. Of the 12 amino acid substitutions in the core protein, 6 (N16I, L36V, R70Q, P71S, T75S, and T110N) were part of highly variable sites in other India isolates. Of the 43 NS5B substitutions, 6 were associated with resistance to the known drugs ribavirin and sofosbuvir. The substitutions Q309R (observed in all Unnao isolates) and R345S (observed in 4 isolates in the HCV monoinfection group) are known to be associated with resistance to ribavirin. We also observed the substitutions A207M, S218F, C289F, and A333R (associated with sofosbuvir resistance) in all isolates.

HCV Phylogenetic and Evolutionary Analysis

The phylogenetic trees derived using partial sequences of NS5B and core genes of the Unnao isolates showed clustering of isolates with HIV-HCV co-infection and HCV monoinfection, indicating lack of distinct clusters pertaining to HIV status (Figures 1, 2). In the phylogenetic tree derived for NS5B gene using global sequences that include India HCV isolates from other studies as well as the Unnao isolates, Unnao isolates were observed to form a monophyletic cluster (Appendix Figure 1). The closest branch joining the Unnao isolates includes 1 India isolate (GenBank accession no. GQ275355, isolated during

Table 2. Viral load among HCV antibody-positive persons identified during HIV outbreak investigation, by HIV serostatus, Unnao, India*			
Category	Viral load, log IU/mL, <u>+</u> SD	p value	
Persons with HCV monoinfection	5.46 <u>+</u> 1.09	0.07†	
Persons with HIV–HCV co-infection	5.93 <u>+</u> 0.91		
Persons with undetectable HIV-1 viral load	5.85 ± 0.76	0.65‡	
Persons with detectable HIV-1 viral load	6.06 <u>+</u> 1.15		

*HCV, hepatitis C virus. †For comparison between HCV monoinfection and HIV–HCV co-infection.

‡For comparison between undetectable and detectable HIV-1 viral load.



Figure 1. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree derived using hepatitis C virus (HCV) NS5B gene sequences of isolates from anti-HCV positive persons identified during HIV outbreak investigation, Unnao, India. Grey circles indicate nodes with >70% bootstrap support. Red indicates samples with HIV–HCV co-infection; blue indicates samples with HCV monoinfection. i, HIV–HCV coinfection; ic, HCV monoinfection.

2003), 3 isolates from the United States (GenBank accession nos. DQ430819-20, isolated during 2000, and AY956467, isolated in 2002), and 2 isolates from the United Kingdom (GenBank accession nos. GQ356207 and GQ356217, isolated during 2006). In the phylogenetic analysis using the core gene, Unnao isolates were part of 3 clusters. Most Unnao isolates (56 of the total 65) formed a distinct cluster. The second cluster included 1 Unnao isolate ic31460 and 5 HCV isolates (GenBank accession nos. MT953835 and MT953776, isolated during 2019 from India, and GenBank accession nos. KY620638, KY620807, and KY620806, isolated during 2014 [country of isolation is not available]). The third cluster included Unnao isolates i10711, i30501, i10911, i30071, i20851, i10811, ic31220, and ic31370 and other India isolates (GenBank accession nos. MN697780, isolated during 2016, and MN697854 and MN697827, isolated during 2017) from a previous study (20) (Appendix Figure 2).

We estimated tMRCA for Unnao isolates by using the partial sequences of NS5B genes of HIV–HCV co-infection and HCV monoinfection, together with the India and global isolates that are observed in close proximity in the phylogenetic tree. tMRCA for Unnao was observed to be 2012 (Figure 3). The nucleotide substitution rate of partial sequences of the core gene belonging to Unnao and global isolates is 2×10^{-3} substitutions/site/year (95% highest posterior density interval 1.16–3.54 × 10⁻³) and for the NS5B gene (that clustered with Unnao isolates) is 6×10^{-3} substitutions/site/year (95% highest posterior density interval 1.28–8.46 × 10⁻³).

SYNOPSIS

Discussion

We describe the investigation of a HIV-HCV dual outbreak in a general community setting from India by means of sequencing of partial regions of 2 HCV genes; namely, NS5B (nonstructural) and core (structural). The NS5B is relatively conserved and used as a marker for genotyping (21). The isolates sequenced from participants with HCV monoinfection and coinfection (HIV-HCV) were characterized by using phylogenetic analysis to understand the circulating genotypes, time of introduction of HCV in the community, and the functional significance of substitutions, if any.

Phylogenetic analysis revealed the presence of genotype 3a in all samples. This genotype is the most commonly reported from the state of Uttar Pradesh and the neighboring regions (2,22,23). All HCV sequences from Unnao shared high sequence similarity (>96%) regardless of HIV infection status. The clustering pattern observed in the phylogenetic tree derived from NS5B suggests monophyly and thereby indicates that the Unnao isolates are descendants of 1 (or many) closely related 3a isolates that are circulating in the community. Similarly, the estimated nucleotide substitution rates reported in our study are not only in agreement with previous reports (24,25) but also corroborate with the timeline of the HIV outbreak reported. Thus, the phylogenetic clustering supports the observation pertaining to the use of unsterile injection equipment as a potential route of entry of HCV in the study setting (5). The phylogenetic tree of core sequences from Unnao showed that 1 of the 3 observed clusters consisting of 8 sequences (6 i and 2 ic) group with isolates reported in a previous study describing HCV diversity among HIV-infected persons (20). However, clustering proximity of Unnao isolates with isolates from recreational drug users (based on core region) is insufficient (21) and therefore the use of recreational drug abuse can be ruled out in the Unnao outbreak (Appendix Figure 2). None of the study participants reported injecting drugs for nonmedicinal or recreational purposes. Thus, the exceptionally high rate of HCV infection in Unnao might be explained by exposure of the local community (mostly agrarian and belonging to lower socioeconomic status) to unsafe injection practices during healthcare seeking; an assertion supported by the observation of 2 patients, which prompted the case-control study described previously and earlier reports documenting reuse of injection equipment in nearby rural and urban settings (5,26).

HIV is reported to accelerate the progression of liver disease in HCV-infected persons because HCV replication increases in the presence of HIV, resulting in elevated HCV RNA levels (7,25). We did not observe correlation between HIV and HCV RNA load, nor was any specific clustering of HCV sequences based on HIV serostatus noted. Thus, it appears that



Figure 2. Maximumlikelihood phylogenetic tree derived using hepatitis C virus (HCV) core gene sequences of isolates from anti-HCV positive persons identified during HIV outbreak investigation, Unnao, India. Grey circles indicate nodes with >70% bootstrap support. Red indicates samples with HIV-HCV coinfection; blue indicates samples with HCV monoinfection. i, HIV-HCV co-infection; ic, HCV monoinfection.



Emerging Infectious Diseases • www.cdc.gov/eid • Vol. 28, No. 4, April 2022

SYNOPSIS

the influence of duration of HIV infection was not sufficiently strong and probably succeeded (rather than preceded) the highly evolved or adapted HCV that circulated in defined clusters.

We observed certain mutations associated with ribavirin and sofosbuvir resistance; these drugs form the main component of HCV treatment regimens in India (9). The low detection rate of resistance mutations in the isolates corroborates with the HCV treatment-naive status of the community in concern. Knowledge of baseline mutations is important for predicting potential response to antiviral therapy and will help to determine local policies for HCV treatment.

The first limitation of our study is that it was not primarily designed as an HCV outbreak investigation and hence was restricted in terms of the samples tested for HCV phylogeny. The nature of the investigation did not enable us to link HCV infection to any specific source. HCV RNA testing was performed after the detection of the HIV outbreak in Unnao. Hence, we could not define the time between infection and the onset of viremia. A similar outbreak investigation in the Roka rural commune in Cambodia showed that concurrent spread of HIV and HCV was linked with unsafe injecting practices in therapeutic settings (6).

The ongoing HCV epidemic we uncovered in our investigation highlights the need for HCV surveillance in the area and in neighboring districts. Furthermore, after our investigation, an antiretroviral treatment center was established at the Bangarmau Community health center, the coordination site for the case-control investigation described previously, to ensure access of local residents to testing, treatment, and follow-up services.

In conclusion, characterization of NS5B and core sequences with HCV monoinfection and HIV-HCV co-infection using phylogenetic analysis not only substantiates our previous results (5) but also indicates a potential HCV outbreak in the community from a single isolate or its closely related descendants in Unnao, India. The HIV-HCV dual epidemic in a general community setting highlights the need for systematic surveillance and integrated approach combining hepatitis testing along with HIV for early detection and timely interventions to arrest transmission. We reiterate the need for adhering to good infection prevention and control practices, deploying single-use injection equipment (preferably autodisabled ones), strengthening healthcare delivery systems, and empowering the community to reduce the further spread of HIV and HCV. Molecular analyses of all HCV outbreaks

is warranted to decipher the circulating genotypes, genetic diversity, and transmission dynamics. These findings in turn will provide valuable inputs to the National Viral Hepatitis Control Program in planning targeted interventions for mitigating the spread of HCV in India.

This work was supported by the Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi under Short Term New Scheme (HIV/50/183/2/2018-ECD II).

About the Author

Dr. Arati Mane is a scientist with the Division of Microbiology at the Indian Council of Medical Research-National AIDS Research Institute in Pune, India. Her research interests include molecular epidemiology of sexually transmitted infections, evaluation of rapid and low cost diagnostics, and antimicrobial resistance.

References

- Sarna A, Panda S. HCV in people who inject drugs: a neglected epidemic. Lancet Infect Dis. 2015;15:4–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(14)71054-0
- Chadha S, Sharma U, Chaudhary A, Prakash C, Gupta S, Venkatesh S. Molecular epidemiological analysis of three hepatitis C virus outbreaks in Jammu and Kashmir State, India. J Med Microbiol. 2016;65:804–13. https://doi.org/ 10.1099/jmm.0.000284
- Shayan SJ, Nazari R, Kiwanuka F. Prevalence of HIV and HCV among injecting drug users in three selected WHO-EMRO countries: a meta-analysis. Harm Reduct J. 2021;18:59. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12954-021-00505-4
- Hagan LM, Kasradze A, Salyer SJ, Gamkrelidze A, Alkhazashvili M, Chanturia G, et al. Hepatitis C prevalence and risk factors in Georgia, 2015: setting a baseline for elimination. BMC Public Health. 2019;19(Suppl 3):480. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-019-6784-3
- Patil S, Rao A, Pathak P, Kurle S, Mane A, Nirmalkar A, et al. Unsterile injection equipment associated with HIV outbreak and an extremely high prevalence of HCV – a case-control investigation from Unnao, India. PLoS One. 2020; 15:e0243534. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0243534
- Rouet F, Nouhin J, Zheng DP, Roche B, Black A, Prak S, et al. Massive iatrogenic outbreak of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 in rural Cambodia, 2014–2015. Clin Infect Dis. 2018;66:1733–41. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix1071
- Shenge JA, Odaibo GN, Olaleye DO. Phylogenetic analysis of hepatitis C virus among HIV/HCV co-infected patients in Nigeria. PLoS One. 2019;14:e0210724. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0210724
- Rockstroh JK, Spengler U. HIV and hepatitis C virus co-infection. Lancet Infect Dis. 2004;4:437–44. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S1473-3099(04)01059-X
- 9. National Viral Hepatitis Control Program Operational Guidelines. 2018 [2018 Sep 5]. http://inasl.org.in/ national-viral-hepatitis-control-program.pdf
- Lole KS, Jha JA, Shrotri SP, Tandon BN, Prasad VG, Arankalle VA. Comparison of hepatitis C virus genotyping by 5' noncoding region- and core-based reverse transcriptase PCR assay with sequencing and use of the

assay for determining subtype distribution in India. J Clin Microbiol. 2003;41:5240-4. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JCM.41.11.5240-5244.2003

- Laperche S, Lunel F, Izopet J, Alain S, Dény P, Duverlie G, et al. Comparison of hepatitis C virus NS5b and 5' noncoding gene sequencing methods in a multicenter study. J Clin Microbiol. 2005;43:733–9. https://doi.org/10.1128/ JCM.43.2.733-739.2005
- Kuiken C, Yusim K, Boykin L, Richardson R. The Los Alamos hepatitis C sequence database. Bioinformatics. 2005;21:379– 84. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bth485
- Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD. MAFFT online service: multiple sequence alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. Brief Bioinform. 2019;20:1160–6. https://doi.org/10.1093/bib/bbx108
- Huang Y, Niu B, Gao Y, Fu L, Li W. CD-HIT Suite: a web server for clustering and comparing biological sequences. Bioinformatics. 2010;26:680–2. https://doi.org/10.1093/ bioinformatics/btq003
- Sayers EW, Cavanaugh M, Clark K, Ostell J, Pruitt KD, Karsch-Mizrachi I. GenBank. Nucleic Acids Res. 2020; 48(D1):D84–6.
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, et al. Gapped BLAwST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. Nucleic Acids Res. 1997;25:3389–402. https://doi.org/10.1093/ nar/25.17.3389
- Martin DP, Murrell B, Golden M, Khoosal A, Muhire B. RDP4: Detection and analysis of recombination patterns in virus genomes. Virus Evol. 2015;1:vev003. https://doi.org/ 10.1093/ve/vev003
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32:268–74. https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300
- 19. Suchard MA, Lemey P, Baele G, Ayres DL, Drummond AJ, Rambaut A. Bayesian phylogenetic and phylodynamic data

integration using BEAST 1.10. Virus Evol. 2018;4:vey016. https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/vey016

- Solomon SS, Boon D, Saravanan S, Srikrishnan AK, Vasudevan CK, Balakrishnan P, et al. Diversity of hepatitis C virus infection among HIV-infected people who inject drugs in India. Virusdisease. 2019;30:490–7. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s13337-019-00553-2
- Lamoury FMJ, Jacka B, Bartlett S, Bull RA, Wong A, Amin J, et al. The influence of hepatitis C virus genetic region on phylogenetic clustering analysis. PLoS One. 2015;10:e0131437. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0131437
- Prakash S, Shukla S, Ramakrishna V, Jain A. Distribution of hepatitis C genotypes in Uttar Pradesh, India; rare genotype 4 detected. J Med Virol. 2018;90:1875–81. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25277
- Rodgers MA, Gomathi S, Vallari A, Saravanan S, Lucas GM, Mehta S, et al. Diverse HCV strains and HIV URFS identified amongst people who inject drugs in India. Sci Rep. 2020;10:7214. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-64309-5
- Akkarathamrongsin S, Hacharoen P, Tangkijvanich P, Theamboonlers A, Tanaka Y, Mizokami M, et al. Molecular epidemiology and genetic history of hepatitis C virus subtype 3a infection in Thailand. Intervirology. 2013;56:284– 94. https://doi.org/10.1159/000351621
- Ngwaga T, Kong L, Lin D, Schoborg C, Taylor LE, Mayer KH, et al. Diversity of the hepatitis C virus NS5B gene during HIV co-infection. PLoS One. 2020;15:e0237162. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0237162
- Wal N, Venkatesh V, Agarwal GG, Kumar A, Tripathi AK, Singh M, et al. Unsafe injections: a potential risk for HIV transmission in India. Biomed Res. 2012;23:390–4.

Address for correspondence: Dr. Samiran Panda, Division of Epidemiology and Communicable Diseases, Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi 110 029, India; email: pandasamiran@gmail.com