Chlorine Inactivation of *Elizabethkingia* spp. in Water

David A. Holcomb, Diana Riner, Benjamin Cowan, Zainab Salah, Wiley C. Jennings, Mia C. Mattioli, Jennifer L. Murphy

We performed chlorine inactivation experiments for *Elizabethkingia anophelis* and *E. meningoseptica* bacterial strains from clinical and environmental sources. Free chlorine concentration × contact time values \leq 0.04 mg·min/L achieved 99.9% inactivation of *Elizabethkingia* species, indicating chlorine susceptibility. Measures to control biofilm producing pathogens in plumbing are needed to prevent *Elizabethkingia* bacterial infections.

lizabethkingia spp. are widely distributed envi-L ronmental bacteria and opportunistic pathogens that can cause sepsis and meningitis, particularly in neonates (1,2). At least 3 phenotypically similar species (E. anophelis, E. meningoseptica, and E. miricola) are intrinsically resistant to multiple antimicrobial classes and have been implicated in fatal healthcareassociated outbreaks (3). The largest reported United States outbreak was an E. anophelis strain that caused 66 laboratory-confirmed infections in Wisconsin and neighboring states in 2015-2016, for which the infection source was never identified. That outbreak was unusual for primarily consisting of community-acquired infections (4). Plumbing fixtures such as taps and sink drains, which Elizabethkingia bacteria readily colonize in biofilms, are common exposure vehicles in healthcare settings (2,5,6). Transmission from handwashing in contaminated sinks is of particular concern and has been shown to contaminate health worker hands with *E. anophelis*, even with the use of chlorhexidine soap (5).

Chlorination is the most common disinfection method for public water supplies. When used at the end of the treatment chain, chlorination provides residual disinfection during distribution and storage. Reports of *Elizabethkingia* bacterial persistence in chlorinated water supplies and plumbing fixtures cleaned

Author affiliation: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid3010.240917

with sodium hypochlorite have raised concerns of chlorine tolerance (2,6,7), but no data have been published. We conducted disinfection experiments with a free chlorine residual (FCR) dose of 0.2 mg/L, the minimum disinfectant residual for treated surface water entering distribution systems in the United States, to assess inactivation of 2 *Elizabethkingia* spp. isolated from clinical and environmental samples (δ). We fit inactivation kinetics models to estimate the product of FCR dose (C; mg/L) and contact time (T; minutes) required to reduce *Elizabethkingia* bacterial concentrations by 99.9% (CT_{mon}).

The Study

We performed disinfection experiments in triplicate for 6 E. anophelis and 5 E. meningoseptica strains from clinical and environmental sources (Table 1). We prepared bacterial stocks by incubating cultures in tryptic soy broth overnight at 37°C, followed by subculture into tryptic soy broth at 37°C for ≈5 hours (9). We pelleted the log phase cultures, washed with sterile phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), repelleted, and resuspended in 5 ml PBS. We prepared 50-mL glass flasks with 25 mL of sterile oxidant demand-free water buffered at pH 7.5, dosed to 0.2 mg/L FCR with 5.25% sodium hypochlorite, and maintained in a water bath at 25°C. We seeded flasks with 0.1 mL bacterial stock and extracted 10 mL aliquots from 3 flasks after 15, 30, and 60 seconds, immediately quenching the aliquots with 100 µL of 10% sodium thiosulfate (Fisher Scientific, https://www.fishersci.com). We extracted triplicate aliquots from 3 chlorine-free flasks at 60 seconds to examine dieoff with no disinfectant exposure. We also measured FCR in aliquots removed at 30 and 60 seconds from a final seeded flask to assess disinfectant decay. We serially diluted the initial bacterial stocks and experimental aliquots with sterile PBS and enumerated by using membrane filtration plated onto tryptic soy agar containing 5% rabbit blood, incubated at 37°C, and counted after 24-36 hours.

used for study of chiofine mactivation of <i>Enzabelinkingia</i> spp. In water									
Species	Strain	Accession no.	Year	Location	Source	Origin			
E. anophelis	DSM 23781	FLST0000000	2009	The Gambia	Insect*	Midgut			
	CSID_3015183678	MAFY00000000	2016	Wisconsin, USA	Clinical	Blood			
	CSID 3015183679	MAHO00000000	2016	Wisconsin, USA	Clinical	Blood			
	CSID_3015183681	GCA_001618545.2	2016	Wisconsin, USA	Clinical	Blood			
	17-336	NA	2017	Oklahoma, USA	Environmental	Sink drain swab			
	17-337	NA	2017	Oklahoma, USA	Environmental	Sink drain swab			
E. meningoseptica	KC1913 (ATCC 13253)	LNOH0000000	1959	Massachusetts, USA	Clinical	Spinal fluid			
	16-062	NA	2016	Minnesota, USA	Clinical	Bronchial wash			
	16-148	NA	2016	Florida, USA	Clinical	Blood			
	17-276	NA	2016	Michigan, USA	Clinical	Sputum			
	2016-08-103-03	NA	2016	NA	Environmental	NA			
*DSM 23781 was isolated from the Anopheles gambiae mosquito midgut and was excluded from comparisons between clinical and environmental sources. NA, not available.									

Table 1. Elizabethkingia strains from environmental and clinical sources provided by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention used for study of chlorine inactivation of Elizabethkingia spp. in water

We analyzed inactivation kinetics by modeling the natural log-survival, the ratio of the bacterial concentration at contact time T to the initial concentration, as a function of time and FCR dose governed by an inactivation rate constant k. We estimated k by using the pseudo-first order Chick-Watson model and the nonlinear generalization, the Hom model, assuming first-order disinfectant decay and excluding samples for which the concentration was too low to detect (10). We used R version 4.3.2 (The R Project for Statistical Computing, https://www.R-project.org) to fit inactivation models by nonlinear least squares; estimate disinfectant decay rates, k', with linear regression; calculate CT values from the fitted kinetic models; and perform z-tests (5% significance level) to compare rate constant estimates between species and between strain sources (11,12). Both inactivation kinetic models provided comparable fits to the experimental data that were indicated by similar values of the Akaike information criterion and root mean square error (Table 2). However, the Hom model was computationally unstable and produced rate constant estimates with larger SEs. Because of the larger SEs, we used only the Chick-Watson model to compare rate constants of different species and between clinical

and environmental strains. Sensitivity analyses that accounted for potential correlation between replicates by using the geometric mean concentration to calculate strain-specific log-survival at each time point produced comparable rate constant estimates and CT values.

Both models indicated a free chlorine CT of ≈0.03 milligram-minutes per liter (mg · min/L) inactivated 99.9% of Elizabethkingia bacteria (Table 2). In stratified Chick-Watson analyses, both species demonstrated $CT_{99.9\%} \leq 0.04 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{min/L}$ and rate constant estimates that were not statistically different (p = 0.92). However, E. anophelis was reduced to undetectable concentrations at 1 minute of exposure in 30% (n = 15) of samples, whereas E. meningoseptica was not detected at 1 minute of exposure in only 11% (n = 6) of samples. Environmental strains displayed less variable log-survival than clinical strains (Figure), but the rate constant estimates were not statistically different (p = 0.37). Both strains produced similar CT_{99.9%} values of 0.04 mg min/L (environmental) and 0.03 mg · min/L (clinical). All environmental strains were still detectable after 1 minute of exposure, whereas clinical strains were not detected in 18 samples (26%).

Table 2. Inactivation kinetic model performance metrics, rate constant estimates, and disinfectant CT values needed to achieve a											
99%–99.99% reduction in <i>Elizabethkingia</i> detection in study of chlorine inactivation of <i>Elizabethkingia</i> spp. in water*											
	No. (%)				Rate constant (SE),† min ⁻¹		Pooled	z-score	CT ۱	/alue, mg	j∙min/L
Comparison	Ν	NĎ	AIC	RMSE	k'	ln(<i>k</i>)	SE‡	(p value)	99%	99.9%	99.99%
Model, all data											
Chick-Watson	83	21 (20)	430	3.1	0.89 (0.08)	10.4 (1.3)	NA	NA	0.021	0.031	0.042
Hom			431	3.0		22.1 (18.4)			0.021	0.026	0.029
Species, Chick-Watson model											
E. anophelis	35	15 (30)	183	3.0	0.90 (0.13)	10.3 (1.8)	2.5	0.10	0.019	0.029	0.039
E. meningoseptica	48	6 (11)	248	3.0	0.88 (0.11)	10.0 (1.8)		(0.92)	0.023	0.035	0.047
Source, § Chick-Watson model											
Clinical	51	18 (26)	280	3.5	0.90 (0.09)	10.0 (1.9)	2.2	0.90	0.021	0.032	0.043
Environmental	27	0 (0)	120	2.0	1.09 (0.13)	8.1 (1.1)		(0.37)	0.025	0.037	0.050
*AIC Alkaika information criterion: CT value, product of concentration and context time required to aphieve apositiod reduction: N, number of observations											

*AIC, Akaike information criterion; CT value, product of concentration and contact time required to achieve specified reduction; N, number of observations (out of 104 total) with detectable *Elizabethkingia* used to fit model; ND, not detected; RMSE, root mean square error.

Point estimates and SEs of the disinfectant decay rate constant k' and natural logarithm of the inactivation rate constant k.

*Pooled SE for z-test of the equality of rate constants for each subset, obtained as the square root of the sum of the squared standard errors of both rate constant estimates.

§The E. anophelis type strain DSM 23781 was isolated from an insect host and thus excluded from the comparison of clinical and environmental sources.

The initial FCR dose was reduced by approximately two thirds after 1 minute. The median reduction in *Elizabethkingia* after 1 minute with no chlorine exposure was 0.13 log₁₀ (26%). In contrast, the Chick-Watson model predicted $\approx 5 \log_{10}$ (99.999%) inactivation in 1 minute for a 0.2 mg/L FCR dose (Figure). Model 95% prediction intervals indicated a minimum expected inactivation of $\approx 2 \log_{10}$ after 1 minute at the experimental conditions (Figure). Of the 1-minute samples, $\geq 3 \log_{10}$ inactivation was observed for 32 of the 35. The 3 samples below the 3 log_{10} inactivation threshold were replicates of the same clinical strain and experienced 2.6–2.9 log_{10} inactivation.

Conclusions

Contrary to the chlorine tolerance hypothesized in the literature, we observed rapid inactivation of *Elizabeth-kingia* at typical point-of-use free-chlorine concentrations ($\approx 0.2 \text{ mg/L}$). Across species and sources, *Elizabethkingia* strains demonstrated greater chlorine susceptibility ($CT_{99.9\%}$; <0.04 mg · min/L) than a reported *Escherichia*



2176

Emerging Infectious Diseases • www.cdc.gov/eid • Vol. 30, No. 10, October 2024

coli reference strain ($CT_{99.9\%}$; 0.09 mg \cdot min/L) that was used to benchmark disinfectant susceptibility of waterborne pathogens (13). However, we also observed cells persisting at detectable concentrations after 1 minute of contact time, particularly among environmental strains. The more persistent subpopulations could seed biofilms, which Elizabethkingia bacteria readily form in plumbing fixtures, and have been shown to rapidly recolonize sink drains within days of seemingly effective disinfection (2,14), possibly accounting for the reported survival of *Elizabethkingia* spp. after chlorination in healthcare settings. Biofilms can protect embedded organisms from disinfection through multiple mechanisms, including oxidant demand exerted by the extracellular matrix, limited diffusion of the disinfectant to inner layers, and phenotypic adaptations in response to sublethal disinfectant doses and the biofilm environment itself (15). A review of 6 bacteria species reported biofilm-embedded cells required 2-600 times the chlorine dose or contact time for inactivation than their planktonic (free-swimming) counterparts (15). Prevention of Elizabethkingia infections, as with other opportunistic biofilm pathogens, may be most readily accomplished by limiting the environments in which biofilms can form and reducing exposure to potentially contaminated sources (5-7). Building managers should adopt water management programs to limit the growth and transmission of opportunistic pathogens of plumbing.

Acknowledgments

We thank John McQuiston, Melissa Bell, Ainsley Nicholson, Charles Haas, and Helen Keevy.

All the code and data used to produce this analysis are available at https://cdcgov.github.io/WDPB_EMEL/ manuscripts/elizabethkingia.

About the Author

Dr. Holcomb is a microbiologist in the Division of Foodborne, Waterborne, and Environmental Diseases, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA. His research interests include environmental transmission and control of antimicrobialresistant pathogens.

References

- Dziuban EJ, Franks JL, So M, Peacock G, Blaney DD. Elizabethkingia in children: a comprehensive review of symptomatic cases reported from 1944 to 2017. Clin Infect Dis. 2018;67:144–9. https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cix1052
- 2. Lin P-Y, Chen H-L, Huang C-T, Su L-H, Chiu C-H. Biofilm production, use of intravascular indwelling catheters and

inappropriate antimicrobial therapy as predictors of fatality in *Chryseobacterium meningosepticum* bacteraemia. Int J Antimicrob Agents. 2010;36:436–40. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.06.033

- Han M-S, Kim H, Lee Y, Kim M, Ku NS, Choi JY, et al. Relative prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of clinical isolates of *Elizabethkingia* species based on 16s rRNA gene sequencing. J Clin Microbiol. 2016;55:274–80. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01637-16
- Perrin A, Larsonneur E, Nicholson AC, Edwards DJ, Gundlach KM, Whitney AM, et al. Evolutionary dynamics and genomic features of the *Elizabethkingia anophelis* 2015 to 2016 Wisconsin outbreak strain. Nat Commun. 2017;8:15483. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms15483
- Yung C-F, Maiwald M, Loo LH, Soong HY, Tan CB, Lim PK, et al. *Elizabethkingia anophelis* and association with tap water and handwashing, Singapore. Emerg Infect Dis. 2018;24:1730–3. https://doi.org/10.3201/ eid2409.171843
- Balm MND, Salmon S, Jureen R, Teo C, Mahdi R, Seetoh T, et al. Bad design, bad practices, bad bugs: frustrations in controlling an outbreak of *Elizabethkingia meningoseptica* in intensive care units. J Hosp Infect. 2013;85:134–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2013.05.012
- Mallinckrodt L, Huis In 't Veld R, Rosema S, Voss A, Bathoorn E. Review on infection control strategies to minimize outbreaks of the emerging pathogen *Elizabethkingia anophelis*. Antimicrob Resist Infect Control. 2023;12:97. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-023-01304-1
- United States Environmental Protection Agency. The effectiveness of disinfectant residuals in the distribution system. 2007 [cited 2024 Jun 7]. https://www.epa.gov/dwreginfo/ effectiveness-disinfectant-residuals-distribution-system
- 9. American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, Water Environment Federation. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 24th edition. Lipps WC, Braun-Howland EB, Baxter TE, editors. Washington: APHA Press; 2023.
- Haas CŇ, Kaymak B. Effect of initial microbial density on inactivation of *Giardia muris* by ozone. Water Res. 2003; 37:2980–8. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(03)00112-X
- Murphy JL, Haas CN, Arrowood MJ, Hlavsa MC, Beach MJ, Hill VR. Efficacy of chlorine dioxide tablets on inactivation of *Cryptosporidium* oocysts. Environ Sci Technol. 2014;48:5849– 56. https://doi.org/10.1021/es500644d
- Mattioli MC, Sassoubre LM, Russell TL, Boehm AB. Decay of sewage-sourced microbial source tracking markers and fecal indicator bacteria in marine waters. Water Res. 2017;108:106–14. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.watres.2016.10.066
- Falkinham JO III. Common features of opportunistic premise plumbing pathogens. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2015;12:4533–45. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph120504533
- Ledwoch K, Robertson A, Lauran J, Norville P, Maillard J-Y. It's a trap! The development of a versatile drain biofilm model and its susceptibility to disinfection. J Hosp Infect. 2020;106:757-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.08.010
- Bridier A, Briandet R, Thomas V, Dubois-Brissonnet F. Resistance of bacterial biofilms to disinfectants: a review. Biofouling. 2011;27:1017–32. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 08927014.2011.626899

Address for correspondence: Jennifer Murphy, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd NE, Mailstop H23-9, Atlanta, GA 30329-4027, USA; email: iod7@cdc.gov