Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid3008.231176

EID cannot ensure accessibility for supplementary materials supplied by authors. Readers who have difficulty accessing supplementary content should contact the authors for assistance.

# Proposal for a Global Classification and Nomenclature System for A/H9 Influenza Viruses

## Appendix 1

### Methods

Sequence Data and Metadata Preparation

To provide a comprehensive picture of the A/H9 genetic diversity, we generated a dataset of the hemagglutinin gene that included all the H9-HA sequences available on the GISAID (www.epicov.org) and GenBank (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/nucleotide/) public databases (accessed on July 18, 2022). Multiple sequence entries (i.e., sequences obtained from the same sample, deposited multiple times or available in both databases) or sequences obtained from laboratoryderived viruses were discarded. Sequences were further filtered for length and quality. Specifically, all the sequences with more than 5 ambiguous bases and with a length <1275 bp (75% of the coding region) were removed. If no sequences matching these criteria were available for a specific country and collection year, sequences with a length >900pb were accepted to have the most exhaustive dataset as possible in terms of sequence representativeness and quality.

Sequences alignment, obtained using MAFFT v7.0 (*1*), was manually curated and nucleotides outside the coding region of the mature HA gene were trimmed. After removing sequences containing out-of-frame indels, a preliminary Maximum Likelihood (ML) phylogenetic tree using IQ-TREE v1.6 (*2*) was generated from this dataset to test 'clocklikeness'

of the dated-tip phylogeny using TempEst (3). A good correlation between the collection dates (year) of the virus and the divergence from the root was observed (r = 0.82). This analysis helped us to identify outlier sequences, which may be due to mislabeling of the virus (incorrect year of collection), sample contamination by an older virus or sequencing errors. All the outlier sequences were removed from the dataset. In the process, early strains such as A/turkey/Wisconsin/1/1966 were removed.

Furthermore, only the oldest sequence was kept among sequences with 100% identity that were collected in the same country. Finally, since mosaic influenza genome segments have previously been described as resulting from laboratory contamination or artifacts, or from a natural homologous recombination (4), the dataset was screened for mosaic structures using the RDP, Geneconv, Maxchi, BootScan, 3Seq and Chimaera methods available in the RDP package v.4 (5), applying default settings. The Simplot program v.3.5 was also used to define the locations of recombination breakpoints (6). The potential mosaic sequences identified by at least two methods with  $p < 1 \times 10^{-10}$  were considered unreliable and were removed from the dataset. A final dataset (Complete dataset) containing 10,638 HA sequences and the related information, including accession numbers, were produced after the quality check process (Appendix 1 Table 1).

### Testing and Selection of PhyCLIP Parameters

PhyCLIP utilizes an integer linear programming (ILP) approach that optimally delineates a tree into statistically principled clusters (7), to optimize our clustering results, we tested a range of values for three key parameters: 1) minimum number of sequences (S) that can be quantified as a cluster (S = 3, 5, 10), 2) false discovery rate (FDR) used to infer that the diversity observed for every combinatorial pair of output clusters is significantly distinct from one another (FDR range from 0.1 to 0.2 in increments of 0.05), and 3) multiple of deviations ( $\gamma$ ) from the grand median of the mean pairwise sequence patristic distance that defines the within-cluster divergence limit (WCL) ( $\gamma$  range from 1 to 3 in increments of 1). We used the clustering resulting from the optimal parameter (S = 5, FDR = 0.2 and  $\gamma$  = 3) combinations as a reference for the assignment of clades.

## References:

- Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. Mol Biol Evol. 2013;30:772–80. <u>PubMed</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/mst010</u>
- Nguyen LT, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32:268–74.
  <u>PubMed https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu300</u>
- 3. Rambaut A, Lam TT, Max Carvalho L, Pybus OG. Exploring the temporal structure of heterochronous sequences using TempEst (formerly Path-O-Gen). Virus Evol. 2016;2:vew007. <u>PubMed</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/vew007</u>
- 4. Lam TT, Chong YL, Shi M, Hon CC, Li J, Martin DP, et al. Systematic phylogenetic analysis of influenza A virus reveals many novel mosaic genome segments. Infect Genet Evol. 2013;18:367– 78. PMID: 23548803
- 5. Martin DP, Lemey P, Lott M, Moulton V, Posada D, Lefeuvre P. RDP3: a flexible and fast computer program for analyzing recombination. Bioinformatics. 2010;26:2462–3. <u>PubMed</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btq467</u>
- 6. Lole KS, Bollinger RC, Paranjape RS, Gadkari D, Kulkarni SS, Novak NG, et al. Full-length human immunodeficiency virus type 1 genomes from subtype C-infected seroconverters in India, with evidence of intersubtype recombination. J Virol. 1999;73:152–60. <u>PubMed</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.73.1.152-160.1999</u>

- 7. Han AX, Parker E, Scholer F, Maurer-Stroh S, Russell CA. Phylogenetic clustering by linear integer programming (PhyCLIP). Mol Biol Evol. 2019;36:1580–95. <u>PubMed</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msz053</u>
- Sagulenko P, Puller V, Neher RA. TreeTime: Maximum-likelihood phylodynamic analysis. Virus Evol. 2018;4:vex042. <u>PubMed https://doi.org/10.1093/ve/vex042</u>
- 9. Hadfield J, Megill C, Bell SM, Huddleston J, Potter B, Callender C, et al. Nextstrain: real-time tracking of pathogen evolution. Bioinformatics. 2018;34:4121–3. <u>PubMed</u> <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty407</u>
- Carnaccini S, Perez DR. H9 influenza viruses: an emerging challenge. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2020;10:a038588. <u>PubMed https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a038588</u>
- 11. Guan Y, Shortridge KF, Krauss S, Webster RG. Molecular characterization of H9N2 influenza viruses: were they the donors of the "internal" genes of H5N1 viruses in Hong Kong? Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999;96:9363–7. <u>PubMed https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.96.16.9363</u>
- 12. Liu S, Ji K, Chen J, Tai D, Jiang W, Hou G, et al. Panorama phylogenetic diversity and distribution of Type A influenza virus. PLoS One. 2009;4:e5022. <u>PubMed</u> https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005022
- Fusaro A, Monne I, Salviato A, Valastro V, Schivo A, Amarin NM, et al. Phylogeography and evolutionary history of reassortant H9N2 viruses with potential human health implications. J Virol. 2011;85:8413–21. <u>PubMed https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00219-11</u>
- 14. Dalby AR, Iqbal M. A global phylogenetic analysis in order to determine the host species and geography dependent features present in the evolution of avian H9N2 influenza hemagglutinin. PeerJ. 2014;2:e655. <u>PubMed https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.655</u>

- 15. Li C, Wang S, Bing G, Carter RA, Wang Z, Wang J, et al. Genetic evolution of influenza H9N2 viruses isolated from various hosts in China from 1994 to 2013. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2017;6:e106. <u>PubMed https://doi.org/10.1038/emi.2017.94</u>
- 16. Zhuang Q, Wang S, Liu S, Hou G, Li J, Jiang W, et al. Diversity and distribution of type A influenza viruses: an updated panorama analysis based on protein sequences. Virol J. 2019;16:85. <u>PubMed https://doi.org/10.1186/s12985-019-1188-7</u>

Appendix 1 Table 1. Ultra-fast bootstrap (UFB) values, standard bootstraps (SB) and SH-like supports (aLRT SH-like) obtained for each clade nodes from the analyses of different datasets (complete and pilot datasets) using different software (IQ-TREE and PhyML).

Dataset		Comple	te datasets	Pilot datasets		Pilot datasets		
Software		IQ-TREE	PhyML	IQ-TREE	IQ-TREE			
Lineage	Clades	UFB	aLRT SH-like	UFB	SB			
G	G1	100	1	100	100			
	G2	100	0.997	100	99			
	G3	100	0.999	100	100			
	G4	100	0.996	100	100			
	G5.1	99	1	100	100			
	G5.2	99	0.733	100	96			
	G5.3.1	100	0.999	100	100			
	G5.3.2	100	1	100	93			
	G5.4	95	1	100	100			
	G5.5	100	0.992	99	100			
	G5.6	100	1	100	100			
	G5.7	100	0.995	85	66			
Y	Y1	100	1	100	100			
	Y2.1	100	0.967	99	100			
	Y2.2	100	1	100	100			
	Y3	96	0.85	100	100			
	Y4	100	1	100	100			
	Y5	100	0.852	99	92			
	Y6	100	1	100	100			
	Y7	84	0.868	97	77			
	Y8	100	1	100	100			
	Y9	100	1	100	100			

Dataset		Complet	e datasets	Pilot d	atasets
Software		IQ-TREE	PhyML	IQ-TREE	IQ-TREE
Lineage	Clades	UFB	aLRT SH-like	UFB	SB
В	B1	100	0.959	99	86
	B2	94	0.91	99	96
	В3	81	0.927	99	91
	B4.1	100	0.998	100	98
	B4.2	100	0.912	97	60
	B4.3	100	0.925	100	99
	B4.4	93	0.988	100	100
	B4.5	91	0.908	99	90
	B4.6	82	0.92	97	73
	B4.7	82	0.952	100	100

Appendix 1 Table 2. Representative amino acid residues of each clade.

Lincome	Clada	Amino acid mutations based on ancestral reconstruction
Lineage	Claue	using TreeTime <i>(8, 9)</i>
Y	Y1	V288I, V317A, I451V, R479K
	Y2	N45D, F104L, N109R, Q112K, V153F, N161T, T182N, I249V,
		K276R, V288I, D319N, R358K, V365I, K487R
	Y3	V153F, N267D, V352T
	Y4	T54K, E72T, H146Q, V153I, N264K, R320K, V365I, E501D,
		K505R
	Y5	S103A, N398S
	Y6	K131A, H146Q, V153F, D155N, E162N, N165S, A317V,
		D319G, E363V, N398S, I451M
	Y7	V269I
	Y8	I451R
	Y9	I20V, N94R, N109R, Q112K, I114L, Q115L, I116L, T120R,
		I121T, V153I, N161D, E162W, T182I, V194I, D319G, N455K,
		Q480L, Q483K, G502E, L527M
	Y2.1	L69I, I166V, V206M, V302A
	Y2.2	K164E, E459D, F523L
G	G1	S83P, V95I, G135D, T195A, I202V, V213A, V249I, N264K,
		V300I, N374S, V393I
	G2	G135D, D178E, S370T
	G3	N264T, V411I

	امطم	Amino acid mutations based on ancestral reconstruction
Lineage	lade	using TreeTime <i>(8, 9)</i>
	G4	H34Q, A132S, S165N, E180D, N183T, D198E, L216Q, R301K
	G5	A108S, A132S, S140N, S148N, I186T, D198N, N200D, M206L
G	G5.1	N148S, L150F, T182R, T186I, L216Q, I217T, V226I, I288V,
		1365V
G	65.2	S486A
G	<b>3</b> 5.3	S150L, N165D
G	65.4	R317K, N374T
G	65.5	K483T
G	65.6	L150V
G	65.7	D262N, T295N, V496I
G	5.3.1	Q112R, R162Q, Q467H, L488I
G	5.3.2	V24I, H28Q, H48R, S108A, T120A, T127D, D135N, V153I,
		V169I, R317K, D359G, I365V, V376I, K381R
В	B1	N395A
	B2	I153V
	B3	N148S
	B4	N264K, V269A
В	84.1	T395N
В	34.2	K481R
В	34.3	K492R
В	84.4	S125T
В	84.5	D221N, R236K
В	84.6	D221N
В	84.7	D135G, E163G

Note: all HA positions follow the H9 numbering. The red color

indicates that these sites are associated with host tropism,

virulence or identified antigenic sites (10).

Previous studies			Current study
Publication	Clade clas	sification and nomenclature	Clade classification and nomenclature
Guan Y et al. (1999) ( <i>11</i> )		G1	G1-G5
		BJ94(Y280)	B1-B4
		Y439	Y4-Y9
		TY66	Y1-Y3
Liu S et al. (2009) ( <i>12</i> )		h9.1, h9.2	Y1-Y3
		h9.3	Y4-Y9
	h9.4	h9.4.1	G1-G5
		h9.4.2	B1-B4
Fusaro A et al. (2011) ( <i>13</i> )		G1-A	G1
		G1-B	G5
		G1-C	G-like
		G1-D	G2
Dalby AR et al. (2014) ( <i>14</i> )		Clade A	Y1-Y9
		Clade B	G1-G5
	Clade	e C, Main Chinese Clade	B1-B4
Li C et al. (2017) ( <i>15</i> )	0–15	0, 5–7, 9–11, 13	B-like
		1	Y-like
		2	Y3, Y8
		3	G3
		4	G4
		8	B1
		12	B2
		14	В3
		15	B4
Zhuang Q et al. (2019) ( <i>16</i> )		H9.1	Y1-Y9
		H9.2a	G1-G5
		H9.2b	B1-B4
Carnaccini S et al. (2020) (10)		h9.1 (h9.1.2)	Y1-Y3
	Y43	39-h9.2 (Korea h9.2.2)	Y4-Y9
		BJ94-h9.3	B1-B4
		G1-h9.4.1 Eastern	G1-G4
		G1-h9.4.2 Western	G5

#### Appendix 1 Table 3. Comparison of previous and current nomenclature systems.

				Number of	
Lineage/Clade	Time range	Countries of origin	Type of host	taxa	The earliest strain
Y	1976–2021	Argentina, Australia, Austria, Bangladesh, Belgium, Cambodia, Canada,	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian	622	A_Duck_Hong_Kong_
		Chile, China, Egypt, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Hungary, Iran,	wild, Environment,		86_1976
		Ireland, Italy, Japan, Malaysia, Mexico, Mongolia, Netherlands, New	Mammalian		
		Zealand, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Russian Federation, Singapore, South			
		Africa, South Korea, Sweden, Switzerland, Thailand, Ukraine, United			
		Kingdom, United States, Vietnam, Zambia			
Y1	2000–2016	Mexico, United States	Avian wild, Environment	39	A_shorebird_Delaware
					_Bay_277_2000
Y2	1993–2017	Argentina, Chile, United States	Avian domestic, Avian wild,	13	A_Quail_Arkansas_29
			Environment		209–1_1993
Y3	1976–2020	Canada, China, Hungary, Italy, New Zealand, South Korea, United States	Avian domestic, Avian wild,	123	A_Duck_Hong_Kong_
			Environment		86_1976
Y4	2010–2019	China, Georgia, Singapore, United Kingdom, United States	Avian domestic, Avian wild	22	A_chicken_England_1
					415–51184_2010
Y5	2003–2007	United States	Avian wild	42	A_ruddy_turnstone_De
					laware_1016406_2003
Y6	2009–2018	Cambodia, Vietnam	Avian domestic, Avian wild	6	A_duck_Vietnam_OIE-
					2313_2009
Y7	1993–2010	Finland, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Netherlands, Sweden, United Kingdom,	Avian domestic, Avian wild	26	A_mallard_Ireland_PV
		United States			46B_1993

Appendix 1 Table 4. Temporal, spatial and host distribution characteristics of lineage Y.

				Number o	f
Lineage/Clade	Time range	Countries of origin	Type of host	taxa	The earliest strain
Y8	1995–2021	Australia, Austria, Bangladesh, Belgium, China, Finland, France, Germany,	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian	154	A_ostrich_South_Afric
		Iran, Italy, Japan, Mongolia, Netherlands, Norway, Poland, Portugal,	wild, Environment		a_9508103_1995
		Russian Federation, South Africa, South Korea, Sweden, Switzerland,			
		Thailand, Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States, Vietnam, Zambia			
Y9	1996–2018	Egypt, Malaysia, South Korea	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian	186	A_chicken_Korea_252
			wild, Environment,		32-96006_1996
			Mammalian		
Y-like	1978–2001	China, Japan, Malaysia	Avian domestic	11	A_Duck_Hong_Kong_
					366_1978
Y2.1	1993–1996	United States	Avian domestic	6	A_Quail_Arkansas_29
			Avian wild		209–1_1993
Y2.2	2007–2017	Argentina, Chile	Avian wild	7	A_rosy-
			Environment		billed_pochard_Argent
					na_CIP051–559_2007

				Number of	
Lineage/Clade	Time range	Countries of origin	Type of host	taxa	The earliest strain
G	1997–2022	Afghanistan, Algeria, Bangladesh, Benin, Burkina Faso, China,	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian	1643	A_quail_Hong_Kong_G1_
		Egypt, Germany, Ghana, India, Iran, Iraq, Israel, Japan, Jordan,	wild, Environment, Human		1997
		Kenya, Kuwait, Lebanon, Libya, Morocco, Nepal, Nigeria, Oman,			
		Pakistan, Qatar, Russian Federation, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Togo,			
		Tunisia, Uganda, United Arab Emirates, Vietnam			
G1	2003–2007	Israel, Jordan, Lebanon	Avian, Avian domestic	43	A_chicken_Jordan_12_20
					03
G2	1999–2005	Iran	Avian domestic, Avian wild	21	A_chicken_Iran_705_1999
G3	2000–2004	China	Avian domestic, Avian wild	29	A_quail_Shantou_782_20
					00
G4	1997–2017	China, Vietnam	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian	74	A_quail_Hong_Kong_G1_
			wild, Environment, Human		1997
G5	1998–2022	Afghanistan, Algeria, Bangladesh, Benin, Burkina Faso, Egypt,	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian	1427	A_chicken_Iran_725_1998
		Ghana, India, Iran, Iraq, Israel, Jordan, Kenya, Kuwait, Lebanon,	wild, Environment, Human		
		Libya, Morocco, Nepal, Nigeria, Oman, Pakistan, Qatar, Russian			
		Federation, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Togo, Tunisia, Uganda, United			
		Arab Emirates			
G-like	1997–2007	Germany, Iran, Israel, Japan, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, United Arab	Avian, Avian domestic	49	A_parakeet_Chiba_1_199
		Emirates			7
G5.1	2005–2011	United Arab Emirates	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian	10	A_white_bellied_bustard_
			wild		United_Arab_Emirates_11
					27_1_2005

Appendix 1 Table 5. Temporal, spatial and host distribution characteristics of lineage G.

				Number of	
Lineage/Clade	Time range	Countries of origin	Type of host	taxa	The earliest strain
G5.2	1998–2009	Iran, Iraq, United Arab Emirates	Avian, Avian domestic	35	A_chicken_Iran_725_1998
G5.3	2007–2022	Afghanistan, India, Iran, Iraq, Nepal, Pakistan	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian	146	A_Chicken_Nepal_JHAPA
			wild, Human		_28_2007
G5.4	2000–2013	Afghanistan, Iran, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia	Avian domestic	35	A_chicken_Saudi_Arabia_
					2525_2000
G5.5	2006–2021	Algeria, Benin, Burkina Faso, Ghana, Israel, Jordan, Kenya, Libya,	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian	331	A_avian_Libya_RV35D_2
		Morocco, Nigeria, Oman, Qatar, Saudi Arabia, Senegal, Togo,	wild, Environment, Human		006
		Tunisia, Uganda, United Arab Emirates			
G5.6	2006–2021	Egypt, Israel, Jordan, Lebanon, Russian Federation	Avian, Avian domestic	497	A_chicken_Israel_1638_2
					006
G5.7	2003–2022	Bangladesh, India, Kuwait, Pakistan	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian	332	A_chicken_Chandigarh_2
			wild, Environment, Human		048_2003
G5.3.1	2007–2013	India, Nepal	Avian domestic	18	A_Chicken_Nepal_JHAPA
					_28_2007
G5.3.2	2008–2022	Afghanistan, Iran, Iraq, Pakistan	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian	128	A_chicken_Afghanistan_3
			wild, Human		29-6vir09-AFG-
					Khost9_2008

				Number	
Lineage/Clade	Time range	Countries of origin	Type of host	of taxa	The earliest strain
В	1994–2021	Cambodia, China, Indonesia, Japan,	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian wild, Environment,	8373	A_chicken_Beijing_1_1994
		Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, Russian	Human, Mammalian		
		Federation, South Korea, Tajikistan,			
		Vietnam			
B1	1997–2013	China, Japan	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian wild, Environment,	108	A_Chicken_Sichuan_5_1997
			Mammalian		
B2	1996–2016	China, Japan, Vietnam	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian wild, Human,	425	A_Quail_Shanghai_8_1996
			Mammalian		
B3	1998–2017	China, Japan	Avian, Avian domestic, Human, Mammalian	51	A_Shaoguan_408_1998
B4	1999–2021	Cambodia, China, Indonesia, Japan,	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian wild, Environment,	7606	A_chicken_Shandong_JN_1999
		Laos, Malaysia, Myanmar, Russian	Human, Mammalian		
		Federation, South Korea, Tajikistan,			
		Vietnam			
B-like	1994–2017	China, Japan	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian wild, Human,	183	A_chicken_Beijing_1_1994
			Mammalian		
B4.1	2000–2005	China	Avian domestic, Avian wild	59	A_partridge_Shantou_5692_2000
B4.2	2003–2014	China, Vietnam	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian wild, Environment,	77	A_chicken_Guangdong_B7_2003
			Human, Mammalian		
B4.3	2002–2013	China	Avian domestic	163	A_chicken_Guangdong_A7_2002
B4.4	2009–2020	China	Avian, Avian domestic, Mammalian	205	A_Duck_Fujian_1753_2009
B4.5	2011–2020	Cambodia, China, Indonesia, Japan,	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian wild, Environment,	1116	A_chicken_Anhui_A12_2011
		Laos, Malaysia, Vietnam	Human, Mammalian		

Appendix 1 Table 6. Temporal, spatial and host distribution characteristics of lineage B.

				Number	
Lineage/Clade	Time range	Countries of origin	Type of host	of taxa	The earliest strain
B4.6	2012–2020	China, South Korea	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian wild, Environment,	882	A_chicken_Shandong_QD6_2012
			Mammalian		
B4.7	2012–2021	Cambodia, China, Japan, Laos,	Avian, Avian domestic, Avian wild, Environment,	4280	A_chicken_Anhui_A225_2012
		Myanmar, Russian Federation, Tajikistan,	Human, Mammalian		
		Vietnam			



**Appendix 1 Figure 1.** Clustering based on optimal parameters of PhyCLIP. The numbers on the tree indicate the clade classification of PhyCLIP.



**Appendix 1 Figure 2.** Scheme of the strategy adopted to classify A/H9 hemagglutinin sequences into lineages and clades.



Within and between clade APD

**Appendix 1 Figure 3.** Average pairwise distances within- and between-clades of A/H9 influenza viruses. The boxplot displays the average pairwise distances (APD) calculated within and between each clade of the three lineages.



Appendix 1 Figure 4. Pilot Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees of the H9-HA gene sequences obtained by using the complete small representative dataset available in Appendix 3 for all 3 lineages (Appendix 3 "Pilot Complete Genomes").