Enterococci, which have been known as a cause of infective endocarditis for close to a century, more recently have been recognized as a cause of nosocomial infection and “superinfection” in patients receiving antimicrobial agents (1). The enterococcus is now receiving increased attention because of its resistance to multiple antimicrobial drugs, which probably explains a large part of its prominence in nosocomial infections. The most common enterococci-associated nosocomial infections are infections of the urinary tract, followed by surgical wound infections and bacteremia (1-3). Enterococci are often present in intraabdominal and pelvic infections, although not all patients with such infections require specific antienterococcal therapy. Other enterococcal infections include infections (including meningitis and bacteremia) in very ill neonates; central nervous system infections in adults, typically with a history of central nervous system surgery or intrathecal chemotherapy; and rarely, osteomyelitis and pulmonary infections. Enterococci frequently arise from colonization of indwelling T tubes, causing liver or biliary infection in liver transplant patients (1).

### Antimicrobial Resistance

Most enterococci have naturally occurring or inherent resistance to various drugs, including cephalosporins and the semisynthetic penicillinase-resistant penicillins (e.g., oxacillin) and clinically achievable concentrations of clindamycin and aminoglycosides. Compared with streptococci, most enterococci are relatively resistant to penicillin, ampicillin, and the ureidopenicillins, with MICs of 1 µg/ml to 8 µg/ml for most Enterococcus faecalis and even higher for most E. faecium. Many enterococci are also tolerant to the killing effects of cell-wall active agents, including ampicillin and vancomycin; recent data suggest that this property may not be inherent, but rather acquired after exposure to antibiotics (4). Inherent in vivo resistance of E. faecalis to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole may explain the lack of efficacy in animal models. In vitro, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole readily inhibits most enterococci at low concentrations, but this activity is lessened by exogenous folicates (5). Moreover, bactericidal activity against E. faecalis seems unreliable and very method dependent (6). In animal models, this combination has not shown good activity and is not generally accepted...
as an effective antienterococcal therapy, especially for systemic infections (7,8).

In addition to natural resistance to many agents, enterococci have also developed plasmid- and transposon-mediated resistance to tetracycline (as well as minocycline and doxycycline), erythromycin (plus the newer compounds azithromycin and clarithromycin), chloramphenicol, high levels of trimethoprim, and high levels of clindamycin.

The propensity of *E. faecalis* to acquire multiple antibiotic-resistance traits may result from a variety of distinctly different mechanisms for conjugation, i.e., bacterial mating. The best studied system of conjugation involves oligopeptides called pheromones and pheromone-responsive plasmids (9; Figure 1). Briefly, strains of *E. faecalis* typically secrete into the culture medium a number of different small peptide sex pheromones specific for different types of plasmids. When a cell containing a pheromone-responsive plasmid (the potential donor cell) comes into contact with its corresponding pheromone, transcription of a gene on the plasmid is turned on, resulting in the synthesis of a sticky substance (called aggregation substance) on its surface. When the donor cell bumps into another *E. faecalis*, aggregation substance, which contains two Arg-Gly-Asp motifs, sticks to the binding substance on the surface of most *E. faecalis* cells, causing them to clump together. In the test tube, clumps of cells actually fall to the bottom of the tube, resulting in a visible aggregate. By a process not yet well understood, the pheromone-responsive plasmid can then transfer from the donor bacterium to the other (recipient) bacterium. Once the recipient cell has acquired this particular plasmid, the synthesis of the corresponding sex pheromone is shut off to prevent self-clumping. This system of conjugation, which occurs primarily in *E. faecalis*, is highly efficient and results in transfer of plasmids in both filter and broth matings.

Another system of conjugation, also not well understood, involves broad host-range plasmids that can transfer among species of enterococci and other gram-positive organisms such as streptococci and staphylococci (10). The transfer frequency is generally much lower than with the pheromone system and is much more efficient with filter than with broth matings. Since staphylococci, streptococci, and enterococci share a number of resistance genes, these broad host-range plasmids may be a mechanism by which some of these resistance genes have spread among different genera.

A third type of conjugation, which involves conjugative transposons, may also explain the spread of resistance genes to many different species (11). As opposed to ordinary transposons, which can jump within a cell from one DNA location to another, conjugative transposons also encode the ability to bring about conjugation between different bacterial cells. Since plasmids typically require rather complex machinery for replication (often depending on successful interactions with host proteins) and must face additional problems of surface exclusion and incompatibility, conjugative transposons (which do not replicate, but instead insert into the chromosome or into a plasmid of the new host) appear to be an even more efficient and far-reaching way of disseminating a resistance gene. This may explain why the tetM gene of the conjugative transposon Tn916 has spread beyond the gram-positive species into gram-negative organisms, including gonococci, meningococci, and *Haemophilus ducreyi*, as well as into mycoplasma and ureaplasma, among others (12,13). Other resistance genes, including those encoding resistance to erythromycin and kanamycin, are also found on conjugative transposons; these frequently contain or are related to Tn916. Such transposons may have evolved from a Tn916 ancestor; their emergence suggests the
High-Level Aminoglycoside Resistance

Although some acquired resistance of enterococci is not clinically important because the agents involved are not commonly used, other resistance greatly affects enterococcal therapy; high-level resistance (HLR) to aminoglycosides is an example. This resistance is added onto the normal low-level resistance of enterococci to aminoglycosides and typically results in MICs of \( \geq 2,000 \mu g/ml \). This degree of resistance predicts, without exception, resistance to synergism between cell-wall active agents and the aminoglycoside to which the organism is highly resistant (1). High-level aminoglycoside resistance is most often due to aminoglycoside-modifying enzymes; HLR to streptomycin can also be ribosomal, that is, due to a mutation that results in ribosomes resistant to streptomycin inhibition. HLR to kanamycin (without gentamicin) is a fairly common trait and is due to the production of a 3'-phosphotransferase, APH(3')-III. This enzyme is important because it also eliminates synergism between cell-wall active agents and amikacin (through phosphorylation of the 3'-hydroxyl group), although it does not necessarily confer HLR to amikacin. HLR to gentamicin results from the bifunctional protein (AAC(6')-I/APH(2")-I), encoded by a single gene with two active sites, one with 6'-acetyltransferase activity and the other, 2"-phosphotransferase activity (15). The combination of these activities results in HLR or resistance to synergism for all commercially available aminoglycosides except streptomycin, which is not modified by this enzyme. However, HLR to streptomycin (due to either ribosomal resistance or a streptomycin adenylyltransferase) is also common and can coexist with the gene(s) for HLR to other aminoglycosides. Spectinomycin is also not modified by the bifunctional enzyme, but this agent, which is not a true aminoglycoside, is not generally bactericidal against enterococci and does not appear to show synergism with cell-wall active agents.

Strains of enterococci from patients with endocarditis and other serious infections for whom combination therapy is desired should be screened for HLR to streptomycin and gentamicin. HLR screening for tobramycin is not generally performed or advisable. It could, in principle, be used for E. faecalis, but E. faecium isolates have a chromosomally encoded, naturally occurring gene for a 6'-acetyltransferase that eliminates synergism with tobramycin, although it does not cause HLR (MICs are typically 128-500 µg/ml); a probe for this gene has been used to confirm the identification of E. faecium isolates to species. In addition, HLR of an E. faecium isolate (without HLR to gentamicin) to tobramycin, due to an adenylyltransferase, was recently described (16). Therefore, the use of tobramycin for possible synergism in serious enterococcal infections would need to be preceded by screening for HLR to tobramycin (a test that is not commonly available), as well as for identification to species, neither of which is practical.

More recently, veterinary and human isolates resistant to moderate levels of gentamicin (256 µg/ml) were found to have a new gentamicin-modifying enzyme encoded by a gene designated aph(2")-Ic (17). This gene conferred resistance to synergism between gentamicin and cell-wall active agents and may be less easily detected than strains producing the bifunctional enzyme.

Beta-Lactamase– and non-Beta-Lactamase–Associated Penicillin Resistance

The first known penicillinase-producing isolate of enterococcus was an isolate of E. faecalis recovered from a patient in Houston, Texas, in 1981 (18). Although rare, these isolates have been reported from the United States (Texas, Florida, North Carolina, Delaware, Pennsylvania, New York, Massachusetts, and Connecticut), Lebanon, Canada, and Argentina (19). Like other enterococci, beta-lactamase–producing strains have been found as colonizers, as in the large “outbreak” of colonization in a Boston children’s hospital, but they have also been associated with true infections, as was demonstrated by cases at a Virginia Veterans Administration hospital, by isolates from Argentina, and in other reports (20,21). The enterococcal penicillinase gene, identical to the gene encoding staphylococcal type A penicillinase, almost always occurs in strains with HLR to gentamicin and is often found on a transferable plasmid that also contains aph(2")-Ia/aac(6')-Ie. The relatively low levels of beta-lactamase produced by enterococci...
result in a marked inoculum effect with these strains so that at low and even moderate inocula (10^3-10^6 CFU/ml), penicillinase-producing enterococci usually appear no more resistant than other enterococci, while at high inocula (>10^9 CFU/ml), these organisms are usually highly resistant to penicillin, ampicillin, and ureidopenicillins. The activity of the penicillinase is reversed by the beta-lactamase inhibitors clavulanate, sulbactam, and tazobactam; in animal models of endocarditis, beta-lactamase inhibitors have been shown to markedly enhance the therapeutic efficacy of ampicillin or penicillin. In the clinical laboratory, penicillinase-producing enterococci are generally not detected by routine laboratory susceptibility testing, such as MICs or disk diffusion. For this reason, if a penicillin is to be used for therapy, enterococcal isolates from patients with endocarditis or other serious infections should be tested for penicillinase production by using a specific beta-lactamase test such as the chromogenic cephalosporin nitrocefin.

Nonpenicillinase-producing, penicillin-resistant enterococci have been reported for decades and usually are E. faecium. Until recently, MICs of penicillin typically ranged from 8 µg/ml to 64 µg/ml, with an occasional isolate having higher levels of resistance. However, increasingly, strains with much higher levels of penicillin resistance have been reported (22). Whether a large number of strains have converted from low-level to high-level resistance or a more limited number of strains have been disseminated is unclear. The mechanisms involved in this resistance are overproduction of a low-affinity penicillin-binding protein (a cell-wall synthesis enzyme) and a further decrease in the affinity of one of these enzymes for penicillin (23). As a possible explanation for why many vancomycin-resistant E. faecium also have very high levels of resistance to ampicillin, Rice and colleagues (24) showed that transfer of vancomycin resistance from one strain to another was linked to transfer of ampicillin resistance.

Vancomycin Resistance

Most surprising in recent years has been the emergence among enterococci of acquired resistance to vancomycin. Vancomycin had been in clinical use since the 1950s, although it was not heavily used until the late 1970s and particularly the 1980s. Because multiple genes are involved in generating vancomycin resistance, the development of resistance was neither easy nor recent. Three phenotypes of vancomycin resistance (types A, B, and C) are now well described; a fourth, type D, has been recently reported (25). VanA-type strains are typically highly resistant to vancomycin and moderately to highly resistant to teicoplanin. This phenotype is often plasmid or transposon mediated and is inducible (i.e., exposure of bacteria to vancomycin results in the induction of the synthesis of several proteins that together confer resistance) (26).

In vancomycin-susceptible enterococci, D-alanyl-D-alanine (formed by an endogenous D-alanine-D-alanine ligase) is added to a tripeptide precursor to form a pentapeptide precursor. The D-Ala-D-Ala terminus is the target of vancomycin; once vancomycin has bound, the use of this pentapeptide precursor for further cell-wall synthesis is prevented. In the VanA phenotype, one of the proteins whose synthesis is induced by exposure of bacterial cells to vancomycin is called VanA; VanA is a ligase and resembles the D-alanine-D-alanine ligase from Escherichia coli and other organisms, including vancomycin-susceptible enterococci (27). VanA generates D-Ala-D-X, where X is usually lactate; the formation of D-lactate is due to the presence of VanH, a dehydrogenase encoded by vanH. The depsipeptide moiety, D-Ala-D-Lac, is then added to a tripeptide precursor, resulting in a depsipentapeptide precursor. Vancomycin does not bind to the D-Ala-D-Lac terminus, so this depsipentapeptide can be used in the remaining steps of cell-wall synthesis. However, when the normal pentapeptide precursor ending in D-Ala-D-Ala is also present, cells are not fully vancomycin resistant, despite the presence of D-Ala-D-Lac containing precursors. This apparent problem is taken care of in large part by vanX, which encodes a dipeptidase, VanX, that cleaves D-Ala-D-Ala, preventing its addition to the tripeptide precursor. Should any D-Ala-D-Ala escape cleavage and result in a normal pentapeptide precursor, vanY encodes an ancillary or back-up function. That is, it codes for a carboxypeptidase, VanY, which cleaves D-alanine and D-lactate from D-Ala-D-Ala and D-Ala-D-Lac termini, respectively, resulting in tetrapeptide precursors, to which vancomycin does not bind. The other genes involved in the VanA resistance complex include vanR and vanS, whose encoded proteins are involved in somehow sensing the presence of extracellular vancomycin or
its effect and signaling intracellularly to activate transcription of vanH, vanA, and vanX (27). A final gene in the vanA cluster is vanZ, which encodes VanZ, the role of which is not known.

VanB, encoded by vanB in the vanB gene cluster, is also a ligase that stimulates the formation of D-Ala-D-Lac. The VanB phenotype is typically associated with moderate to high levels of vancomycin resistance but is without resistance to teicoplanin. This is explained by the observation that vancomycin, but not teicoplanin, can induce the synthesis of VanB and of VanH, , and VanX. However, because mutants resistant to teicoplanin can readily be selected from VanB strains on teicoplanin-containing agar, clinical resistance would likely occur among VanB strains if teicoplanin were widely used. Most of the proteins encoded by the vanA gene cluster have homologues encoded by the vanB gene cluster, except for VanZ. The vanB gene cluster has an additional gene, vanW, of unknown function.

The VanC phenotype (low-level resistance to vancomycin, susceptible to teicoplanin) is an inherent (naturally occurring) property of E. gallinarum and E. casseliflavus. This property is not transferable and is related to the presence of species-specific genes vanC-1 and vanC-2, respectively (28); a third possible species, E. flavescens and its gene vanC-3, are so closely related to E. casseliflavus and vanC-2 that different names are probably not warranted (29). These species appear to have two ligases; the cell-wall pentapeptide, at least in E. gallinarum, ends in a mix of D-Ala-D-Ala and D-Ala-D-Ser (29,30). The genes vanC-1 and vanC-2 apparently lead to the formation of D-Ala-D-Ser containing cell-wall precursors, while D-Ala-D-Ala ligases, also present in these organisms, result in D-Ala-D-Ala. The presence of both D-Ala-D-Ala and D-Ala-D-Ser precursors may explain why many isolates of these species test susceptible to vancomycin and why even those isolates with decreased susceptibility display only low-level resistance.

VanD-type glycopeptide resistance has been recently described in an E. faecium isolate from the United States (25). The organism was constitutively resistant to vancomycin (MIC ≥ 64 μg/ml) and to low levels (4 μg/ml) of teicoplanin. Following polymerase chain reaction amplification with primers that amplify many D-Ala-D-Ala ligases, a 605-bp fragment was identified whose deduced amino acid sequence showed 69% identity to VanA and VanB and 43% identity to VanC.

Molecular Epidemiology of Newer Resistance Traits

High-Level Gentamicin Resistance

The DNA sequence of the gene encoding HLR to gentamicin in E. faecalis is the same as the sequence of the gentamicin resistance gene of staphylococci (15). Since this gene was well established in staphylococci by the 1970s but HLR to gentamicin was not reported in enterococci until 1979, the seemingly obvious conclusion is that this gene spread from staphylococci to enterococci rather than vice versa or, at least, staphylococci acquired it first. However, the disk diffusion method used in the 1970s and microtiter dilution MICs done later are capable of detecting gentamicin resistance in staphylococci but do not distinguish enterococci with high-level gentamicin resistance from those with low-level, inherent resistance. Therefore, since laboratories were not screening enterococci by special techniques for high-level gentamicin resistance, it cannot be definitively stated that this resistance did not appear in enterococci earlier or coincident with its emergence in staphylococci. However, several observations support the likelihood that gentamicin resistance appeared and disseminated in staphylococci before it did in enterococci. In 1971, Moellering et al. reported the lack of high-level gentamicin resistance among enterococci (31). Watanakunakorn reported the absence of high-level gentamicin resistance among 126 enterococci from 1980 to 1984, with HLR subsequently appearing in 1985 (32). Phillips et al. from the United Kingdom reported no highly gentamicin-resistant strains in 1969 to 1979 or 1980 to 1985 and appearance of strains in 1986 (33). Zervos et al. reported that only one (0.04%) of 269 isolates of E. faecalis had high-level gentamicin resistance in 1981; this figure gradually increased to 7.7% in 1984 (34). High-level gentamicin resistance in E. faecalis appears to have occurred after its appearance in E. faecalis, with the first report occurring in 1988 (35).

Delineation of the molecular epidemiology of strains of enterococci was limited in the past by the lack of an easy, reliable, and widely accessible method for subspecies strain differentiation. Zervos and Schaberg reported the use of plasmid patterns in enterococci to suggest the intrahospital spread of strains with high-level gentamicin resistance. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE)
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of E. faecalis with HLR to gentamicin found that different isolates from both the same and different locations had markedly different restriction endonuclease digestion patterns. That is, it found no evidence of a common strain or strains that predominated among gentamicin-resistant organisms (36). Strains isolated between 1981 and 1984 at the University of Michigan demonstrated that plasmids encoding high-level gentamicin resistance were heterogeneous, which again argues against clonal dissemination of a limited number of strains or plasmids to account for spread of this property (34,37). We have subsequently shown that gentamicin resistance in enterococci can be encoded on a transposon identical to that in staphylococci (38). In addition, the enterococcal gentamicin-resistance gene has been found in other genetic settings, one of which has also been found in North American Staphylococcus aureus with gentamicin resistance (39). Since all enterococci with HLR to gentamicin (MIC > 2,000 \( \mu \text{g/ml} \)) that have been tested have hybridized with the same gene probe, this property could be termed a "gene epidemic." However, by the time gentamicin resistance was discovered in enterococci, this gene was already widespread with no evidence of either a common plasmid or a common or predominant strain.

Other genetic elements encoding HLR to gentamicin have also been described. Thal et al. have described a 27-kb element designated Tn924 that encodes HLR to gentamicin and could be mobilized from the chromosome of an E. faecalis by a coresident plasmid (40). Rice et al. have described a large (ca. 60 kb) transferable element, tentatively named Tn5385, which appears to contain within it an 18-kb conjugative transposon (Tn5381) encoding tetracycline resistance and a 26-kb IS256-based composite transposon (Tn5384) encoding resistance to gentamicin and to erythromycin (41).

Penicillin-Resistant Penicillinase-Producing Enterococci

PFGE analyses of penicillinase-producing enterococci have shown that a common penicillinase-producing strain (or "clone"), defined as having an identical or related chromosomal digestion pattern, was present in Texas, Florida, North Carolina (unpub. observation), Delaware, Pennsylvania, and Virginia, which had a large outbreak with numerous infections (42,43). Moreover, at each of these locations, all isolates of penicillinase-producing E. faecalis examined were derivatives of this strain; in the hospital in which this strain has been endemic for many years, a single penicillinase-producing isolate of E. faecium, the only such isolate ever reported, was also found (44). Among numerous non-Bla+ E. faecalis, a PFGE pattern similar to that of Bla+ E. faecalis has been found only once from an isolate from a Philadelphia hospital that had one of the Bla+ isolates (43 and unpub. obs.). Penicillinase-producing isolates from Connecticut, Boston, Canada (unpub. observations), Lebanon, and Argentina represent different strains (19). However, clonal relatedness of three isolates with an almost identical pattern was demonstrated in Connecticut (despite one of these lacking HLR to gentamicin) (45), and all six isolates in Argentina appeared to be a single strain. The Boston isolates have not been studied by PFGE, but a number of isolates from the same hospital had a single shared plasmid, suggesting that these also represent clonal dissemination of a single strain. While all of these penicillinase-producing E. faecalis could be detected by nitrocefin, a vancomycin-resistant E. faecalis that tested negative for penicillinase by nitrocefin but showed a marked inoculum effect with penicillin and destroyed penicillin in a bioassay has been reported (46). Thus, in contrast to high-level gentamicin resistance in enterococci, which appeared to be widely disseminated on different plasmids and in different strains by the time this phenotype was studied, penicillinase production in enterococci is still largely associated with a limited number of strains; moreover, in locations known to have more than one isolate, oligoclonal spread within each setting remains the rule.

Nonpenicillinase-Producing Penicillin-Resistant Strains

PFGE analyses of highly penicillin-resistant E. faecium from the Medical Colleges of Virginia and Pennsylvania have shown that within each location, most highly resistant strains represented a single clone (47). Analysis of the PFGE patterns also raised the possibility, on the basis of similarities between patterns of isolates in these two different locations, that a strain may have spread from one institution to the other (47); this conclusion was supported by the finding that these isolates belonged to the same multilocus
enzyme cluster (unpub. observations). Circumstantial evidence of intrahospital spread of highly penicillin-resistant E. faecium also comes from the study of Grayson et al. (22), who reported a sudden increase in more highly penicillin-resistant isolates of E. faecium at the Massachusetts General Hospital in 1988. Although no genetic analysis was done, the fact that gentamicin resistance also simultaneously appeared and most of the E. faecium highly resistant to penicillin were also highly resistant to gentamicin suggests clonal dissemination of one or a few strains within that hospital (22).

**Vancomycin Resistance**

Vancomycin resistance in enterococci is heterogeneous on many levels. For example, three different, well-described types of vancomycin resistance are known, each associated with different ligase genes, (vanA, vanB, vanC1, and vanC2), and a fourth type, VanD, has been reported recently (25). VanA and VanB type resistance is encoded by gene clusters that are acquired (i.e., not part of the normal genome of enterococci) and are often transferable. In contrast, vanC1 and vanC2 are normally occurring genes that are endogenous species characteristics of E. gallinarum and E. casseliflavus, respectively, and are not transferable. The acquired gene clusters associated with vanA and vanB are found in different genetic surroundings. The vanA gene cluster has been found in a small Tn3-like transposon, Tn1546, and in elements that appear to be closely related (e.g., Tn5488, which has an insertion sequence [IS1251] within Tn1546 [48,49]) or lacking vanZ (50). These elements have in turn been found on both transferable and nontransferable plasmids, as well as on the chromosome of the host strain. VanB type resistance was initially not found to be transferable, but at least in some instances, the vanB gene cluster has been found on large (90 kb to 250 kb) chromosomally located transferable elements, one of which contains within it a 64-kb composite transposon (Tn1547) (Figure 2; 14). More recently, vanB has been found as part of plasmids.

In addition to being found in different genetic surroundings, the vanA and vanB gene clusters have also been found in a number of different bacterial species. vanA has been found in multiple enterococcal species as well as in lactococci, Orskovia, and Arcanobacteria (51). The distribution of the vanB gene cluster seems somewhat more restricted, having been found primarily in E. faecium and E. faecalis, although it has recently been found in Streptococcus bovis (52).

When vancomycin-resistant enterococci (VRE) from patients in a given hospital have been examined, particularly after the first recovery of VRE, evidence is often found of a single or predominant strain (53-58). Finding isolates with identical or highly related PFGE patterns in different hospitals indicates interhospital clonal transmission (59-61). Some reports do not find a single or a predominant strain, especially when VRE have been present in a hospital or area for some time (62,63). This was also true of two reports from France in which all of 16 and all of 24 vancomycin-resistant E. faecium were different (50,64,65).
vancomycin resistance. vanA has also been shown to be present in normal fecal enterococci of healthy, nonhospitalized persons in different parts of Europe (66-68); in one study, 20 different strains (identified by PFGE) of vancomycin-resistant E. faecium were found in the fecal flora of 17 persons in two areas in Belgium (68). vanA containing E. faecium have also been found in the feces of healthy animals as well as from animal products in Europe (50,67,69-71); in one study, VRE were found in the feces of healthy meat-eaters but not vegetarians (72). VRE have not, however, been found as part of the normal fecal flora in the United States (73) possibly because glycopeptides, often used in animal feed in Europe, are not used in the United States. For example, 24,000 kg annually of the glycopeptide avoparcin were reportedly used in recent years in Denmark (74). Reports of VRE in the feces of animals on farms using glycopeptides, but not in those without such use, support this hypothesis (71,75). While oral glycopeptide use markedly increases the numbers of VRE per gram of stool in humans (68) and by analogy, presumably does so in animals, glycopeptide use does not explain the origin of these gene clusters.

The problem of multidrug-resistant enterococci promises to be with us for the foreseeable future. The enterococcus has likely emerged as a major nosocomial pathogen in part because of its resistance to multiple antibiotics, which allows it to survive and subsequently infect patients. With its propensity to acquire new traits, such as high-level gentamicin, penicillin, and vancomycin resistance, the enterococcus continues to create new therapeutic problems and dilemmas; its ability to transfer some of its plasmids to streptococci and staphylococci and the implications of a possible spread of penicillin and vancomycin resistance to these and other gram-positive species are also of concern.
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