Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis Infection in Waterfowl: First Confirmation in Animals
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We report the first description, confirmed by bacteriologic and molecular (polymerase chain reaction and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis) analysis, of an infection in animals caused by Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis, affecting waterfowl.

Until recently, members of the genus Lactococcus were considered opportunistic pathogens (1,2). They are often misidentified as enterococci or streptococci (3,4), and the difficulties in correctly identifying them have probably hindered elucidation of their clinical significance. However, the number of clinical cases associated with infections by these microorganisms has increased in the last decade in both humans and animals (5-7). Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis, L. piscium, and L. garviæae are recognized as the species with clinical significance for human and veterinary medicine (2,8). In humans, L. garviæae and L. lactis subsp. lactis have been associated with endocarditis (9,10) and have also been isolated from clinical samples of blood, skin lesions, and urine (5,7). In veterinary medicine, L. garviæae and L. piscium are pathogenic for various fish species (8,11,12), and L. garviæae causes mastitis in ruminants (13,14). However, infection by L. lactis subsp. lactis in animals has not previously been reported. We present the first microbiologic and molecular evidence for infection produced by L. lactis subsp. lactis in waterfowl.

The Study

From September to November 1998, a mass die-off was detected among waterfowl in southwestern Spain, affecting >3,000 birds (0.6% of the total waterfowl population in the area). The species most affected were coots (Fulica atra) (26.9%), shovelers (Anas clypeata) (25.1%), and mallards (Anas platyrhynchos) (13.8%). Overall, 20% of the birds died. Affected birds showed general weakness, evidenced by drooping wings and sluggishness; approximately 50% had respiratory distress. At necropsy, most animals had mild lung congestion; no other lesions were found at postmortem examination.

Samples from the lungs, liver, and spleen of five diseased birds (one mallard, S-15; three shovelers, S-16, S-18, and S-19; and one coot, S-17) were submitted to the Animal Health Department at the School of Veterinary Medicine of Madrid for microbiologic analysis. After 48 hours of incubation at 37°C, pure cultures of weakly α-hemolytic catalase-negative cocci were obtained on blood agar plates from samples of lung (S-15, S-16, and S-17) and liver and spleen (S-15, S-16, S-17, and S-18). All 11 clinical isolates had an identical biochemical profile, which was identified as L. lactis subsp. lactis by the Rapid ID 32 Strep system (bioMérieux España, S.A., Madrid).

L. lactis subsp. lactis and L. garviæae are the two species more frequently found in human and animal infections (2). Routine clinical microbiologic diagnosis requires accurate discrimination of the two species, as their similar biochemically reaction patterns may lead to misidentification (2,4). Although physiologic tests, differences in antimicrobial susceptibility, whole-cell protein, and DNA or RNA analysis (4,7,13) have been proposed to distinguish them, some of these techniques are not reliable or may be too time-consuming, limiting their use for routine identification. For these reasons, the clinical isolates were also identified by a polymerase chain reaction (PCR) assay, which has been successfully used to identify many other pathogens (15,16).

Specific primers LLF 5'-GCAATTGCATCACTCAAGA and LLR 5'-ACAGAGAACTTATAGCTCCC were designed from diagnostic regions of the L. lactis subsp. lactis 16S rRNA gene sequence (accession number M58837). PCR amplifications were performed in a 100-µL reaction volume containing 150 ng each of the two primers, 1 mM each of deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 1 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Biotechnol, Inc., Madrid, Spain), and 25 ng of template DNA in 1x reaction buffer. The amplification was carried out in a PTC-100 thermal cycler (MJ Research, Inc., Watertown, MA), under the following conditions: initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 minutes, followed by 30 cycles of denaturation for 1 minute at 92°C, primer annealing for 1.5 minutes at 50°C, primer extension for 2 minutes at 72°C, and a final extension of 5 minutes at 72°C. The following bacterial strains were used to test the specificity of the PCR assay: L. lactis subsp. lactis, ATCC 19435 and ATCC 11007; L. garviæae, NCFB 2155; four clinical isolates of Lactococcus garviæae (1336, 1458, 1982, and 4294, isolated from lactococcosis in trout); L. piscium, NCFB 2778; Streptococcus iniae, ATCC 29187; Vagococcus fluvialis, NCFB 2497; and Enterococcus faecalis, CECT 481. All the L. lactis subsp. lactis clinical isolates generated an expected PCR amplification product of 650 bp. No amplification was observed with any other Lactococcus species tested, indicating the specificity of the PCR assay (Figure 1). These results confirmed those of the biochemical identification, as well as the utility of this PCR assay for spe-
cate that infection was produced by a single strain of sotypes of isolates (Figure 2), which were clearly distinct from the pul- sable restriction patterns were obtained from all clinical miologic investigations of lactococci (19,20). Indistinguish- been successfully applied for strain identification and epide- mic results clearly confirm the isolation of lactococci have been described (22).

Wild animals, including waterfowl, are known reservoirs for various pathogens (21). We can only speculate about the possibility that waterfowl may be a reservoir for this bacte- rium. However, wild animal reservoirs for other species of lactococci have been described (22).
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Dispatches

Figure 1. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) products formed from total DNA of lactococcal strains with primers LLF and LLR. Lane A, molecular size marker; lane B, negative control. Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis ATCC 19435 (lane C), L. lactis subsp. lactis ATCC 11007 (lane D), and the clinical isolates (lanes J, K, and L) generated a PCR amplification product of 650 bp. No amplification was observed from L. garviæae NCFB 2155 (lane E), clinical isolates of L. garviæae (lanes F, G, H and I), L. piscium NCFB 2778 (lane M), Streptococcus iniae ATCC 29187 (lane N), Vagococcus fluvialis NCFB 2497 (lane O), and Enterococcus faecalis CECT 481 (lane P).

Figure 2. Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis patterns of SmaI digests of genomic DNA of Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis clinical isolates. Lane A, molecular weight marker; lanes B, D, F, and H, liver isolates from samples S-15, S-16, S-17, and S-18; lanes C, E, and G, lung isolates of samples S-15, S-16, and S-17; and lane I, spleen isolate from sample S-18.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) with the enzyme SmaI was used for molecular characterization of the clinical isolates, as described by Vela et al. (18). This technique has been successfully applied for strain identification and epide- mic investigations of lactococci (19,20). Indistinguish- able restriction patterns were obtained from all clinical isolates (Figure 2), which were clearly distinct from the pul- sotypes of L. lactis subsp. lactis ATCC 19435, L. garviæae, and L. piscium (data not shown). These PFGE results indi- cate that infection was produced by a single strain of L. lactis subsp. lactis in all the animals studied. The bacteriologic and molecular results clearly confirm the isolation of L. lactis subsp. lactis in waterfowl, the first confirmation of infection in animals caused by this microorganism.

As L. lactis subsp. lactis is considered nonpathogenic for animals (1,2) and no additional histopathologic or toxicologic studies could be carried out in the diseased animals, we cannot rule out other possible causes for the mass deaths. Therefore, although the PFGE results, together with the recovery of L. lactis subsp. lactis in pure culture from the clinical samples, may suggest clinical significance, no direct link between the L. lactis subsp. lactis infection and this epi- sode can be established. Further studies are necessary to elucidate the exact pathogenic potential of this microorgan- ism for waterfowl.

Wild animals, including waterfowl, are known reservoirs for various pathogens (21). We can only speculate about the possibility that waterfowl may be a reservoir for this bacte- rium. However, wild animal reservoirs for other species of lactococci have been described (22).