Infantile Pertussis Rediscovered in China
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Immunization against pertussis was begun in China in the 1960s. Three doses of whole cell pertussis vaccine combined with diphtheria and tetanus toxoids are given at 3, 4, and 5 months of age (1). Since 1982, a booster dose has been added, given at 18–24 months of age (1).

In the 1990s, >85% of children received at least three doses of vaccine, and the incidence of pertussis (based on clinical diagnosis) remained <1/100,000 population (1). In China, pertussis is a reportable infectious disease, diagnosed by physicians. Since the 1970s, no culture-confirmed pertussis cases have been reported in the country. However, during April–June 1997, a local outbreak of pertussis was reported in a rural village (population 1,387) in southwestern China (2). A total of 285 cases were diagnosed. The ages of these patients ranged from 6 months to 80 years; 44% were <7 years of age; and 23% were 15 years of age. No deaths were reported. The suggested cause for this outbreak was relatively low vaccination coverage in the village.

The diagnosis of pertussis, especially in patients with atypical symptoms, requires clinicians’ awareness and laboratory tools. To our knowledge, the current laboratory methods (e.g., culture, enzyme immunoassay serologic testing, and polymerase chain reaction assay [PCR]) are not being used to diagnose pertussis in China. In this study, we report six cases of culture-confirmed pertussis in infants seen at Beijing Children’s Hospital. All six patients were initially diagnosed as having other respiratory diseases.

The Study

To determine how much bacterial culturing would aid the diagnosis of pertussis in China, a study was conducted in a 35-bed ward for respiratory diseases at Beijing Children’s Hospital from June 2000 to May 2001. This facility is the largest children’s hospital in China; it has 3,000–4,000 visits daily to its outpatient department. Nasopharyngeal (NP) swabs were taken from children who had been admitted to the hospital because their cough, with or without paroxysms, had persisted for >2 weeks and was worsening. A total of 55 children (age range 35 days to 13 years) were enrolled during the study period. In addition, NP swabs were obtained from two children (ages 10 weeks and 13 years) with paroxysmal cough who were seen at the outpatient department. Information about disease history, immunization status, and cough characteristics was obtained. Physical examination, chest X-ray, and blood tests were performed. At admission, all participants were diagnosed as having bronchitis, bronchopneumonia, or pneumonia.

After collection, NP swabs were immediately spread onto charcoal agar plates supplemented with cephalixin. Details of *Bordetella pertussis* culture have been described previously (3). In brief, after inoculation, the plates were incubated in a humid atmosphere at 35°C and inspected daily for 7 days to determine pertussis-like colony growth. Suspected colonies were Gram stained and tested by slide agglutination with antisera to *B. pertussis* and *B. parapertussis* (Murex Diagnostics, Dartford, England).

Serum immunoglobulin (Ig) G antibodies to purified pertussis toxin (PT) were tested by enzyme immunoassay, as described (3). Seropositivity was determined by comparing the antibody results in patient serum samples with those in 460 healthy Chinese persons. Results exceeding the mean of the controls by two standard deviations were considered to be seropositive.

Conclusions

Six infants <4 months of age were culture positive for *B. pertussis* (Table). Five of these patients were in the study group of 55 hospitalized children; the other was one of two children seen at the outpatient department. Before they went to the hospital, all six infants had taken broad-spectrum antibiotics but not erythromycin. None had received any doses of pertussis vaccine.

The immediate family members or other relatives of five infants (cases 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6) had concurrent and persistent cough (Table). NP swabs and serum samples were obtained from family members of cases 1, 5, and 6 (data not shown). Case-patient 1’s grandmother was culture positive for *B. pertussis*. She, as well as the patient’s mother and father, had been coughing for several weeks, and they all had IgG diagnostic antibodies to PT in their sera. Patient 5’s mother, aunt, and 10-year-old cousin had diagnostic serum IgG antibodies to PT, and another, 8-year-old cousin was culture positive. The grandmother of case-patient 6 had been coughing for 1 month and had diagnostic serum IgG antibodies to PT.

Because antigenic divergence, with respect to PT and pertactin (PRN), has been recently found between *B. pertussis* vaccine strains and circulating strains, the PRN and PT types of eight clinical strains isolated in this study and two Chinese vaccine strains were examined. The methods used for this genotyping were LightCycler (Roche Applied Science, Mannheim, Germany) real-time PCR and fluorescence resonance
The highest leukocyte count was far lower, 37 × 10^9/L. However, counts <100 × 10^9/L (11).

Consequently, the diagnosis of pertussis is not considered and the treatment is delayed (10). These six infants were initially diagnosed as having bronchitis, bronchopneumonia, or pneumonia. The fact that pertussis was not considered in the differential diagnosis may indicate that clinicians were not aware that *B. pertussis* was circulating in the community.

Early and correct diagnosis of pertussis is important for effective therapy and prevention of transmission of the disease. Culture of *B. pertussis* from NP samples usually takes 3–7 days. In comparison with culture, PCR is a more sensitive and specific method for diagnosing pertussis (3). Measurement of specific serum antibodies to *B. pertussis* antigens by enzyme immunoassay can also facilitate this diagnosis, and results are helpful for epidemiologic studies (3,7,12). The use of culture for the diagnosis of pertussis is now being considered in Beijing Children’s Hospital.

Our results suggest that a number of pertussis cases are likely being misdiagnosed in China and that the incidence of the diseases is underestimated.
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**Table. Clinical details of six infants with pertussis, China, June 2000–May 2001**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age (weeks) and sex</th>
<th>Duration of cough at sampling (day)</th>
<th>Signs and symptoms</th>
<th>Leukocyte count × 10^9/L (% lymphocytes)</th>
<th>Diagnosis at admission</th>
<th>Possible source of infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5 (M)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Paroxysmal cough, cyanosis</td>
<td>15.3 (62.5)</td>
<td>Bronchopneumonia</td>
<td>Mother, father, grandmother⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>9 (F)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Cough without paroxysms</td>
<td>19.8 (57.7)</td>
<td>Bronchopneumonia</td>
<td>Cousin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 (M)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Paroxysmal cough</td>
<td>17.6 (63.5)</td>
<td>Bronchitis</td>
<td>Not known</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11 (M)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Paroxysmal cough</td>
<td>26.7 (52.0)</td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>Mother, father</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>16 (M)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Paroxysmal cough, vomiting</td>
<td>14.0 (55.0)</td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>Mother, aunt, cousin⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>10 (M)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Paroxysmal cough</td>
<td>27.0 (69.9)</td>
<td>Bronchitis</td>
<td>Mother, grandmother</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁴Culture positive for *Bordella pertussis*.

⁶Patient was treated in the outpatient department but not hospitalized.


Address for correspondence: Qiushui He, Pertussis Reference Laboratory, National Public Health Institute, Kiinamyllynkatu 13, FIN-20520 Turku, Finland; fax: 358-2-251 9254, e-mail: qiushui.he@ktl.fi

---

**Dispatches.** Articles should be 1,000 to 1,500 words and need not be divided into sections. If subheadings are used, they should be general, e.g., “The Study” and Conclusions.” Provide a brief abstract (50 words); references, (not to exceed 10), figures or illustrations, not to exceed two; and a brief biographical sketch of first author—both authors if only two.

Dispatches are updates on infectious disease trends and research. The articles include descriptions of new methods for detecting, characterizing, or subtyping new or reemerging pathogens. Developments in antimicrobial drugs, vaccines, or infectious disease prevention or elimination programs are appropriate. Case reports are also welcome.

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention or the institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

All material published in Emerging Infectious Diseases is in the public domain and may be used and reprinted without special permission; proper citation, however, is appreciated.

Use of trade names is for identification only and does not imply endorsement by the Public Health Service or by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

---

**EMERGING INFECTIOUS DISEASES**

The print journal is available at **no charge** to public health professionals

[ ] YES, I would like to receive Emerging Infectious Diseases.

Please print your name and business address in the box and return by fax to 404-371-5449 or mail to EID Editor, CDC/NCID/MS D61, 1600 Clifton Road, NE, Atlanta, GA 30333

Moving? Please give us your new address (in the box) and print the number of your old mailing label here.——