Pneumonia, particularly in HIV-determined study showed that Parachlamydia DNA is rarely found in bronchoalveolar lavage samples (0.083%). This suggests that patients are infrequently exposed to Parachlamydia organisms and, consequently, members of the Parachlamydiaceae seldom cause pneumonia in humans. The only positive sample, whether Parachlamydia originated from bacteria in the oropharynx, from water, or from a colonization of the lower respiratory tract was not known; whether they caused the patient’s pneumonia is also not known. That two strains of Parachlamydia found in amoebae were recovered from the nasopharynx of healthy volunteers (7) favors the first hypothesis. However, that the positive bronchoalveolar lavage specimen was taken from an HIV-positive patient with community-acquired pneumonia suggests that Parachlamydia might occasionally play a pathogenic role in AIDS patients. Moreover, any amoebae-associated bacteria should be considered as a potential emerging pathogen because intra-amoebal growth may lead to the selection of virulence traits and to the adaptation to professional phagocytes, such as alveolar macrophages (1,2). Further studies are warranted to determine whether Parachlamydiaceae causes community-acquired pneumonia, particularly in HIV-infected persons.
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Hantaviruses in the Czech Republic

To the Editor: Infections caused by hantaviruses have been known for a long time, but their causative agent was not detected until 1976 (1). These viruses of the genus Hantavirus, family Bunyaviridae, have >20 genotypes. Hantaviruses circulate in wild rodents within natural foci over Eurasia and North and South America. They cause asymptomatic persistent infections in these small mammals. Humans may acquire infection accidentally from inhalation of virus-contaminated aerosols of rodent excreta. Hantavirus genotypes may be nonpathogenic for humans or cause serious diseases with high death rates. In Eurasia, these pathogens involve primarily the kidney and cause hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome; in North and South America, these pathogens involve primarily the lung and cause hantavirus cardiopulmonary syndrome.

First reports on the occurrence of hantaviruses in central Europe originated from former Czechoslovakia (2,3) and Germany (4) and date back to 1984 and 1985, respectively. The first cases of hantavirus disease in humans in the Czech Republic were reported in 1992 (5). This hantavirus disease accompanied by microhematuria was recorded in southern Moravia. Another severe imported case was described in a soldier on active duty in the Balkans (6). The first isolation of nonpathogenic hantavirus Tula was reported in the Czech Republic (7). Currently, several hantavirus infections have been recorded in humans, manifesting mainly as interstitial nephritis. One fatal case was also reported in a patient who had never travelled outside the Czech Republic.

We conducted studies of hantavirus ecology in the Czech Republic and hantavirus seroprevalence in the Czech population. As in neighboring Slovakia (8), hantaviruses of three genotypes, i.e., Dobrava, Puumala, and Tula, were identified in the Czech Republic. Most serious infections are caused by the Dobrava genotype; Tula genotype...
remains nonpathogenic for humans, although a case of human infection without clinical signs has been described in the Czech Republic (9).

Blood serum samples from 710 randomly selected persons >20 years of age from the Czech Republic were screened for antibodies against Puumala and Hantaan antigens with commercial enzyme-linked immuno-sorbent assay (ELISA) sets manufactured by PROGEN (Biotechnik, Heidelberg, Germany). The Hantaan antigen was used because of its antigenic relatedness with Dobrava virus, which is not included in available commercial ELISA sets.

Five participants showed immunoglobulin (Ig) G reactivity to Hantaan virus (cross-reactive with Dobrava antigen), and two participants tested positive for both IgG and IgM antibodies. Two other persons showed IgM reactivity alone. These findings indicate that as many as seven (1.0%) study participants showed reactivity to Hantaan antigen. Eight persons showed IgG reactivity to Puumala antigen, none of them IgM positive. Altogether, 10 (1.4%) study participants were reactive to Puumala antigen. Three persons showed reactivity to both antigens tested.

A total of 1,494 small mammals of different Czech regions were screened with ELISA for hantavirus antigen in the lungs. The antigen was detected in the lungs of 101 animals; the highest positivity rate was in Common Voles (Microtus arvalis). The difference in positivity between male and female voles was not statistically significant. The positivity rate was markedly associated with rodent size. With the use of molecular genetic methods (polymerase chain reaction), genotype Tula was identified as the causative agent of infection in rodents. Genotype Puumula was identified in bank voles (Clethrionomys glareolus) in Moravia. Nucleotide sequences of Dobrava genotype were identified in southern Bohemian rodents (K. Krivanec, pers. comm.).

In the Czech Republic, Tula virus is the most frequent hantavirus circulating in Common Voles. This agent is not pathogenic for humans. The hantavirus seroprevalence rate in the adult population of the Czech Republic is close to 1%. Dobrava and Puumula viruses are causative agents of these infections in humans.

This research was supported by grants no NI 5896-3/2000 of the Grant Agency of the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic and no. EVK-CT-2000-00070 of the EC cCASHh.
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Antibiotics and Airline Emergency Medical Kits

To the Editor: Medical events during airline flights have drawn some attention in recently published articles and letters (1–4). We would like to share our experience of meningococcal meningitis during a transatlantic flight.

In June 2000, a 20-year-old student with a mild viral illness (diagnosed before the flight) boarded a flight from Tel-Aviv, Israel, to Newark, New-Jersey, USA (approximately flight time, 11–12 hours), with a tour group of college-age students and their chaperones. We, a neonatologist and a neonatal intensive care nurse, were on the same flight to later transport a prematurely born infant from the United States back to Israel.

About 90 minutes before landing in New Jersey, the chief flight attendant asked me (B.B-O.) to check the passenger, who said he did not feel well. His medical history indicated no past illness, which was corroborated by the director of the tour group. The patient reported general malaise and numbness in his feet. In the 2 weeks before the flight, he had traveled in Israel, visiting cities, caves, and mountains. He and his group had slept in different hostels in those areas.

On examination, he was fully conscious, and his blood pressure and