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Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) infection causes a spectrum of respiratory illness, from asymptomatic to mild to fatal. MERS-CoV is transmitted sporadically from dromedary camels to humans and occasionally through human-to-human contact. Current epidemiologic evidence supports a major role in transmission for direct contact with live camels or humans with symptomatic MERS, but little evidence suggests the possibility of transmission from camel products or asymptomatic MERS cases. Because a proportion of case-patients do not report direct contact with camels or with persons who have symptomatic MERS, further research is needed to conclusively determine additional mechanisms of transmission, to inform public health practice, and to refine current precautionary recommendations.

MERS-CoV in Camels
Evidence for Infection of Camels
MERS-CoV infection in camels has been demonstrated through serologic investigations, molecular evidence using real-time reverse transcription PCR (Figure). MERS-CoV human cases result from primary or secondary transmission. Primary transmission is classified as transmission not resulting from contact with a confirmed human MERS case-patient (15) and can result from zoonotic transmission from camels or from an unidentified source. Conzade et al. reported that, among cases classified as primary by the WHO, only 191 (54.9%) persons reported contact with dromedaries (15). Secondary transmission is classified as transmission resulting from contact with a human MERS case-patient, typically characterized as healthcare-associated or household-associated, as appropriate. However, many MERS case-patients have no reported exposure to a prior MERS patient or healthcare setting or to camels, meaning the source of infection is unknown. Among 1,125 laboratory-confirmed MERS-CoV cases reported to WHO during January 1, 2015–April 13, 2018, a total of 157 (14%) had unknown exposure (15).

Although broad categories of exposure are associated with transmission (e.g., exposure to camels or to healthcare facilities with ill patients), exact mechanisms of MERS-CoV transmission are not fully understood. Little direct epidemiologic evidence exists regarding transmission routes or the efficacy of interventions in reducing transmission. However, other potentially important factors, including detection of virus in different secretions, detection and survival of virus in the environment, and detection of virus in aerosols, lend support for the biological plausibility of certain transmission pathways. We summarize the available evidence regarding camel-to-camel, camel-to-human, and human-to-human transmission of MERS-CoV, including direct epidemiologic evidence and evidence supporting biologically plausible transmission routes.

MERS-CoV in Camels

Evidence for Infection of Camels
MERS-CoV infection in camels has been demonstrated through serologic investigations, molecular evidence using real-time reverse transcription PCR

Author affiliation: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA
DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2602.190697
(rRT-PCR), and by virus isolation, as described in recent reviews (16,17). Geographically wide-ranging seroprevalence studies have identified MERS-CoV–specific antibodies in camels in countries across the Middle East and North, West, and East Africa, often with >90% seroprevalence in adult camels (18). Studies in many of these countries have shown molecular evidence of MERS-CoV RNA and isolation of infectious MERS-CoV in camels (16,17,19–21).

Viral Shedding in Camels
In naturally or experimentally infected camels, MERS-CoV appears to cause an upper respiratory tract infection with or without symptoms, including nasal and lachrymal discharge, coughing, sneezing, elevated body temperature, and loss of appetite (20,22,23). In naturally infected camels, MERS-CoV RNA has been recovered most commonly from nasal swabs but also from fecal swabs, rectal swabs, and lung tissue (20,24). No evidence of viral RNA has been demonstrated in camel serum, blood, or urine using rRT-PCR (25,26). In experimentally infected camels, infectious virus and RNA was detected in nasal swab and oral samples but not in blood, serum, feces, or urine (23). MERS-CoV RNA has been detected in raw camel milk collected using traditional milking methods, including using a suckling calf as stimulus for milk letdown; presence of live virus was not evaluated (27). Viral RNA may therefore have been introduced via calf saliva or nasal secretions or fecal contamination. Experimentally introduced virus can survive in milk but did not survive when heat treated (28). It is also not known if the virus would remain viable in milk from seropositive dams when antibodies could be found in the milk.

These shedding data indicate that contact with camel nasal secretions, saliva, and respiratory droplets carry potential risk for camel-to-human or camel-to-camel transmission. Contact with nasal secretions can occur when directly handling live camels, and virus from camel nasal secretions can contaminate fomites in the environment (29). Although rRT-PCR evidence of MERS-CoV and genome fragments have been detected in air samples from a camel barn (30), no live virus was detected, and no epidemiologic study has implicated airborne transmission. Transmission following exposure to camel feces may be biologically plausible, although no epidemiologic evidence indicates the likelihood of such transmission. Similarly, although transmission following consumption of raw camel milk may be biologically plausible, epidemiologic studies have not consistently identified milk consumption as a unique risk factor for MERS-CoV infection or illness, independent of other direct or indirect camel exposures (31,32). No epidemiologic evidence supports transmission associated with camel urine or meat.
Camel-to-Camel Transmission Dynamics

MERS-CoV RNA is detected most frequently in younger camels (22,25,33) but has been detected in camels >4 years of age (22). In a longitudinal study of a camel dairy herd, most calves became infected with MERS-CoV at 5–6 months of age, around the time maternal MERS-CoV antibodies wane. The calves then produced MERS-CoV antibodies by 11–12 months of age (34). In seroprevalence studies, calves <2 years of age demonstrated lower seroprevalence than camels >2 years of age (25,35). Across many countries, the seroprevalence of adult camels is >90% (16,17). Overall, these data suggest most camels are initially infected with MERS-CoV at <2 years of age. However, camels can shed virus despite preexisting MERS-CoV antibodies, suggesting that repeat infections are possible (36,37). Varying prevalence of MERS-CoV RNA in camels has been reported in different countries and settings, such as farms (33) and live animal markets (38). Risk for camel-to-camel or camel-to-human transmission may be influenced by crowding, mixing of camels from multiple sources, transportation, and characteristics of live animal markets (39). Phylogenetic modeling has provided supportive evidence that long-term MERS-CoV evolution has occurred exclusively in camels, with humans acting as a transient and usually terminal host (40).

Zoonotic Transmission

Evidence and Risk Factors for Zoonotic Transmission

Persons in Saudi Arabia with occupational exposure to camels demonstrated higher seroprevalence of MERS-CoV–specific antibodies (camel shepherds, 2.3%; slaughterhouse workers, 3.6%) compared with the general population (0.2%) (41). A case-control study of primary human cases and matched controls also showed that camel exposure was more likely among case-patients than matched controls (31). Further evidence supporting camel-to-human transmission includes identical or nearly identical MERS-CoV sequences found in camels and humans (41–45).

Occupational groups with frequent exposure to camels have been assessed through seroepidemiologic studies. In Qatar, a study of 9 seropositive and 43 matched seronegative camel workers showed that regular involvement in training and herding of camels, cleaning farm equipment, not handwashing before and after camel handling, and milking camels were associated with seropositivity (46). In a Saudi Arabia study of 30 camel workers in which 50% were seropositive for MERS-CoV, no association was identified between seropositivity and factors including age, smoking, handwashing after camel contact, consuming camel meat or milk, or specific occupation (camel truck driver, handler, or herder) (47). Neither investigation controlled for possible confounding risk factors (e.g., age or duration of exposure to camels). In Abu Dhabi, an investigation of 235 market and slaughterhouse workers showed that 17% were seropositive for MERS-CoV and that daily contact with camels or their waste, working as a camel salesman, and self-reported diabetes were risk factors for seropositivity (32). Among market workers in the same study, handling live camels and either cleaning equipment (e.g., halters, water troughs, etc.) or administering medications to camels were risk factors on multivariable analysis (32). These studies generally support the hypothesis that direct physical contact with camels is a risk factor for transmission, although cleaning equipment could also result in indirect transmission.

Potential Seasonality of Human Cases

Previous findings suggest that MERS-CoV circulation among camels peaks in late winter through early summer (22,26,48). Initial MERS-CoV infection in camels is thought to occur at ≈6 months of age, after the typical winter calving season. Investigations have demonstrated different seasonal peaks for MERS-CoV infection in camels: November–January (22) and December–April (48) in Saudi Arabia, January–June in Egypt (26). A seasonal peak has been suggested to result in a corresponding peak in zoonotic transmission, and an April–July peak has been supported by phylogenetic modeling (40). However, camels have been found to be rRT-PCR positive for MERS-CoV throughout the year (22). Further investigations are needed to demonstrate seasonality of MERS-CoV infection in camels and link these patterns to seasonal peaks of zoonotic transmission.

Zoonotic MERS-CoV Transmission outside the Arabian Peninsula

Despite evidence of circulating MERS-CoV in camels in North, East, and West Africa (49), limited evidence of human infection exists from Africa. In Kenya, no MERS-CoV antibodies were detected among 760 persons with occupational exposure to camels (50). In a separate study in Kenya, 2 seropositive adults who kept other livestock but not camels were identified among 1,122 persons who were predominantly without occupational exposure to camels (Appendix reference 51, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-0697-App1.pdf). In Nigeria, no MERS-CoV antibodies were found in 261 slaughterhouse workers with exposure...
to camels (Appendix reference 52). MERS-CoV sequences from camels in Africa phylogenetically cluster separately relative to camel and human MERS-CoV from the Arabian Peninsula, suggesting single or few introductions into Saudi Arabia and limited contact (19). Differences in virus growth have been shown between MERS-CoV strains isolated from West Africa and those isolated from the Middle East (19); relative to human and camel MERS-CoV from Saudi Arabia, virus isolates from Burkina Faso and Nigeria had lower virus replication competence in ex vivo cultures of human bronchus and lung. These findings may suggest regional variation in the potential for MERS-CoV replication in humans. Other factors contributing to the limited evidence of zoonotic MERS transmission in Africa may include differences between virus surveillance, human populations, camel populations, camel husbandry, and the type of human–camel interactions in these regions.

### Prevention of Zoonotic Transmission

WHO recommends that anyone presumed at higher risk for severe illness (e.g., persons who are older, have diabetes, or are immunocompromised) should avoid contact with camels and raw camel products (Appendix reference 53). Although no evidence definitively links raw camel products with MERS-CoV infection, WHO presents these precautions in the context of considerable knowledge gaps surrounding MERS-CoV transmission. In addition, WHO recommends basic hygiene precautions for persons with occupational exposure to camels (Appendix reference 53).

### Human-to-Human Transmission

After zoonotic introduction, human-to-human transmission of MERS-CoV can occur, but humans are considered transient or terminal hosts (40), with no evidence for sustained human-to-human community transmission. In addition to limited household transmission, large, explosive outbreaks in healthcare settings have been periodically documented. In South Korea in 2015, a single infected traveler returning from the Arabian Peninsula was linked to an outbreak of 186 cases, including 38 deaths (case-fatality rate 20%) (Appendix reference 54). Multiple other healthcare-associated outbreaks have been described in Saudi Arabia (Appendix references 55,56), Jordan (Appendix reference 57), and United Arab Emirates (Appendix reference 58). Healthcare transmission has also occurred outside the Arabian Peninsula from exported cases, including in the United Kingdom (Appendix reference 59) and France (Appendix reference 60). Given their size and scope, healthcare-associated outbreaks have provided most of the context for investigation of risk factors for human-to-human transmission.

### Viral Shedding in Humans

MERS-CoV shedding in humans appears to differ from the pattern of viral shedding in camels. In humans, MERS-CoV RNA and live virus have been detected in both upper (nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal swab) and lower (sputum, tracheal aspirate, and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid) respiratory tract samples, although RNA levels are often higher in the lower respiratory tract (Appendix reference 61). In humans, MERS-CoV is predominantly thought to infect the lower respiratory tract (Appendix reference 62), where the MERS-CoV dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPP4) receptor predominates, in contrast to camels, where DPP4 is found predominantly in the upper respiratory tract (Appendix reference 63). More severely ill patients typically have higher MERS-CoV RNA levels, as indicated by rRT-PCR cycle threshold (Ct) values and more prolonged viral shedding (Appendix reference 64). MERS-CoV RNA has been detected from the lower respiratory tract >1 month after illness onset (Appendix references 65,66), and live virus has been isolated up to 25 days after symptom onset (Appendix reference 67). RNA detection is prolonged in the respiratory tract of patients with diabetes mellitus, even when adjusting for illness severity (Appendix reference 66). Among mildly ill patients, who might typically be isolated at home, viral RNA levels in the upper respiratory tract have been detected for several weeks (Appendix references 68,69). Infectious virus has been isolated from the upper respiratory tract of a patient with mild symptoms (Appendix reference 68), suggesting a potential for transmission among less severely ill patients. However, there is no definitive evidence of transmission from asymptomatic cases, and epidemiologic evidence suggests that transmission from mildly symptomatic or asymptomatic cases does not readily occur (Appendix reference 70).

In humans, MERS-CoV RNA has been detected outside of the respiratory tract (Appendix references 66,71,72). Viral RNA has been detected in the whole blood or serum of mildly or severely ill patients (Appendix references 66,72) and in the urine of patients who subsequently died (Appendix reference 66), although virus isolation attempts on urine samples (Appendix reference 66) and serum (Appendix reference 71) have been unsuccessful. MERS-CoV RNA has also been detected from the stool of mildly and severely ill patients (Appendix reference 66). Subgenomic MERS-CoV RNA, an intermediate in the virus replication
cycle, has been detected in stool, suggesting that MERS-CoV might replicate in the gastrointestinal tract (Appendix reference 73); however, it is not clear if this contributes to pathogenesis or transmission.

**Reproduction Number and Attack Rates**
The number of secondary cases resulting from a single initial case (reproduction number, R0) (Appendix reference 74) ranges widely for MERS-CoV, e.g., from 8.1 in the South Korea outbreak, compared with an overall R0 of 0.45 in Saudi Arabia (Appendix reference 74). Superspreading events, which generally describe a single MERS-CoV case epidemiologically linked to >5 subsequent cases, have been frequently described, particularly in healthcare-associated outbreaks (Appendix references 55,56). R0 estimates, however, can vary depending on numerous biologic, sociobehavioral, and environmental factors, and must be interpreted with caution (Appendix reference 75). Most studies estimating R0 across multiple areas, or at the end stage of an outbreak, result in estimates of R0<1, consistent with the knowledge that the virus does not continue to circulate in humans and that outbreaks are eventually contained. A wide range in published attack rates (the proportion of exposed persons who are infected) has also been reported (Appendix reference 74).

**Transmission in Healthcare Facilities**
Multiple studies have examined risk factors for MERS-CoV transmission in healthcare facilities. Higher viral loads (rRT-PCR Ct values) in respiratory tract samples have been linked to transmission risk (Appendix reference 76). Kim et al. described heterogeneity of transmission in South Korea in 2015, where 22 of 186 cases were associated with further transmission of MERS-CoV and 5 superspreading events accounted for 150 of 186 cases (Appendix reference 54). On multivariable analysis, transmission was associated with lower Ct values (indicating higher viral RNA load) and preisolation hospitalization or emergency department visits. Superspreading events were associated with a higher number of preisolation contacts, increased preisolation emergency room visits, and visiting multiple healthcare providers.

Alraddadi et al. studied risk factors for MERS-CoV infection in 20 healthcare workers in Saudi Arabia using serologic testing (Appendix reference 77) and found that N95 respirator use among healthcare workers decreased the risk for seropositivity. Conversely, wearing a medical mask (as opposed to not wearing a medical mask) increased the risk for seropositivity, but this finding was observed in a small number of persons and was strongly correlated with not wearing an N95 respirator. All 20 healthcare workers had been in the same room or automobile within 2 m of a MERS patient. This study provided evidence to suggest that aerosol transmission of MERS-CoV may be possible at close range, as seen with other respiratory viruses (e.g., influenza) spread primarily by droplet or contact transmission, particularly during aerosol-generating procedures. Having participated in infection control training specific to MERS-CoV was associated with a decreased risk for seropositivity; in healthcare workers in South Korea, a lack of personal protective equipment (PPE) use was more likely in MERS-CoV–infected healthcare workers than among exposed uninfected healthcare workers (Appendix reference 78).

Studies have shown infection among persons without close and prolonged exposure to MERS case-patients during healthcare-associated outbreaks. In Jeddah during 2014, a total of 53 healthcare-associated cases were reported among hospitalized patients, of whom only 5 had documented presence in the same room as a MERS case-patient (Appendix reference 79). Among the remaining healthcare-associated cases, many shared common treatment locations (e.g., dialysis unit) but denied being in the same room as a MERS case-patient. Similar observations were documented during a multihospital outbreak in Jordan in 2015, and anecdotal evidence suggested a potential role for fomite transmission associated with a common imaging table and portable echocardiogram machine (Appendix reference 80).

MERS-CoV has been cultured from environmental objects, such as bed sheets, bedrails, intravenous fluid hangers, and radiograph devices, suggesting the potential for environmental transmission (Appendix reference 67). Viral RNA has also been identified in air samples from the hospital rooms of MERS patients (Appendix reference 81). MERS-CoV has also been shown to be relatively stable in the environment under various conditions (Appendix reference 82), supporting the possibility of fomite transmission, although definitive epidemiologic evidence implicating fomite or aerosol transmission is lacking.

**Prevention of Healthcare-Associated Transmission**
Studies have described delays in case recognition and establishment of infection control precautions as factors in healthcare-associated transmission (Appendix references 54,56,79). Triage practices that result in rapid isolation of suspected MERS case-patients and application of transmission-based precautions can decrease opportunities for early transmission. However, implementing triage procedures to quickly identify...
potential MERS cases in areas with local MERS-CoV transmission (e.g., Arabian Peninsula) is challenging because signs and symptoms are often nonspecific (Appendix reference 83). In addition, complications or exacerbations of concurrent conditions, including chronic kidney or heart disease, can manifest with acute or worsening respiratory symptoms that delay suspicion for MERS. Patient crowding has been associated with transmission in healthcare facilities, particularly in emergency departments (Appendix references 54,79). In multiple outbreaks, inconsistent PPE use has been reported as contributing to transmission (Appendix references 56,58), and transmission to healthcare personnel despite reported use of appropriate PPE (Appendix references 56,78) suggests that improper PPE use may contribute to transmission. Transmission risk may be particularly high during aerosol-generating procedures, in which large quantities of virus might be aerosolized.

**Household Transmission**

Human-to-human transmission of MERS-CoV has been reported among household contacts. Drosten et al. described 12 probable cases among 280 household contacts of 26 index case-patients (13). Arwady et al. investigated MERS-CoV infections in an extended family of 79 relatives, of whom 19 (24%) tested positive for MERS-CoV by rRT-PCR or serology (Appendix reference 84). Risk factors for acquisition included sleeping in an index case-patient’s room and touching their secretions. A study of MERS-CoV infection in a group of expatriate women housed in a dormitory in Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, showed an overall infection attack rate of 2.7% (Appendix reference 85). Risk factors for infection include direct contact with a confirmed case-patient and sharing a room with a confirmed case-patient; a protective factor was having an air conditioner in the bedroom. However, transmission among household contacts is variable; Hosani et al. found that none of 105 household contacts of 34 MERS-CoV case-patients showed antibodies to MERS-CoV (Appendix reference 70). Among those 34 patients, 31 were asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic, suggesting a lower risk for transmission among this group.

**Viral Factors Affecting Human-to-Human Transmission**

No evidence has been reported that mutations or re-combinations in MERS-CoV have led to changes in human-to-human transmission. Recombination has been documented among coronaviruses (Appendix reference 86) and has been linked to increasing pathogenicity in strains of other animal RNA viruses (Appendix reference 87). Circulation of recombinant MERS-CoV has been described in Saudi Arabia in camels (48) and humans (Appendix references 88,89) but no substantial change in human epidemiology was seen with this circulating variant (Appendix reference 89). Deletion variants of MERS-CoV were identified in humans in Jordan (Appendix reference 57), also without notable changes in epidemiology (Appendix reference 80).

**Conclusions**

In areas in which MERS-CoV actively circulates among camels, human cases can result from zoonotic transmission. In these areas, close contact with camels (e.g., handling or training) is an identified risk factor for infection. Direct or indirect contact with nasal secretions probably plays a role. Given limited knowledge of mechanisms of MERS-CoV transmission, current precautions to prevent zoonotic transmission, such as recommendations to avoid consumption of raw camel milk and meat, are prudent despite the lack of epidemiologic evidence linking these exposures to MERS-CoV infection. Such precautionary recommendations, while appropriate in the context of limited knowledge, should not be interpreted as evidence of an epidemiologic association with MERS-CoV transmission.

Human-to-human transmission of MERS-CoV most frequently occurs following close contact with MERS patients, predominantly in healthcare settings and less frequently in household settings. Specifically, contact with respiratory secretions, whether through direct contact or through aerosolization of secretions during aerosol-generating procedures, plays a role in transmission. Virus isolation from fomites suggests the potential for alternative mechanisms of transmission, but direct epidemiologic evidence is lacking. Although MERS-CoV has been isolated from a mildly ill case-patient, available evidence is not sufficient to conclusively state that asymptomatic patients play an appreciable role in MERS-CoV transmission. Given the knowledge gaps surrounding transmission from asymptomatic patients, WHO recommendations state “until more is known, asymptomatic RT-PCR positive persons should be isolated, followed up daily for development of any symptoms, and tested at least weekly—or earlier, if symptoms develop—for MERS-CoV” (Appendix reference 90). Available evidence supports published Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidance for infection prevention and control for hospitalized MERS patients (Appendix reference 91).

Large, explosive MERS-CoV outbreaks have repeatedly resulted in devastating impacts on health systems and in settings where transmission...
most frequently occurs. Sporadic community cases continue to be reported, and a small but consistent proportion of MERS cases have no camel, healthcare, or MERS-CoV exposure. Continuous epidemiologic and virologic monitoring is required to determine other exposures resulting in transmission and to assess for the possibility of improved virus fitness and adaptation. Until additional evidence is available to further refine recommendations to prevent MERS-CoV transmission, continued use of existing precautionary recommendations is necessary.
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We conducted a recent investigation in Quebec, Canada, concerning Canadian deer hunters who went to the United States to hunt deer and returned with symptoms of fever, severe headache, myalgia, and articular pain of undetermined etiology. Further investigation identified that a group of 10 hunters from Quebec attended a hunting retreat in Illinois (USA) during November 22–December 4, 2018. Six of the 10 hunters had similar symptoms and illness onset dates. Serologic tests indicated a recent toxoplasmosis infection for all symptomatic hunters, and the risk factor identified was consumption of undercooked deer meat. Among asymptomatic hunters, 2 were already immune to toxoplasmosis, 1 was not immune, and the immune status of 1 remains unknown. Outbreaks of acute toxoplasmosis infection are rare in North America, but physicians should be aware that such outbreaks could become more common.

**Toxoplasma gondii** is one of the most common zoonotic parasites and can cause serious illness in humans and other animals worldwide (1–3). It can infect virtually all warm-blooded animals, including birds, livestock, marine mammals, and humans (2). Felids are the definitive hosts of *T. gondii*, meaning they are the only animals in which replication can result in the production of oocysts (eggs), which are then shed in the feces (2,3). Felids are essential to the epidemiology of this parasite (2).

Human *T. gondii* infection is caused by ingestion of tissue cysts in undercooked meat; ingestion of soil, water, or food contaminated with oocysts; or, less frequently, directly from feline feces (3–8). Frequency of human infection might vary substantially by region because of ecologic, social, and cultural factors (3). *T. gondii* infection acquired after birth can be asymptomatic in humans. Symptoms appear mostly in immunocompromised persons. When symptoms develop, they are nonspecific and include malaise, fever, headache, sore throat, arthralgia, and myalgia.

Lymphadenopathy, frequently cervical, is the most common sign (3). Persons remain infected for life (3). Reactivation of the disease is possible sometimes years later. However, outbreaks of acute toxoplasmosis seem to be rare.

Cervids can be infected by *T. gondii* (2,7,9–12). Cases of clinical toxoplasmosis have been documented in humans who had consumed undercooked venison (13). Toxoplasmosis infection was documented in 1 Alabama and 2 South Carolina deer hunters during 1980 (14). A recent outbreak was reported in the United States during 2017 (15). However, it is quite rare to observe a cluster of cases related to undercooked deer meat, particularly related to consumption of venison. In addition, cysts and oocysts of *T. gondii* are destroyed by freezing. We report an acute toxoplasmosis outbreak in Quebec, Canada, associated with consumption of venison. We conducted an investigation to determine the outbreak magnitude, describe illness-related factors, and coordinate *Toxoplasma* spp. diagnostic testing.

**Background**

On December 20, 2018, public health authorities in Quebec were alerted regarding a patient with fever, severe headache, myalgia, and articular pain of undetermined etiology. The first symptom onset occurred on December 8. The patient required hospitalization; medical history showed no chronic or immunologic disease.

Further investigation identified that this patient and 9 hunter companions from Quebec attended a hunting retreat in Illinois (USA) during November 22–December 4, 2018, ending the week before illness began. Six of the 10 hunters had similar symptoms and illness onset dates. Case-patients reported consuming undercooked venison during the retreat. Hunters were tested for Q fever, hepatitis E, leptospirosis, brucellosis, Lyme disease, and toxoplasmosis. Serologic tests indicated recent toxoplasmosis infections.

**Material and Methods**

**Case Definition**

A confirmed case was defined by serologic test results (IgM positive for toxoplasmosis and a low-avidity test result). These results were consistent with a recently acquired *Toxoplasma* spp. infection in a person who had clinical symptoms compatible with toxoplasmosis after attending the deer hunting retreat during November 22–December 4, 2018.

**Epidemiologic Investigation**

On December 20, 2018, the Direction de la Vigie Sanitaire at the Ministère de la Santé et des Services Sociaux (Ministry of Health in Quebec) initiated an investigation. This investigation was conducted in collaboration with the Ministère de l’Agriculture, des Pêcheries et de l’Alimentation du Québec (MAPAQ: Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, and Food of Quebec), public health units, and the Laboratoire de Santé Publique du Québec (LSPQ: Public Health Laboratory in Quebec).

All 10 hunting companions who attended the retreat in Illinois were interviewed. The following information was collected from each attendee, symptomatic or asymptomatic: demographic information; description of activities at the outfitter, including
deer hunting and evisceration; food consumed on site, including deer meat and how it was eaten (raw, undercooked, or well done); consumption of water; and possible exposure to ticks or other animals. For persons who had symptoms, we obtained information on onset dates and symptoms. Attendees were interviewed mostly by public health nurses or medical microbiologists and infectious disease physicians.

**Food Inspection Services**

Deer meat harvested during the trip was available, and we collected specimens from hunter households. Meat samples were collected by the food inspection services at the MAPAQ and analyzed by the Molecular Diagnosis Laboratory at the Veterinary School at the University of Montreal (Montreal, Quebec, Canada) by using standardized and adapted methods (16).

**Serologic Tests**

We tested symptomatic and asymptomatic hunters by using serologic analysis for toxoplasmosis, brucellosis, leptospirosis, Q fever, hepatitis E, West Nile virus (WNV), and Lyme disease. We detected *T. gondii* IgG and IgM by using VIDAS TOXO IgM and IgG II assays (bioMérieux, https://www.biomerieux.com). When *T. gondii* IgG was detected, we analyzed serum samples by using the Vidas Toxo IgG Avidity Assay (bioMérieux). Cutoff values used to interpret the results were those recommended by the manufacturers. All *Toxoplasma* spp. analyses were conducted at the LSPQ.

Other analyses were ordered. These analyses were detection of WNV IgM by ELISA using the WNV IgM Capture DxSelect (Focus Diagnostics, https://www.focusdx.com) at the LSPQ; detection of *Brucella* spp. IgM and IgG by using the standard tube Brucella agglutination test (in-house test at the LSPQ); detection of hepatitis E virus IgG and IgM by using a diagnostic assay (Wantai Biologic Pharmacy Enterprise, http://www.ystwt.cn); detection of *Leptospira* spp. IgM by using the Panbio Leptospira IgM ELISA (Abbott, https://www.abbott.com); detection of *Coxiella burnetii* IgG by using an immunofluorescence assay at the Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke (Sherbrooke, Quebec, Canada); detection of *Borrelia burgdorferi* IgM and IgG by using the 2-tiered algorithm that included a screening ELISA conducted at Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Sherbrooke (Zeus ELISA Borrelia VlsE1/pepC10 IgG/ IgM test system; Alere, https://www.alere.com); an IgG Western blot assay (Anti-*Borrelia burgdorferi* U.S. EUROLINE-WB IgG; Euroimmun, https://www.euroimmun.com); and an IgM Line Blot Assay (Anti-*Borrelia* EUROLINE-RN-AT-adv IgM; Euroimmun) performed at the National Microbiology Laboratory in Winnipeg, Ontario, Canada.

**Results**

**Epidemiologic Investigation**

All 10 persons interviewed were men (age range 28–62 years). None of them had preexisting medical conditions. Clinical symptoms developed in 6 patients, including headache, fever, sweats, myalgia, and joint pain, during December 8–11, 2018; the earliest symptoms began a few days after the men returned home from Illinois. One case-patient was hospitalized because of severe headache, fever, and myalgia. Three other case-patients consulted a physician but were not hospitalized, and 2 other case-patients had similar symptoms but did not consult any physician.

We compiled results of *Toxoplasma* spp. testing and deer meat consumption for each hunter (Table). *Toxoplasma* spp. IgM was detected in 6 serum samples collected during the acute disease phase for the 6 symptomatic hunters. For 1 symptomatic hunter, *Toxoplasma* spp. IgM was detected when a second blood specimen was collected 3 weeks later. No IgG was detected in these serum samples, which suggested a recent infection in these hunters. We detected IgG with a low avidity index in the 6 serum samples collected from 6 case-patients during the convalescent phase, which enabled confirmation of a recent infection for all of these case-patients. For the 4 asymptomatic hunters, we analyzed 3 serum samples and detected IgG with a high avidity index in 2 samples, which suggested that both hunters were already immune to toxoplasmosis; 1 asymptomatic hunter was considered to be nonimmune. Even if this hunter consumed fresh deer meat that was undercooked, he did not show development of any disease and did not have any positive test results. One asymptomatic hunter did not participate in testing. When performed, serologic assays for hepatitis E, Q fever, leptospirosis, brucellosis, and Lyme disease all showed negative results.

We explored many possible risk factors to determine the most likely source of infection during the stay of the hunters, including water, food, and animal exposures. The hunters stayed at the camp for 12 days and during that time harvested and dressed 2–3 deer each. On November 30, the hunters prepared and consumed fresh deer steak that was cooked rare. Five of the 6 symptomatic hunters consumed rare steak, and 1 consumed steak cooked medium. Among the
remaining 4 hunters who did not show development of symptoms, 1 consumed the uncooked heart of a deer, 2 consumed deer meat that was cooked well done, and 1 did not consume any deer meat on site. All other potential exposures were determined to be unremarkable. The Illinois Department of Public Health received reports of no similar illnesses in this area during the study period.

When the stay in Illinois ended, the hunters divided the remaining harvested meat among themselves and brought it back to Quebec. It was impossible to identify pieces of the deer partially consumed at the outfitter and possibly contaminated by *T. gondii*. At the beginning of the investigation, we recommended that the hunters not consume the deer meat until we knew more about the diagnosis. All deer meat was kept in freezers when the hunters returned home.

### Table. Characteristics of 10 hunters who had suspected toxoplasmosis, Quebec, Canada, 2018*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hunter</th>
<th>Illness</th>
<th>Signs/symptoms</th>
<th>Consumption of deer meat</th>
<th>Collection date</th>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Conclusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fever, sweats, cephalalgiamuscular, joint pain, fatigue</td>
<td>Rare steak</td>
<td>2018 Dec 16</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>No toxoplasmosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Jan 11</td>
<td>IgG II</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IgG avidity</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fever, sweats, cephalalgia, muscular, joint pain, fatigue</td>
<td>Rare steak</td>
<td>2018 Dec 21</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Acute toxoplasmosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Jan 14</td>
<td>IgG II</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IgG avidity</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fever, sweats, cephalalgia, muscular, joint pain, fatigue</td>
<td>Rare steak</td>
<td>2018 Dec 20</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Acute toxoplasmosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Jan 14</td>
<td>IgG II</td>
<td>Equivocal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IgG avidity</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fever, cephalalgia, photophobic</td>
<td>Rare steak</td>
<td>2018 Dec 20</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Acute toxoplasmosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Jan 14</td>
<td>IgG II</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IgG avidity</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fever, sweats, cephalalgia, muscular, joint pain, fatigue</td>
<td>Medium steak</td>
<td>2018 Dec 19</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Acute toxoplasmosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Jan 4</td>
<td>IgG II</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IgG avidity</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Fever, sweats, cephalalgia, muscular, joint pain, fatigue</td>
<td>Rare steak</td>
<td>2018 Dec 16</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>Acute toxoplasmosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Jan 4</td>
<td>IgG II</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IgG avidity</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Rare steak and heart</td>
<td>2019 Feb 7</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Asymptomatic and nonimmune</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IgG II</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Well-done steak</td>
<td>2019 Jan 15</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Asymptomatic: absence of IgM and high IgG avidity index excluded recent <em>Toxoplasma</em> spp. infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IgG II</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IgG avidity</td>
<td>High avidity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>Well-done heart</td>
<td>2019 Jan 16</td>
<td>IgM</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Asymptomatic: absence of IgM and high IgG avidity index excluded recent <em>Toxoplasma</em> spp. infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IgG II</td>
<td>Positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IgG avidity</td>
<td>High avidity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Did not participate</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NA, not available.*

Food Inspection Services by MAPAQ

We collected 12 samples of frozen deer meat from 1 hunter household: 7 specimens of 300 g each and 5
specimens of 500 g each from the same freezer. Among
the 12 deer meat specimens collected for analysis, no
*T. gondii* parasites were detected. Parts of deer that
were consumed at the outfitter were unrecognizable
from other parts of deer not consumed on site.

**Discussion**

Outbreaks of acute toxoplasmosis infection are un-
usual in Quebec. In Illinois, no outbreaks were report-
et to the public health unit over a 20-year period. We
identified a game meat–associated outbreak in Que-
bec involving travel to Illinois. Investigative findings
identified consumption of fresh, undercooked deer
meat as the most likely source of infection.

Sporadic cases associated with deer meat con-
sumption have been reported (13). During 2017, acute
toxoplasmosis developed in 8 of 10 hunters after they
consumed fresh deer meat in Wisconsin, USA (15).

During the outbreak we report, symptoms were
severe enough that 1 case-patient had to be hospital-
ized and 3 other companions consulted a physician.
Primary acquired *Toxoplasma* spp. infection is pre-
dominantly asymptomatic in immunocompetent per-
sons in North America (17). During the outbreak we
report, 6 of 7 nonimmune hunters for whom we had
the information showed development of symptoms
after infection. The severity of infection might depend
on the genotype of the strain. The severity of infection
is usually low in North America, where genotype II
strains predominate (18), in comparison to other parts
of the world (19–21).

Another major outbreak of toxoplasmosis invol-
ving hundreds of persons was reported in 1995 in Vic-
toria, British Columbia, Canada. The suspected source
was an infected cougar that had defecated in the waters-
hed; heavy rains had then washed a bolus of oocysts
into the water reservoir. The outbreak included a high
proportion of severe primary infections among im-
munocompetent persons (18). In the locations of that
outbreak and the outbreak we report (British Colum-
bia and Quebec), the genotype was not determined.
We are not able to explain why so many cases were
reported among the immunocompetent population.

In our investigation, we obtained serum samples
from 3 of the 4 asymptomatic hunters. *Toxoplasma* IgG
was detected in 2 serum samples. The absence of IgM
and the high IgG avidity index suggest that both of
those hunters were already immune to *T. gondii* by
a past infection. One asymptomatic hunter did not
show development of any disease and showed nega-
tive results for toxoplasmosis even after consuming
fresh deer meat that was rare. We do not have im-
une information about the fourth patient.

Food specimens collected from 1 hunter were
negative for *T. gondii*. Unfortunately, parts of the deer
that were consumed at the outfitter were unrecogniz-
able from parts of other deer harvested in Illinois dur-
ing the same period of time, which might explain the
negative results.

Little is known of the natural epidemiology of *T.
gondii* infection in white-tailed deer. Given that deer
are strict herbivores, it is believed that they become
infected postnatally by ingesting oocysts from the
environment (7). When ingested, the parasites form
tissue cysts in the skeletal muscle and other tissues.
When the infected deer die, tissues are scavenged by
feline carnivore species, including bobcats and cou-
gars (7). The life cycle then continues, and these cats
shed more oocysts into the environment. Estimated
*Toxoplasma* spp. prevalence among white-tailed deer
varies across the United States from 15% to 74%
(Ohio, Pennsylvania, and Minnesota) (7,9,10,12).

The MAPAQ website provides general recom-
mendations to game meat hunters and their family
about safe handling and preparation (22). Recom-
mendations include not eating raw or undercooked
game meat and cooking to an internal temperature of
at least 160°F. They also recommend washing hands
with soap and water after handling raw meat and
cleaning all materials that come in contact with raw
meat thoroughly after use. In addition, cysts and oo-
cysts of toxoplasmosis might be destroyed by freez-
ing the meat (23,24). Because the prevalence seems to
be high in wild animals in which study prevalence
was determined, freezing the meat seems to be ef-
ficient to destroy cysts and oocysts. Hunters should
be aware of those recommendations. A person can be
infected for life and disease can reactivate years after
the initial infection.

Few studies have reported on the seroprevalence
of *Toxoplasma* antibodies in humans in Canada. In the
United States, a nationwide study conducted during
2009–2010 showed that the overall *T. gondii* anti-
body seroprevalence among persons >6 years of age
was 13.2% (24). Other reports found that, although
the presence of *T. gondii* is still relatively common,
the prevalence in the United States decreased dur-
ing 1988–1994 (25,26). Given the high prevalence of
*Toxoplasma* spp. in white-tailed deer across some ar-
eas of the United States and the overall observed de-
crease in seroprevalence in humans, outbreaks like
the one we reported might be more common in the
future, and health professionals should be aware of
this possibility.

In this investigation, we recommended to all
hunters and their families that they not consume the
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SYNOPSIS

Toxoplasmosis in Canadian lynx (Lynx canadensis) poses a significant risk for human infection, with potential implications for teratogenic risk. To address this, a study was conducted to assess the prevalence and geographic distribution of Toxoplasma gondii infection in lynx from Quebec, Canada. The study involved testing 111 lynx for T. gondii via PCR and IFA, with positive results indicating widespread infection. The findings suggest a need for public health education and surveillance to mitigate the risk of human exposure to T. gondii from contaminated venison. The study also highlights the importance of understanding the ecology of T. gondii in lynx populations to inform conservation efforts and public health strategies.
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The 2013–2016 Ebola virus disease (EVD) outbreak in Guinea was the largest outbreak since the discovery of Ebola virus in 1976. Overall, the outbreak caused >29,000 cases and >11,000 deaths and resulted in the largest known cohort of EVD survivors in history (1). Currently, the second-largest EVD outbreak is ongoing in the Democratic Republic of the Congo, which has had 3,340 cases and 2,207 deaths as of December 12, 2019.

During mid-2015, after considering the high number of survivors in West Africa and several episodes of EVD reemergence linked to exposure to survivors’ body fluids, the World Health Organization (WHO) adapted a strategy to manage survivors’ sequelae and mitigate the risk of resurgence (i.e., EVD cases occurring after active chains of transmission stopped) posed by viral persistence in their body fluids (2–6). According to WHO, an intensive integrated program was necessary to address the medical needs of survivors and the risk for virus reintroduction, ideally a program that could be integrated into existing routine health services and facilities (2). Therefore, the national program coordinating EVD in Guinea, in collaboration with WHO and partners, developed and implemented a survivors’ monitoring program (called SA-Ceint, derived from the French phrase “cordon sanitaire-based active surveillance”). In March 2016, when SA-Ceint was still in preparation, an episode of EVD resurgence occurred in Guinea during the WHO-endorsed 90-day period of enhanced surveillance following the declaration of the end of the outbreak. This resurgence was most likely caused by viral persistence in the semen of a survivor (7).

Public Health Program for Decreasing Risk for Ebola Virus Disease Resurgence from Survivors of the 2013–2016 Outbreak, Guinea
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At the end of the 2013–2016 Ebola virus disease outbreak in Guinea, we implemented an alert system for early detection of Ebola resurgence among survivors. Survivors were asked to report health alerts in their household and provide body fluid specimens for laboratory testing. During April–September 2016, a total of 1,075 (88%) of 1,215 survivors participated in the system; follow up occurred at a median of 16 months after discharge (interquartile range 14–18 months). Of these, 784 acted as focal points and reported 1,136 alerts (including 4 deaths among survivors). A total of 372 (91%) of 408 eligible survivors had >1 semen specimen tested; of 817 semen specimens, 5 samples from 4 survivors were positive up to 512 days after discharge. No lochia (0/7) or breast milk (0/69) specimens tested positive. Our findings underscore the importance of long-term monitoring of survivors’ semen samples in an Ebola-affected country.
Similar cases from viral persistence were previously reported in Liberia, Sierra Leone, and Guinea (7–10).

The objective of SA-Ceint was to quickly detect any new EVD cases and stop transmission early. This project was conducted by using a community-based alert system and monitoring high-risk body fluids of survivors. Here we report on the findings of this public health program.

Methods

Implementation of the Household-Based Alert System

During December 8, 2015–March 31, 2016, the preparatory phase of SA-Ceint occurred. We attempted to contact all EVD survivors in Guinea present in the Ministry of Health database, conducted community engagement activities, and delivered the survivors package. The communication line between survivors and the SA-Ceint team was active during April 1–September 30, 2016.

Survivors were eligible to participate in the program if they were able to show the certificate of medical clearance that they were given at release from the Ebola treatment unit (ETU). Participants received a package that included monthly allowance as well as other forms of support such as rice and flour.

The smallest structure of the monitoring program was the ring unit. This unit was built around each survivor and included his or her family or household. In each ring unit, a focal point (the survivor, or a guardian for survivors <15 years of age) and a deputy (in case the focal point was not able to perform his or her tasks) were chosen. His or her task was to report all episodes of illness in the survivor’s surroundings (i.e., episodes that involved the survivor, the immediate family, other relatives, and other persons living in proximity to the survivor). Sexually active men were prioritized as focal points because of their risk for shedding the virus in their semen.

Each focal point was given cell phone credit to call the district health authorities regularly to relay information on episodes of illness in his or her unit. Episodes of illness, also called case alerts, were defined as deaths, cases of unexplained hemorrhage, or episodes of acute unexplained fever and vomiting, diarrhea, muscle pain, weakness or fatigue, or stomach pain. The definition of a case alert roughly corresponded to that of EVD suspectation (2), which included 2 additional criteria: no response to treatment to common febrile diseases (e.g., malaria) and any clinical suspicion of EVD (2). Once the case alert was sent, this episode was investigated to collect information on treatment failure and link it to the body fluid testing results in the survivors of the concerned community, as was as any other complementary investigation considered of importance.

In each neighborhood, a platform was set up that consisted of all ring units plus the local elected representatives; this group met on a weekly basis. Its function was to resolve any type of conflict related to the program, to supervise and advise the focal point, and to inform on or validate episodes of illness occurring in the ring unit. All platform meetings were funded by the SA-Ceint program.

District data management units were responsible for communication to the central coordinating unit, the Ebola Response Coordination. Coordination of the program at this level was provided by the National Agency for Health Security, with the support of technical partners such as WHO, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the International Medical Corps, the International Federation of Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies, and the Red Cross in Guinea. A data management team was responsible for collecting and analyzing all information from health districts and laboratories. This system also monitored movement or relocation of the survivors between districts, and the ring unit was relocated according to the survivor’s displacement so that surveillance could continue.

The National Agency for Health Security was responsible for the communication of the results of body fluid testing to the district health authorities. All male survivors >15 years of age were eligible for semen testing, and a subset of these men also was selected for urine testing. Female survivors who gave birth were eligible for body fluid testing (e.g., testing of blood, vaginal secretions, amniotic liquid, lochia, and breast milk) (Appendix Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-1235-App1.pdf). Body fluid testing stopped after 2 negative tests by reverse-transcription PCR (RT-PCR) for the same body fluid, as recommended by WHO (2).

Sampling and Laboratory Analyses

A team consisting of an epidemiologist, a nurse, and a hygienist examined and sorted the alerts and were ready to be deployed to the ring units in cases of suspected EVD to carry out an Ebola rapid diagnostic test (OraQuick Ebola rapid antigen test kit, https://www.oraquick.com) (11) and collect specimens for quantitative RT-PCR using appropriate personal protective equipment. The ambulance of the district was mobilized in case safe transfer of patients or bodies was needed. The team was also in charge of routinely taking biological specimens from survivors’ body fluids. Five laboratories processed biological specimens and covered the entire country of
Guinea: 3 were in the capital, Conakry; 1 in the forested region of Guinea (N’zerekore); and 1 in lower Guinea (Kindia) (Appendix Figure 1). According to the standard operating procedures, which were drafted and validated before the study began, specimens were stored in an icebox at 4°C–8°C after collection and tested within 24 hours at the nearest laboratory. Breast milk specimens were tested for Ebola virus RNA by using the RealStar ZEBOV RT-PCR Kit (Altona, https://www.altona-diagnostics.com) as previously described (12). Seminal fluid specimens were processed as previously described (13), and all other body fluids were processed as previously described (14). Any survivor with an RT-PCR–positive semen specimen was immediately counseled and included in the JIKI trial (15). Eligible family, other relatives, and other people living in proximity to a survivor were enrolled in the Ebola ça Suffit! trial, aiming at evaluating efficacy and effectiveness of a vaccine against EVD (16).

This study was considered public health practice and was implemented following the guidelines from the WHO Ebola response phase 3 strategic document (2). The study was integrated in the workflow of other research projects (i.e., Postebogui, the JIKI trial, EBO-SEX, and the Ebola ça Suffit! vaccination trial), all of which had been approved by the National Committee for Ethics in Research and Health before their start. All the participants signed an informed consent form at the beginning of the program.

Results

Outcomes of the Community-Based Alert System
We were able to retrieve information on ≈1,130/1,270 EVD survivors in Guinea, 55 of whom died after ETU discharge (late deaths) and before the program started and 140 of whom were unavailable for contact (lost to follow-up) (17). Excluding the 55 late deaths (and assuming no deaths occurred in the lost to follow-up category), we enrolled 1,075 of the 1,215 survivors who were known to be alive or who had been lost to follow-up (88% follow-up rate). The median starting point for follow-up was 16 months after discharge (interquartile range 14–18 months), and the median end point for follow-up was 22 months after discharge (interquartile range 20–24 months).

In total, 9,028 immediate family members, other relatives, and other persons living in proximity to a survivor were identified (an average of 11.5 persons/ring unit), of whom 6,929 were eligible to participate in the Ebola ça Suffit! trial; 727 (10.4%) were vaccinated. Of the enrolled survivors, 47% were male and 53% female; age distribution did not vary substantially by sex (Appendix Figure 2). Seventy-nine percent of the male survivors were 15–59 years of age, whereas 78% of female survivors were 15–59 years of age. Children <15 years of age accounted for 15% of the male survivors and 6% of the female survivors. Compared with the population of Guinea, the middle age group (15–59 years of age) was overrepresented (18).

The structure of the program was modeled on the existing health system, from the community level to the central level. A total of 784 ring units were established at the community level, and each was represented by 1 focal point (the survivor or a legal guardian). Then, 377 platforms (open to all survivors) were created at the neighborhood level, and 30 teams were formed at the district level. During the study period, the district teams received...
>35,000 calls (i.e., 6 calls/month from each focal point) (Figure 1). Focal points reported 1,136 episodes of illness. Of those events, 4 were late deaths of survivors. Assuming no one experienced illness more than once, the proportion of persons who experienced an episode of illness during the 6-month period was 10% of the total. However, none of these episodes was considered to meet the definition of a suspected EVD case; therefore, no one was sent to the ETU for testing.

**Biological Monitoring of Survivors’ Body Fluids**

Of the 1,094 tested specimens, most (817 [74.7%]) were semen specimens. Urine, breast milk, vaginal secretions, blood, lochia, and amniotic liquid also were tested (Figure 2); however, date of delivery for pregnant women was not recorded. The SA-Ceint program was able to test 375 (91%) of all male survivors. Assuming no one experienced illness more than once, the proportion of persons who were tested was >80% (Table). The number of focal points was ≈90% of the survivors in Guinea and test the semen of disease survivors tested for Ebola virus by reverse transcription PCR as part of the SA-Ceint program, Guinea, April–September 2016. All specimens tested negative except for 5 positive semen specimens from 4 survivors. Breast milk specimens were from 65 women; for all other sample types, no person had >1 samples taken.

Of the semen specimens tested for Ebola virus, 4 (1%) of 375 survivors were positive. In total, 5 (1%) of 817 semen specimens (2 from the same survivor) tested positive. All 4 survivors were immediately treated with favipiravir after enrollment in the JIKI trial (15). Three survivors’ semen specimens were positive 276, 351, and 410 days after ETU release and then negative 1 month later. The fourth survivor had his first positive semen specimen (cycle threshold value 32) 494 days after ETU release, and despite favipiravir treatment, a second positive semen specimen (cycle threshold value 23) 512 days after ETU release. His 2 following specimens tested negative.

**Discussion**

We describe the experience of setting up and implementing a nationwide active surveillance program with EVD survivors in Guinea. The program aimed to mitigate the risk for EVD reintroduction from exposure to survivors’ body fluids. We were able to enroll ≈90% of the survivors in Guinea and test the semen of >90% of the enrolled male survivors ≥15 years of age, an unprecedented rate compared with other survivor monitoring programs (19–25).

The number of focal points was ≈75% of the enrolled survivors because some survivors were from the same household. The fact that none of the >1,000 alerts were treated as a suspected EVD episode probably means that the definition of an illness episode (which was broader than the definition of a suspected EVD case) was far from being specific. At this stage of the Ebola response, the need to investigate all alerts was more important than avoiding false-positive alerts (i.e., alerts that did not turn out to be suspected EVD cases). In fact, all 1,136 alerts were investigated. These investigations also used the available body fluid testing results. However, no alert was found to meet the definition of a suspected EVD case (i.e., none was sent to ETU for testing), in part because the case definition changed after the end of the outbreak to include cases

**Table. Number of focal points and male survivors who were eligible for semen testing and were tested >1 time, by district, SA-Ceint program, Guinea, April–September 2016.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>No. focal points</th>
<th>No. eligible male survivors</th>
<th>No. male survivors tested &gt;1 time</th>
<th>% Eligible survivors tested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Beyla</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boffa</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boke</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conakry</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coyah</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dubreka</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faranah</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forecariah</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fria</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gueckedou</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kankan</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kerouane</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kindia</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kissidougou</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kourossa</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lola</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macenta</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nzerekore</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sigui</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telimele</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yomou</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of treatment failure, with the objective of avoiding unnecessary anxiety in the population.

We believe that the outcome of zero suspected EVD cases did reflect the low incidence of Ebola in the community at the time SA-Ceint began, when most of the survivors had recovered for >1 year. However, the outcome also probably reflected the fear of creating panic in the affected communities, because testing would otherwise not be harmful. The stigma around Ebola is surely to some extent a hurdle to the successful implementation of a program such as SA-Ceint, and our experience underscores the importance of integrating social science into outbreak response activities to enable development of risk communication strategies adapted to the local context. Still, we believe that our strategy should be considered, if the resources allow it, by other Ebola-affected countries in the future, because our approach nevertheless enabled investigation of many health alerts and would likely have detected illnesses strongly suggestive of Ebola (e.g., hemorrhagic fever).

Of the tested specimens, only 5 (from 4 survivors) were positive, and all were semen specimens. Our findings (4 positive specimens from 375 survivors tested ≥1 time) were roughly in line with the prediction from Sissoko et al. (13) that <1 survivor from Guinea would have an RNA-positive semen specimen by July 2016. Furthermore, RNA detection does not mean the specimen contains infectious virus. Therefore, it is not surprising to see that EVD was never suspected, despite the number of alerts, during the SA-Ceint program. At that time, the risk for reintroduction of EVD was in fact very low. However, the finding that few semen specimens were positive >1 year after survivors’ recovery confirms previous observations that male survivors’ semen must be strictly and regularly monitored after ETU release (13).

The SA-Ceint program was not easy to implement. We experienced delays, and by the time the program was fully implemented, evidence suggested that the risk for EVD reintroduction from survivors in Guinea into the community was already very low (13). This hypothesis was confirmed by our laboratory findings. Moreover, we faced reluctance among survivors to provide semen specimens because of cultural and religious reasons. The program was costly, and all survivors were financially supported; this reimbursement was possible because of the international resources that the international outbreak response mobilized.

Overall, heightened awareness in the communities and platform meetings helped us to enroll a high number of eligible survivors. Because no established network of community-based surveillance in Guinea had existed previously and because we wanted to minimize stigma among survivors, we empowered them to be the main actors in this program. We believe this was the best strategy to ensure regular reporting.

In conclusion, we successfully implemented a nationwide surveillance program for the early detection of resurgence of Ebola virus from persistently infected survivors. Our strategy could be implemented in future programs in similar contexts.
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Observed peaks of acute flaccid myelitis (AFM) cases have occurred biennially since 2014 in the United States. We aimed to determine if AFM etiology differed between peak and nonpeak years, considering that clinical features of AFM differ by virus etiology. We compared clinical and laboratory characteristics of AFM cases that occurred during peak (2016 and 2018, n = 366) and nonpeak (2015 and 2017, n = 50) years. AFM patients in peak years were younger (5.2 years) than those in nonpeak years (8.3 years). A higher percentage of patients in peak years than nonpeak years had pleocytosis (86% vs. 60%), upper extremity involvement (33% vs. 16%), and an illness preceding limb weakness (90% vs. 62%) and were positive for enterovirus or rhinovirus RNA (38% vs. 16%). Enterovirus D68 infection was associated with AFM only in peak years. Our findings suggest AFM etiology differs between peak and nonpeak years.
Acute flaccid myelitis (AFM) is a clinical syndrome characterized by the acute onset of flaccid limb weakness accompanied by spinal cord gray matter lesions. AFM is a known complication of infection with certain viruses, including polioviruses, nonpolio enteroviruses, flaviviruses, herpesviruses, and adenoviruses (1–7). In the early 1950s, outbreaks of poliovirus caused >15,000 cases of paralysis each year in the United States, but after the introduction of poliovirus vaccines and the elimination of poliovirus in the United States, AFM caused by poliovirus became much less common (8). However, sporadic, poliovirus-negative cases continued to occur (9).

In August 2014, an unusual cluster of AFM in children was identified in Colorado (10). National surveillance was initiated in 2015 and subsequently led to the identification of heightened activity in 2016 and 2018; during these years, peak illness onset occurred during August–October. In contrast, in 2015 and 2017, the number of AFM cases remained low and did not vary by season (11). National experts agree that the AFM epidemiology observed in 2014 is new; this alternating pattern of high activity one year and low activity the next, referred to herein as peak and nonpeak years, with high activity typically in the late summer or early fall, has not been documented before 2014 (12–14) (M. Cortese, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], Atlanta, GA, USA, pers. comm., 2017 Sep 27). This change suggests the emergence (beginning in 2014) of either a new cause of AFM or a known cause of AFM with a new epidemiologic pattern.

Determining the cause or causes of the biennial increases in AFM cases has implications for the development of treatment and prevention strategies. However, pathogen-specific laboratory testing has yielded limited insight into the underlying cause of this new epidemiology. Different viruses known to be associated with AFM development have been shown to produce distinctive sets of clinical features (15,16). If a single pathogen is responsible for most AFM cases in peak years, cases of illness onset in these years probably would have clinical manifestations distinct from those of illness onset in nonpeak years, when the etiology of cases is likely more mixed. We compared demographic, clinical, and laboratory characteristics of AFM cases in peak versus nonpeak years to evaluate this hypothesis.

Methods

Reporting and Classification
Beginning in August 2014, CDC received reports of patients meeting the clinical criterion for AFM (i.e., acute onset of flaccid limb weakness) through local and state health departments. A panel of expert neurologists classified these patients according to the standardized case definition published by the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists in 2015 (17). We defined a confirmed case as an illness in a patient who met the clinical criterion and had magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showing a spinal cord lesion largely restricted to the gray matter and spanning ≥1 spinal segment. Our analysis includes only confirmed AFM cases in patients <22 years of age and is limited to 4 complete years of AFM surveillance (January 1, 2015–December 31, 2018).

Laboratory Testing
CDC staff requested sterile site (e.g., blood, serum, and cerebrospinal fluid [CSF]) and nonsterile site (e.g., respiratory and fecal) specimens from each patient and tested these specimens using algorithms described previously (18,19). With specimens from 2015 and 2016, and starting in September 2018 with all received specimens, CDC staff tested for enterovirus/rhinovirus RNA using a 5’ nontranslated region-targeted pan-Enterovirus real-time reverse transcription PCR assay (genus-level detection) and typed those that were positive. For specimens collected during January 2017–August 2018, only the specimens that had tested positive for enterovirus/rhinovirus RNA at an outside institution were requested by CDC staff for testing and typing. In our analysis, we report only the results from CDC laboratory testing.

Data Analysis
To assess trends in AFM activity over time, we assigned patients with confirmed cases to an epidemiologic week according to their date of onset of limb weakness. We compared cases of patients having AFM onset in peak years (i.e., 2016 and 2018) with those of patients having AFM onset in nonpeak years (i.e., 2015 and 2017) and compared cases between the 2 peak years (2016 vs. 2018). We analyzed the demographics, clinical characteristics, and laboratory results of AFM patients that had been systematically collected across all 4 years of surveillance. We defined AFM cases as severe if they included all 3 of the following clinical characteristics: respiratory distress requiring mechanical ventilation to manage, symptomatic cranial nerve involvement, and paralysis of all 4 limbs. We defined CSF pleocytosis as a leukocyte count of >5 cells/mm³.

We entered data into a Microsoft Access (for 2015–2017 data; https://www.microsoft.com) or REDCap (for 2018 data; https://www.project-redcap.org) database and performed descriptive analyses using R Studio version 3.4.1 (https://rstudio.com).
Denominators varied slightly by variable because of missing data. We assessed differences in categorical variables using Fisher exact test and compared medians using the Kruskal-Wallis test. We considered p values <0.05 statistically significant.

CDC staff determined that we collected data through the standardized public health surveillance system and not through research involving humans. Thus, this study did not require institutional review board clearance.

**Results**

Of 750 suspected AFM cases reported to the CDC during 2015–2018, a total of 416 (n = 18 in 2015, n = 143 in 2016, n = 32 in 2017, and n = 223 in 2018) occurred in patients <22 years of age and were classified as confirmed. Cases in patients of this age group represented 95% of all confirmed cases. The median age of patients with confirmed cases was 5.4 (range 0.3–21.9, interquartile range 3.2–8.7) years; 60% were male. In peak years (2016 and 2018), the increase in confirmed AFM cases started in August, and for both peak years, most patients with confirmed cases had illness onset during August–October (Figure).

When comparing the characteristics of confirmed AFM cases from peak years (2016 and 2018) and nonpeak years (2015 and 2017), we found that patient median age was significantly lower in peak years (5.2 [range 0.4–21.9] years of age) than nonpeak years (8.3 [range 0.3–20.2] years of age; p = 0.02) (Table 1). The limbs affected by AFM also varied; during peak years, a higher percentage of cases involved upper extremity weakness only (33% vs. 16%; p = 0.01) and a lower percentage involved lower extremity weakness only (13% vs. 32%; p<0.001). During peak years, fewer cases could be classified as severe (2% vs. 18%; p<0.001). The percentage of AFM patients who had a preceding illness (i.e., any fever or respiratory illness) during the 4 weeks before limb weakness onset was higher in peak years (90%) than nonpeak years (62%; p<0.001), and CSF pleocytosis was more common among AFM patients in peak years (86%) than in nonpeak years (60%; p<0.001). The percentage of patients with a specimen positive for enterovirus/rhinovirus RNA was significantly greater in peak years (38%) than nonpeak years (16%; p = 0.02). During peak years, a greater percentage of enterovirus/rhinovirus-positive specimens was positive for enterovirus D68 (EV-D68) RNA (54% vs. 0%; p = 0.02).

To evaluate whether the characteristics of cases from nonpeak months were masking the characteristics of cases from peak months, which we hypothesized to be associated with a single pathogen, we conducted a sensitivity analysis comparing cases in peak months (August–October) from peak years (2016 and 2018) with cases from all nonpeak months (January–July and November–December of 2016 and 2018, January–December of 2015 and 2017) (Table 2). Most variables remained significant in the sensitivity analysis. However, the difference in median age between peak and nonpeak years was no longer significant. The percentage of cases with pleocytosis remained significantly higher in peak months than nonpeak months (p<0.001), and in this analysis, the median cell count was also significantly higher in cases in peak months (88 cells/mm³) than in cases in nonpeak months (44 cells/mm³; p<0.001). The percentage of cases with a specimen positive for enterovirus or rhinovirus RNA was no longer significantly greater in peak months (38%) than nonpeak months (31%; p = 0.25). The percentage of EV-D68–positive cases was also no longer significantly greater in peak months (58%) than nonpeak months (37%; p = 0.08). Patients with illness onset in 2018 and illness onset in 2016 were clinically similar to each other (Table 2).
3), with a few notable exceptions. Cranial nerve lesions were less common in AFM patients in 2018 (19%) than in AFM patients in 2016 (37%; p<0.001). More cases in 2016 (6%) than 2018 (0%; p<0.001) were classified as severe AFM. Compared with AFM patients in 2016, more AFM patients in 2018 were reported to have an illness within the 4 weeks preceding the onset of limb weakness: any fever (68% in 2016 vs. 75% in 2018; p<0.001), respiratory illness (76% in 2016 vs. 80% in 2018; p = 0.01), or gastrointestinal illness (26% in 2016 vs. 36% in 2018; p = 0.002). The percentage of confirmed AFM cases positive for enterovirus/rhinovirus RNA was similar in both peak years. Among all enterovirus/rhinovirus-positive specimens, EV-D68-positive specimens were more common in 2016 (71%) than in 2018 (45%; p = 0.02). However, AFM patients

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of confirmed AFM cases in patients <22 years of age from peak months versus nonpeak months, United States, January 2015–December 2018*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Peak years, n = 268†</th>
<th>Nonpeak years, n = 50‡</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, median (range) [IQR]</td>
<td>5.2 (0.4–21.9) [3.2–8.0]</td>
<td>8.3 (0.3–20.2) [3.9–11.9]</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>217/268 (59)</td>
<td>84/148 (58)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>149/268 (41)</td>
<td>164/148 (49)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar puncture</td>
<td>343/363 (94)</td>
<td>48/148 (33)</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleocytosis†</td>
<td>283/288 (86)</td>
<td>28/34 (80)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF, cells/mm³, median (range) [IQR]</td>
<td>75 (0–3,261) [24–150]</td>
<td>32 (0–1,081) [3–168]</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper extremity involvement only</td>
<td>121/268 (33)</td>
<td>8/50 (16)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower extremity involvement only</td>
<td>49/366 (13)</td>
<td>16/50 (32)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paralysis of all 4 limbs</td>
<td>10/136 (26)</td>
<td>24/50 (48)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe AFM¶</td>
<td>9/266 (3)</td>
<td>9/50 (18)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial nerve lesion</td>
<td>96/266 (26)</td>
<td>10/50 (20)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illness within previous 4 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any fever</td>
<td>258/358 (72)</td>
<td>27/49 (55)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any respiratory illness</td>
<td>282/360 (78)</td>
<td>21/49 (43)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any gastrointestinal illness</td>
<td>111/344 (32)</td>
<td>12/34 (35)</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any respiratory illness or fever</td>
<td>328/366 (90)</td>
<td>81/136 (62)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterovirus/rhinovirus RNA positive</td>
<td>96/258 (38)</td>
<td>5/31 (16)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterovirus D68</td>
<td>53/98 (54)</td>
<td>0/5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterovirus A71</td>
<td>13/98 (13)</td>
<td>1/5 (20)</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no./total (%), except where indicated otherwise. AFM, acute flaccid myelitis; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; IQR, interquartile range.
†2016 and 2018.
‡2015 and 2017.
¶Defined as leukocyte count >5 cells/mm³.
¶Defined as AFM cases of respiratory distress that required mechanical ventilation, cranial nerve involvement, and paralysis of all 4 limbs.

Table 2. Descriptive characteristics of confirmed AFM cases in patients <22 years of age from peak months versus nonpeak months, United States, January 2015–December 2018*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>All peak months, n = 268†</th>
<th>All nonpeak months, n = 148‡</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, median (range) [IQR]</td>
<td>5.2 (0.5–21.9) [3.3–7.8]</td>
<td>5.7 (0.3–21.9) [3.2–10.6]</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>159/268 (59)</td>
<td>90/148 (61)</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>109/268 (41)</td>
<td>58/148 (39)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar puncture</td>
<td>251/267 (94)</td>
<td>140/145 (97)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleocytosis§</td>
<td>212/239 (89)</td>
<td>99/136 (73)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF, cells/mm³, median (range) [IQR]</td>
<td>88 (0–814) [30–154]</td>
<td>44 (0–3,261) [4–118]</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper extremity involvement only</td>
<td>95/268 (35)</td>
<td>34/148 (23)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower extremity involvement only</td>
<td>29/268 (11)</td>
<td>36/148 (24)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paralysis of all 4 limbs</td>
<td>67/268 (25)</td>
<td>58/148 (39)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe AFM¶</td>
<td>5/268 (2)</td>
<td>13/148 (9)</td>
<td>0.0004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial nerve lesion</td>
<td>72/268 (27)</td>
<td>34/148 (23)</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illness within previous 4 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any fever</td>
<td>201/262 (77)</td>
<td>84/145 (58)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any respiratory illness</td>
<td>214/264 (81)</td>
<td>89/145 (61)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any gastrointestinal illness</td>
<td>80/256 (31)</td>
<td>43/122 (35)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any respiratory illness or fever</td>
<td>246/268 (92)</td>
<td>113/148 (76)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterovirus/rhinovirus RNA positive</td>
<td>73/192 (38)</td>
<td>30/97 (31)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterovirus D68</td>
<td>42/73 (58)</td>
<td>11/30 (37)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterovirus A71</td>
<td>6/73 (8)</td>
<td>8/30 (27)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no./total (%), except where indicated otherwise. AFM, acute flaccid myelitis; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; IQR, interquartile range.
†August–October of 2016 and 2018.
§Defined as leukocyte count >5 cells/mm³.
¶Defined as AFM cases of respiratory distress that required mechanical ventilation, cranial nerve involvement, and paralysis of all 4 limbs.

Characteristics of Acute Flaccid Myelitis
in 2018 (17%) were more likely than those in 2016 (6%; p = 0.21) to be positive for EV-A71 because of a geographically limited outbreak of EV-A71 in Colorado.

To determine whether the differences in case characteristics between peak years could be explained by the EV-A71 outbreak, we conducted a subanalysis in which we removed all EV-A71–positive cases. Differences in clinical characteristics between years remained unchanged; however, the percentage of cases positive for EV-D68 was no longer significantly different (75% vs. 55%; p = 0.07) (Table 4).

**Discussion**

Five years of national AFM surveillance data show a seasonal, alternate-year pattern of AFM activity. The numbers of confirmed cases in peak years (2016 and 2018) was >5 times the numbers of cases in the previous year. Our analysis demonstrates key clinical differences between cases in peak years and nonpeak years. Patients with AFM onset in peak years were younger, more likely to have had fever or respiratory symptoms within the 4 weeks preceding AFM onset, and more likely to have pleocytosis and upper extremity weakness during hospitalization than those with AFM onset in nonpeak years. These clinical characteristics mirror the presentation of AFM described for cases of onset in 2014 (20). Patients with onset in nonpeak years were more severely affected during acute illness than those with onset in peak years. We also found differences between AFM patients with onset in 2016 and AFM patients with onset in 2018, the 2 peak years. Compared with AFM patients with onset in 2016, those with onset in 2018 were less likely to have severe disease or cranial nerve lesions and were more likely to have a preceding illness before AFM onset.

Differences in the clinical presentation of AFM between peak and nonpeak years are suggestive of differences in AFM etiologies between those years. Likewise, the clinical differences between cases occurring during peak and nonpeak years might be indicative of different virus etiologies between peak and nonpeak years. In our analysis, enterovirus positivity among AFM cases was higher in peak years than in nonpeak years, and EV-D68 was detected only in the cases occurring during peak years. Although this difference disappeared in the sensitivity analysis (suggesting that the enteroviruses circulating in peak years varies slightly by month), EV-D68 detections remained exclusive to cases occurring in peak years. AFM case counts also vary slightly by month in different peak years; the escalation in cases began earlier in 2016 than they did in 2018, and the increase in case counts lasted slightly longer in 2018.

In peak years, overall enterovirus positivity among AFM cases was similar, but significant type-specific variations were noted. EV-D68 was detected more frequently in specimens from AFM patients with onset in 2016 and EV-A71 more often in those with onset in 2018. These variations might have contributed to differences in clinical characteristics seen between peak years. However, removal of EV-A71–positive cases did not eliminate the differences in clinical characteristics between peak years, suggesting that the greater number of EV-D68–positive cases in 2016 contributed to the clinical variability. Distinct AFM clinical presentations

### Table 3. Descriptive characteristics of confirmed AFM cases in patients <22 years of age from peak years, United States, January–December 2016 and 2018*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>2016, n = 143</th>
<th>2018, n = 223</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, median (range) [IQR]</td>
<td>5.3 (0.4–21.2) [3.2–9.7]</td>
<td>5.2 (0.5–21.9) [3.2–7.8]</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>86/143 (60)</td>
<td>131/223 (59)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>57/143 (40)</td>
<td>92/223 (41)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar puncture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>135/140 (96)</td>
<td>208/223 (93)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleocytosis†</td>
<td>114/135 (84)</td>
<td>169/208 (81)</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF, cells/mm³, median (range) [IQR]</td>
<td>77 (0–3.261) [18–150]</td>
<td>74 (0–814) [27–152]</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper extremity involvement only</td>
<td>48/143 (34)</td>
<td>73/223 (33)</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower extremity involvement only</td>
<td>25/143 (17)</td>
<td>24/223 (11)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paralysis of all 4 limbs</td>
<td>45/143 (31)</td>
<td>56/223 (25)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe AFM‡</td>
<td>9/143 (6)</td>
<td>0/223</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial nerve lesion</td>
<td>53/143 (37)</td>
<td>43/223 (19)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illness within previous 4 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any fever</td>
<td>93/137 (68)</td>
<td>165/221 (75)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any respiratory illness</td>
<td>106/139 (76)</td>
<td>176/221 (80)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any gastrointestinal illness</td>
<td>33/126 (26)</td>
<td>78/218 (36)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any respiratory illness or fever</td>
<td>122/143 (85)</td>
<td>206/223 (92)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterovirus/rhinovirus RNA positive</td>
<td>34/94 (36)</td>
<td>64/164 (39)</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterovirus D68</td>
<td>24/34 (71)</td>
<td>29/64 (45)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterovirus A71</td>
<td>2/34 (6)</td>
<td>11/64 (17)</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no./total (%), except where indicated otherwise. AFM, acute flaccid myelitis; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; IQR, interquartile range.
†Defined as leukocyte count >5 cells/mm³.
‡Defined as AFM cases of respiratory distress that required mechanical ventilation, cranial nerve involvement, and paralysis of all 4 limbs.
AFM cases associated with EV-A71 were clinically dis- 
stability. In an isolated outbreak in Colorado in 2018, 
myoclonus, ataxia, weakness, and autonomic in-

have been observed for different enterovirus etiologies 
(15,16). AFM caused by EV-A71 has been associated 
with myoclonus, ataxia, weakness, and autonomic in-
instability. In an isolated outbreak in Colorado in 2018, 
AFM cases associated with EV-A71 were clinically dist-

strains dominate each year (27). If >1 specific type of en-
terovirus causes AFM, differences in circulating types 
could account for changes in AFM epidemiology from 
year to year. EV-D68 might be one such type. Respira-
tory disease surveillance indicates that EV-D68 ap-
pears to have circulated in a biennial pattern since 2014, 
corresponding with trends in AFM. In 2014, 2016, and 2018, 
increases in respiratory disease caused by EV-D68 coin-
cided with the increases in AFM (24,28–30). Since 2014, 
the correlation between EV-D68 circulation and AFM 
incidence has also been documented in Canada, Japan, 
Europe, and Argentina (31–34). Global collaborations 
for the investigation of AFM cases and ongoing, active 
tenterovirus surveillance will enable a broader and more 
complete picture of enterovirus circulation patterns and 
their relationships to AFM in the future.

Sentinel surveillance of other enteroviruses, such as 
coxsackieviruses A2 and A4, have also demonstrated a 
biennial periodicity like that observed for EV-
D68 (35), although neither of these coxsackieviruses 
have been implicated in clusters of AFM. Rotavirus 
has also been shown to have a biennial circulation pattern in the postvaccination era (36). These biennial 
circulation patterns might be caused by an increase in 
the number of young, unexposed persons during 
years of low circulation, which leads to a larger 
number of susceptible persons acquiring and transmit-
mitting the infection in the following year. However, 
this phenomenon cannot fully explain the periodicity 
seen with AFM. The median age of AFM patients in 
peak years (5 years) is higher than the median age of 
patients with respiratory EV-D68 infections (3 years) 
(24), possibly indicating that other factors besides

Table 4. Descriptive characteristics of confirmed AFM cases in patients <22 years of age who were negative for enterovirus A71 during peak years, United States, January–December 2016 and 2018*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>2016, n = 141</th>
<th>2018, n = 212</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y, median (range) [IQR]</td>
<td>5.4 (0.4–21.2) [3.3–9.8]</td>
<td>5.3 (0.5–21.9) [3.4–7.8]</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>86/141 (61)</td>
<td>120/212 (57)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>55/141 (39)</td>
<td>92/212 (43)</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lumbar puncture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>134/139 (96)</td>
<td>197/212 (93)</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleocytosis†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113/132 (86)</td>
<td>158/184 (86)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF, cells/mm³‡, median (range) [IQR]</td>
<td>73 (0–3.261) [18–150]</td>
<td>73 (0–814) [25.5–149]</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper extremity involvement only</td>
<td>48/141 (34)</td>
<td>71/212 (33)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower extremity involvement only</td>
<td>25/141 (18)</td>
<td>23/212 (11)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paralysis of all 4 limbs</td>
<td>44/141 (31)</td>
<td>50/212 (24)</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe AFM‡</td>
<td>9/141 (6)</td>
<td>0/212</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial nerve lesion</td>
<td>52/141 (37)</td>
<td>42/212 (20)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Illness within previous 4 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any fever</td>
<td>91/135 (67)</td>
<td>154/210 (73)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any respiratory illness</td>
<td>104/137 (76)</td>
<td>172/210 (82)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any gastrointestinal illness</td>
<td>32/124 (26)</td>
<td>72/207 (35)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any respiratory illness or fever</td>
<td>120/141 (85)</td>
<td>195/212 (92)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterovirus/rhinovirus RNA positive</td>
<td>32/92 (35)</td>
<td>53/153 (35)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterovirus D68</td>
<td>24/32 (75)</td>
<td>29/53 (55)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no./total (%), except where indicated otherwise. AFM, acute flaccid myelitis; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; IQR, interquartile range.
†Defined as leukocyte count >5 cells/mm³.
‡Defined as AFM cases of respiratory distress that required mechanical ventilation, cranial nerve involvement, and paralysis of all 4 limbs.

have been observed for different enterovirus etiologies 
(15,16). AFM caused by EV-A71 has been associated 
with myoclonus, ataxia, weakness, and autonomic in-

strains dominate each year (27). If >1 specific type of en-
terovirus causes AFM, differences in circulating types 
could account for changes in AFM epidemiology from 
year to year. EV-D68 might be one such type. Respira-
tory disease surveillance indicates that EV-D68 ap-
pears to have circulated in a biennial pattern since 2014, 
corresponding with trends in AFM. In 2014, 2016, and 2018, 
increases in respiratory disease caused by EV-D68 coin-
cided with the increases in AFM (24,28–30). Since 2014, 
the correlation between EV-D68 circulation and AFM 
incidence has also been documented in Canada, Japan, 
Europe, and Argentina (31–34). Global collaborations 
for the investigation of AFM cases and ongoing, active 
tenterovirus surveillance will enable a broader and more 
complete picture of enterovirus circulation patterns and 
their relationships to AFM in the future.

Sentinel surveillance of other enteroviruses, such as 
coxsackieviruses A2 and A4, have also demonstrated a 
biennial periodicity like that observed for EV-
D68 (35), although neither of these coxsackieviruses 
have been implicated in clusters of AFM. Rotavirus 
has also been shown to have a biennial circulation pattern in the postvaccination era (36). These biennial 
circulation patterns might be caused by an increase in 
the number of young, unexposed persons during 
years of low circulation, which leads to a larger 
number of susceptible persons acquiring and transmit-
mitting the infection in the following year. However, 
this phenomenon cannot fully explain the periodicity 
seen with AFM. The median age of AFM patients in 
peak years (5 years) is higher than the median age of 
patients with respiratory EV-D68 infections (3 years) 
(24), possibly indicating that other factors besides

viral infection affect the risk for AFM development. Moreover, limited data show that persons across all age groups have robust neutralizing antibody titers against EV-D68 (37), including against both historical and contemporary outbreak strains, implying ongoing exposure and infection across the United States. The development of AFM in a small percentage of patients infected by this ubiquitous virus is likely to depend on other factors. Research into environmental or genetic risk factors for AFM development will provide insight into AFM pathogenesis.

Our findings are subject to limitations. First, differences in types of sterile and nonsterile specimens collected and sent to the CDC during 2015–2018 might have affected comparisons of enterovirus/rhinovirus positivity of cases in different years. However, because all enterovirus/rhinovirus-positive specimens were analyzed for enterovirus type, the percentage of type-specific (e.g., EV-D68 or EV-A71) cases among enterovirus/rhinovirus-positive cases would not have been affected. Second, we considered specimens enterovirus- or rhinovirus-positive only if the CDC laboratory confirmed this finding. Although CDC staff requested specimens for testing and confirmation, they might not have received all of them, thus influencing the results reported here. Last, the reporting of suspected cases to CDC public health staff is inconsistent, despite efforts to increase healthcare provider recognition of AFM. Year-to-year variation in reporting can occur, and more comprehensive reporting by healthcare providers might occur during peak years, when their awareness of this illness is heightened.

The alternate-year pattern in peak AFM activity since 2014 highlights a noteworthy shift in the epidemiology of this syndrome. Differences between AFM cases in peak years and nonpeak years provide additional evidence to support the hypothesis of a unique pathogen or pathogens contributing to this new epidemiology. Multiple lines of evidence support EV-D68 as a leading candidate, although additional research is needed. Frequent detection of EV-A71 in AFM cases in 2018 illustrates that >1 virus can cause outbreaks of AFM, and therefore AFM surveillance should not be restricted to detection of a specific pathogen. Healthcare providers thoroughly documenting clinical findings, including results of complete neurologic examinations, and reporting AFM cases to public health authorities, regardless of the pathogen implicated by test results, have implications for treatment and prevention. National AFM surveillance data can be used to characterize yearly variations in AFM cases (temporally, clinically, and etiologically) and illuminate the pathology of this emerging illness.
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We conducted a retrospective cohort study to assess the effect of influenza virus type and subtype on disease severity among hospitalized influenza patients in Spain. We analyzed the cases of 8,985 laboratory-confirmed case-patients hospitalized for severe influenza by using data from a national surveillance system for the period 2010–2017. Hospitalized patients with influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 virus were significantly younger, more frequently had class III obesity, and had a higher risk for pneumonia or acute respiratory distress syndrome than patients infected with influenza A(H3N2) or B (p<0.05). Hospitalized patients with influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 also had a higher risk for intensive care unit admission, death, or both than patients with influenza A(H3N2) or B, independent of other factors. Determining the patterns of influenza-associated severity and how they might differ by virus type and subtype can help guide planning and implementation of adequate control and preventive measures during influenza epidemics.

During the 2009 influenza pandemic, influenza surveillance activities were intensified in Spain (1). In accordance with international recommendations (2), Spain established surveillance of Severe Hospitalized Confirmed Influenza Case-patients (SHCIC) to monitor the evolution of severe influenza during pandemics and interpandemic influenza.

In the years since the 2009 influenza pandemic, SHCIC surveillance has become a consolidated severe influenza surveillance system that operates within the Spanish Influenza Surveillance System (3; Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/18-1732-App1.pdf). The system provides a standardized tool to monitor risk factors associated with severe influenza, identify influenza viruses associated with severe clinical manifestations, and monitor the disease burden of influenza epidemics. Sentinel hospitals belonging to the public health system of all 19 regions of Spain are involved in SHCIC surveillance (3).

The association of certain influenza virus types and subtypes with disease severity has been a major topic of influenza research in recent years (4–10). However, after the 2009 pandemic, findings on the severity of epidemics by type and subtype of influenza virus have varied widely. Some studies have reported no statistically significant differences in case-fatality rates and other markers of severity by type and subtype of influenza infections (4) and have shown that the risk for serious outcomes was not increased in hospitalized influenza patients infected with influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 (pH1N1) compared with seasonal influenza B viruses (5,6). In contrast, other authors have indicated that, in hospitalized influenza patients, pH1N1 infection is more clinically severe than influenza A(H3N2) or B infection (7–10).

SHCIC surveillance provides a reliable platform to monitor different influenza viruses associated with severe disease. By using the framework of this surveillance system, we aimed to explore disease severity of hospitalized influenza patients in Spain according to influenza virus type and subtype during the 7 influenza seasons that followed the 2009 pandemic.

Material and Methods

We conducted a retrospective cohort study by using SHCIC surveillance data obtained across the 7 postpandemic influenza seasons (2010–11 through 2016–17). The SHCIC surveillance system is a passive, hospital-based surveillance system that includes 90–181 reporting hospitals during the study period; these hospitals are located throughout Spain and serve 45%–60% of the population of Spain, depending on the influenza season.

Each influenza season was defined as lasting from week 40 of the first year to week 20 of the following year. As part of the surveillance, clinicians in the participating hospitals were advised to swab any person with clinical suspicion of influenza-like illness (without specific case definition) and who required hospital admission to any hospital ward. A severe hospitalized confirmed influenza case-patient was defined as any person with a clinical profile compatible with influenza-like illness who had laboratory confirmation of influenza infection (Appendix) and who was hospitalized according to ≥1 of the following clinical criteria: pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS), multiple organ dysfunction syndrome (MODS), septic shock, or admission to an intensive care unit (ICU). The case-patient definition was unchanged throughout the study period.

Variables collected for surveillance purposes included demographic characteristics (age and sex), dates of symptom onset and hospitalization, virus type and subtype, presence of underlying medical conditions (any chronic respiratory, cardiovascular, renal or liver disease, class III obesity, diabetes mellitus, or immunosuppression), complications (pneumonia, any laboratory-confirmed viral or bacterial co-infection, ARDS, or MODS), antiviral treatment, time from symptom onset to start of antiviral treatment, influenza vaccination status, date of vaccination, admission to ICU, outcome (alive or dead), region, and influenza season. Class III obesity was defined as a body mass index ≥40 kg/m². We obtained vaccination status by using clinical history and vaccination registries. We considered a patient to be correctly
vaccinated if she or he received the vaccine $\geq 15$ days before symptom onset.

We calculated the percentage of patients with a specific condition by using the number of patients with available information regarding the condition. Our analysis excluded patients whose influenza A subtype was unknown. We calculated the percentage of pregnant women by using all women of childbearing age (15–49 years of age) as the denominator.

We used univariate multinomial logistic regression models to compare demographic and clinical characteristics across virus types and subtypes, including as a dependent variable the influenza virus type and subtype, with pH1N1 used as reference, and as independent variables each of the characteristics of interest. We measured the effect of each predictor in the model as a relative risk ratio (RRR). We also conducted univariate logistic regression models to estimate the odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals for the risk for clinical complications or death, considering influenza virus type and subtype to be an explanatory variable and using influenza pH1N1 as reference. We compared patients infected with influenza A(H3N2) or B against patients infected with pH1N1.

In addition, we applied multivariable logistic regression models, stratified by age group, to explore the effect of influenza virus type and subtype as an independent factor for the following severe outcomes: ICU admission, death, or both, using pH1N1 as reference. We adjusted all of these models for potential confounding such as sex, age, influenza season, underlying medical conditions, pneumonia, antiviral treatment, and receipt of seasonal trivalent influenza vaccine.

For all statistical analyses, we considered 2-sided p values $<$0.05 to be statistically significant. We performed the analyses by using Stata 14.0 (https://www.stata.com)

This study was conducted within the framework of ongoing SHCIC surveillance by the Institute of Health Carlos III, National Epidemiology Centre. A formal ethics review was not required because the study was part of the routine surveillance activities in Spain. However, we collected anonymized data and obtained verbal consent from all patients before they were swabbed for surveillance purposes.

**Results**

During September 2010–May 2017, a total of 12,942 case-patients were reported in Spain. We included 8,985 patients with complete influenza virus type and subtype information in our study; 4,568 (51%) were infected with pH1N1, 3,091 (34%) with influenza A(H3N2), and 1,326 (15%) with influenza B.

SHCIC surveillance indicated week-by-week patterns that matched the epidemiologic patterns for influenza in the community based on the sentinel system for primary care. The identified influenza virus types and subtypes among case-patients were consistent with the type and subtype of influenza virus circulating within the general population (Figure 1). pH1N1 was the dominant subtype among case-patients during the 2010–11, 2013–14, and 2015–16 seasons; influenza A(H3N2) during the 2011–12, 2014–15, and 2016–17 seasons; and influenza B during the 2012–13 season. Also, we noted a substantial contribution from influenza B infections during the influenza A(H3N2)–dominant 2014–15 season and after the peak of the pH1N1–dominant 2015–16 influenza season (Figure 1).

The distribution of case-patients by age group varied according to the circulating virus type and...
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subtype in each influenza season (Figure 2). In seasons with dominant pH1N1 circulation (Figure 2, panels A, C, and F), most patients (52%) were persons 15–64 years of age. Patients ≥65 years of age accounted for 65% of case-patients in those seasons with dominant influenza A(H3N2) circulation (Figure 2, panels B, E, and G); however, during the 2011–12 season, a relatively high percentage (33%) of case-patients were children. In the 2012–13 season, 54% of case-patients 15–64 years of age were infected with pH1N1, whereas 89% of case-patients 5–14 years of age were infected with influenza B (Figure 2, panel C). In general, case-patients infected with pH1N1 were significantly younger (median age 53 years [interquartile range (IQR) 37–66 years]) than those infected with influenza A(H3N2) (median age 73 years [IQR 56–83 years]) and influenza B (median age 60 years [22–74 years]) (p<0.001).

Regarding the clinical characteristics, case-patients infected with influenza A(H3N2) or B virus were more likely to have ≥1 underlying medical conditions compared with those with pH1N1 infection (crude RRR [cRRR] 2.81 [95% CI 2.45–3.22] for influenza A[H3N2]-infected patients and cRRR 1.32 [95% CI 1.13–1.55] for influenza B-infected patients) (Table 1). This pattern also was observed for chronic respiratory, cardiovascular, and renal diseases. However, immunosuppression was less likely among influenza A(H3N2)-infected patients than pH1N1-infected patients (cRRR 0.72 [95% CI 0.63–0.84]). Class III obesity was less frequent among influenza A(H3N2)-infected patients (cRRR 0.66 [95% CI 0.55–0.78]) and influenza B-infected patients (cRRR 0.59 [95% CI 0.46–0.75]) than among pH1N1-infected patients. Among women 15–49 years of age, fewer pregnancies were observed among influenza A(H3N2)-infected patients than pH1N1-infected patients (cRRR 0.33 [95% CI 0.17–0.64]). Patients infected with influenza A(H3N2) or B virus were less likely to receive antiviral treatment than those infected with pH1N1 (cRRR 0.48 [95% CI 0.42–0.54] for influenza A[H3N2]-infected patients and cRRR 0.30 [95% CI 0.26–0.34] for influenza B–virus infected patients) (Table 1). The median days from symptom onset to hospitalization was longer among pH1N1-infected patients (4 days [IQR 2–6 days]) than for influenza A(H3N2)-infected patients (3 days [IQR 1–5 days]) (p<0.001).

The analysis of clinical complications and outcome revealed that patients with influenza A(H3N2) and B virus infection had lower risk for pneumonia (crude OR [cOR] 0.68 [95% CI 0.61–0.76] for influenza A[H3N2]-infected patients and cOR 0.77 [95% CI 0.67–0.89] for influenza B–virus infected patients), ARDS (cOR 0.69 [95% CI 0.61–0.77] for influenza A[H3N2]-infected patients and cOR 0.73 [95% CI 0.63–0.85] for influenza B–virus infected patients), and ICU admission (cOR 0.55 [95% CI 0.50–0.61] for influenza A[H3N2]-infected patients and cOR 0.64 [95% CI 0.56–0.73] for influenza B–virus infected patients) compared with patients with pH1N1 infection (Table 2). However, patients infected with influenza A(H3N2) or B had a higher risk for co-infection (cOR 1.23 [95% CI 1.09–1.38] for influenza A[H3N2]-infected patients and cOR 1.43 [95% CI 1.22–1.67] for influenza B–virus infected patients). The case-fatality rate was significantly higher among influenza A(H3N2)-infected patients (cOR 1.25 [95% CI 1.10–1.43]) than for pH1N1-infected patients. The risk for death was significantly lower for those patients infected with influenza B (cOR 0.76 [95% CI 0.62–0.93] for patients hospitalized and cOR 0.73 [95% CI 0.55–0.97] for those admitted to ICU) than patients with pH1N1 infection.

We used a multivariable logistic regression analysis to explore the effect of influenza virus type and subtype on the severity of outcomes, such as ICU admission, death, or ICU admission and death, according to age group (Appendix Table 1). Case-patients >15 years of age who had influenza A(H3N2) or B infection showed less risk for death or ICU admission than patients infected with pH1N1, independent of other risk factors (Appendix Table 1). The pattern for all case-patient age groups combined was similar. When we compared pH1N1-infected patients with the other 2 patient groups, we observed significant differences in risk for ICU admission among influenza A(H3N2)-infected patients (adjusted OR [aOR] 0.56 [95% CI 0.44–0.71]) and influenza B-infected patients (aOR 0.51 [95% CI 0.41–0.63]); risk for death among influenza A(H3N2)-infected patients (aOR 0.56 [95% CI 0.40–0.77]) and influenza B-infected patients (aOR 0.38 [95% CI 0.26–0.54]); and risk for ICU admission and death among influenza A(H3N2)-infected patients (aOR 0.59 [95% CI 0.47–0.73]) and for influenza B-infected patients (aOR 0.50 [95% CI 0.44–0.62]). However, among children <15 years of age, we observed no significant differences in the severity of outcome by virus type and subtype. In addition, we observed no difference in the risk for ICU admission between different influenza A subtypes among patients ≥65 years of age (Appendix Table 1).

**Discussion**

Our findings show that SHCIC surveillance has provided valuable information on disease severity by influenza virus type and subtype since the 2009 pandemic. We found that case-patients infected with pH1N1 were significantly younger than those infected with influenza A(H3N2) or B and had a higher risk for clinical complications and severe outcomes, such as ICU admission, death, or both compared with case-patients with influenza A(H3N2) or B virus infections.

During the 2011–12 and 2012–13 seasons, an unexpectedly low number of case-patients were reported compared with previous seasons. Similar

Table 1. Demographic and clinical characteristics of patients hospitalized for laboratory-confirmed severe influenza, by influenza virus type or subtype, Spain, influenza seasons 2010–11 to 2016–17*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>pH1N1</th>
<th>AH3N2</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crude RRR‡ (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. patients</td>
<td>4,568 (100)</td>
<td>3,091 (100)</td>
<td>1,326 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>566 (12)</td>
<td>333 (11)</td>
<td>318 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15–64</td>
<td>2,767 (61)</td>
<td>716 (23)</td>
<td>437 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;65</td>
<td>1,231 (27)</td>
<td>2,035 (66)</td>
<td>567 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>4 (0.1)</td>
<td>7 (0.2)</td>
<td>4 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>2,589 (57)</td>
<td>1,637 (53)</td>
<td>736 (56)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1,977 (43)</td>
<td>1,453 (47)</td>
<td>588 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>2 (0.1)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
<td>2 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying medical condition§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>2,334 (68)</td>
<td>2,055 (66)</td>
<td>754 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class III obesity (BMI ≥40 kg/m²)</td>
<td>1,161 (25)</td>
<td>700 (23)</td>
<td>310 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic respiratory diseases</td>
<td>447 (12)</td>
<td>205 (8)</td>
<td>80 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic cardiovascular diseases</td>
<td>686 (22)</td>
<td>680 (30)</td>
<td>238 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>800 (21)</td>
<td>1,051 (40)</td>
<td>308 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal diseases</td>
<td>696 (18)</td>
<td>755 (29)</td>
<td>225 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic liver disease</td>
<td>212 (6)</td>
<td>147 (6)</td>
<td>58 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppression</td>
<td>632 (17)</td>
<td>327 (13)</td>
<td>170 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy¶</td>
<td>125 (24)</td>
<td>11 (10)</td>
<td>18 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiviral treatment</td>
<td>3,787 (86)</td>
<td>2,241 (75)</td>
<td>800 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>165 (4)</td>
<td>86 (3)</td>
<td>86 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oseltamivir</td>
<td>3,709 (99.3)</td>
<td>2,209 (99.7)</td>
<td>777 (99.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zanamivir</td>
<td>16 (0.4)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>5 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>11 (0.3)</td>
<td>5 (0.2)</td>
<td>1 (0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seasonal trivalent influenza vaccine</td>
<td>514 (14)</td>
<td>862 (36)</td>
<td>261 (27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>961 (21)</td>
<td>727 (24)</td>
<td>345 (26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BMI, body mass index; RRR, relative risk ratio; NA, not applicable.
†Influenza A(H3N2) compared with pH1N1 (reference).
‡Influenza B compared with pH1N1 (reference).
§Underlying medical conditions defined as ≥1 of the following: class III obesity (BMI ≥40 kg/m²), chronic respiratory diseases, chronic cardiovascular diseases, diabetes mellitus, renal diseases, chronic liver disease, or immunosuppression.
¶Pregnancy among women of childbearing age (15–49 years).
observations were reported during the 2011–12 influenza season in the United States (11) and France (8), where influenza A(H3N2) was also the predominant virus and caused excess mortality in the elderly (12–14). Given that the definition of case-patient was established in a season with almost exclusively pH1N1 circulation, the figures for the first postpandemic season with dominant influenza A(H3N2) virus might have been affected by lower definition sensitivity for identifying case-patients infected with other influenza types and subtypes. In addition, according to 2 international cohort studies conducted during 2009–2015 (15), outpatients with influenza A(H3N2) virus infection were less likely to be hospitalized than those infected with pH1N1 or influenza B virus, which might have influenced the numbers reported. Another aspect that could influence the higher number of pH1N1 infections recorded compared with other subtypes is the wider availability of the PCR assay for this virus subtype since the 2009 pandemic in all the laboratories of the hospitals participating in SHCIC surveillance.

Our results are similar to those from previous studies, which found that hospitalized influenza pH1N1-infected patients were younger than those infected with influenza A(H3N2) or B (15). Also, in the United States, a higher proportion of pH1N1 infections occurred in adults 15–64 years of age compared with influenza A(H3N2) and B infections (7). Several observations could be consistent with the differences on age by influenza virus type and subtype found in this study and others. The different susceptibility of each birth cohort is based on the likelihood that their influenza primary infections were with group 1 or 2 hemagglutinin. Individuals born before 1956 likely had their first infection with a group 1 virus and had preexisting cross-reactive antibodies against viruses of the same group as pH1N1 virus, whereas those born in 1968 or later appear protected against severe influenza A(H3N2) infection (16–18). Moreover, seasonal influenza A(H1N1) virus that circulated before 2009 provided some additional cross-reactive immunity protection in older patients against the newer pH1N1 virus (17,18). The younger patients, who have less exposure to this older seasonal influenza A(H1N1) virus, might have experienced more severe disease as a result of direct infection by pH1N1 and the resulting cytokine-induced inflammatory responses, an immune-mediated pathologic process which is believed to play an important role in the onset of severe disease (19–21).

In our study, case-patients infected with influenza A(H3N2) or B viruses were more likely to have underlying medical conditions than those infected with pH1N1. This observation is partly in line with findings from the aforementioned international cohort study (15) and could be consistent with the age difference between influenza virus type and subtype. However, when we stratified the analysis by age, the differences between those pH1N1-infected patients compared with influenza A(H3N2) and B remained significant, regardless of age (Appendix Tables 2–4). In contrast, a study in Argentina reported that the prevalence of underlying medical conditions did not differ between hospitalized patients with influenza A(H3N2) or pH1N1 infection (10).

We found that morbid obesity was more common among case-patients infected with pH1N1. This result

### Table 2. Clinical complications and final outcomes of patients hospitalized for laboratory-confirmed severe influenza, by influenza virus type or subtype, Spain, influenza seasons 2010–11 to 2016–17*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication and outcome</th>
<th>pH1N1</th>
<th>A(H3N2)</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total no. patients</strong></td>
<td>4,568 (100)</td>
<td>3,091 (100)</td>
<td>1,326 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>3,529 (78)</td>
<td>2,154 (71)</td>
<td>951 (74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>71 (2)</td>
<td>69 (2)</td>
<td>36 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co-infection</td>
<td>903 (26)</td>
<td>680 (31)</td>
<td>320 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>1,153 (25)</td>
<td>873 (28)</td>
<td>383 (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARDS</td>
<td>1,220 (29)</td>
<td>571 (22)</td>
<td>271 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>405 (9)</td>
<td>528 (17)</td>
<td>160 (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MODS</td>
<td>405 (10)</td>
<td>236 (9)</td>
<td>107 (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>467 (10)</td>
<td>559 (18)</td>
<td>177 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission</td>
<td>1,787 (41)</td>
<td>820 (28)</td>
<td>389 (31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>245 (5)</td>
<td>146 (5)</td>
<td>77 (6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Case-fatality rate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>pH1N1</th>
<th>A(H3N2)</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deaths in hospitalized patients</td>
<td>585 (14)</td>
<td>493 (16)</td>
<td>130 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deaths in ICU patients</td>
<td>405 (24)</td>
<td>180 (23)</td>
<td>68 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>254 (6)</td>
<td>89 (3)</td>
<td>109 (8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ARDS, acute respiratory distress syndrome; ICU, intensive care unit; MODS, multiple organ dysfunction syndrome; NA, not applicable; OR, odds ratio.
†OR of influenza A(H3N2) compared with pH1N1 (reference).
‡OR of influenza B compared with pH1N1 (reference).
accords with a higher prevalence of obesity (18.2%) found by another study in hospitalized patients with pH1N1 infection compared with patients with influenza A(H3N2) or B infection (<10%) (7). Obesity was first identified as a novel independent risk factor for influenza severity in hospitalized adults during the 2009 pandemic in California (USA) (22). Furthermore, another study found a stronger association between obesity and ICU admission and death for pH1N1 infections (23).

Our results indicate that the likelihood of pneumonia was higher among patients with pH1N1 than patients with influenza A(H3N2) or B infections. However, patients with influenza A(H3N2) or B infections had a higher risk for bacterial or viral coinfection. Although our study lacks information on other clinical features or radiologic findings, the results seem to be in line with previous studies. A US study found that adults with pH1N1 infection had an increased risk for radiographically confirmed pneumonia compared with those with influenza A(H3N2) infection (24). A study in Japan showed that hospitalized patients with pH1N1 virus had primary viral pneumonia more frequently and had mixed bacterial or secondary bacterial pneumonia less frequently compared with patients with influenza A(H3N2) or B virus infections (25). Another study, conducted during the first postpandemic influenza season, showed that patients with pH1N1 pneumonia had similar clinical characteristics but slightly higher disease severity and stronger systemic inflammatory response than patients with influenza A(H3N2) pneumonia (26). In addition, in our study, ARDS occurred more frequently in patients infected with pH1N1 than those infected with influenza A(H3N2) or B viruses, which accords with previous reports from other countries (7,8).

Treatment with antiviral drugs was significantly less common in patients with influenza A(H3N2) or B infections than in patients with pH1N1 infection, regardless of age (Appendix Tables 2–4). We were unable to explain these data because antiviral treatment is recommended for everyone hospitalized with influenza in Spain (27), and the virus type and subtype should not have influenced treatment decisions (28).

Our results indicate that patients with influenza A(H3N2) or B infections were less likely to be admitted to an ICU, die, or both than were those with pH1N1 infections, after controlling for potential confounders. These findings are in agreement with other studies of disease severity by influenza virus type and subtype, which report higher ORs for ICU admission for pH1N1-infected patients (7,9,10,29).

The aforementioned international cohort study showed similar results to our own study for every age group except persons >65 years of age, for which they found higher hospitalization rates for outpatients infected with influenza B (15). In contrast, a study in South Africa showed no association between virus type and subtype and ICU admission or death (4). Other studies did not find differences in patient mortality between influenza A virus subtypes (10), or between other types or subtypes (8,25). In our study, we did not find differences in the risk for ICU admission by influenza A subtype in patients <65 years of age.

We should distinguish at this point the clinical seriousness caused by different influenza virus types and subtypes, as observed in severe influenza surveillance systems, from those results on the effect of influenza on population mortality rates obtained from population-based studies that use regression models. As previously reported, influenza A(H3N2)–dominant epidemics have a considerable impact on mortality, with highest excess mortality attributable to influenza occurring mainly in older adults (12–14,30,31). In addition, a study suggests that influenza B might also be more of a concern in terms of excess mortality in the influenza season 2017–18 (32). However, many of these deaths might have occurred in older persons who have a cascade of illness after an influenza infection, and influenza in older patients might not have a typical clinical profile. Moreover, many older patients might die at home or in managed care facilities and might not get to a hospital. The increase in deaths associated with influenza A(H3N2) at the population level might reflect greater population susceptibility or reduced vaccine effectiveness against influenza A(H3N2) that has become apparent in recent years (33), although it might not reflect the relative clinical seriousness of the individual infection. Therefore, our finding that pH1N1 infections caused a higher clinical seriousness in hospitalized patients than influenza A(H3N2) or B infections is fully congruent with the greater effect on the population mortality caused by influenza A(H3N2) seasons (12–14,30,31).

This study has several limitations. First, we cannot exclude a possible bias that results from using hospitalized case-based surveillance systems with many reporting sites that might have had different testing practices and might also have varied by season. However, because of the high percentage of the national population included in the SHCIC surveillance, the results obtained should be highly representative of the entire country. During the last 4 seasons, a relatively high proportion of influenza A
infections were not subtyped, probably because of the implementation of rapid tests for influenza confirmation. Influenza testing could also have been biased depending on age, severity of symptoms, changes in swabbing practices in the last few seasons, or even as a result of the selection of patients for swabbing based on physician-suspected influenza; however, these factors should not have influenced the virus type and subtype recorded. The multivariable analysis has been controlled for bias by season to avoid potential biases related to the inclusion of several seasons in the study (i.e., differing dominant influenza virus types and subtypes and their antigenic drifts and shifts, influenza vaccine uptake, and seasonal variations in match the vaccine to the circulating influenza strains could all complicate comparisons between seasons). However, a real strength of this study is its representativeness; it enrolled patients from hospitals throughout Spain and across every age group, it covered every influenza season since SHCIC surveillance began in 2009, and it benefited from substantial virus co-circulation and a large sample size.

In conclusion, our findings suggest that hospitalized patients infected with pH1N1 virus had a higher risk for ICU admission, death, or both than patients infected with influenza A(H3N2) or B infections, despite being younger and having fewer underlying medical conditions. Therefore, in those seasons with considerable circulation of pH1N1, more admissions to hospital ICUs should be expected, especially among hospitalized young adult patients. To decrease treatment delays, antiviral treatment should be started shortly after admission to hospital when influenza is suspected. These observations could be of crucial importance when planning resource deployment during influenza epidemics. Understanding the patterns of disease severity associated with influenza and how these patterns might differ among virus types and subtypes can help guide public health measures to control influenza. This knowledge can help in directing resource allocation in the healthcare system during each influenza season and thus can ensure an effective response to pressures on ICUs, especially during pH1N1 epidemics.
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Major outbreaks of infections with Ebola virus, such as the 2014–2016 West Africa epidemic and the ongoing 2018–2019 outbreak in eastern Democratic Republic of the Congo, pose several obvious and immediate threats to public health. Less obvious, but as concerning for public health, is the possibility that Ebola virus might also interact with common co-circulating infectious agents at both the population and within-host (individual) levels. Indeed, much attention has been paid to the relationship between malaria and Ebola virus disease (EVD), primarily because of the clinical resemblance between the 2 diseases (1) and the high frequency of Plasmodium spp. co-infection among patients undergoing treatment for confirmed EVD (2). At the individual level, several retrospective epidemiology studies of patients undergoing treatment for confirmed EVD have attempted to determine whether concurrent malaria affects patient outcomes. In Sierra Leone (3) and at 1 Ebola treatment center in Liberia (4), mortality risk was much higher among Ebola patients who were co-infected with Plasmodium parasites than among patients who were not co-infected, and a study in Guinea found that adverse outcomes were higher among EVD patients with higher P. falciparum parasite loads than among those with lower levels of parasitemia (5). A similar study of patients at several Ebola treatment centers in Liberia reported the opposite relationship, that the probability of survival for EVD patients was positively associated with both presence and level of Plasmodium spp. parasitemia (6). Together, these results point to a strong potential for biological interactions between Plasmodium parasites and Ebola virus that may influence the severity of EVD.

At the population level, interruption of normal public health services and disease control measures—including patient avoidance of healthcare facilities—during an EVD epidemic has been projected to cause increases in untreated cases and deaths from malaria, in addition to several otherwise preventable or treatable diseases (7–9). Yet whether biological interactions at the within-host level, such as inflammatory processes leading to prolonged post-Ebola syndrome symptoms common in acute EVD survivors (10), may also lead to a change in malaria transmission dynamics by influencing susceptibility remains unknown.

Knowledge of the extent of possible interactions between infection with Plasmodium parasites and Ebola virus is especially helpful because geographic regions where prevalence of antibodies against Ebola

An association between malaria and risk for death among patients with Ebola virus disease has suggested within-host interactions between Plasmodium falciparum parasites and Ebola virus. To determine whether such an interaction might also influence the probability of acquiring either infection, we used a large snapshot surveillance study from rural Gabon to test if past exposure to Ebola virus is associated with current infection with Plasmodium spp. during nonepidemic conditions. We found a strong positive association, on population and individual levels, between seropositivity for antibodies against Ebola virus and the presence of Plasmodium parasites in the blood. According to a multiple regression model accounting for other key variables, antibodies against Ebola virus emerged as the strongest individual-level risk factor for acquiring malaria. Our results suggest that within-host interactions between malaria parasites and Ebola virus may underlie epidemiologic associations.
virus (hereafter called Ebola antibodies) is high are also areas of high malaria endemicity (11), particularly the most severe form of malaria, caused by *P. falciparum* (12). Historically, small, typically rural, outbreaks of Ebola virus have been the norm; many such outbreaks across central Africa have been described since 1976 (13). However, the recent occurrence of large outbreaks involving multiple urban centers (14,15), including thousands of survivors and vaccinated persons, means that any interactions with malaria parasites have the potential to affect larger populations than in prior decades. Furthermore, it is estimated that less than half of the cross-species transmission events leading to a human EVD case are correctly identified by current surveillance systems, suggesting that most of these events are treated locally as an unknown fever or malaria (16).

To investigate the potential epidemiologic links between Ebola virus exposure and malaria parasites, we took advantage of a large snapshot surveillance study of 4,272 adults from 210 villages across Gabon, conducted during 2005–2008 (17–19), to test for populationwide and individual associations between the 2 infections during nonepidemic conditions. At both levels, we also tested for key cofactors that might influence detection of an association. With an Ebola antibody seroprevalence of 15.3% (17,18) and *Plasmodium* spp. prevalence of 52.1% (19), our study population offered the unique opportunity for testing such a link.

**Materials and Methods**

**Study Population and Survey Methods**

Our study was based on data previously generated from a snapshot surveillance study in rural Gabon (17–21). That study was conducted across 210 rural (population <300) villages in Gabon, located across a variety of open and forested habitats, and was designed specifically to test for the prevalence of undetected exposure to Ebola virus (17,18). Villages were selected by using a stratified random sampling method based on Gabon’s 9 administrative provinces; each province was surveyed once during 1-month field missions from July 2005 through May 2008, generally during the dry season. All but 5 of Gabon’s 49 administrative departments (grouping villages within provinces) were represented (Appendix Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/18-1120-App1.pdf). In each village, all permanent residents >15 years of age were solicited for participation in the study if they were willing to complete a 2-page survey and provide a blood sample along with written consent. The survey included questions about sociodemographics and medical history. All participants and nonparticipants in each village were offered information about the study, free medical examinations, malaria testing, blood typing, and medicines. Refusal to participate was low (~15% of eligible persons). The study protocol was approved by the Gabonese Ministry of Health (research organization no. 00093/MSP/SG/SGAQM) and is described elsewhere (17–20).

**Individual Pathogen Exposure and Cofactors**

Study volunteers were tested for previous exposure to Ebola virus by use of a *Zaire ebolavirus* (ZEBOV) IgG-specific ELISA (17,18). Current infection with *Plasmodium* spp. was tested by using an in-field blood smear (17,18) and by high-throughput targeted sequencing of *Plasmodium*-specific cytochrome b mitochondrial DNA to identify species (single and mixed infections of *P. falciparum*, *P. malariae*, and *P. ovale* were identified) (19). For purposes of this study, we considered a person to be infected with malaria parasites if either blood smear or sequence amplification was positive (irrespective of the species) and to not be infected if both test results were negative.

In addition to participant sex and age group (16–30, 31–45, 46–60, >60 years), information was obtained about several cofactors that could be indicative of heterogeneous exposure or susceptibility to both infections (17,18). These cofactors included the presence of concurrent filarial worm infection (*Loa loa* and *Mansonella perstans*, each identified from blood samples as described in [20]), sickle cell hemoglobin genotype (carriers vs. noncarriers, as determined in [21]), participant education level (classified as less than secondary education or secondary education and above, serving as a proxy for socioeconomic status), participant regular contact with wild animals through primary occupation (classified as hunters or nonhunters), the keeping of wild animals as pets (yes or no), and specific exposure to bats by consumption (yes or no).

**Population Cofactors**

For determination of population-level influences on patterns of pathogen exposure, factors common to all persons in a given department or village were also examined. We obtained population density (no. persons/km²) at the department level by dividing population size (no. inhabitants/department based on 2003 national census data, https://www.city-population.de/php/gabon-admin.php) by department area (km²). Average household wealth and frequency of insecticide-treated mosquito net (ITN)
ownerhsip per department were obtained from the Demographic and Health Surveys program 2012 survey for Gabon (22). Geographic displacement of households in these data remained within administrative boundaries; however, wealth and ITN data were missing for 7 departments (Appendix Table 1). Average household wealth was calculated by rescaling the wealth index for all rural households to positive integers and taking the geometric mean for each department. We calculated the frequency of ITN ownership per department by counting the number of rural households in each department with at least 1 ITN and dividing it by the number of households for which there were data. At the village level, the dominant habitat type was previously classified into 3 categories with statistically significant differences in terms of Ebola antibody prevalence: lakeland (including lakes, rivers, and coastal regions), savanna (including savanna and grassland areas), and forest (including northeastern forests, interior forests, and mountain forest areas) (17,18).

**Statistical Analyses**

We performed all statistical analyses in the R version 3.2.2 statistical programming environment (23). We tested for departure of malaria and Ebola antibody co-occurrence frequency from random expectations by using χ² analysis (chisq.test function in R). We tested the correlation between department-level prevalence of Ebola antibodies and malaria parasite infection by using the cor.test function in R, based on the nonparametric Spearman rank correlation coefficient. We tested department-level effects of population density, average wealth, and ITN ownership frequency on this correlation together as cofactors in a mixed-effects multiple linear regression model (function lmer, package lme4) by setting Ebola antibody prevalence as the main explanatory variable, *Plasmodium* spp. prevalence as the response variable, and province as a random variable to limit pseudoreplication. The inclusion of province as a random variable also enabled us to account for yearly and seasonal differences in prevalence because all departments within a given province were sampled within a single month-long field mission. To meet assumptions of normality, antibody prevalence, *Plasmodium* parasite prevalence, and ITN ownership frequency were arcsine square-root transformed, population density and average wealth were log-transformed, and data points were weighted by the number of persons tested in each department. Data for the 7 departments with missing wealth and ITN data were excluded from the multiple regression model.

At the individual level, we used multiple logistic regression (implemented as a generalized linear mixed effects model with binomial error distribution via the glmer function of package lme4) to test whether persons with Ebola antibodies were more or less likely than those without Ebola antibodies to also be infected with malaria parasites. *Plasmodium* parasite infection status (infected or not infected) was the response variable in the model, and we included province (also accounting for date sampled), department within province, and village (nested within department and province) of the person as random factors to control for pseudoreplication and spatial autocorrelation. Explanatory variables included ZEBOV-specific IgG seropositivity, individual cofactors (concurrent *L. loa* and *M. perstans* infection; sex; age group; sickle cell genotype; education level; and regular interaction with animals through hunting, keeping wild pets, or consuming bats), and population-level cofactors (village habitat and log-transformed population density of the administrative department). We tested the effect of each explanatory variable after correcting for all other model terms via likelihood ratio tests, reported as adjusted odds ratios, and used bootstrapping to calculate the 95% CIs of the coefficients by using the bootMer function (R boot package, no. Markov chain Monte Carlo simulations = 200). We removed from analysis those persons for whom values for any 1 variable were missing.

**Results**

A total of 4,272 volunteers from 210 villages were enrolled in the study. Among those sampled, we obtained data on both malaria status and Ebola antibodies from 4,170 persons: 2,199 (52.7%) female and 1,971 (47.8%) male participants, 16–90 (median 49) years of age. These data showed that across Gabon, 2,190 (52.5%) persons were infected with >1 species of *Plasmodium*, 638 (15.3%) were positive for ZEBOV-specific IgG, and an overabundance of 425 (10.2%) were in both categories (Figure 1; χ² = 59.4, df = 1, p<0.0001). Because of missing data, we analyzed individual-level risk factors for exposure to both pathogens on a subset of 3,912 persons (Table; Appendix Table 1).

At the population level, we found a striking positive correlation between the geographic distributions of Ebola virus exposure and *Plasmodium* parasite infection, measured as the prevalence of each across administrative departments (Figure 2; Spearman rank correlation coefficient ρ = 0.43, df = 42, p<0.01). The direction and significance of this correlation was not qualitatively affected by population density, average household wealth, ITN ownership
At the individual level, we found that prior exposure to Ebola virus was strongly associated with an increased probability of current *Plasmodium* spp. infection, even after accounting for geographic location (administrative province, department, and village) and all other individual and population-level risk factors in the model (adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 1.741 [95% CI 1.400–2.143], \( \chi^2 = 26.36, \text{df} = 1, p < 0.0001 \); Figure 3; Appendix Table 3, Figure 4). This variable was a stronger risk factor for *Plasmodium* infection than any other individual trait, second only to living in a lakeland habitat (aOR 0.313 [95% CI 0.110–0.875], \( \chi^2 = 11.64, \text{df} = 2, p < 0.01 \) (Figure 3; Appendix Table 3). Other factors positively associated with *Plasmodium* parasite infection were concurrent infection with *M. perstans* (aOR 1.359 [1.056–1.727], \( \chi^2 = 5.35, \text{df} = 1, p = 0.021 \)), male sex (aOR 1.335 [1.098–1.586], \( \chi^2 = 10.5, \text{df} = 1, p = 0.0012 \)), and keeping a wild animal as a pet (aOR 1.308 [1.040–1.654], \( \chi^2 = 4.55, \text{df} = 1, p = 0.033 \)). Being in an older age group was associated with a decline in *Plasmodium* parasite infection risk (\( \chi^2 = 8.02, \text{df} = 1, p = 0.046 \)). From the individual-level model we excluded department-level wealth and ITN ownership frequency, which showed no evidence for influencing the association at the population level (Appendix Table 2) because these variables were confounded with department-level population density and because missing data were not randomly distributed (Appendix Table 1). These results for nonspecific malaria parasite infection risk factors were qualitatively identical when *P. falciparum* and *P. malariae* infections were considered separately (P. ovale infection was too rare to be tested; Appendix Tables 4, 5).

**Discussion**

At the population and individual levels across Gabon, we found a strong positive association between ZEBOV-specific IgG seropositivity and current malaria parasite infection. In geographic regions where Ebola virus exposure was high, prevalence of *Plasmodium* spp. infection was also high, and within these regions, having antibodies against Ebola virus increased the risk for current *Plasmodium* infection by nearly 75% after all other medical, demographic, social, behavioral, and ecologic cofactors for which we had data were controlled for. The magnitude of the association, particularly when compared with other risk factors (filarial worm infections, sex, age group, contact with wild animals, and village habitat type), was highly unexpected. This epidemiologic link between Ebola virus exposure and malaria is consistent with reports of high co-infection frequency during the 2014–2016 outbreak of EVD in West Africa (2) and suggests that ecologic processes between the 2 pathogens potentially influencing patient survival (3,4) may also influence susceptibility or transmission.

The public health implications of our findings are numerous. First, if Ebola virus infection renders patients and survivors more susceptible to malaria, healthcare providers should anticipate the need for additional malaria treatment and control measures after Ebola virus outbreaks beyond the increase predicted from disruption of healthcare services and reduced treatment-seeking behavior, which often accompany an outbreak. Second, if sublethal Ebola virus infections commonly co-occur with malaria, they may be missed because disease surveillance systems do not regularly screen for other causes of disease in *Plasmodium*-positive patients whose symptoms are consistent with malaria and resolve with malaria treatment. However, a trial in Liberia showed that antimalarial drugs inhibit Ebola virus infection of cells in culture (24–26).
and were associated with increased survival of EVD patients (4). This finding suggests that if active treatment for malaria helps modulate EVD severity, it may also result in Ebola virus infection frequencies being underestimated during epidemic and nonepidemic periods. Third, if the causal direction of the interaction is such that malaria increases susceptibility to Ebola virus, achieving malaria elimination goals across West and Central Africa may help prevent future EVD outbreaks. Indeed, our choice to consider past exposure to Ebola virus as an explanatory variable for current malaria parasite infection in our analysis was arbitrary, and additional analyses confirmed that reversing the positions of the 2 pathogens in the model did not qualitatively change the observed association pattern (Appendix Table 6, Figures 5, 6). Furthermore, a biological mechanism of interaction between the 2 pathogens with the potential to cause the association found here (such as persistent inflammatory processes in EVD survivors [10,27,28] or damage to specific tissues targeted by both pathogens [29,30]) remains to be elucidated. We do, however, point out that the mechanism is not likely to be general or the result of immunosuppression (e.g., because of AIDS) because neither of the 2 common filarial infections included as co-factors (L. loa and M. perstans) were risk factors for infection with Plasmodium parasites (Figure 3) and Ebola virus exposure (Appendix Figure 5). Last, the World Health Organization has noted that the most recent EVD outbreak in the Nord Kivu Province of the Democratic Republic of the Congo coincided with a surge in malaria cases in the region (31). Even if the interaction is not biological and a common ecologic, epidemiologic, or even sociological factor not tested here is responsible for driving an increase in the probability of exposure to both pathogens, further study to identify that factor could prove helpful for predicting and preventing future EVD outbreaks.

Table. Characteristics of population in study of exposure to Ebola virus and risk for malaria parasite infection, rural Gabon*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No. (%) sampled</th>
<th>No. with Plasmodium spp. infection</th>
<th>No. ZEBOV-specific IgG+</th>
<th>No. with Plasmodium spp. infection and ZEBOV-specific IgG+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2,058 (52.6)</td>
<td>1,017</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1,854 (47.4)</td>
<td>1,022</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16–30</td>
<td>604 (15.4)</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31–45</td>
<td>1,062 (27.1)</td>
<td>584</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46–60</td>
<td>1,554 (39.7)</td>
<td>801</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>692 (17.7)</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickle cell genotype</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carrier</td>
<td>811 (20.7)</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not carrier</td>
<td>3,101 (79.3)</td>
<td>1,615</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loa loa</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infected</td>
<td>863 (22.1)</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not infected</td>
<td>3,049 (77.9)</td>
<td>1,589</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mansonella perstans</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infected</td>
<td>391 (10.0)</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not infected</td>
<td>3,521 (90.0)</td>
<td>1,809</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>350</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than secondary</td>
<td>2,909 (74.4)</td>
<td>1,478</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than secondary</td>
<td>1,003 (25.6)</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hunter</td>
<td>425 (10.9)</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not hunter</td>
<td>3,487 (89.1)</td>
<td>1,798</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>339</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pets</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild animal</td>
<td>450 (11.5)</td>
<td>263</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No wild animals</td>
<td>3,462 (88.5)</td>
<td>1,776</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bat meat consumption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>522 (13.3)</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>3,390 (86.7)</td>
<td>1,766</td>
<td>508</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Habitat (village)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>3,088 (78.9)</td>
<td>1,727</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lakeland</td>
<td>412 (10.5)</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Savannah</td>
<td>412 (10.5)</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population density, department level, persons/km²</td>
<td>1.936 (49.5)</td>
<td>995</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5–2</td>
<td>1.379 (35.3)</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2–10</td>
<td>597 (15.3)</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ZEBOV, Zaire ebolavirus; +, positive.
One key challenge to understanding the drivers of the patterns we report in this study is determining what ZEBOV-specific IgG seropositivity means. Ebola virus–specific IgG is known to persist for at least a decade after acute disease (32). However, it is not entirely clear whether the surprisingly high seroprevalence of Ebola antibodies found in population studies such as ours during nonepidemic periods (17,18,33–36) are the result of undetected outbreaks, subclinical exposure to Ebola virus, or cross-reactivity with other unknown filoviruses. A recent modeling study estimated that nearly 75% of cross-species transmission events leading to a singular or small cluster of EVD cases go undetected (16), although widespread failure to detect acute EVD cases seems unlikely. Alternatively, evidence of subclinical antigenic stimulation has been documented, for example, by a survey of Ebola virus–specific IgG seroprevalence among domestic dogs. Frequency of Ebola virus–specific IgG was highest in dogs nearest to an outbreak epicenter in Gabon (37). Mild or asymptomatic Ebola virus infection is typically associated with low viral loads, limiting virus capacity for human-to-human transmission (38–40). Thus, evidence suggests that widespread seroprevalence of Ebola antibodies outside of known epidemic periods could reflect past subclinical infection contracted through exposure to natural reservoirs (such as frugivorous bats [41,42]); however, studies of humans have yielded only minimal support for this hypothesis (40,43,44). Whereas asymptomatic seroconversion of household contacts of acutely ill patients and high-risk exposure (direct physical contact with blood or vomit) was demonstrated to occur at high frequency (11/24 persons) during the 1996 outbreak in Gabon (44), studies from the Democratic Republic of the Congo in 1995 (43) and during the 2014–2016 outbreak in Sierra Leone (40) found that this phenomenon was much more rare among household contacts with lower-risk exposure histories. Although these studies concluded that undiagnosed subclinical EVD and asymptomatic Ebola virus infections were evident during an outbreak, it has not yet been shown that they occur in the absence of diagnosed cases, let alone at sufficient frequency. Arguably, the most likely source of high Ebola antibody seroprevalence in the absence of large outbreaks is antibody cross-reactivity with an unknown and relatively asymptomatic virus; however, whereas IgG is largely cross-reactive among Ebola virus species (45), no such low-virulence Ebola-related virus has been identified circulating in these populations. Irrespective of the processes that govern the presence of Ebola-specific antibodies, the strong and consistent associations we found between antibody status and Plasmodium parasite infection risk suggest a need for additional investigation regarding the effect of the source of these antibodies on malaria epidemiology and vice versa.

In addition to resolving uncertainty around the provenance of Ebola-specific antibodies in the absence of known cases, future studies should aim to ascertain more detailed information on the timing, duration, and severity of Plasmodium infections. In particular, it would be very informative to know whether the positive association detailed here is also
found in children (our study excluded persons <16 years of age) because the prevalence of acquired immunity against many pathogens, including Ebola virus (17) and Plasmodium spp. (46), increases with age because of accumulating exposure opportunities. A longitudinal cohort (following infection and immunity status of each individual through time) would produce results with more reliable interpretation than the cross-sectional (single time-point snapshot) design of our present study (47). Ultimately, only case-controlled experimental studies, such as vaccine trials, can provide the evidence necessary to claim a causal relationship between these 2 pathogens in humans.

The 2014–2016 Ebola virus outbreak in West Africa served as a wake-up call, highlighting the possibility of Ebola virus emergence into new and heavily populated regions and spurring the advancement of vaccine development and case-reactive ring vaccination methods (48,49). However, with >17,000 EVD survivors across West Africa and an unknown number of asymptomatic seroconverted persons (50), it is important to clarify the mechanistic basis of our findings because this knowledge will help guide future investigations into public health implications, including the risk for acquiring malaria among EVD survivors and the potential for added benefits of both Ebola and malaria vaccination campaigns.
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Cost-effectiveness of Screening Program for Chronic Q Fever, the Netherlands

Pieter T. de Boer, Marit M.A. de Lange, Cornelia C.H. Wielders, Frederika Dijkstra, Sonja E. van Roeden, Chantal P. Bleeker-Rovers, Jan Jelrik Oosterheert, Peter M. Schneeberger, Wim van der Hoek

In the aftermath of a large Q fever (QF) epidemic in the Netherlands during 2007–2010, new chronic QF (CQF) patients continue to be detected. We developed a health-economic decision model to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of a 1-time screening program for CQF 7 years after the epidemic. The model was parameterized with spatial data on QF notifications for the Netherlands, prevalence data from targeted screening studies, and clinical data from the national QF database. The cost-effectiveness of screening varied substantially among subpopulations and geographic areas. Screening that focused on cardiovascular risk patients in areas with high QF incidence during the epidemic ranged from cost-saving to €31,373 per quality-adjusted life year gained, depending on the method to estimate the prevalence of CQF. The cost per quality-adjusted life year of mass screening of all older adults was €70,000 in the most optimistic scenario.

Chronic Q fever (CQF) is a potentially lethal condition that develops in 2% of Q fever (QF) patients (1). QF is caused by infection with Coxiella burnetii, a gram-negative bacterium that has its main reservoir in livestock and can infect humans by airborne transmission. CQF can become apparent months to years after infection and usually manifests as endocarditis or vascular infection (2). Risk factors for CQF include heart valve disorders, aortic aneurysms, vascular prostheses, older age, and a compromised immune system (3–5). Prognosis is poor despite long-term antimicrobial drug treatment; 28% of patients need surgery, and 15% die from CQF-related complications (6).

During 2007–2010, the Netherlands faced the world’s largest QF epidemic ever documented. More than 4,000 patients with acute QF were notified. However, QF often occurs asymptomatically (1), and the total number of infections has been estimated at 50,000 (7). Through May 2016, a substantial number of CQF infections occurred, and at least 74 patients died (8). Because early detection of CQF might result in a better prognosis, local hospitals initiated multiple targeted screening studies for clinical risk groups living in areas affected by the epidemic. These studies revealed that 7%–20% of screened patients had serologic evidence of C. burnetii infection, of whom 5%–31% had CQF (9–11).

In 2017, new diagnoses of CQF continued to appear in the Netherlands, often with severe complications, and led to a call from multiple concerned parties, including politicians, the QF patient association, and medical doctors for a national CQF screening program. One aspect considered for such a screening program is whether its costs are economically balanced with the expenditure (12,13). To answer this question, we assessed the cost-effectiveness of a screening program for CQF in the Netherlands.

Methods

Overview

We developed a health-economic decision model to compare estimated costs and effects of a 1-time screening program for CQF with no such screening program (Figure 1). The screening was assumed to occur in 2017, seven years after the epidemic. We estimated comparative outcomes of the model in terms of clinical events, quality-adjusted life years (QALYs), and costs from a societal perspective. We used a lifetime time horizon. Costs were annually discounted at 4% and QALYs at 1.5% (14).
Screening Population

The analysis focused on adults ≥18 years of age. Because the prevalence of CQF is not uniformly distributed in the population (most QF patients resided in the south of the Netherlands; patients can have risk factors for CQF), we considered different subgroups for screening. We used the Netherlands population data from 2017 (15). First, we stratified the population on the basis of residence area between high, middle, and low QF incidence areas. For this stratification, we used spatial data on QF notifications and farms with QF outbreaks during the epidemic period (2007–2010). Next, we further divided these subgroups on the basis of a risk factor between persons with a cardiovascular risk factor, an immunocompromised status, or an unknown risk status. The last group was labeled as unknown because the prevalences of heart valve disorders and aortic aneurysms are underreported. Because these cardiovascular prevalences increase with age, the unknown subgroup was split between persons <60 years and ≥60 years of age. Thus, we considered 12 (3 × 4) subgroups (Table 1). We obtained prevalences of diagnosed and undiagnosed risk factors from the literature (16–21) (Appendix Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/18-1772-App1.pdf).

Model

We used a decision-tree model that consisted of 2 parts: a screening part and a clinical part (Appendix Figure 1). CQF is usually characterized by persistent high IgG against C. burnetii phase I, often in the

Table 1. Subgroup criteria in a study of the cost-effectiveness of screening for CQF, the Netherlands, 2017*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Condition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area of residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High incidence</td>
<td>&gt;50 acute QF notifications/100,000 inhabitants and ≥2 acute QF notifications OR presence of a farm with QF abortion waves† within a 5-km range during the epidemic period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle incidence</td>
<td>10–49 acute QF notifications/100,000 inhabitants and ≥2 acute QF notifications OR presence of a farm that tested positive in the mandatory bulk tank milk monitoring initiated during the QF epidemic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low incidence</td>
<td>&lt;10 acute QF notifications/100,000 inhabitants OR &lt;2 notifications during the epidemic period.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preexisting risk factor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosed cardiovascular risk factor</td>
<td>Heart valve disorder (all types of defects), heart valve prosthesis, aortic aneurysm, prosthesis/stent, history of endocarditis and congenital heart anomalies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunocompromised patients</td>
<td>HIV infection, asplenia, spleen disorder, malignancy or bone marrow transplantations and patients using immunosuppressant drugs. As proxy for patients using immunosuppressant drugs, prevalence data were used of rheumatoid arthritis patients and patients with inflammatory bowel disease, assuming these patients frequently use immunosuppressant medication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown, ≥60 y</td>
<td>Age ≥60 y AND no or undiagnosed cardiovascular risk factor, e.g., heart valve disorder, aortic aneurysm.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown 18–59 y</td>
<td>Age 18–59 y AND no or undiagnosed cardiovascular risk factor, e.g., heart valve disorder, aortic aneurysm.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The epidemic period was 2007–2010. QF, Q fever.  
†Abortion of >5% of pregnant goats in a farm over a 4-week period.
presence of high IgG against phase II (2,3). In the current clinical setting in the Netherlands, patients suspected of having CQF are tested with immunofluorescence assay (IFA) for IgG against phase I. However, IFA is a nonautomated and subjective test, and its use might not be feasible for a large-scale screening program (22). Therefore, we proposed an initial screening round with the ELISA for IgG against phase II, and positive samples were tested with IFA for IgG against phase I. In the sensitivity analysis, we explored a scenario with direct testing with IFA for IgG against phase I.

In the clinical part, patients were first classified among proven, probable, or possible CQF, according to the guideline of the Dutch Q Fever Consensus Group (23). This classification ranks the probability of having CQF based on PCR, serology, clinical parameters, imaging techniques, and pathologic findings (Appendix Table 2). Next, patients were divided by focus of infection and whether CQF led to an early complication (before diagnosis or within 12 weeks after diagnosis). Complications considered were heart failure, symptomatic aneurysm, arterial embolic complication, and other complications. After diagnosis, antimicrobial treatment can be initiated, possibly combined with a surgical procedure. Then, patients may have a late complication (>12 weeks after diagnosis) and can die of CQF.

CQF Prevalence
The prevalence of CQF 7 years after the QF epidemic is uncertain because the average duration between infection and development of CQF is unknown. Therefore, we considered 2 scenarios, a low CQF prevalence scenario and a high CQF prevalence scenario. For both scenarios, we estimated the prevalence of CQF in 3 consecutive steps: 1) define the risk for C. burnetii infection per QF incidence area, 2) multiply the risk for CQF given infection per risk group, and 3) adjust the CQF prevalence from directly after the epidemic to the year of screening 7 years later. This final step accounts for a decrease of CQF prevalence over time, for instance, because of death or earlier diagnosis.

We selected parameter values for the low and high CQF prevalence scenarios (Table 2). In the low CQF prevalence scenario, we assumed that only patients with a C. burnetii infection during the epidemic period were at risk for CQF. We divided them among high, middle, and low QF incidence areas using small geographic areas (4-digit postal code) and used incidence rates of QF notifications during the epidemic period for each incidence area. To adjust for underreporting, we multiplied the incidence rates by 12.6 (7). In the high CQF prevalence scenario, we assumed that all patients who seroconverted after the epidemic can develop CQF. For this scenario, we used larger geographic areas (3-digit postal code areas) and C. burnetii seroprevalences for each incidence area from the literature (24,25).

In the second step, we estimated the risk for CQF using targeted screening studies for CQF conducted during or immediately after the epidemic (Appendix Table 4) (9–11,26,27). In the third step, we base the adjustment of the CQF prevalence from directly after the epidemic to the year of screening for the low CQF prevalence scenario on the reduction of CQF patients in the national CQF database over time (28). For the high prevalence scenario, we estimated this adjustment factor on the risk for CQF among patients with a heart valve disorder in studies conducted immediately after the outbreak (9,10) and a study conducted in 2016–2017 (29) (Appendix).

Detection Rate of Screening and Regular Care
We assumed a participation rate in the screening program of 50%, which is the lower bound of previous targeted screening programs for CQF in the Netherlands (10,27,30). The prevalence of CQF was assumed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Prevalence scenarios explored in a study of the cost-effectiveness of screening for CQF, the Netherlands, 2017*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parameter</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk for <em>Coxiella burnetii</em> infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High incidence area, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle incidence area, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low incidence area, %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk for CQF after <em>C. burnetii</em> infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustment factor to account for reduction of CQF prevalence from directly after epidemic (2010–2012) to year of screening (2017)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The epidemic period was 2007–2010. CQF, chronic Q fever.
to be equal between participating and nonparticipating persons; hence, the participation rate affects only the number of CQF patients detected but not the cost-effectiveness of screening. We obtained sensitivity and specificity of ELISA from the literature; these values accounted for decreasing sensitivity over time after infection (31) (Appendix Table 5). CQF patients with high IgG against phase I were assumed to also have high IgG against phase II (C.C.H. Wielders, unpub. data [32]), which implies that all CQF patients test positive with ELISA. In the second screening round using IFA, patients with an IgG ≥1:512 against phase I were clinically evaluated. The detection rate of CQF in regular care is unknown; we used a detection rate of 80% for proven CQF, 50% for probable CQF, and 10% for possible CQF.

Outcome Probabilities
We estimated outcome probabilities using data from the national CQF database (Appendix Table 6). This database contains information about 439 CQF patients in the Netherlands, of whom 249 had proven, 74 had probable, and 116 had possible CQF (6). To estimate the effectiveness of screening, we stratified the outcome data between CQF patients detected by regular healthcare (358 patients) and CQF patients detected by screening (78 patients). Proven CQF patients detected through screening had a 4.0 (95% CI 3.3–4.7) times lower risk for an early complication, 2.8 (95% CI 2.2–3.3) times lower risk for surgery, and 1.8 (95% CI 1.1–2.5) times lower risk for CQF-related death compared with proven CQF patients detected through regular care. The risk for a late complication did not differ significantly (risk ratio 0.7 [95% CI 0.1–1.4]) and was assumed to be equal between screening and regular care. For probable CQF patients, outcome probabilities were not significantly lower for screened patients than for patients identified through regular care. To avoid overestimation of the effect of screening, we conservatively assumed no effectiveness of screening for probable CQF patients and explored a scenario in which probable CQF patients benefit from screening in the sensitivity analysis. No clinical events were assumed in possible CQF patients (6). For undetected CQF patients, we used a higher risk for a late complication and death than for patients found through regular care.

QALYs and Costs
We estimated QALYs by multiplying the utility value associated with a certain health status by the years lived in that status. We obtained utility data for CQF-related complications from the literature (33–36) (Appendix Table 7). We applied a disutility for antimicrobial treatment (37,38). Average life expectancies of patients with premature CQF-related death were obtained from the national CQF database (6) (Appendix Table 8). For patients without premature CQF-related death, we assumed life expectancy to be half the life expectancy of a person at that age from the general population (39). We also obtained utility values for the general population from the literature (40) (Appendix).

We calculated costs in 2016 Euros (Appendix Table 9). Direct healthcare costs include costs of screening, diagnostic procedures, surgical procedures, antimicrobial drugs, specialist consultations, and lifelong costs of chronic complications. According to the national cost-effectiveness guideline (41), indirect healthcare costs (healthcare costs unrelated to CQF in life-years gained) should be taken into account, which we estimated using a prespecified tool (42). Because guidelines from other countries do not consider indirect healthcare costs, we show results without including indirect healthcare costs in the sensitivity analysis. Direct nonhealthcare costs include travel costs, and indirect nonhealthcare costs include productivity losses resulting from work absence (Appendix).

Cost-effectiveness and Sensitivity Analysis
We calculated the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) of screening versus no screening by dividing the difference in costs by the difference in QALYs. We conducted a multivariate probabilistic sensitivity analysis using 10,000 simulations in which we varied a set of parameters at the same time within their uncertainty distributions. We conducted univariate sensitivity analyses, in which we varied several parameters one by one.

Results
CQF Prevalence
Depending on the size of the areas, 12% of the population (3-digit postal codes) or 16% of the population (4-digit postal codes) live in high QF incidence areas (Figure 2; Appendix Table 10). For the low CQF prevalence scenario, we estimated the number of C. burnetii infections at 42,143, resulting in 414 CQF patients directly after the epidemic and 102 CQF patients in the year of screening. For the high CQF prevalence scenario, the number of C. burnetii-infected persons was estimated to be 391,188, resulting in 3,842 CQF patients directly after the epidemic and 1,844 CQF patients in 2017. We also stratified the population by risk factor (Appendix Table 11). The prevalence of CQF varied substantially among risk groups and by
residence area (Table 2); the highest prevalence occurred in cardiovascular risk patients living in high incidence areas (Appendix Table 12).

Clinical Impact
We determined the number of CQF patients and prevented clinical events for each subgroup (Table 3, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/18-1772-T3.htm; Appendix Tables 13, 14). Most CQF-related events are prevented by screening of cardiovascular risk groups living in high incidence areas. At an assumed participation rate of 50%, 8 complications, 4 surgeries, and 2 premature deaths are prevented for the low CQF prevalence scenario and 105 complications, 54 surgeries, and 26 premature deaths for the high CQF prevalence scenario. Screening of immunocompromised patients or all adults >60 years of age living in high-risk incidence areas, or screening of cardiovascular risk groups in middle-incidence areas, also could prevent a substantial number of clinical events.

Cost-effectiveness
We determined the incremental costs, incremental QALYs, and ICERs for each subgroup (Table 3; Appendix Tables 15–17). The ICER of screening of cardiovascular risk groups living in high QF incidence areas was €31,737 per QALY for the low CQF prevalence scenario and cost-saving for the high CQF prevalence scenario. The next most cost-effective strategy would be screening of immunocompromised patients living in high incidence areas; ICERs were €66,145 per QALY for the low CQF prevalence scenario and €2,312 per QALY for the high CQF prevalence scenario. The ICER of screening for cardiovascular risk groups would increase substantially outside the high QF incidence area. For the high CQF prevalence scenario, the ICER increased from cost-saving to €12,929 per QALY in middle QF incidence areas and to €34,912 per QALY in low QF incidence areas. The ICER of screening for adults >60 years of age with an unknown risk factor living in high QF incidence areas was €679,136 per QALY in the low CQF prevalence scenario and €69,208 per QALY in the high CQF prevalence scenario. Screening of adults 18–59 years of age with an unknown risk factor was at least €8 million per QALY.

Sensitivity Analysis
We conducted a multivariate probabilistic sensitivity analysis (Figure 3; Appendix Figure 2). In the low
CQF prevalence scenario, screening of cardiovascular risk patients living in high incidence areas had a 3.1% chance of an ICER <€20,000 per QALY and 92.5% chance of an ICER <€50,000 per QALY (Figure 3, panel A). In the high CQF prevalence scenario, screening had a 54.4% chance of being cost-saving and 100% chance of an ICER <€20,000 per QALY (Figure 3, panel B) for this subgroup.

The ICER was most sensitive to the lifetime costs of complications, the life expectancy of CQF patients, and the effectiveness of the screening program. For the low CQF prevalence scenario, the ICER varied from €17,561 to €63,449 per QALY (Figure 3, panel C). Adding the effectiveness of screening for probable CQF patients changed the ICER from €31,737 to €29,585 per QALY. Exclusion of indirect healthcare costs reduced the ICER to €25,681 per QALY (ICERs without the inclusion of indirect healthcare costs of other subgroups are shown in Appendix Table 18). Adding additional program costs of €11.36 per participant increased the ICER to €53,639 per QALY. For the high CQF prevalence scenario, the ICER remained cost-saving in most scenarios explored, and the highest ICER found was €1,903 per QALY (Figure 3, panel D).

**Discussion**

We assessed the cost-effectiveness of a 1-time screening program for CQF in the Netherlands 7 years after a large QF epidemic. Cost-effectiveness varied substantially among areas and risk groups, and the results are highly sensitive to the prevalence of CQF. In a high CQF prevalence scenario, screening of cardiovascular risk patients living in high QF incidence areas during the epidemic was estimated cost-saving, whereas in a low CQF prevalence scenario the ICER was €31,737 per QALY for this subgroup. We found substantially higher ICERs for screening in areas with lower QF incidence during the epidemic or for screening of adults with an unknown risk factor for CQF.

A limitation is that the true prevalence of CQF 7 years after the epidemic is unknown. This prevalence can be affected by many factors, such as death from CQF or other causes, earlier diagnosis in regular care, and the background QF incidence after the epidemic. To account for uncertainty in CQF prevalence, we conducted a low and high CQF prevalence analysis. The estimated 42,000 new *C. burnetii* infections and 411 CQF patients during or after the epidemic low

![Figure 3. Sensitivity analysis of a screening program for CQF 7 years after the 2007–2010 epidemic, the Netherlands. A, B) Results of the multivariate probabilistic sensitivity analysis of screening in various target groups for a low CQF prevalence scenario (A) and a high CQF prevalence scenario (B). C, D) Results of a univariate sensitivity analysis of screening for chronic Q fever in patients with CVRFs living in high incidence areas for a low CQF prevalence scenario (C) and a high CQF prevalence scenario (D). CQF, chronic Q fever; CVRF, cardiovascular risk factor; IA, incidence area; IC, immunocompromised; ICER, incremental cost-effectiveness ratio; IFA, immunofluorescence assay; QALY, quality-adjusted life year; RF, risk factor.](#)
CQF prevalence scenario estimated correspond with previous estimates from the literature (7) or CQF patients included in the national database until May 2016 (6). However, these numbers are thought to be the absolute minimum. Only 23% of the proven CQF patients had a diagnosed acute QF episode (6), and a postmortem study among patients with a history of heart valve surgery in the epidemic area indicates that CQF possibly contributed to the death in 15% of the patients (9). The high CQF prevalence scenario could be the upper range because it does not account for preexisting immunity from before the epidemic. It is therefore likely that the true prevalence falls within the reported ranges.

Recent seroprevalence studies performed outside high QF incidence areas are lacking. Underreporting of QF could be higher in these areas because medical doctors are less familiar with QF symptoms (7). Furthermore, the geographic division between high, middle, and low QF incidence areas is arbitrary. Persons could be infected while traveling, and the extent to which farms with positive bulk milk samples contribute to disease spread is uncertain because 1 infected goat could yield a positive result.

The effectiveness of screening on the prevention of CQF-related complications and premature death is not well documented. We estimated the effectiveness by comparing outcome data between patients detected by screening and by regular care. We did this comparison separately for different CQF categories (proven, probable, or possible), but the effectiveness of screening can still be biased by uncontrolled confounders, such as age and presence of underlying conditions. The effectiveness of antimicrobial treatment for CQF has never been assessed in a randomized clinical trial. Surgery is known to have a positive effect on survival of CQF patients with vascular infection (3).

Our cost-effectiveness analysis is based on data from several sources in the Netherlands, such as spatial data on notifications of acute QF, seroprevalence data of C. burnetii infections, risk factor–specific probabilities of CQF given infection, and clinical data from a large number of CQF patients. However, combining data from different sources could also introduce biases when study populations do not exactly overlap or screening studies are conducted at different time-points.

Results of our study could also be relevant for other countries, where CQF also might be underreported. For instance, the seroprevalence of C. burnetii infection in the United States was estimated at 3.1% (43), representing millions of infections and potentially thousands of CQF cases, but no high numbers of CQF have been reported. An explanation may be that C. burnetii infections in the United States originate from cattle. The C. burnetii strains circulating in cattle differ from and are considered less pathogenic than the strains in small ruminants (3). In France, however, C. burnetii causes 5% of all endocarditis (44), and in Israel, C. burnetii infection was found in 9% of patients undergoing valve surgical procedure caused by endocarditis (45).

Cost-effectiveness is not the only criterion in deciding whether a screening program is justified (12). Screening for CQF is based on an antibody profile suggesting a chronic infection but cannot always be linked to a focus of infection (probable or possible CQF patients). Therefore, physicians must make difficult decisions about whether long-term antimicrobial treatment should be initiated when the outcome is uncertain and adverse events frequently occur. Raoult (46) has recently proposed alternative definition criteria for CQF from the consensus guideline in the Netherlands; these criteria could exclude most probable and possible CQF patients from follow-up but also may be less sensitive in the diagnosis of proven CQF (47).

When screening for CQF would be limited to subgroups for which screening is most cost-effective, a substantial proportion of CQF patients will remain undetected. Serologic follow-up for patients with acute QF is therefore recommended, even in absence of a risk factor for CQF (32). However, compliance with this recommendation was suboptimal during the epidemic (48), and many patients experience an acute infection asymptomatically or do not have the infection diagnosed. Alongside a standalone screening program, case finding could be implemented in regular care, in which the physician decides whether a patient should be screened according to a risk profile. Also, a combination of case-finding and screening programs among high-risk groups could be initiated; this approach has also been suggested for hepatitis B and hepatitis C (49).
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Unique Clindamycin-Resistant \textit{Clostridioides difficile} Strain Related to Fluoroquinolone-Resistant Epidemic BI/RT027 Strain

Andrew M. Skinner, Laurica Petrella, Farida Siddiqui, Susan P. Sambol, Christopher A. Gulvik, Dale N. Gerding, Curtis J. Donskey, Stuart Johnson

During a surveillance study of patients in a long-term care facility and the affiliated acute care hospital in the United States, we identified a \textit{Clostridioides difficile} strain related to the epidemic PCR ribotype (RT) 027 strain associated with hospital outbreaks of severe disease. Fifteen patients were infected with this strain, characterized as restriction endonuclease analysis group DQ and RT591. Like RT027, DQ/RT591 contained genes for toxin B and binary toxin CDT and a \textit{tcdC} gene of identical sequence. Whole-genome sequencing and multilocus sequence typing showed that DQ/RT591 is a member of the same multilocus sequence typing clade 2 as RT027 but in a separate cluster. DQ/RT591 produced a similar cytopathic effect as RT027 but showed delayed toxin production in vitro. DQ/RT591 was susceptible to moxifloxacin but highly resistant to clindamycin. Continued surveillance is warranted for this clindamycin-resistant strain that is related to the fluoroquinolone-resistant epidemic RT027 strain.

As the leading cause of healthcare-associated infectious diarrhea and colitis, \textit{Clostridioides} (formerly \textit{Clostridium}) \textit{difficile} continues to affect patients in hospitals and extended-care facilities in the United States (1–3). Among the numerous \textit{C. difficile} strains, none have been more important to the healthcare community at large than the strain characterized as toxinotype III, restriction endonuclease analysis (REA) group BI, PCR ribotype (RT) 027, and sequence type (ST) 1, also known as pulsed-field gel electrophoresis type NAP1 (4,5). Because the BI/RT027 strain has been associated with numerous healthcare facility outbreaks and an increasing number of illnesses and deaths, it has been called hypervirulent (6). Factors that potentially contribute to increased virulence for this strain include increased sporulation, particular DNA gyrase mutations, the ability to survive in hostile environments, and increased toxin production (7–9).

The pathogenicity locus of BI/RT027 includes a characteristic 18-bp deletion and a single-base deletion at position 117 of the \textit{tcdC} gene (4). These mutations result in a truncated TcdC protein that is rendered nonfunctional, leading to a lack of regulation of the \textit{tcdA} and \textit{tcdB} genes and potentially increased toxin A and B production (4). Virulence may be further affected by the binary toxin (CDT), which is coded for by genes located outside the pathogenicity locus, and its proposed role is to increase adherence of the bacterium to the epithelium (4,10).

Presumptive detection of the BI/RT027 strain by the Xpert \textit{C. difficile} EPI assay (Cepheid, https://www.cepheid.com) is based on PCR amplification of targets, including the deletion at position 117 of the \textit{tcdC} gene (4). These mutations result in a truncated TcdC protein that is rendered nonfunctional, leading to a lack of regulation of the \textit{tcdA} and \textit{tcdB} genes and potentially increased toxin A and B production (4). Virulence may be further affected by the binary toxin (CDT), which is coded for by genes located outside the pathogenicity locus, and its proposed role is to increase adherence of the bacterium to the epithelium (4,10).

In 2012, during a surveillance study at 2 US Veteran Affairs (VA) long-term care facilities (LTCFs) and their affiliated acute care facilities, we detected a clonal \textit{C. difficile} outbreak at 1 of the LTCFs and the affiliated acute care facility (15). The organism was initially identified as the epidemic strain BI/RT027 after it tested positive by Xpert \textit{C. difficile} Epi assay.
(15). However, further analysis identified this strain as REA group DQ and RT591. We report the microbiological and molecular characterization of this strain and the clinical findings of the infected patients.

Methods
During February 2012–August 2012, we obtained swab specimens from the perirectal area of asymptomatic LTCF patients at 2 VA facilities in Cleveland, Ohio, and Chicago, Illinois, USA, as part of a validation study comparing PCR using the Xpert C. difficile Epi assay and culture (15). In addition, fecal specimens from symptomatic patients with C. difficile infection (CDI) were cultured for C. difficile as part of a larger surveillance study of C. difficile in each LTCF and the associated acute care facility at these 2 sites (16). Specimens obtained from the perirectal area using BD BBL CultureSwabs (Becton Dickinson, https://www.bd.com) and submitted fecal specimens from symptomatic patients were cultured anaerobically on selective media as previously described (15). We reviewed medical records to obtain information about demographics, medical conditions, medications, CDI treatment, and outcomes. The severity of CDI and determination of initial versus recurrent cases were classified in accordance with the Infectious Diseases Society of America and Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America C. difficile infection guidelines (17). The institutional review board of each hospital approved the study protocol.

REA
Recovered C. difficile isolates were first subjected to typing by REA. Using the methods provided by Clabots et al. (18), total cellular DNA was purified and subjected to HindIII restriction enzyme digestion and electrophoresis. The resulting banding patterns were then compared with a known database. All isolates representing a newly recognized REA type that corresponded to a presumptive BI/RT027 identified by the Xpert C. difficile Epi assay were subjected to PCR ribotyping, whole-genome sequencing (WGS), multilocus sequence typing (MLST), and PCR amplification of cdtA, cdtB, tcdA, and tcdB and an 18-bp deletion in tcdC. Sequencing of tcdC (1 isolate), toxinotyping (1 isolate), toxin production in vitro (1 isolate per REA strain group), and antimicrobial susceptibility testing (6 isolates) were performed on selected isolates.

PCR Ribotyping
We characterized recovered C. difficile isolates using high-resolution capillary gel electrophoresis–based PCR ribotyping. We analyzed these isolates against a library of standard profiles, as described previously in the internationally validated consensus protocol from Fawley et al. (19).

Gene Analysis of Binary Toxin CDT, Toxins A and B, and the Negative Toxin Regulator
We conducted PCR amplification of cdtA, cdtB, tcdA, and tcdB and an 18-bp deletion in tcdC on all isolates identified as BI/RT027 and DQ/RT591 as previously described (20). In addition, by using primers previously described by Rupnik et al. (21), we amplified cdtB and tcdB by PCR to confirm the presence of CDT and toxin B on a representative DQ/RT591 isolate followed by amplification and sequencing of the tcdC gene. A full-length tcdC PCR was performed using the following primers to produce a 910-bp product: forward primer ACTGTTTATTTGCAAT- TATAAAAACATCT; reverse primer TTACIT- TATTGTAAATATTAGGT. PCR amplicons were gel purified, sequenced, and compared with BI and strain 630.

Toxinotyping
We conducted toxinotyping on a representative DQ/RT591 isolate by performing restriction fragment-length polymorphism PCR of the B1 and A3 fragment. We assessed for variation in the first 3-kbp of tcdB and a repetitive 3-kbp fragment in tcdA (21).

WGS and MLST
We conducted WGS using a Nextera kit (Illumina, https://www.illumina.com) to prepare genomic DNA libraries and sequenced the RT591 organism using an Illumina MiSeq producing 2×250-bp read sets in accordance with the manufacturer’s protocols. Reads were filtered with SolexaQA++ 3.1 by dynamic trimming bases lower than Phred 30 and discarding reads <50-bp long. (22) We then assembled high-fidelity filtered reads into contigs at least 500 bp long with SPAdes 3.6.2 (22,23). Isolates that met the following 5 molecular testing criteria (positive for cdtA, cdtB, tcdA, tcdB and an 18-bp deletion in tcdC) were then analyzed by genomewide average nucleotide identity (ANI). We computed pairwise ANI values between genomes from NUCmer v3.1 alignments aided by PyANI version 0.1 (24). Pairwise identity values were sorted into a 2-dimensional matrix with pandas version 0.15.2 in Python, and a heatmap of identity values with hierarchical clustering linkages was visualized with gplots version 2.16.0 in R (25). DQ/RT591 genomes also had single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) identified with Parsnp version 1.3.
We retrieved all STs on PubMLST’s webserver (https://pubmlst.org/cdifficile) (351 as of June 28, 2016) to compare distances among STs. The database of profiles was based on the first scheme created for C. difficile, which uses the loci of adk, atpA, dxr, glyA, recA, sodA, and tpi. Of the 3,501-bp length for each ST, 524 positions were found to have a nucleotide variant among the 351 STs. The variable sites were given to RAxML version 8.1.17 with the generalized time-reversible substitution model, and the tree was visualized in Figtree version 1.4.2 (26).

**In Vitro Toxin Production and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing**

We determined toxin production on C. difficile isolate supernatants of representative isolates of 5 different REA group strains after 24, 48, and 72 hours of growth in brain heart infusion broth media (27). Toxin concentrations were determined by enzyme immunoassay (C. difficile toxA/B II ELIA; TechLab, https://www.techlab.com) and interpolation from a standard curve using a toxin A standard of known concentration. Assays were performed in triplicate on a representative DQ isolate and compared with supernatants from toxigenic strains BI (RT027), J (RT001), AF (RT244), and a nontoxigenic strain, REA group T. A qualitative cytotoxin analysis was performed on the representative isolate supernatants using human fibroblast cells (Bartels cytotoxicity assay; Trinity Biotech, https://trinitylifesciences.com). We determined antimicrobial susceptibilities by Etest (bioMérieux, https://www.biomerieux-usa.com) for moxifloxacin, ceftriaxone, azithromycin, and clindamycin on taurocholate fructose agar plates (28,29). We confirmed the susceptibility results for moxifloxacin and clindamycin by testing 5 additional unique strains of BI and DQ recovered from patients at the VA site where the DQ outbreak occurred. We used Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines for resistance cutoff values (30,31).

**Statistical Analysis**

We compared characteristics and outcomes of patients colonized or infected with BI/NAP1/027 and other strain types with those of patients colonized or infected with DQ/591 strains. Student t-test was used for normally distributed data and Fisher exact test for categorical data. We analyzed data using SPSS Statistics 10.0 (IBM, https://www.ibm.com).

**Results**

REA group BI strains were the most common strains recovered from both VA sites and accounted for 33 (40%) of the 83 isolates at the Cleveland site. In addition, 16 (19%) of the isolates from 15 Cleveland patients were identified as REA strain DQ, even though the corresponding Xpert C. difficile EPI assay results indicated the presence of the NAP1 strain (i.e., REA group BI). No DQ strains were found at the Chicago site.

We compared baseline characteristics and outcomes of the 15 patients with fecal cultures positive for the DQ strain with those of the 22 patients with BI/NAP1/027 strains and 27 with other strain types (Table). Ten (67%) of the 15 patients with the DQ strain were LTCF residents, 4 (27%) were on the spinal cord injury unit, and 1 was hospitalized on a medical ward. Of the 7 patients with CDI caused by DQ strains, 3 (43%) met criteria for severe CDI, but none had fulminating CDI. All 7 CDI cases were healthcare associated; 3 of these patients had onset in the hospital, and 4 had onset in the LTCF. In all patients with CDI caused by DQ strains, diarrhea resolved with therapy, but CDI recurred in 3. Patients colonized or infected with DQ strains were significantly more likely than those with BI/NAP1/027 or other strain types to be LTCF residents and to have received antimicrobial drugs during the past 90 days. Patients with other strain types were significantly less likely than patients with DQ strains to have a recent intensive care unit admission, to have healthcare-associated CDI, or to die within 6 months after the CDI diagnosis.

The HindIII REA banding patterns differed between the DQ and BI strains (Figure 1, panel A). The PCR ribotype patterns were more similar, except for the RT591 pattern (DQ), which was missing 2 major bands present in RT027 pattern (BI) (Figure 1, panel B).

PCR amplification of tcdB and cdtB in a representative DQ isolate indicated the presence of genes for toxin B and binary toxin CDT, consistent with the profile of the epidemic BI/RT027 strain (data not shown). In addition, amplification and sequencing of the tcdC gene showed complete alignment with tcdC from a reference BI strain, both of which contained an 18-bp deletion from positions 316 to 333 and a single base deletion at position 117 that resulted in a stop codon at position 196, unlike the reference C. difficile strain, 630 (data not shown) (32). The similarities to the BI/RT027 strain were further validated because toxotyping indicated the DQ/591 strain was toxotype III (data not shown).

WGS and MLST results showed that, although the strains are closely related and reside within the same clade (MLST clade 2), they form a separate cluster (Figure 2). ANI showed a clear separation of the BI/027 and DQ/591 isolates at the whole genome level.
The DQ/RT591 strain was highly resistant to clindamycin (MIC >256 μg/mL) and azithromycin (MIC >256 μg/mL) but was susceptible to moxifloxacin (MIC range 1–2 μg/mL) and ceftriaxone (MIC 16 μg/mL). BI/RT027 was resistant to moxifloxacin (MIC >32 μg/mL) and azithromycin (MIC >256 μg/mL) and variably resistant to clindamycin (MIC range 6–32 μg/mL). Furthermore, WGS revealed that all DQ/RT591 isolates contained a variant ermB sequence known to confer clindamycin resistance (33).

Discussion

During a surveillance study of C. difficile in asymptomatic LTCF patients and symptomatic patients in the affiliated acute care hospitals at 2 VA facilities (16), we detected a clonal outbreak of a newly recognized C. difficile strain at the Cleveland facility. This strain, identified as REA group DQ, ribotype 591, is closely related to the BI/RT027 strain, and a commercial PCR erroneously identified it as the epidemic NAP1 strain (i.e., BI/RT027). The strain was misidentified as NAP1 by Xpert C. difficile PCR (Cepheid) because of the similar genetic findings within the C. difficile pathogenicity locus, and the presence of the binary toxin CDT gene cdtB (33). Our findings and previous reports of other non-RT027 strains show that these genetic targets are not specific to NAP1 (12,13). Even though the outbreak of RT244 in Melbourne, Australia, was misidentified as NAP1 (RT027) by the same commercial PCR, it was associated with severe disease in the infected patients (12), suggesting the possibility of shared virulence determinants.

DQ/RT591 and BI/RT027 share several characteristics, including tcdB, cdtB, the 18-bp and position 117 tcdC deletions, and a similar cytotoxic phenotype. Despite these shared genetic and phenotypic characteristics, in vitro toxin production appeared
Unique Clindamycin-Resistant *C. difficile* Strain

Figure 1. Comparison of the molecular characteristics of *Clostridioides difficile* strain DQ/591 and the epidemic BI/027 strain in study of *C. difficile* at 2 US Veteran Affairs long-term care facilities and their affiliated acute care facilities. The *HindIII* REA (A) and PCR ribotype (B) banding patterns were distinct between REA strain DQ/RT591 and REA strain BI/RT027. Molar weight markers (in kb) are shown adjacent to the REA gel pattern. An internal spiked LIZ 1200 standard was used for fragment length calibrations (in bp) of the PCR ribotype gel patterns. REA, restriction endonuclease analysis.
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to be delayed and somewhat lower in the DQ/RT591 strain than in BI/RT027. The clinical manifestations of the patients colonized or infected with DQ/RT591 were not unusual and did not differ substantially from those of patients with BI/NAP1/027 and other strain types in the cohort reported here. In nearly half (47%) of the patients, symptoms developed that were consistent with CDI. Although no fulminant CDI cases or deaths directly related to *C. difficile* were recognized, in CDI that developed because of DQ/591, 43% were classified as severe CDI in accordance with Infectious Diseases Society of America and Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America guidelines. Likewise, no fulminant CDI cases or CDI-related deaths were recognized among the patients infected with BI/RT027 during this same study. However, all-cause mortality was lower for persons with non-DQ/RT591 and non-BI/RT027 infections. All the documented transmission events occurred in the BI/RT027 patients (34). Despite the fact that all the CDI cases caused by DQ/RT591 were healthcare associated, WGS did not identify any transmission events between patients because core genome SNP differences were >8 among DQ isolates (Appendix Figure 3) (34).

All patients in whom DQ/RT591 was confirmed had received antimicrobial drugs within 90 days before testing, and all patients with a CDI were classified as having a healthcare-associated infection; nearly all cases occurred in the LTCF or spinal cord injury unit. No specific antimicrobial drug was highly associated with infection by this strain. Fluoroquinolones have been associated with CDI outbreaks with the BI/RT027 strain, which is highly resistant to fluoroquinolones in vitro (35). Among the DQ/RT591- and BI/RT027-infected patients, receipt of fluoroquinolones or
clindamycin was limited. Despite high-level resistance to clindamycin in vitro and the presence of \textit{ermB} in DQ/RT591, only 1 patient infected with this strain had received clindamycin.

Our experience was limited, but DQ/RT591 did not appear to carry the same level of clinical severity that BI/RT027 has exhibited (6). This difference in severity might be attributable to delayed toxin production in vivo (Figure 3). Although increased toxin production has been proposed as the reason for increased virulence with the BI/RT027 strain (4,29), increased toxin production was not demonstrated for the AF/RT244 strain, which nevertheless was associated with an outbreak of increased severity in Australia (12). AF/RT244 also shows a different cytopathic effect than BI/027, which suggests the presence of a variant toxin B in AF/RT244 (4,12). Factors associated with increased virulence associated with these strains are still incompletely defined.

Because REA DQ/RT591 is closely related to BI/027, further monitoring is required to determine whether this strain carries risk for increased illness and death or has the capability of widespread dissemination. Since we completed this work, RT591 was reported as the most prevalent \textit{C. difficile} strain in 3 tertiary hospitals in Colombia. These RT591 isolates were also mostly resistant to clindamycin (85%) (36).
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Coronaviruses (CoVs) cause respiratory and gastrointestinal diseases in diverse host species. Deltacoronaviruses (DCoVs) have been identified in various songbird species and in leopard cats in China. In 2009, porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) was detected in fecal samples from pigs in Asia, but its etiologic role was not identified until 2014, when it caused major diarrhea outbreaks in swine in the United States. Studies have shown that PDCoV uses a conserved region of the aminopeptidase N protein to infect cell lines derived from multiple species, including humans, pigs, and chickens. Because PDCoV is a potential zoonotic pathogen, investigations of its prevalence in humans and its contribution to human disease continue. We report experimental PDCoV infection and subsequent transmission among poultry. In PDCoV-inoculated chicks and turkey pouls, we observed diarrhea, persistent viral RNA titers from cloacal and tracheal samples, PDCoV-specific serum IgY antibody responses, and antigen-positive cells from intestines.

Coronaviruses (CoVs) cause respiratory and gastrointestinal disease in humans, poultry, swine, and cattle. CoVs (family Nidovirales, subfamily Coronaviridae, subfamily Coronavirinae) are composed of 4 genera, Alphacoronavirus, Betacoronavirus, Gammacoronavirus, and Deltacoronavirus (1). Viruses from each CoV genus have been detected in diverse host species, but gammacoronaviruses and deltacoronaviruses (DCoVs) have been isolated primarily in birds (2). Two members of the Gammacoronavirus genus, transmissible gastroenteritis coronavirus (TGEV) and porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV), cause severe diarrhea in swine. TGEV and PEDV infections have caused severe economic losses in many countries, including the United States (3–5). The Betacoronavirus genus includes the notable human pathogens OC43, HKU1, severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) CoV, and Middle East respiratory syndrome (MERS) CoV, which mostly cause respiratory symptoms (6–9). Gammacoronavirus includes avian enteric coronavirus and infectious bronchitis virus that mainly infect avian species (10). DCoVs previously were identified primarily in multiple songbird species and in leopard cats (Prionailurus bengalensis) (11).

Porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) was initially detected in 2009 in fecal samples from pigs in Asia, but its etiologic role was not identified until 2014, when it caused diarrhea in pigs in the United States (11,12). The origin of PDCoV is unknown, but because of the widespread prevalence of DCoV in songbird species and genomic similarities, researchers suspect that PDCoV may have originated from an ancestral avian DCoV (11).

In experiments, PDCoV caused diarrhea and gut lesions in infected piglets (13,14). It was detected in pigs in Hong Kong in 2012 (11) and the United States in February 2014 (15–17). Although not considered as deadly to pigs as PEDV (13,18), PDCoV continues to circulate and cause illness in swine herds worldwide. How PDCoV emerged in swine and how it spreads remain unknown.

In vitro studies have shown that PDCoV utilizes a conserved region of the protein aminopeptidase N (APN) gene to infect cell lines derived from multiple species, including humans, pigs, and chickens (19). As a potentially emerging zoonotic pathogen, studies of PDCoV prevalence in humans and its contribution to human disease are ongoing. The ability of PDCoV to infect cells of multiple species and cause illness and death in pigs makes it a priority pathogen that should be studied further.
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In vivo studies could validate cell culture susceptibility findings and determine whether PDCoV causes infection and disease in species other than pigs. Virus cross-species transmission among hosts plays a major role in the evolution and diversification of viruses, appearing in many instances to be preferential to coevolving within an initial host (20). CoVs already have demonstrated a propensity for crossing species barriers, both in animal-to-animal spread and animal-to-human spread. Initial evidence of CoVs jumping from mammalian to avian species was reported from bovine CoV infecting turkeys but not chickens (21,22). As a zoonotic CoV transmission, SARS-CoV is believed to have jumped from bats or palm civets (Paguma larvata) to humans in 2002, causing 8,098 cases in 37 countries and 774 deaths (11). MERS-CoV emerged more recently, jumping from dromedary camels (Camelus dromedarius) to humans, and has caused 1,879 cases of respiratory illness in humans and 666 deaths (10).

Understanding how cross-species transmission of CoVs occurs is critical to our ability to predict which viruses might be on the verge of SARS- or MERS-like pandemics. In addition, studies of CoV cross-species transmission can inform development of novel therapeutics and strategies to combat CoVs in susceptible animal hosts before they pose an imminent human health threat. We conducted experiments to determine the prevalence of PDCoV infection in and transmissibility among poultry.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals**

We obtained 25 fourteen-day-old chickens (Gallus gallus domesticus) and 25 fourteen-day-old turkey poults (Meleagris gallopavo) from the specific pathogen–free flock of the Ohio Agricultural Research and Development Center of The Ohio State University (Wooster, Ohio, USA). This flock has no prior exposure to swine or to PDCoV, PEDV, or TGEV. After acclimating in Biosafety Level 2 (BSL-2) facilities for 1 day, all birds appeared healthy with no evidence of diarrhea or other clinical signs. Animal protocols used in this study were approved by the Institutional Laboratory Animal Care and Use Committee of The Ohio State University.

**Titration of PDCoV**

We determined PDCoV titers from intestinal contents of pigs by 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID\textsubscript{50}) assay (23). We seeded LLC porcine kidney (LLC-PK) cells at 5 × 10^4 cells/well in a 96-well plate (BD Biosciences, https://www.bdbiosciences.com). We washed 100% confluent monolayers once with 200 µL of maintenance media (MM): minimal essential medium (MEM), 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazinethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), GlutaMAX (GIBCO, https://www.thermofisher.com) consisting of MEM with 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution, 1% nonessential amino acids, and 1% HEPES. We inoculated 100 µL from 10-fold dilutions of PDCoV in 8 replicates per dilution. Each plate included 1 row of negative control MM only with 5 µg/mL of Trypsin (Corning, https://www.corning.com). After absorption for 1 h, we added another 100 µL of MM with 5 µg/mL of Trypsin to each well. We monitored cytopathic effects for 3–7 days, calculated virus titers after immunofluorescent (IF) staining by using the Reed-Muench method (24), and expressed results as log\textsubscript{10} TCID\textsubscript{50}/mL (23).

**Study Design**

Birds were floor housed in a temperature-controlled BSL-2 containment room with wood litter shavings and provided ad libitum access to food and water. In consecutive experiments of poults and chicks, we randomly divided the flock of 25 birds into 2 groups, 15 uninfected and 10 infected birds. Each group was housed separately and inoculated through the choanal cleft. The uninfected group was inoculated with 200 µL of unfiltered, undiluted small intestine contents (SIC) from an uninfected gnotobiotic pig (GP-8). The infected group was inoculated with SIC from a PDCoV-infected pig (DC175) with 6.87 log\textsubscript{10} TCID\textsubscript{50}/mL. One poult in the uninfected group died before inoculation.

After inoculation, we observed chicks and poults for clinical signs 2 times each day. We scored fecal consistency as follows: 0, solid; 1, pasty; 2, semiliquid; and 3, liquid (25). We considered a fecal consistency score of >2 as diarrhea. At 2 days postinoculation (dpi), we randomly assigned 5 birds from each uninfected group as sentinels and allowed them to comingle with the infected group for the duration of the experiment. We recorded body weights and collected cloacal swab, tracheal swab, and serum samples at 2, 4, 7, 9, 11, and 14 dpi. Except for sentinel birds, we euthanized 2 chicks and 2 poults from each group at 3 and 7 dpi for blood and tissue collection. We concluded the study at 14 dpi and euthanized the remaining 33 birds, including sentinels, for blood and tissue collection.

**Serum Antibody Detection**

We modified and optimized an ELISA (26) to detect PDCoV-specific IgY antibodies in serum from
PDCoV-inoculated chicks and poult. We added 50 µL of serum diluted 1:1,000 to the PDCoV antigen-coated and mock antigen-coated wells and incubated for 90 m at 37°C, then added 100 µL of biotin-conjugated antichicken IgY (Invitrogen Goat anti-Chicken IgY [H+L] Secondary Antibody, Biotin; ThermoFisher, https://www.thermofisher.com) or biotin-conjugated antiturkey IgY (Goat Anti-Turkey IgY [H+L] Biotin pAb; Cell Sciences, https://www.cells Sciences.com) at a dilution of 1:10,000 and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. We added 100 µL of HRP-Conjugated Streptavidin (ThermoFisher) to each well at a dilution of 1:5,000 and incubated at 37°C for 1 h. We washed wells with phosphate buffered saline solution with 0.05% Tween-20 (×5) between each step. We added 3,3′,5,5′-tetramethylbenzidine substrate (SureBlue TMB 1-Component Microwell Peroxidase Substrate; Seracare, https://www.seracare.com), then added 100 µL of 0.3 mol/L sulfuric acid to stop the reaction. We read plates at an absorbance of 450 nm by using a SpectraMax F5 (Molecular Devices, https://www.molecular devices.com) plate reader. We conducted statistical analysis by using Prism software (GraphPad, https://www.graphpad.com). We used analysis of variance to compare multiple groups and a 1-tailed Student t-test to compare groups of 2.

Histopathology and IF Staining
We examined gross tissues from small intestines, duodenum to ileum, and large intestines, cecum and colon, as well as other organs, including bursa, lung, liver, kidney, proventriculus, and spleen, and then fixed tissues in 10% neutral formalin for 1–2 days at room temperature for histopathology (27). We embedded, sectioned, and then stained samples with hematoxylin and eosin for light microscopy examination. We measured mean jejunal or ileal ratios of villus height and crypt depth (VH:CD) by using MetaMorph software (MetaMorph, Inc., https://www.meta Morph-software.com), as described previously (18). We tested prepared tissues by IF staining to detect PDCoV antigen using a polyclonal rabbit antiserum against PDCoV (provided by E. Nelson, South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD, USA) (28). We also tested tissues from a PDCoV-infected pig for comparison.

Real-Time Reverse Transcription PCR
We suspended cloacal swabs, tracheal swabs, SIC, and large intestine contents (LIC) in 1–4 mL MEM as a 10% suspension. We extracted RNA by using GenCatch Viral RNA Miniprep Kit (Epoch Life Science, https://www.fishersci.com). We further processed samples containing fecal matter by using OneStep PCR Inhibitor Removal Kit (Zymo Research Corporation, https://www.zymoresearch.com).

We determined viral RNA titers by real-time reverse transcription-PCR (rRT-PCR), as reported previously (23). In brief, we amplified a 541-bp fragment of the M gene that covered the quantitative RT-PCR-amplified fragment. We designed 5′-CCCGTAATCGT-GTATCTATGT-3′ and 5′-CCGCGCTTTGAAGTGGTTAT-3′ primers according to the sequence of a strain from the United States, Illinois121/2014 (GenBank accession no. KJ481931). We purified the PCR products by using a QIAquick PCR Purification Kit (QIAGEN Inc., https://www.qiagen.com), sequenced, and then used these as the template to construct a quantitative RT-PCR standard curve. The detection limit of the rRT-PCR was 10 genomic equivalents (GEs)/reaction, which corresponds to 4.6 log_{10} GE/mL of PDCoV in cloacal and tracheal samples.

Results

Clinical Signs
By 2 dpi, 70% of infected chicks had diarrhea and fecal scores ≥2; that percentage decreased to 17% by 9 dpi (Table 1). By 14 dpi, most (5/6) of the remaining infected chicks had normal feces. The 5 uninfected sentinel chicks that cameled with the infected birds at 2 dpi demonstrated mild to moderate diarrhea 2 days after comingling (4 dpi); diarrhea peaked 5 days after comingling (7 dpi). At 14 dpi, only 2 sentinel chicks had abnormal feces. Two chicks in the uninfected group had transient diarrhea during the study but tested negative for known pathogens, including PDCoV.

In poult, 50% exhibited diarrhea at 2 dpi. During the study, the rate of diarrhea increased, and poult did not recover by 14 dpi. (Table 1). Sentinel poult began exhibiting mild to moderate diarrhea 5 days after comingling (7 dpi), and by 9 dpi, 60% were affected. At 14 dpi, all 5 sentinel poult had moderate diarrhea.

Two infected chicks necropsied at 3 dpi had distended gastrointestinal tracts containing a mixture of yellow liquid and gas. Similar but less extensive findings were seen in infected chicks at 7 dpi. No gross pathology was detected in infected chicks or sentinel chicks at 14 dpi. In necropsies of infected poult, we observed distended gastrointestinal tracts containing a mixture of yellow liquid and gas at all time points.

Weights
Birds were weighed before inoculation and then at 2, 4, 7, 9, 11, and 14 dpi. PDCoV infection greatly affected the chicks’ weight at 2 dpi (Figure 1, panel A). At
and 7 dpi, weight gain averages in infected chicks were comparable to those in uninfected birds, but at 9 and 11 dpi, infected chicks had gained much less weight than the uninfected chicks. By 14 dpi, infected chicks rebounded and showed compensatory weight gain at higher levels than uninfected chicks.

Poult weight gain responses differed from those of the chicks. At 2 dpi, infected poults were gaining weight at a much higher rate than uninfected poults (Figure 1, panel B). However, by 4 dpi, poult weight gain was severely curtailed; several lost weight, and the average weight gain for the infected group was 0.5 g, compared with almost 10 g for uninfected poults. By 7 dpi, the infected poults recovered and gained weight at a slightly higher, but not statistically significantly different, rate than the uninfected poults. This trend continued until the end of the study.

**Histopathology and IF Staining**

We examined tissue sections by using light microscopy. We noted suspect zymogen depletion in several poult groups in both the infected and uninfected groups, suggesting possible inanition. We conducted VH:CD measurements of the ileum and jejunum of intestinal tissues from chicks at 14 dpi (Table 2). Infected chicks had a VH:CD ratio of 4.26:1 compared with a ratio of 6.15:1 for uninfected chicks. The VH:CD ratio was lower in sentinel chicks than in infected chicks but the difference was not statistically significant.

We could not obtain enough measurements for poult tissues to provide accurate comparisons. IF tissue staining in infected poult groups demonstrated PDCoV antigen detectable in the epithelial cells lining the villi of the jejunum, although at reduced levels from the ileum and from infected porcine tissue (Figure 2, panel A). We also detected PDCoV antigen in numerous epithelial cells that had sloughed off and remained in the lumen of infected poult groups when compared with stained tissue sections from uninfected poult groups (Figure 2, panel B and C). We were unable to visualize a signal in tissues from chicks.

**Serum IgY Antibody Responses**

We analyzed serum samples collected at 2, 4, 7, 9, 11, and 14 dpi. We used indirect ELISA to test samples...
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at 2 and 14 dpi for PDCoV-specific IgY antibodies in all birds from each group, including sentinel birds. We assigned experimental values by averaging 3 replicates. Because we did not have positive controls in chicks and poults, we established a cutoff by using the average final optical density value of uninfected birds at 2 dpi plus 2 SD. We established a separate cutoff value for sentinel birds.

At 14 dpi, infected chicks had increased IgY antibody levels in serum, demonstrating an antibody response to PDCoV (Figure 3, panel A), but sentinel chicks did not have antibody levels demonstrating exposure to PDCoV (Figure 3, panel B). Serum samples from poults exhibited a similar range of IgY values. The average IgY values were much higher in infected birds at 14 dpi compared with infected birds at 2 dpi and uninfected birds (Figure 3, panel C). The IgY greatly increased in sentinel poults at 14 dpi compared with IgY values at 2 dpi, but were still below the cutoff value (Figure 3, panel D).

rRT-PCR on Samples from Chicks
All experimentally infected chicks rapidly shed detectable viral RNA postinoculation, and viral RNA titers remained relatively constant through 11 dpi (Figure 4, panels A, B). Viral RNA from cloacal swabs reached 6.52 log_{10} GE/mL by 2 dpi and remained >6.5 log_{10} GE/mL until 11 dpi, when levels at 7.14 log_{10} GE/mL at 9 dpi, then decreased to 5.82 log_{10} GE/mL at 14 dpi. Despite an absence of noticeable respiratory signs, tracheal swab specimens also showed high levels of PDCoV RNA throughout the study (Figure 4, panel B). PDCoV spread rapidly from infected to naive birds, and all 5 sentinel chicks became positive for PDCoV RNA in both tracheal and cloacal swabs within 2 days of comingling with infected birds (Figure 4, panels C, D).

We calculated titers and viral RNA loads in SIC and LIC from infected and sentinel chicks at 3, 7, and 14 dpi (Figure 5). We used RNA isolated at 14 dpi from SIC of 1 infected and 1 sentinel bird to amplify an ≈1,300-bp portion of the nucleocapsid (N) gene of PDCoV, then gel extracted and sequenced the resulting product. The samples sequenced had >99% identity with the original inoculum, Ohio FD22 strain of PDCoV. We tested infectivity of intestinal contents of infected and sentinel chicks by using TCID50 assay at 7 and 14 dpi (Figure 5, panel A).

rRT-PCR on Samples from Poults
Similar to the results from chicks, results for infected poults showed all had high levels of PDCoV RNA in cloacal and tracheal swabs through 14 dpi (Figure 6, panels A, B). Poults appeared to have higher initial viral loads, averaging 8.07 log_{10} GE/mL by 2 dpi, decreasing to ≈6 log_{10} GE/mL at 4 dpi, and persisting through 14 dpi (Figure 6, panel A). Naive birds also were susceptible to infection, and cloacal and tracheal swab specimens from all sentinel poults were positive for PDCoV RNA within 2 days after comingling with

### Table 2. Effect of porcine deltacoronavirus on villus height: crypt depth ratios of ileum or jejunum in experimental chicks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Uninfected, n = 6</th>
<th>Infected, n = 6</th>
<th>Sentinel, n = 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Villous height</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>547</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crypt depth</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ratio of villous height to crypt depth</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are expressed in millimeters and represent the average of ≤10 measurements/bird at 14 d postinoculation with porcine deltacoronavirus. Bold text indicates statistically significant difference, p = 0.04.
infected poults (Figure 6, panels C, D, E). We calculated titers and viral RNA loads in SIC and LIC from infected and sentinel poults at 3, 7, and 14 dpi (Figure 5, panel B). We tested infectivity of intestinal contents of infected and sentinel poults by using TCID50 assay at 7 and 14 dpi (Figure 5, panel B).

We isolated viral RNA from the SIC of 1 infected and 1 sentinel bird at 14 dpi and used it to amplify an ≈1,300-bp portion of the N gene of PDCoV, then gel extracted and sequenced the resulting product. As we noted in chicks, the samples sequenced had >99% identity with the original inoculum, the Ohio FD22 strain of PDCoV.

Discussion
Emerging viruses in at least 2 genera of porcine CoVs have exhibited increased propensity for interspecies transmission (2, 29). Porcine APN was identified as a major cell entry receptor for PDCoV (19, 30). APN is a protein that exhibits enzymatic activity, peptide processing, cholesterol uptake, and chemotaxis to cell signaling and cell adhesion (31). APN is widely distributed and highly conserved in amino acid sequences across species of the Animalia kingdom (19) and is expressed in a wide range of tissues, including epithelial cells of the kidneys (31), respiratory tract (32, 33), and gastrointestinal tract (34).

Our data suggest that chicks and poults are susceptible to infection with PDCoV. In addition, the rapid transmission of PDCoV to the sentinel birds that comingled with infected birds demonstrates that the virus could spread easily. The length of our pilot study did not allow us to determine how long the chicks and poults would be affected by PDCoV or how long they might shed viral RNA. The chicks appeared to recover more rapidly than poults; clinical signs diminished or were completely absent by 14 dpi. However, chicks still were shedding low viral RNA titers at 14 dpi. Poults did not recover by the end of the study and still exhibited gross pathology and mild to moderate diarrhea. PDCoV RNA shedding titers were higher in poults than in the chicks. Cloacal shedding titers in chicks peaked at 9 dpi and then decreased. In poults, cloacal viral RNA shedding titers were multiphasic, peaking at 2 dpi, with additional smaller peaks at 9 and 14 dpi. The rapid onset of viral RNA shedding correlates with previous in vitro data in which the PDCoV S1 domain bound most efficiently to APN of galline origin (19) and cytopathic effects were observed more rapidly in leghorn male hepatoma and DF1 chicken cell lines compared with swine testicular cells (S.P. Kenney, unpub. data).

ELISA results showed that both chicks and poults developed PDCoV antibodies by 14 dpi. Pig infection dynamics have demonstrated a similar serum...
neutralizing antibody titer increase at 7–14 days (12, 25). Sentinel birds had low or undetectable antibody responses compared with experimentally challenged birds, likely because of the passive infection method and because less time passed between exposure to the virus and the end of the study.

Recent studies demonstrated that PDCoV can infect and kill cells of other species through APN receptors (19, 35). PDCoV has been reported to infect commercial chickens in vivo (36). The differences in susceptibility to PDCoV infection between chicks and poults we observed could be related to differences in APN expression levels between the species.

The true incidence rates for PDCoV infection, natural host range, reservoirs, and routes of transmission are still relatively unknown, and no plans for vaccine development have been reported (37). DCoV RNA has been detected in fecal samples from wild birds (38, 39), Chinese ferret badgers (Melogale moschata), and leopard cats (40). In addition to swine, calves have been shown by experimental testing to be susceptible to PDCoV infection (41). These data, coupled with the

Figure 5. Viral RNA titers and infectivity of intestinal contents of (A) chicks and (B) poults in a study of infection and transmission of porcine deltacoronavirus in poultry. Inoculum viral titer represents the genomic equivalent (GE) of inoculum administered at onset, 9.71 log_{10} GE/mL. Gray dots represent infectivity at 7 and 14 dpi, expressed in log_{10} TCID_{50}/mL, as indicated on the right y-axis. Dashed line indicates detection limit of 4.6 log_{10} GE/mL of PDCoV in samples. dpi, days postinoculation; GE, genomic equivalent.
PDCoV binding receptor APN being conserved across many species, suggest that the host range for PDCoV is broader than initially expected (19). The close sequence homology between DCoV isolates from mammalian and wild bird species implies a transmission cycle in which PDCoV regularly crosses from wild birds and mammals into animal production systems, including the swine and poultry industries. More epidemiologic data are required to understand the full extent to which DCoVs are threatening food production systems and whether they pose a direct threat to human health.

Our results are consistent with the likelihood that avian species act as potential passthrough or intermediate hosts for PDCoV. In vivo confirmation of avian susceptibility to PDCoV suggests that in vitro data implicating human susceptibility should be evaluated further. Research regarding how PDCoV is adapting and mutating in different species and whether it infects humans is critical to determining if PDCoV poses a pandemic health risk to commercial poultry or humans.
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The recently identified second human pegivirus (HPgV-2 or HHpgV-1) is a bloodborne flavivirus: little is known about the potential clinical significance of infection (1,2). Active or resolved HPgV-2 infection has been detected worldwide in cohorts associated with risk for parenteral exposure to viruses. We evaluated longitudinally collected blood samples obtained from injection drug users (IDUs) for active and resolved HPgV-2 infections using a combination of HPgV-2–specific molecular and serologic tests. We found evidence of HPgV-2 infection in 11.2% (22/197) of past or current HCV-infected IDUs, compared with 1.9% (4/205) of an HCV-negative IDU population. Testing of available longitudinal blood samples from HPgV-2–positive participants identified 5 with chronic infection (>6 months viremia in >3 timepoints); 2 were identified among the HCV-positive IDUs and 3 among the HCV-negative IDUs. Our findings indicate that HPgV-2 can establish chronic infection and replicate in the absence of HCV.

Materials and Methods

Samples

We obtained samples from the U-Find-Out (UFO) Study, an ongoing prospective observational study of young adult active injectors, <30 years of age at enrollment, that was initiated in 2003 in the San Francisco Bay area (California, USA). Details of enrollment

Most human pegivirus 2 (HPgV-2) infections are associated with past or current hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection. HPgV-2 is thought to be a bloodborne virus: higher prevalence of active infection has been found in populations with a history of parenteral exposure to viruses. We evaluated longitudinally collected blood samples obtained from injection drug users (IDUs) for active and resolved HPgV-2 infections using a combination of HPgV-2–specific molecular and serologic tests. We found evidence of HPgV-2 infection in 11.2% (22/197) of past or current HCV-infected IDUs, compared with 1.9% (4/205) of an HCV-negative IDU population. Testing of available longitudinal blood samples from HPgV-2–positive participants identified 5 with chronic infection (>6 months viremia in >3 timepoints); 2 were identified among the HCV-positive IDUs and 3 among the HCV-negative IDUs. Our findings indicate that HPgV-2 can establish chronic infection and replicate in the absence of HCV.
methods and follow-up have been described previously (9,10). In brief, young adult IDUs were recruited from neighborhoods where IDUs were known to congregate and invited to participate in a field study for eligibility screening. Eligible persons were those who reported injection drug use in the prior 30 days, were <30 years of age, spoke English, had no plans to travel outside of the San Francisco Bay area for >3 months, and had negative or unknown HCV status. HCV antibody-positive persons were admitted into the study if their HCV RNA status was negative or unknown; those identified as HCV infected (RNA positive) at baseline were not enrolled into follow-up.

Eligible consenting participants were asked to complete a baseline interviewer-administered structured questionnaire that queried sociodemographics, parental and sexual risk behaviors and exposures, injecting exposures (e.g., frequency of injecting, number of persons injected with, types of drugs injected), alcohol use, and prevention and health service use. They were also asked to provide blood samples for HCV testing, including both HCV antibodies and HCV RNA, and for storage. Before 2012, all participants provided samples for HCV antibodies (using standard laboratory-based testing) and for a qualitative HCV RNA status determination using a nucleic acid amplification test (Procliex HIV-1/HCV assay; Gen-Probe Inc., https://www.novartis.com). Beginning in May 2012, HCV antibody testing was primarily conducted using a rapid test (OraSure Technologies, https://www.orasure.com) by fingerstick capillary blood collection; however, venipuncture was still used to collect specimens for RNA testing and sample storage. Baseline samples on 402 participants were selected as the initial sample set (Figure). A total of 205 (51.0%) samples were negative and 197 (49.0%) positive for HCV antibodies and HCV RNA at baseline. HCV-positive in this current study is defined as any evidence of HCV infection (RNA or HCV antibodies), past or present; HCV-negative is defined as no evidence (RNA or HCV antibodies), past or present.

**HPgV-2 Prevalence Study Design**

We used previously described HPgV-2 molecular (11) and serologic (3) assays to test all samples for determining HPgV-2 prevalence. We divided them into 3 testing groups: sample set 1, initial blood samples (n = 402); sample set 2, all last available follow-up samples (n = 200); and sample set 3, any longitudinal samples available for samples that were HPgV-2 (RNA or antibody) positive at initial or last draw timepoint (n = 70) (Figure). Because the initial study collection targeted incident HCV infection, a limited number of participants who were HCV positive at initial collection had follow-up samples available; only 8 HCV-positive and 192 HCV-negative participants from sample set 1 had follow-up (last) draw available for testing, constituting sample set 2.

**HPgV-2 Molecular Assay**

We used a modified version of the HPgV-2 reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) to determine HPgV-2 viremia (11). The RT-PCR targets 2 conserved regions of the HPgV-2 genome within the 5′ untranslated region (UTR) and the nonstructural (NS) 2–3 coding region (11). We modified the assay to replace detection of HPgV RNA with an internal control. The internal control was derived from the hydroxypyruvate reductase gene from the pumpkin plant, *Cucurbita pepo*, and is delivered in an Armored RNA (Ambion, Inc., https://www.thermofisher.com) particle that has been diluted in negative human plasma (nonreactive for HBsAg, HIV RNA, HCV RNA, HBV DNA, HIV-1/-2 antibodies, and HCV antibodies). We introduced the internal control into each specimen at the beginning of sample preparation as a control for extraction and amplification. We extracted samples from plasma using
the Abbott m2000sp instrument (Abbott Molecular, https://www.molecular.abbott) (open mode protocol m2000-RNA-Plasma-LL-500–110-v71408, version 1.0). We used eluted nucleic acids immediately for subsequent PCR analysis or stored them in the deep well plate at −80°C.

HPgV-2 Antibody Testing
We screened research use–only assays to detect IgG response to HPgV-2 proteins for HPgV-2 seroconversion (3). In brief, we built 2 separate indirect IgG assays for use on the ARCHITECT instrument (Abbott Laboratories). The capture antigen for the E2 assay was mammalian expressed glycoprotein E2, and for the NS4AB assay a portion of the NS4AB region. We generated signal to cutoff values for each assay by determining a provisional cutoff from testing a population of low-risk volunteer donors and calculating the median + 10 SD of relative light units (RLU) generated using the individual assays (3). Both E2 and NS4AB assays detected active and resolved HPgV-2 infection (3).

Statistical Analyses
We used the Fisher exact test to examine differences in prevalence of HPgV-2 between subgroups (for example, by HCV status). We performed unpaired Student t-tests to determine if there was a significant difference (p<0.05) between the average HPgV-2 log copies/mL (NS2/3 or 5'UTR) of the HCV positive and negative groups. We used GraphPad Prism version 6.04 for Windows (GraphPad Software, https://www.graphpad.com).

Results

Baseline Sample Testing
The overall prevalence of HPgV-2 (presence of RNA or antibodies) among baseline samples in the IDU cohort was 6.5% (Table 1; Figure). We determined a higher HPgV-2 prevalence in the HCV-positive group (11.2%) compared with the HCV-negative group (1.9%) (p = 0.0002 by Fisher exact test). We observed HPgV-2 infection (HPgV-2 RNA) more frequently in the HCV-positive group (6.1%; 12/197 samples) than in the HCV-negative group (1.0%; 2/197 samples).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCV status</th>
<th>No. tested</th>
<th>No. HPgV-2 Ab+</th>
<th>No. HPgV-2 Ab+/RNA+</th>
<th>No. HPgV-2 Ab−/RNA+</th>
<th>Total RNA+</th>
<th>Total HPgV-2 RNA or Ab+ (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCV positive</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>22 (11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV negative</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>402</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Ab, antibody; HCV, hepatitis C virus; HPgV-2, human pegivirus 2; +, positive; −, negative.

Last Sample Testing
Follow-up specimens were available for some study participants (sample set 2), primarily those who were HCV negative, due to the prospective design of the study that did not require follow-up samples from HCV-positive participants (Figure). However, the study also included persons with newly detected HCV infection whom we followed to examine natural history and resolution of incident HCV infection (9). A total of 200 participants from baseline collection had a final follow-up sample available for evaluation in the HPgV-2 RNA and antibody assays; this group included 8 HCV-positive and 192 HCV-negative participants (Figure). Although 26 participants were HPgV-2 positive (by RNA or antibodies) at baseline (Table 1), only 4 participants were available for follow-up; they provided 3 HPgV-2 RNA-positive samples and 1 HPgV-2 RNA-negative seropositive sample.

We saw evidence of HPgV-2 infection (antibodies or RNA) in 9 samples in set 2, 6 HCV-negative samples and 3 HCV-positive samples (Table 2). Among the HCV-negative participants, 3 (QM0003, VH0052, VP0295) showed active HPgV-2 infection during either the baseline or last draw timepoint. Participant QM0003 showed chronic (>6 mos viremia) HPgV-2 infection during the study, with detection of HPgV-2 RNA at time points spanning 832 days (Table 2). Participant VH0052 was actively infected with HPgV-2 at baseline and resolved infection by the last draw date (201 days elapsed), whereas participant VP0295 acquired HPgV-2 infection during the study and was RNA positive at the last draw date (on study for 553 days). Three participants (GG0012, RM0095, RM0337) were HPgV-2 RNA and antibody negative at enrollment and had seroconverted to have HPgV-2 antibodies by the last draw. One participant, VH0044, was HPgV-2 seropositive at baseline but was seronegative (seroconverted) by the final draw (250 days elapsed).

In the HCV-positive group, 1 participant (VH0085) was HPgV-2 RNA positive both on the first and last draw dates (2,805 days elapsed). The other 2 HCV-positive participants (GG0038 and VT0031) were HPgV-2 RNA negative on the first draw dates but showed active HPgV-2 infection at the last draw date. A single participant (RM0337) acquired both
HPgV-2 and HCV during the course of the study, with HPgV-2 infection preceding HCV infection by 280 days (Table 3). Within the last sample set, 6 participants demonstrated HPgV-2 infection after initial collection. Three of the participants showed active HPgV-2 viremia and 3 showed resolved HPgV-2 infection as indicated by detection of antibodies only.

Longitudinal Sample Testing
We tested longitudinal samples (N = 70, sample set 3) from 9/10 participants (Table 2) for HPgV-2 antibodies and RNA (Tables 3, 4; Figure). We reported data available for age, sex, years of injection drug use, HIV status, HCV RNA, HCV antibodies, alanine aminotransferase (HCV-positive only), and HCV drug treatment therapy. We did not follow up with additional HPgV-2 testing on participant VH0044, who was negative for HPgV-2 RNA and antibodies (seroreverted) by last sample date (Table 2). We found chronic HPgV-2 (active viremia >6 months) in 5 different IDU participants, 3 male and 2 female; 3 (QM0003, VP0295, RM0095) were HCV negative and 2 (VH0085, GG0038) HCV positive. None of the participants demonstrating chronic HPgV-2 infection or seroconversion had evidence of HIV infection. Among the participants who demonstrated chronic HPgV-2 infection and were HCV negative, participant QM0003 had a longer (>5 y) history of exposure to injection drug use than the other participants, RM0095 and VP0295 (<5 y). Both participants with active HPgV-2 and HCV co-infection (GG0038 and VH0085) had long histories of injecting exposure (>5 y) and maintained HPgV-2 after HCV infection was resolved.

All chronic HPgV-2 infections demonstrated active viremia despite the presence of HPgV-2 antibodies, with most participants generating an IgG response to the glycoprotein E2 (Tables 3, 4). E2 antibodies developed in all participants with chronic HPgV-2 samples and observed seroconversion (GG0038, QM0003, VP0295, and RM0095) before the other marker, NS4AB antibody (Tables 3, 4). One chronically infected sample, VH0085, contained antibodies to both E2 and NS4AB, but we did not observe the initial seroconversion. Compared with the other samples in this study, VH0085 had the highest signal to cutoff values for both E2 and NS4AB antibody assays, and the HPgV-2 RNA log copies/mL were higher than in most other samples (Table 3). Some participants (VP0295, RM0095, GG0038) demonstrated seroconversion after several months of initial HPgV-2 RNA detection; these participants were chronically infected with HPgV-2.

The median using the NS2/3 assay was 3.26 HPgV-2 log_{10} copies/mL for the HCV-negative group and 3.21 HPgV-2 log_{10} copies/mL for the HCV-positive group; using the 5′ UTR, results were 1.71 log_{10} copies/mL for the HCV-negative group and 1.60 log_{10} copies/mL for the HCV-positive group (Table 5). HCV co-infection did not appear to influence HPgV-2 viral load; the average value showed no significant difference between the HCV-positive and HCV-negative groups (NS2/3, p = 0.11; 5′ UTR, p = 0.36). One HCV-positive participant, VH0085, was positive for HPgV-2 and HCV RNA at baseline, received HCV treatment (8 weeks ledipasvir/sofosbuvir), and cleared HCV infection. After clearance of HCV, the participant remained HPgV-2 viremic and went on to establish a chronic HPgV-2 infection that lasted 8 years (2,805 days). Participant GG0038 acquired HPgV-2 infection after spontaneous resolution of HCV infection (RNA negative and HCV antibody positive) and maintained active HPgV-2 infection for >232 days.

---

Table 2. Evidence of HPgV-2 infection in most recent samples from study of chronic HPgV-2 infection and HCV co-infection in injection drug users in the San Francisco Bay area, California, USA*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCV RNA status at initial draw</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>HPgV-2 status at initial blood draw</th>
<th>HPgV-2 status at last blood draw</th>
<th>HCV RNA status</th>
<th>No. days</th>
<th>Comments‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>QM0003</td>
<td>Pos Neg</td>
<td>Pos Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>Chronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VH0052</td>
<td>Pos Neg</td>
<td>Neg Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VP0295</td>
<td>Neg Neg</td>
<td>Pos Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GG0012</td>
<td>Neg Neg</td>
<td>Neg Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>689</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RM0095</td>
<td>Neg Neg</td>
<td>Neg Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RM0337</td>
<td>Neg Neg</td>
<td>Neg Pos</td>
<td>1,680</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>VH0044</td>
<td>Neg Pos</td>
<td>Pos Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VH0085</td>
<td>Pos Pos</td>
<td>Pos Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>2,805</td>
<td>Chronic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>GG0038</td>
<td>Neg Neg</td>
<td>Pos Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VT0031</td>
<td>Neg Neg</td>
<td>Pos Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>818</td>
<td>Active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HCV, hepatitis C virus; HPgV-2, human pegivirus 2; neg, negative; pos, positive.
†Days elapsed between initial and last blood draw.
‡Comments of HPgV-2 status based on initial and last draw testing. Chronic indicates >6 months with detectable active viremia. Active indicates viremia at last draw. Resolved indicates no viremia detected at last draw, but antibodies were present.
§Participant RM0337 acquired HCV during the study.
Conclusions

The recently identified human pegivirus HPgV-2 has yet to be linked with any disease in humans. Several groups have shown HPgV-2 infection associated with HCV co-infection (1,3–6). HPgV-2 is a bloodborne virus, and a higher HPgV-2 prevalence is observed among HCV-positive IDUs (4,6). We decoupled the behavior of injection drug use from HCV status by monitoring HCV-negative or HCV-positive IDUs for infection in HCV-positive IDUs (Table 1), as was reported previously (4,6). We found, through longitudinal surveillance monitoring HCV-negative or HCV-positive IDUs for infection (RNA and antibodies). We also observed the enrichment of HPgV-2 infection in HCV-positive IDUs (Table 1), as was reported previously (4,6). We defined chronic infection as detectable HPgV-2 viremia for >6 months in >3 timepoints that was not associated with particular symptoms. Of 9 participants with evidence of HPgV-2 infection (by RNA or serology), 2 HCV-infected participants demonstrated chronic HPgV-2 infection (Table 3), and 3 participants demonstrated chronic HPgV-2 without evidence of past or present HCV infection (Table 4).

Several limitations can contribute to the underestimation of chronic HPgV-2 infection. We identified HPgV-2 in baseline samples from 12 HCV-positive participants; but because of the study design most HCV-positive participants were not followed through subsequent timepoints. Three of these participants did provide longitudinal samples; 2 participants demonstrated chronic HPgV-2 infection. A second limitation is that participants testing negative for HPgV-2 during the timepoints evaluated may become positive following the last timepoint sampled, if they continue the risk behavior of intravenous drug use. Alternatively, false-positive chronic infections could result from long lapses in sampling, in which

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Participant age, y/sex</th>
<th>No. years drug use†</th>
<th>Collection date</th>
<th>HCV RNA</th>
<th>HCV antibody</th>
<th>NS2/3 log_{10} copies/mL</th>
<th>5′ UTR log_{10} copies/mL</th>
<th>NS4AB S/CO ‡</th>
<th>E2 §</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RM0337</td>
<td>25.6/F</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>2013 Jul 10</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013 Oct 9</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2014 Jan 29</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016 Jul 27</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016 Oct 26</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Jan 18</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Apr 26</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Jul 19</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Oct 18</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2018 Jan 31</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2018 Feb 14</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VH0085§</td>
<td>22.2/F</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>2010 May 14</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>9.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Feb 15</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>12.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 May 17</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>12.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Aug 9</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>18.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Nov 1</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>5.13</td>
<td>19.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2018 Jan 17</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>3.86</td>
<td>2.26</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>16.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG0038§</td>
<td>26.5/M</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>2016 Jul 14</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Mar 1</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Mar 3</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 May 23</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Oct 18</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Not tested</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VT0031</td>
<td>19.8/M</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2005 Feb 2</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2005 May 24</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2005 Jun 14</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2005 Aug 9</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2005 Nov 8</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2006 Jan 31</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2006 May 9</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2006 Aug 8</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2007 Feb 6</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2007 May 1</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>Pos</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Participants were determined positive for HCV by RNA or antibody test. HCV, hepatitis C virus; HPgV-2, human pegivirus 2; ID, identification; neg, negative; NS, nonstructural protein; pos, positive; S/CO, signal to cutoff value; UTR, untranslated region.
†Number of years participant had injected drugs as of the time of the initial blood draw.
‡S/CO >1.0 is considered positive.
§Participants VH0085 and GG0038 demonstrate resolved HCV infection. VH0085 was administered direct active antiviral drugs (8 weeks ledipasvir/sofosbuvir, LDV-SOF), and GG0038 spontaneously cleared HCV infection.
¶Volume not available for testing.
the participant could become infected, clear the infection, then become reinfected with HPgV-2. The molecular and serologic assays cannot distinguish reinfection from chronic infection.

We observed HPgV-2 seroconversion in the longitudinal surveillance of 7 participants; the detectable IgG response occurred several months after initial HPgV-2 RNA detection in several of the chronically infected participants (Tables 3, 4). Similar to HCV infection, which demonstrates a seronegative viremic window period of 50–60 days (12–14), the detection of HPgV-2–specific antibodies lagged behind detectable HPgV-2 RNA (Tables 3, 4). In this study, all participants demonstrating chronic HPgV-2 viremia did so in the presence of antibodies to the glycoprotein E2, which suggests that E2 antibodies are not neutralizing. In contrast, active viremia and E2 IgG are rarely co-detected in persons with the closest human virus, human pegivirus-1 (HPgV, GBV-C); the presence of E2 antibodies in HPgV infection often indicates resolution of infection (3,15–17).

Our data indicate that chronic HPgV-2 infection among IDUs does not require active HCV to establish infection or maintain chronic infection. It is possible that participants with no detectable HCV antibodies were seroreverters from previous cleared HCV infections; however, this is unlikely in our study because the cohort of active IDUs had ongoing exposure to HCV, and because seroreversion in immunocompetent persons has been shown to occur after a long time (>7 years) (18), exceeding the observation period of this study. Furthermore, 2 participants showed spontaneous (GG0038) or therapeutic (VH0085) resolution of infection in study of injection drug users.

Table 4. Information about participants with HPgV-2 infection without HCV co-infection in study of injection drug users in the San Francisco Bay area, California, USA*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>No. years drug use†</th>
<th>Collection date</th>
<th>NS2/3 log_{10} copies/mL</th>
<th>5′ UTR log_{10} copies/mL</th>
<th>NS4AB S/CO‡</th>
<th>E2 S/CO‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QM0003</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>2015 Oct 10</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2015 Nov 4</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016 Jan 27</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016 Apr 18</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016 Jul 13</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>1.61</td>
<td>§</td>
<td>§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016 Oct 5</td>
<td>3.22</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Jan 4</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Apr 10</td>
<td>3.85</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>3.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Jul 5</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2018 Feb 7</td>
<td>3.41</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VP0295</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2016 Jan 6</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016 Apr 6</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016 Aug 24</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Jan 4</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>2.82</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Apr 12</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Jul 12</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG0012</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>2016 Apr 29</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016 Aug 17</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2016 Nov 29</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>5.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Mar 1</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>8.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 May 31</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>4.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Sep 20</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>10.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2017 Dec 13</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>11.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2018 Mar 19</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>3.77</td>
<td>6.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VH0052</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>2006 Dec 14</td>
<td>2.13</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2007 Jul 3</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RM0095</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2011 Jan 19</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2011 Jul 27</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2011 Oct 19</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012 Jan 11</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012 Apr 11</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012 Jul 3</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2012 Oct 23</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013 Jan 15</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013 Apr 10</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013 Jul 2</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>3.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013 Sep 18</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2013 Dec 11</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>3.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Participants were determined negative for HCV by RNA or antibody test. HCV, hepatitis C virus; HPgV-2, human pegivirus 2; ID, identification; neg, negative; NS, nonstructural protein; pos, positive; S/CO, signal to cutoff value; UTR, untranslated region.
†Number of years participant had injected drugs as of the time of the initial blood draw.
‡S/CO >1.0 is considered positive.
§No blood sample available for testing.
HCV infection but HPgV-2 chronic infection remained (Table 3); thus, there appeared to be no reliance on HCV to establish or maintain HPgV-2 infection. We observed no difference in HPgV-2 viral loads whether HCV to establish or maintain HPgV-2 infection. We gathered no information from HCV-negative co-infection, which is associated with HCV co-infection, which demonstrates injection drug use behavior longer (average 7.9 y for HCV-positive users, 4.7 y for HCV-negative users). Similarly, the HCV-positive HPgV-2 carriers identified in this study demonstrated injection drug use behavior longer (average 7.9 y) than the HCV-negative group (average 4.4 y). The greater number of potential exposures to parenterally transmitted bloodborne viruses is probably a major contributing factor for increased prevalence of HPgV-2 in the HCV-positive IDU cohort.

The pathogenic potential of HPgV-2 in humans remains unknown; no clinical symptoms have been associated with HPgV-2 infection. We gathered no additional clinical information from HCV-negative study participants. Most HPgV-2 studies have shown the virus is associated with HCV co-infection, which may mask any pathogenicity associated with HPgV-2 infection. Identifying populations that show higher prevalence of HPgV-2 mono-infection and monitoring these persons over time may help identify clinical symptoms associated with HPgV-2 infection, thus enabling researchers to categorize HPgV-2 as human pathogen or benign infection.
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**Table 5.** Characteristics of findings in study of chronic HPgV-2 infection for participants with and without HCV co-infection in injection drug users in the San Francisco Bay area, California, USA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Finding</th>
<th>HCV negative, n = 3</th>
<th>HCV positive, n = 2</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average HPgV-2, log_{10} copies/mL NS2/3</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5’ UTR</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average years injection drug use†</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age at detection of HPgV-2 RNA, y†</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HCV: hepatitis C virus; HPgV-2, human pegivirus 2; NS, nonstructural protein.
†No p values available because of low number of samples.
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Pegivirus [peg"i-vi'ras]

Ronnie Henry

In 1967, researchers studying non-A, non-B hepatitis identified a transmissible agent in the serum of a surgeon (initials G.B.) with acute hepatitis and named it the GB agent. In the 1990s, researchers from Abbott Laboratories identified 3 GB viruses (A, B, and C) at the same time as a group at Genelabs isolated RNA from patients with non-A, non-B hepatitis and named it hepatitis G virus. Later research showed that GB virus C and hepatitis G virus were the same species. Subsequent phylogenetic analysis showed that GB viruses A and C (and GB virus D, later identified in bats) should be classified under a new genus, Pegivirus (because they cause persistent infection and because of the historic association with hepatitis G), and GB virus B should be classified as a second species (with hepatitis C virus) in the genus Hepacivirus. As of 2016, 11 species of Pegivirus had been identified (Pegivirus A–K).
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Interspecies Transmission of Reassortant Swine Influenza A Virus Containing Genes from Swine Influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 and A(H1N2) Viruses
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Influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 (pH1N1) virus has become established in swine in the United Kingdom and currently co-circulates with previously enzootic swine influenza A virus (IAV) strains, including avian-like H1N1 and human-like H1N2 viruses. During 2010, a swine influenza A reassortant virus, H1N2r, which caused mild clinical disease in pigs in the United Kingdom, was isolated. This reassortant virus has a novel gene constellation, incorporating the internal gene cassette of pH1N1-origin viruses and hemagglutinin and neuraminidase genes of swine IAV H1N2 origin. We investigated the pathogenesis and infection dynamics of the H1N2r isolate in pigs (the natural host) and in ferrets, which represent a human model of infection. Clinical and virologic parameters were mild in both species and both intraspecies and interspecies transmission was observed when initiated from either infected pigs or infected ferrets. This novel reassortant virus has zoonotic and reverse zoonotic potential, but no apparent increased virulence or transmissibility, in comparison to pH1N1 viruses.

The ability of swine to support replication of phylogenetically diverse influenza A virus (IAV) strains from avian and mammalian origin poses a public health risk because of the potential for viral antigenic change resulting in variants with zoonotic properties (1). This risk was highlighted by emergence of the influenza A(H1N1)pdm09 (pH1N1) swine-origin influenza virus during 2009 (2). In swine herds from Europe, the classical swine influenza virus A(H1N1) lineage 1A (3), was the only lineage detected before 1979. This strain has a common origin with the progenitor virus that caused the human 1918 influenza pandemic. However, in the early 1980s, the classical swine H1N1 strain was displaced by a new European enzootic swine IAV strain, the Eurasian, avian-like H1N1 (H1avN1) lineage 1C (3), probably after cross-species transmission directly from birds to mammals (1). H1avN1 virus underwent rapid and sustained adaptation to mammals, as shown by phylogenetic as well as phenotypic changes, including enhanced mammalian replication and transmission (4). This H1avN1 lineage is now enzootic and has also undergone reassortment resulting in emergence of multiple genotypes and 2 main enzootic subtypes, H1N2 (H1huN2), also designated lineage IB (3), and H3N2, through acquisition of human seasonal influenza virus-origin hemagglutinin (HA) or neuraminidase (NA) gene segments (1).

Since the global dissemination of pH1N1 virus, this lineage has also undergone reassortment with swine IAV strains endemic to the corresponding geographic region (5). Within Europe, this diversification of pH1N1 virus in pigs has increased the circulating swine IAVs from 4 to ≥25 genotypes (6,7). Diversification has been rapid; pH1N1 reassortant viruses incorporating enzootic swine virus HA and NA, or NA alone, detected during 2010 in the United Kingdom (8) and Italy (9), have emerged. The NA segment was N2 subtype in both instances. Subsequently, viruses have been identified that contain the NA segment from European enzootic H3N2 strains and the remaining 7 segments from pH1N1-origin viruses (10,11) or a further reassortant containing H3 of human seasonal origin (12).
Reassortment between enzootic and pH1N1 influenza viruses was also rapidly detected elsewhere, including Asia, where a reassortant swine IAV containing pH1N1-origin NA was described during 2010 (13), and in North America, where several reassortants containing HA and NA segments from enzootic viruses have been maintained since 2011 (14). These swine IAV reassortants from North America incorporate different combinations of the pH1N1 internal gene cassette (gene segments other than HA and NA) and invariably carry the pH1N1-origin matrix (M) protein gene.

Swine IAV H1N2 virus reassortment strains circulating in Brazil acquired NA gene segments from independent introductions of human seasonal H3N2 strains similar to those circulating in the late 1990s and an HA segment derived from human H1 strains circulating in the early 2000s. These lineages have subsequently reassorted with co-circulating pH1N1 strains (15) and viruses with a pH1N1-origin internal gene cassette have been isolated from wild boar (16) and swine (17).

One such H1H2 reassortant gave rise to a human clinical case of influenza in a worker on a swine farm (18). A reassortant H1N2 virus strain was also isolated from pigs in Chile; this virus incorporated human-origin HA and NA gene segments prevalent in the 1990s and the pH1N1 internal gene cassette (19). Human infection with swine-origin H1N2 viruses (H1N2v infection) has been reported in the United States, most notable being 3 human influenza cases linked to infection with a related co-circulating H1N2 swine IAV virus incorporating a pH1N1-origin M gene segment (20).

Genetic reassortment between enzootic swine and pH1N1 strains giving rise to H1N2 virus diversification has also been reported in Asia, including lineages in South Korea containing Eurasian avian-like swine HA and Korean swine H1N2 NA gene segments and the pH1N1-like internal gene cassette (21) and another reassortant strain associated with pig respiratory disease in China incorporating the HA, basic polymerase protein 2, and M genes of swine pH1N1 virus origin; the remaining gene segments were derived from a swine H3N2 strain (22).

We characterized a prototypical reassortant swine influenza A virus, A/swine/England/1382/2010 (H1N2r) (23), which has become enzootic in the pig population in the United Kingdom (6). This virus incorporates the genes encoding the envelope glycoproteins, HA and NA, from a European swine H1N2 subtype (which themselves derive from human-origin strains) and the remaining gene cassette encoding the internal proteins from swine-origin pandemic 2009 strains (8). Serologic assessment of potential exposure of pig industry workers in the United Kingdom to swine viruses during 2009–2010 showed that antibodies to H1N2 swine IAVs were present in 24% of persons, and this prevalence was increased relative to a comparator population (24). Because the potential risks associated with a novel combination of gene segments in the H1N2r isolate were unknown, we investigated the pathogenesis and infection dynamics of this virus in pigs, the natural host, and in ferrets, which are widely established as an animal model for investigating influenza and pandemic risk in humans (25,26).

**Materials and Methods**

**Viruses**
We isolated H1N2r virus A/swine/England/1382/2010 from nasal swab specimens from pigs that had clinical signs of mild influenza-like disease (8). Virus was propagated in embryonated specific pathogen-free chicken eggs according to standard methods (27), passaged once to obtain a virus stock, and characterized by using whole-genome sequencing (8) using reference influenza A virus strains (Table).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus strain</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>HA + NA</th>
<th>Internal cassette</th>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A/swine/England/1382/2010</td>
<td>H1N2r</td>
<td>H1N2‡</td>
<td>pH1N1</td>
<td>In vivo, in vitro</td>
<td>(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/swine/England/1353/2009</td>
<td>Sw/pdm09</td>
<td>H1N2</td>
<td>pH1N1</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>(28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/swine/England/997/2008</td>
<td>H1N2‡</td>
<td>pH1N1</td>
<td>H1N1</td>
<td>In vitro</td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/swine/England/1995/2009</td>
<td>H1N1</td>
<td>pH1N1</td>
<td>H1N1</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>(29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/swine/England/2016/2019</td>
<td>H3N2</td>
<td>H1N1</td>
<td>H1N1</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>(30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/swine/England/1986/2019</td>
<td>H1N1</td>
<td>H1N1</td>
<td>H1N1</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>(31)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A/swine/England/1042/2011</td>
<td>H1N2‡</td>
<td>H1N2</td>
<td>H1N1</td>
<td>H1</td>
<td>(Animal and Plant Health Agency, unpub. data)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Av, avian; HA, hemagglutinin; HI, hemagglutination inhibition; hu, human; NA, neuraminidase; pH1N1, influenza A(H1N1)pdm09; Sw, swine.
†Gene segment origin refers to the virus lineage origin of gene segments encoding the HA and NA envelope proteins or the remaining gene segments of the internal gene cassette.
‡Swine virus gene segments previously derived from human-origin viruses.
Interspecies Transmission of Influenza A Virus

Organ Culture

Ferret organ cultures were prepared as described (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-0486-App1.pdf). Organ cultures were inoculated by adsorbing virus for 1 h at 37°C onto the air interface of triplicate tissue sections. The culture was then washed and replenished with supplemented Dulbecco modified Eagle medium and incubated before virus detection 24–48 hours postinoculation (hpi) in combined supernatant and tissue lysate per sample. Control organ cultures were mock inoculated. Influenza A virus nucleoprotein (NP) was detected in tissues by using immunohistochemical (IHC) analysis as described (32).

Real-Time Reverse Transcription Quantitative PCR Analysis

Virus RNA was extracted by using a QIAamp Viral RNA Biorobot Kit (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com) and assayed by using a real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) (33). Virus RNA was quantified as relative equivalent units (REUs) (34) against a 10-fold dilution series of RNA prepared from the inoculum stock with a titer of 10⁶ 50% egg infectious dose (EID₅₀)/mL. REU measures the amount of virus RNA present and not infectivity. However, it can be inferred from the linear relationship with the dilution series that REU values are proportional to the amount of infectious virus present.

We used Prism software (GraphPad, https://www.graphpad.com) for statistical analyses. We determined the area under the curve of the shedding profile for each animal and compared between groups by using the Tukey multiple comparisons test and 1-way analysis of variance. Positive-sense RNA encoding the virus M gene was quantified by using a positive strand-specific real-time qRT-PCR (Appendix).

In Vivo Studies

All animal studies were reviewed and approved by the Animal and Plant Health Agency Ethical Review Panel. Studies were conducted according to the Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act of 1986 and Animal Research: Reporting of In Vivo Experiments guidelines.

High health status, 6–8-week-old, male Landrace hybrid pigs and male fitch ferrets (weight range 750–1,000 g, maximum age 3 months) were bred in the United Kingdom. Before infection, all animals were confirmed free of influenza A virus RNA by performing real-time qRT-PCR analysis of nasal swab specimens (pigs) or nasal washes (ferrets) (33). These animals also showed negative results in a hemagglutination inhibition (HI) test (35) using 4 influenza A virus antigens (pH1N1, H1avN1, H3N2, and H1N2 viruses) appropriate for the pig population in the United Kingdom (Table). Animals were subcutaneously implanted with a biothermal idENTICHIP (Destron Fearing, http://destronfearing.com) for daily temperature monitoring, and a clinical scoring system was used for daily clinical monitoring (36,37).

Experimental Infection

We intranasally infected animals by using protocols appropriate for the species and nasal structure. We infected pigs by using a MAD Nasal Intranasal Mucosal Atomization Device (Wolfe-Tory Medical Inc., https://www.lmaco.com) to deliver droplets with a diameter of 30–100 μm. A total dose of 10⁶ EID₅₀ units diluted in phosphate-buffered saline to a final volume of 4 mL (2 mL/nostril) was administered. We anesthetized ferrets and infected them by intranasal droplet instillation of a total dose of 10⁶ EID₅₀ in 0.4 mL inoculum (0.2 mL/nostril).

Pathologic Analysis

For each species, postmortem examination was conducted for 4 animals at 3 days postinoculation (dpi) and 5 dpi, as well as for 2 animals at 7 dpi. Gross pathology was assessed and tissue samples were collected in 10% (vol/vol) phosphate-buffered formalin for histopathologic analysis. Lesions were scored as described for ferrets (37) and pigs (36). Influenza A virus NP was detected in tissues by using IHC analysis as described (36,37).

Experimental Infection and Transmission Studies

Six animals of each species were directly infected for study I (intraspecies transmission) and study II (interspecies transmission). In study I, immunologically naive animals (n = 6) of the same species were placed in direct contact at 1 dpi. In study II, animals of 1 species (n = 6) were infected and immediately thereafter cohoused animals of the other species (n = 6) were reintroduced. Pigs were housed on the floor and immediately adjacent to ferret cages (minimum distance 30 cm), and the floor of the ferret cage was at the height of the heads of the pigs. Virus shedding was monitored daily from 1 dpi through 12 dpi in nasal swab specimens from pigs (1/nostril) or nasal wash samples from ferrets (1 mL/animal). Serum samples were obtained before infection and at the end of the study at 21 dpi. An overdose of barbiturates was used for euthanasia of all animals.

Swab and Tissue Specimen Processing

Daily nasal swab specimens, which were pooled for each pig, were eluted in 1 mL Leibovitz L-15 medium
with l-glutamine supplemented with 100 U/mL penicillin, 1,000 μg/mL streptomycin, and 1% fetal bovine serum (all from GIBCO). Ferret nasal wash samples were obtained by using 1 mL phosphate-buffered saline (GIBCO). Tissues were analyzed by preparing a 10%–20% [wt/vol] homogenate in 1 mL supplemented Leibovitz-15 medium by using an Omni GLH Homogenizer (Omni International, https://www.omni-inc.com).

Serologic Analysis
Serum samples were analyzed by using an influenza A virus NP competitive ELISA (IDVet) according to the manufacturer’s specifications and dilutions of 1:40 for pig serum samples and 1:10 for ferret serum samples. Antibody titers to the H1N2r virus were measured by HI as described for pig (35) or ferret (38) serum samples.

Results
H1N2r Virus Ex Vivo Replication
To investigate H1N2r virus replication in the ferret animal model, we inoculated ferret organ cultures with virus strains at the same viral multiplicity of infection or mock-inoculated. We quantified influenza A virus replication by using real-time qRT-PCR for virus RNA at 24 hpi and 48 hpi. Replication of the H1N2r isolate was comparable to that of swine pH1N1 and H1N2 strains and a human-origin pH1N1 strain in tracheal cultures (Figure 1, panel A). We also detected comparable amounts of virus RNA in lung cultures at 24 hpi; these amounts decreased slightly by 48 hpi for some strains (Appendix Figure). We confirmed H1N2r virus replication in tracheal cultures by positive IHC labeling for influenza A virus NP (Figure 1, panel B). A strand-specific PCR detected replicating virus-positive sense RNA in infected tracheal cultures (Figure 1, panel C), which decreased between 24 hpi and 48 hpi, most likely reflecting virus replication kinetics. These in vitro assay results showed productive replication of the swine-origin H1N2r virus in ferret cells, but we observed no selective growth advantage linked to the novel gene constellation.

Pathologic Assessment
We then investigated the pathogenicity profile after H1N2r infection of pigs, the natural host, or ferrets, which represent a surrogate model for human infection. We assessed pathologic changes at 3, 5, and 7 dpi in both species and observed gross pathologic changes at 3 dpi in 2 of 4 directly infected pigs. In 1 of these pigs, a large portion of a single lung lobe was consolidated by lesions consistent with influenza A virus infection. We also found gross pathologic changes in the lungs in all pigs at 5 dpi, with a reduction by 7 dpi. In infected ferrets, small sporadic lesions common to influenza A virus infection occurred, and individual animals had lesions in the respiratory turbinates (5 dpi) and salivary glands (7 dpi), as well as in the left cranial lung lobe in 2 directly infected ferrets at 3 dpi and 5 dpi.

Histopathologic changes were consistent with mild inflammatory disease. For pigs (Figure 2, panel A), inflammation was evident throughout the respiratory tract at all timepoints (e.g., in respiratory turbinates [upper respiratory tract] and lung lobes [lower respiratory tract]) at 3, 5, and 7 dpi. In contrast, for ferrets, most pronounced inflammation occurred in
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the respiratory turbinates and in the salivary glands, initially at 5 dpi and increasing by 7 dpi, which indicated a predominantly upper respiratory tract infection (Figure 2, panel B).

Influenza A virus NP labeling showed replicating virus in pig and ferret tissues, notably in pig respiratory turbinates, at 5 dpi (Figure 2, panel C). For pig lung tissues, we detected virus in the right mid lung at 3 dpi and in all lung lobes at 5 dpi (Figure 2, panel E), with evidence of virus clearing by 7 dpi. For ferret tissues, virus NP labeling was more pronounced at 7 dpi, as shown for respiratory turbinates (Figure 2, panel D) and lungs (Figure 2, panel F). We observed intense IHC virus labeling in salivary glands of ferrets. These animals showed an increase in virus labeling from 3 dpi through 7 dpi (Figure 2, panel G).

H1N2r Virus Infection

In study I (Figure 3, panel A), we directly infected 6 animals and then placed them in direct contact with 6 uninfected animals of the same species 1 day later. Clinical signs from H1N2r infection were unapparent or mild (1–2 days) in pigs and ferrets, whether directly infected or contact animals, and there was no major change in body temperature (<1°C above baseline). We observed clinical signs in 3 of 12 pigs: 2 pigs had mild rhinitis at 1 dpi, and 1 pig had mild respiratory signs (sneezing) at 5 dpi. Clinical signs in ferrets were limited to transient rhinitis in some animals and could have been caused by infection or other factors, such as sampling.

Shedding and Transmission Profile of H1N2r Virus

We monitored virus RNA shedding daily in nasal swab specimens (pigs) or washes (ferrets) and quantified results by using real-time qRT-PCR. Nasal shedding started in directly infected animals at <1 dpi and in uninfected contacts at 1–2 days after contact (Figure 3, panels B, C). These results from study I confirmed transmission between infected and uninfected animals of the same species. Peak amounts of virus RNA were shed by directly infected animals at 2–5 dpi. In comparison with directly infected or contact pigs (Figure 3, panel B), directly infected or contact ferrets (Figure 3, panel C) shed virus for a longer duration and also shed a larger total quantity of virus. Significantly greater (p<0.0001) quantities of virus RNA were shed by directly infected ferrets in comparison with all other groups, and also by contact

Figure 2. Histopathologic and immunohistochemical analyses of tissues from pigs or ferrets directly infected with swine influenza A(H1N2) reassortant virus showing mild disease. A, B) Histopathologic scores for pigs (A) or ferrets (B) are calculated as mean for 4 animals at 3 dpi and 5 dpi or 2 animals at 7 dpi. Error bars indicate SEM. Tissues are indicated in anatomic order from the upper to lower respiratory tract. C–G) Immunohistochemical labeling for influenza A virus nucleoprotein (brown) is shown for tissue sections from pig respiratory turbinates at 5 dpi (C), ferret respiratory turbinates at 7 dpi (D), pig lung at 5 dpi (E), and ferret lung at 7 dpi (F) (original magnification ×20 for C, D, E, and F). Ferret salivary gland tissue at 76 dpi (G) shows intense labeling (original magnification ×10). dpi, days postinoculation; L, left; LL, lung lobe; LN, lymph node; mid, middle; R, right.
ferrets in comparison with contact pigs, as shown by area under the curve analysis (Figure 3, panel D). A greater variation in shedding occurred between individual ferrets than between pigs, particularly among contact animals.

In study II (Figure 3, panel A), directly infected pigs or ferrets (representing a human model) were cohoused with animals of the other species to assess interspecies transmission of the H1N2r virus and zoonotic potential. Direct infection of pigs (Figure 3, panel E) or ferrets (Figure 3, panel F) resulted in similar infection dynamics as for intraspecies study I. When infected ferrets were cohoused with uninfected pigs (Figure 3, panel E), the pigs became infected after a considerable 8–10-day lag, and virus shedding profiles differed between recipient pigs. These infection kinetics might indicate that some pigs became infected from their penmates, demonstrating possible onward transmission of virus and potential for the H1N2r virus to disseminate in a susceptible population. Ferrets were readily infected within 4 days when cohoused with infected pigs (Figure 3, panel F), and the infection profile was similar to that observed for intraspecies transmission, suggesting that all ferrets were infected synchronously by the infected pigs. Nasal shedding profiles observed for animals infected directly with H1N2r were comparable with profiles for human pH1N1 influenza viruses A/England/195/2009 and A/California/07/2009 (36,37,39,40).

Serologic Analysis
Serologic analysis by competitive ELISA for NP, which is present within virions, demonstrated that, in interspecies transmission study II, infected and contact ferrets seroconverted by 21 dpi/days after contact (Figure 4, panel A). Most infected pigs also seroconverted and showed lower final antibody titers, although 2 of 6 pigs cohoused with infected ferrets did not seroconvert within the study period. HI titers, which measure exposure to the viral envelope HA protein, were positive against the H1N2r challenge strain, indicating seroconversion of all infected or contact animals (Figure 4, panel B). Both assays showed that the antibody response was stronger in ferrets than pigs, perhaps corresponding to the higher virus load and more prolonged infection in ferrets.

Discussion
Our study showed that pigs and ferrets were susceptible to infection with the H1N2r virus and showed clinical signs and virologic parameters indicating mild disease. Longitudinal postmortem analysis

Figure 3. Nasal shedding and transmission of swine influenza A(H1N2) reassortant virus from infected animals. A) Schematic outline of study design. B, C) In study I, virus RNA in nasal swab specimens from directly infected or contact pigs (B) and directly infected or contact ferrets (C) was quantified by using real-time reverse transcription quantitative PCR. Values are indicated as REU at each dpi or dpc. Error bars indicate SEM. D) AUC for virus shedding profiles in study I in pigs or ferrets, showing significant differences (**p<0.0001) in virus shedding by directly infected ferrets compared with all other groups and between contact pigs and ferrets. Error bars indicate upper end of SEM. E, F) In study II, virus RNA in nasal swab specimens from infected or cohoused pigs (E) or nasal washes from infected or cohoused ferrets (F) was quantified as REU. Error bars indicate SEM. AUC, area under the curve; dpi, days postinfection; dpc, days postcontact; REU, relative equivalent unit.
indicated that this virus could be more adapted to a swine host because infection disseminated more rapidly throughout the respiratory tract, and distribution of infection in the lung on all postmortem days was more evident in pigs than in ferrets. However, in contrast to pigs, ferrets shed a larger total quantity of virus for an extended duration, and seroconversion occurred in all infected and contact ferrets by 21 dpi. However, interpretation of data is limited by the different intranasal infection protocols and nasal sampling techniques for the 2 species. All animals had increased specific antibody titers postinfection. However, in comparison with ferrets, titers in pigs were lower and, in some contact pigs, were below the positive threshold. These findings are comparable with outcomes reported for pH1N1 virus infection of pigs and ferrets (36,37,39,40) and a comparison of swine H1 viruses, including H1N2v strains, when infecting ferrets (41). A North American reassortant H1N1 swine IAV incorporating pH1N1-origin polymerase acidic, NP, and M genes also reportedly showed similar replication kinetics to a swine IAV pH1N1 isolate when infecting ferrets (14). A North American reassortant H1N1 swine IAV incorporating pH1N1-origin polymerase acidic, NP, and M genes also reportedly showed similar replication kinetics to a swine IAV pH1N1 isolate when infecting ferrets (41). In a similar fashion, an H1N2 reassortant strain from Chile containing the pH1N1 internal gene cassette was also transmitted between ferrets by direct and indirect routes (19).

Swine are known to support replication of viruses with a wide range of HA activation pH values, whereas ferrets support replication of a narrower pH activation range for HA (40). In addition, it has been suggested that successful transmission of influenza A viruses requires a balance between the HA and NA activities (43). Therefore, the HA and NA combination of the H1N2r isolate that we studied is compatible with replication and transmission in both mammalian hosts.

In conclusion, our study demonstrates that a swine H1N2r virus can be readily transmitted...
between mammalian species. Although this virus does not display enhanced virulence compared with other swine IAV or human pH1N1 viruses (36,39), it nevertheless shows high interspecies and intraspecies transmissibility. This virus strain represents a newly emergent reassortant virus that could enhance the genetic diversity of circulating strains and contribute to influenza A virus genotypic change at the human-animal interface, thereby increasing the potential for generating new viruses with altered disease phenotype or fitness for new host species.
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Yaws, a neglected tropical disease caused by the bacterium *Treponema pallidum* subspecies *pertenue*, manifests as ulcerative skin lesions. Nucleic acid amplification tests, like loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), are versatile tools to distinguish yaws from infections that cause similar skin lesions, primarily *Haemophilus ducreyi*. We developed a novel molecular test to simultaneously detect *T. pallidum* and *H. ducreyi* based on mediator displacement LAMP. We validated the *T. pallidum* and *H. ducreyi* LAMP (TPHD-LAMP) by testing 293 clinical samples from patients with yaws-like lesions. Compared with quantitative PCR, the TPHD-LAMP demonstrated high sensitivity and specificity for *T. pallidum* (84.7% sensitivity, 95.7% specificity) and *H. ducreyi* (91.6% sensitivity, 84.8% specificity). This novel assay provided rapid molecular confirmation of *T. pallidum* and *H. ducreyi* DNA and might be suitable for use at the point of care. TPHD-LAMP could support yaws eradication by improving access to molecular diagnostic tests at the district hospital level.
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**Methods**

T. pallidum to simultaneously identify We developed and validated a biplex MD LAMP assay with a fluorophore and quencher for detection. target-specific sequence and a universal reporter molecule with a fluorophore and quencher for detection. MD LAMP for simultaneous detection of >1 target and could be an efficient and cost-effective solution. MD LAMP of LAMP for improved functionality by redesigning primers and probes and modifying reagent concentrations between 3 × 10^1 copies/reaction and 3 × 10^5 copies/reaction in 8 replicates to reproduce the Treponema

H. ducreyi can have a reactive serologic test for yaws because of latent T. pallidum infection. Nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) can distinguish active yaws, involving a lesion with detectable T. pallidum DNA, from latent yaws, in which patients will have reactive serology without detectable T. pallidum DNA from lesions. In addition, before seroconversion, a small proportion of patients with early active yaws will have a positive NAAT but negative serologic results.

NAATs could play a central role in yaws eradication efforts, particularly for diagnosis and surveillance after MDA in yaws-endemic areas (12). PCR has been standard for molecular diagnosis and has a high specificity and sensitivity for T. pallidum and H. ducreyi, but the process is time-consuming and requires expensive laboratory equipment. Most yaws-endemic countries have limited access to PCR to aid national yaws eradication programs. A point-of-care NAAT could provide reliable post-MDA molecular surveillance, as well as help in monitoring for azithromycin resistance. Loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP) is an alternative for molecular diagnosis that might be more suitable than PCR as a point-of-care NAAT in resource-limited environments. LAMP has fast processing times and high specificity and can be performed on less expensive devices than those needed for PCR.

Multiplex technologies, such as mediator displacement (MD) LAMP (13), have extended the usability of LAMP for simultaneous detection of >1 target and could be an efficient and cost-effective solution. MD detection uses an MD probe composed of a generic mediator attached to a generic overhang of a DNA target-specific sequence and a universal reporter molecule with a fluorophore and quencher for detection. We developed and validated a biplex MD LAMP assay to simultaneously identify T. pallidum and H. ducreyi.

**Participants**

We obtained samples from larger trials conducted on Lihir Island (n = 57) and Karkar Island (n = 184), Papua New Guinea; and in Ghana (n = 52). Details of the studies in which the samples were collected are provided elsewhere (14,15). In brief, samples were collected as part of a randomized control trial comparing azithromycin doses of 30 mg/kg against doses of 20 mg/kg to treat patients in a pilot study for yaws elimination (14,15). Swabs were collected from persons with yaws-like ulcers and placed in AssayAssure Multilock (Sierra Molecular, https://sierramolecular.com) transport medium, then frozen at –20°C until transported to Mast Diagnostica GmbH laboratory in Reinfeld, Germany. DNA was extracted from the samples by using innuPREP MP Basic Kit A (Analytik Jena, https://www.analytik-jena.com) according to manufacturer’s instructions. Isolated DNA was kept frozen at –20°C until it was used for biplex T. pallidum and H. ducreyi LAMP (TPHD-LAMP), singleplex T. pallidum and H. ducreyi LAMP assays, and quantitative PCR (qPCR) testing.

**Ethics Approval**

Participants, or parents or guardians of persons <18 years of age, provided written consent for inclusion in clinical surveys and etiologic studies. Children also provided assent when appropriate. The studies were approved by the National Medical Research Advisory Committee of the Papua New Guinea Ministry of Health (MRAC nos. 12.36 and 14.31), the Ghana Health Service (approval no. GHS 13/11/14), the London School of Hygiene & Tropical Medicine (approval no. 8832), and WHO (approval no. RPC720).

**TPHD-LAMP Assay**

We devised the TPHD-LAMP assay on the basis of 2 previously published assays: a singleplex LAMP assay (16), which we modified by adding an MD probe; and a biplex LAMP assay of T. pallidum and H. ducreyi (13). TPHD-LAMP primers target the polymerase I (polA) gene of T. pallidum and the 16S ribosomal RNA (16S rRNA) of H. ducreyi. We further optimized the assays for improved functionality by redesigning primers and probes and modifying reagent concentrations (Appendix Tables 1-3, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-0505-App1.pdf).

We performed a 2-step validation of the TPHD-LAMP assay. In the first step, we assessed the analytical sensitivity and specificity of the assay. In the second step, we used clinical samples collected in Ghana and Papua New Guinea to compare the performance of TPHD-LAMP against qPCR for individual targets. In a secondary analysis, we compared the performance of singleplex LAMP assays for each individual target against qPCR assays.

**Assessment of Analytical Performance**

We determined the analytical limit of detection (LOD) for the TPHD-LAMP assay by using target sequences cloned into plasmids. We determined the LOD of each of the 2 components separately, as well as the LOD of the biplex TPHD-LAMP assay (Appendix). We varied the plasmid DNA concentrations between 3 × 10^1 copies/reaction and 3 × 10^5 copies/reaction in 8 replicates to reproduce the Treponema
bacterial load in skin infections, which ranges from 10^2–10^4 copies/reaction (17). In addition, we tested the TPHD-LAMP in the presence of a high number of copies, 3 × 10^6 copies/reaction, of *H. ducreyi* or *T. pallidum* in the presence of a low number of copies of the second target to optimize each component and to simulate clinical samples that might contain both targets. We conducted primer titration experiments to minimize the preferential amplification of *H. ducreyi* DNA targets in persons with both infections. We estimated the LOD by counting the fraction of positive amplifications and performed probit regression analysis by using SPSS Statistics 25 (IBM, https://www.ibm.com).

We assessed the analytical specificity of the primer sets in silico by using ortholog target gene sequences from GenBank (Appendix Table 4) and found all primer sets were highly specific for *T. pallidum* and *H. ducreyi*. Based on these results, we tested the specificity of TPHD-LAMP in vitro against endemic pathogens associated with cutaneous ulcerative syndromes by using a panel of 13 organisms: *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Salmonella enterica* (Paratyphi and Typhi), *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Streptococcus pyogenes*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Corynebacterium diphtheria*, *Corynebacterium ulcerans*, *Proteus mirabilis*, and *Enterococcus faecalis* (Appendix). We calculated interassay and intraassay variability of the TPHD-LAMP assay by using 3 batches of the TPHD-LAMP mix, prepared individually on 3 separate days and processed in different runs of 3 replicates per batch (Appendix).

### Clinical Performance of the TPHD-LAMP

We performed clinical validation by comparing the performance of the TPHD-LAMP and qPCR assays to identify *T. pallidum* and *H. ducreyi* in patient samples collected in Ghana and Papua New Guinea. TPHD-LAMP reactions (10 µL per assay) were composed of 1× RM MPM buffer (MAST Diagnostica GmbH, https://mast-group.com), 8 U Bst 2.0 WarmStart DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs, https://www.neb.com), 0.05 µmol/L universal reporter, and MD primer mix (Appendix). We incubated primer mixes for 5 m at 70°C before LAMP to prevent non-specific amplification initiated by primer dimerization. We performed real-time TPHD-LAMP reactions at 64°C in a Rotor-Gene Q (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com) and acquired fluorescence signals every minute by using the Cy5-readout gain for *T. pallidum* and the FAM-readout gain for *H. ducreyi*. The single-plex LAMP reactions (10 µL per assay) using intercalating dye were composed of 1× RM MPM buffer, 8 U Bst 2.0 WarmStart DNA Polymerase, and 1 µL of 10× SYBR Green staining reagent, DNA free (AppliChem, https://www.applichem.com) and primer mix (Appendix Table 1). We also performed single-plex LAMP reactions in a Rotor-Gene Q at 63°C with the FAM-readout gain. We used a cutoff of 60 m for biplex TPHD-LAMP and singleplex LAMP assays and considered samples with amplification beyond 60 m negative.

For performance analyses, we compared the TPHD-LAMP assay against TaqMan qPCR assays targeting polA of *T. pallidum* (18) and an optimized TaqMan qPCR assay targeting the 16S rRNA gene of *H. ducreyi* on the same DNA extract (Appendix Table 4, Figure 1). The 16S rRNA gene has been previously used in qPCR assays to detect *H. ducreyi* (19). We ran all tests in duplicate and included positive controls and DNA-free negative controls in each run. We used an identical sample volume, 2.5 µL/reaction, for TPHD-LAMP, singleplex LAMP, and qPCR. For samples that tested negative by qPCR but positive by TPHD-LAMP, we repeated qPCR in a single reaction with higher sample volumes (3 µL) to identify true negative test results.

### Statistical Analysis

For clinical validation, we compared the sensitivity and specificity of the TPHD-LAMP assay against TaqMan qPCR assays. In a secondary analysis, we compared the performance of singleplex LAMP assays to qPCR. We performed all analysis by using R version 3.4.3 (https://www.R-project.org).

### Results

#### Analytical Sensitivity and Specificity

The LOD for the TPHD-LAMP assay was 357 copies/reaction (95% CI 265–535 copies/reaction) for *T. pallidum* and 293 copies/reaction (95% CI 199–490 copies/reaction) for *H. ducreyi*. When we added the second target at the higher concentration of 3 × 10^5 copies/reaction to simulate clinical samples from persons infected with both bacteria, the LOD increased to 808 copies/reaction (95% CI 550–2,128 copies/reaction) for *T. pallidum* and 622 copies/reaction (95% CI 415–1,687 copies/reaction) for *H. ducreyi* (Appendix Figure 2). The TPHD-LAMP assay was negative for all other pathogens tested within 60 m, demonstrating high analytical specificity (Appendix Figure 3). We observed a minimal interassay or intraassay variation (Appendix Figure 4).
Validation of TPHD-LAMP in Clinical Samples

For clinical validation, we used a sample set consisting of 293 lesion swabs collected from patients with suspected *T. pallidum* infection. Samples were collected in Lihir Island (n = 57; 19.5%) and Karkar Island (n = 184; 62.8%), Papua New Guinea; and in Ghana (n = 52; 17.7%). A total of 184 (62.8%) cases were in male patients and 109 (37.2%) in female patients; the median age of case-patients was 10 years (interquartile range [IQR] 8–12 years).

Using qPCR, we detected *T. pallidum* in 59 (20.1%) samples, *H. ducreyi* in 155 (52.9%) samples, and *T. pallidum* and *H. ducreyi* co-infection in 19 (6.5%) samples. When tested by TPHD-LAMP, we detected *T. pallidum* in 60 (20.5%) samples and *H. ducreyi* in 163 (55.6%) samples. We detected both targets in 12 (4.1%) samples. Taking qPCR as the reference standard, the diagnostic sensitivity of the TPHD-LAMP assay for *T. pallidum* was 84.7% and the specificity was 95.7%. For *H. ducreyi*, the sensitivity of the TPHD-LAMP assay was 91.6% and the specificity was 84.8% (Table 1). Kappa coefficients (k), ranging from 0.7 to 0.9 for the detection of *T. pallidum* and from 0.7 to 0.8 for *H. ducreyi*, show substantial to excellent agreement between qPCR and TPHD-LAMP. Moderate agreement between qPCR and TPHD-LAMP (k = 0.5) also was demonstrated for the simultaneous detection of both targets. The median time to amplification of *T. pallidum* was 11 min (IQR 9–15 min) and the median time to amplification of *H. ducreyi* was 10 min (IQR 8–24 min).

For samples in which only 1 organism was detected by qPCR, the sensitivity of the TPHD-LAMP assay was higher for both *T. pallidum* (92.5%) and *H. ducreyi* (94.1%) than for samples with both organisms confirmed by qPCR. For samples confirmed to contain both bacteria by qPCR, sensitivity for *T. pallidum* was 68.4% (p = 0.048) and sensitivity for *H. ducreyi* was 73.7% (p = 0.01) (Table 1).

Using qPCR as the reference standard, the singleplex *T. pallidum* LAMP assay had a sensitivity of 78.0% and specificity of 97.9%; for the singleplex *H. ducreyi* LAMP assay the sensitivity was 91.0% and specificity was 75.3% (Table 2). We did not see a noticeable variation in the performance of the biplex TPHD-LAMP and singleplex LAMP assays between locations from which samples were collected (Tables 1 and 2).

Discussion

We provide data demonstrating a high analytical performance of a multiplex LAMP assay for *T. pallidum* and *H. ducreyi* and a high sensitivity and specificity comparable to qPCR. The TPHD-LAMP assay also performed better than singleplex LAMP assays, likely reflecting better performance of the MD technology used in the biplex LAMP compared with standard intercalating dyes used in singleplex LAMP assays.

The LOD of the TPHD-LAMP assay was 300 copies/reaction for both targets, which is comparable to qPCR, which has standard reproducibility in a range

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Treponema pallidum</th>
<th>Haemophilus ducreyi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total samples, no.</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. positive</td>
<td></td>
<td>84.7 (72.5–92.4)</td>
<td>91.6 (85.8–95.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>95.7 (92.0–97.8)</td>
<td>84.8 (77.4–90.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions containing a single pathogen†</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. positive</td>
<td></td>
<td>92.5 (78.5–98.0)</td>
<td>94.1 (88.4–97.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>95.7 (92.0–97.8)</td>
<td>84.8 (77.4–90.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions containing both pathogens†</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. positive</td>
<td></td>
<td>68.4 (43.5–86.4)</td>
<td>73.7 (48.6–89.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samples from Lihir Island, no.</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. positive</td>
<td></td>
<td>90.5 (68.2–98.3)</td>
<td>76.5 (50.0–92.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>94.4 (80.0–99.0)</td>
<td>100.0 (89.1–100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samples from Karkar Island, no.</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. positive</td>
<td></td>
<td>78.1 (59.6–90.1)</td>
<td>94.2 (87.5–97.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>94.7 (89.5–97.5)</td>
<td>74.7 (63.4–83.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Samples from Ghana, no.</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. positive</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0 (51.7–100)</td>
<td>90.9 (75.5–97.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0 (90.4–100)</td>
<td>94.7 (71.9–99.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Determined by quantitative PCR.

NA, not applicable.
of $10^4$–$10^6$ copies/reaction. The LOD increased to $\approx600$ copies/reaction in samples that contained both targets, which is consistent with our clinical validation of the TPHD-LAMP; sensitivity for both bacteria was slightly higher when samples contained only a single target. Kappa coefficients confirmed substantial agreement (κ=0.7) for the individual targets and moderate agreement (κ = 0.5) for simultaneous detection of both targets in a sample.

Detection of *T. pallidum* is the programmatic priority, but detection of *H. ducreyi* is beneficial for clinical management of patients with suspected yaws. The median time to amplification was <15 m for both *T. pallidum* and *H. ducreyi*, indicating the TPHD-LAMP assay could provide rapid, molecular confirmation of the presence of *T. pallidum* or *H. ducreyi*. Further optimization of the assay to enhance the performance of the *T. pallidum* component, particularly in the context of co-infection, will be required to ensure cases of yaws are not missed.

Implementing qPCR at the point of care is operationally challenging because it requires relatively expensive equipment, in particular thermocyclers, which can cost up to 10 times as much as a tubescanner capable of performing the TPHD-LAMP assay. Because qPCR is available only in a limited number of national and international reference laboratories, TPHD-LAMP might be an alternative molecular test to support expansion of yaws eradication activities. We did not conduct a cost-effectiveness analysis of the TPHD-LAMP assay, but such an assessment should consider equipment costs, cost per assay, and the relative performance of each assay to assess the cost per case diagnosed. However, our data suggest that the TPHD-LAMP assay might be a cost-saving alternative to qPCR, especially at the point of care.

Our study had some limitations. We tested samples from only 2 geographic regions for clinical validation of the TPHD-LAMP. Primer binding site mutations have affected the performance of other diagnostic assays for *T. pallidum* strains. Although we selected conserved genomic regions when designing the TPHD-LAMP primers, further experimental validation of the TPHD-LAMP assay with samples from a broader range of settings is needed. We conducted clinical validation of the assay in a controlled laboratory setting, but conditions at the point of care, including temperature, humidity, and a range of other environmental factors, might affect reagents in storage and in performing assays. Further optimization, including freeze-dried reagents in combination with dried oligonucleotides, might improve robustness and facilitate rollout of the assay in yaws-endemic countries.

In yaws-endemic countries, clinical manifestations combined with serologic tests are still the standard tool for the clinical management of yaws, but serologic tests have limitations and molecular assays are needed to support WHO yaws eradication efforts (12). Molecular assays also can detect mutations in the 23S RNA gene associated with azithromycin resistance (15,20,21), which is essential to monitor for drug resistance as yaws eradication efforts expand. qPCR is the most common NAAT currently available but remains restricted to a small number of laboratories in yaws-endemic countries. MD LAMP could facilitate surveillance for resistance and we plan further studies to evaluate a modified TPHD-LAMP assay for this purpose. Further, multicountry evaluations are warranted to assess performance of the assay when deployed in yaws-endemic countries and to assess the role the test could play in support of national yaws eradication programs. Nonetheless, the performance characteristics of the TPHD-LAMP suggest it has the potential to increase access to molecular diagnosis of yaws, especially at the point of care.

### Table 2. Comparison of clinical performance of singleplex loop-mediated isothermal amplification for detection of *Treponema pallidum* and *Haemophilus ducreyi* against singleplex TaqMan quantitative PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th><em>Treponema pallidum</em></th>
<th><em>Haemophilus ducreyi</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total samples, no.</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. positive</td>
<td></td>
<td>78.0 (64.9–87.3)</td>
<td>91.0 (85.0–94.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>97.9 (94.8–99.2)</td>
<td>75.3 (67.2–82.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions containing a single pathogen†</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. positive</td>
<td></td>
<td>82.5 (66.6–92.1)</td>
<td>92.6 (86.5–96.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>97.9 (94.8–99.2)</td>
<td>75.4 (67.2–82.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lesions containing both pathogens‡</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. positive samples</td>
<td></td>
<td>68.4 (43.5–86.4)</td>
<td>78.9 (53.9–93.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NA, not applicable.
†Determined by quantitative PCR.
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The global dissemination of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) has become an urgent public health concern (1,2). In 2016, the World Health Organization included CRE in a list of antimicrobial-resistant priority pathogens on which to concentrate future drug development strategies. Of note, carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP) account for 60%–90% of clinical CRE infections in the United States, Europe, and China (1–3), resulting in an increased mortality rate of up to 40%–50% in nosocomial settings (4).

The dissemination of CRKP is mostly clonal, and the population structure is geographically specific. Since its emergence during the early to mid-2000s, sequence type (ST) 258 has become the most prevalent CRKP clone in North America, Latin America, and Europe (5). However, in Asia, especially China, ST11 is the predominant clone, accounting for up to 60% of CRKP (3). ST11 is a single-locus (tonB) variant of ST258, and both types belong to the clonal group 258. A recombination event is thought to have occurred between a recipient ST11 and a donor ST442-like strain, giving rise to ST258 during 1985–1997 (6,7). A phylogenomic study revealed that the ST258 population consists of >2 clades, resulting from an ≈215-kb recombination event that includes the capsule polysaccharide (cps) synthesis locus (6). The genetic differences generated by the resulting capsular switch are supposed to be primarily responsible for the ST258 diversification (8). Likewise, a segregation was identified in the ST11 population, resulting in >3 clades with different capsular loci (KL) (9–11). These studies consistently indicate that cps is a recombination hotspot in K. pneumoniae. However, the K-type distribution within ST11 in clinical settings is unclear. More important, the biological, epidemiologic, and transmissibility of ST11-KL64 CRE requires urgent attention.

We aimed to clarify the epidemiologic and clinical importance of evolutionary events that occurred in carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP). We collected 203 CRKP causing bloodstream infections in a tertiary hospital in China during 2013–2017. We detected a subclonal shift in the dominant clone sequence type (ST) 11 CRKP in which the previously prevalent capsular loci (KL) 47 had been replaced by KL64 since 2016. Patients infected with ST11-KL64 CRKP had a significantly higher 30-day mortality rate than other CRKP-infected patients. Enhanced virulence was further evidenced by phenotypic tests. Phylogenetic reconstruction demonstrated that ST11-KL64 is derived from an ST11-KL47–like ancestor through recombination. We identified a pLVPK-like virulence plasmid carrying rmpA and peg-344 in ST11-KL64 exclusively from 2016 onward. The pLVPK-like–positive ST11-KL64 isolates exhibited enhanced environmental survival. Retrospective screening of a national collection identified ST11-KL64 in multiple regions. Targeted surveillance of this high-risk CRKP clone is urgently needed.

The dissemination of CRKP is mostly clonal, and the population structure is geographically specific. Since its emergence during the early to mid-2000s, sequence type (ST) 258 has become the most prevalent CRKP clone in North America, Latin America, and Europe (5). However, in Asia, especially China, ST11 is the predominant clone, accounting for up to 60% of CRKP (3). ST11 is a single-locus (tonB) variant of ST258, and both types belong to the clonal group 258. A recombination event is thought to have occurred between a recipient ST11 and a donor ST442-like strain, giving rise to ST258 during 1985–1997 (6,7). A phylogenomic study revealed that the ST258 population consists of >2 clades, resulting from an ≈215-kb recombination event that includes the capsule polysaccharide (cps) synthesis locus (6). The genetic differences generated by the resulting capsular switch are supposed to be primarily responsible for the ST258 diversification (8). Likewise, a segregation was identified in the ST11 population, resulting in >3 clades with different capsular loci (KL) (9–11). These studies consistently indicate that cps is a recombination hotspot in K. pneumoniae. However, the K-type distribution within ST11 in clinical settings is unclear. More important, the biological, epidemiologic, and transmissibility of ST11-KL64 CRE requires urgent attention.

We aimed to clarify the epidemiologic and clinical importance of evolutionary events that occurred in carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP). We collected 203 CRKP causing bloodstream infections in a tertiary hospital in China during 2013–2017. We detected a subclonal shift in the dominant clone sequence type (ST) 11 CRKP in which the previously prevalent capsular loci (KL) 47 had been replaced by KL64 since 2016. Patients infected with ST11-KL64 CRKP had a significantly higher 30-day mortality rate than other CRKP-infected patients. Enhanced virulence was further evidenced by phenotypic tests. Phylogenetic reconstruction demonstrated that ST11-KL64 is derived from an ST11-KL47–like ancestor through recombination. We identified a pLVPK-like virulence plasmid carrying rmpA and peg-344 in ST11-KL64 exclusively from 2016 onward. The pLVPK-like–positive ST11-KL64 isolates exhibited enhanced environmental survival. Retrospective screening of a national collection identified ST11-KL64 in multiple regions. Targeted surveillance of this high-risk CRKP clone is urgently needed.

We aimed to clarify the epidemiologic and clinical importance of evolutionary events that occurred in carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae (CRKP). We collected 203 CRKP causing bloodstream infections in a tertiary hospital in China during 2013–2017. We detected a subclonal shift in the dominant clone sequence type (ST) 11 CRKP in which the previously prevalent capsular loci (KL) 47 had been replaced by KL64 since 2016. Patients infected with ST11-KL64 CRKP had a significantly higher 30-day mortality rate than other CRKP-infected patients. Enhanced virulence was further evidenced by phenotypic tests. Phylogenetic reconstruction demonstrated that ST11-KL64 is derived from an ST11-KL47–like ancestor through recombination. We identified a pLVPK-like virulence plasmid carrying rmpA and peg-344 in ST11-KL64 exclusively from 2016 onward. The pLVPK-like–positive ST11-KL64 isolates exhibited enhanced environmental survival. Retrospective screening of a national collection identified ST11-KL64 in multiple regions. Targeted surveillance of this high-risk CRKP clone is urgently needed.
clinical importance of capsular switches in ST11 remains poorly understood.

Of greater concern, a carbapenem-resistant hypervirulent *K. pneumoniae* ST11 outbreak clone was recently reported in eastern China (12). The outbreak strain was KL47 and hypermucoid and harbored a virulence plasmid carrying rmpA2 and the aerobactin synthesis locus. Loss of the plasmid substantially alleviated virulence in a *Galleria mellonella* moth model. This finding indicates a worrying convergence of carbapenem resistance and hypervirulence in an already epidemic lineage of *K. pneumoniae*. Although incidence of carbapenem-resistant hypervirulent *K. pneumoniae* has remained low (13–15), understanding how this lineage emerged and evolved is crucial in controlling its further dissemination.

In this study, we measured the occurrence and clinical outcomes of bloodstream infections (BSI) caused by CRKP in a tertiary hospital in China during 2013–2017. We characterized the genomic alterations in the dominant ST11 population and ascertained associated changes in phenotype and pathogenicity traits.

**Materials and Methods**

**Setting and Study Design**
We performed a retrospective study in a 2,500-bed tertiary care hospital in China during January 2013–June 2017. We reviewed medical records of any patient with a blood culture positive for *K. pneumoniae* and a clinical course consistent with bacteremia (upon notification of the patient). Patients <16 years of age were excluded. If 1 patient had >1 episode of BSI caused by *K. pneumoniae* (BSI-KP), we included only the first episode. This study was approved by the institutional review board of the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University in China (approval no. 2017–442). Definitions of terms are detailed in Appendix 1 (https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-0594-App1.pdf).

**Microbiological Assessment**
We determined antimicrobial susceptibility by using the VITEK-II system (bioMérieux, https://www.biomerieux.com) and further confirmed by using the broth microdilution method. We defined carbapenem nonsusceptibility as MIC >2 mg/L for imipenem or meropenem or MIC >1 mg/L for ertapenem (16). We used multilocus sequence typing to identify ST11 (17). We estimated the pathogenicity of *K. pneumoniae* by testing *G. mellonella* infection, biofilm production, and neutrophil-killing resistance, as previously described (18–20) (Appendix 1). We evaluated the capacity of CRKP to survive on dry surfaces over time by using previously described methods (21), except that the stainless steel discs were replaced by Corning 24-well polystyrene microplates (Merck, https://www.sigmaaldrich.com) and the concentration of bacteria was adjusted to 1 × 10^8 CFU/mL.

**Whole-Genome Sequencing and Analyses**
We sequenced 154 ST11 isolates by using an Illumina Hiseq2500 instrument (Illumina, https://www.illumina.com) with 2 × 125-bp paired-end libraries. We performed de novo assembly of the short-read data by using CLC Genomics Workbench version 10.0 (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com) after quality trimming (Phred quality score >20). We performed long-read sequencing on 2 isolates (KP16932 and KP47434) by using the PacBio RSII platform (Pacific Biosciences, https://www.pacbio.com) with a 10-kb library. A hybrid assembly of these 2 isolates was generated by using Unicycler 0.4.0 (22) with the short and long reads.

We annotated the assemblies by using the RAST server (https://rast.nmpdr.org) and conducted multilocus sequence typing by using the CGE server (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk). We performed plasmid analysis by Southern blotting and Blast (Appendix 1). We determined the presence or absence of resistance and virulence genes by using Ariba (23) with a custom gene database (https://figshare.com/s/94437a301288969109c2) and identified K-type by using Kleborate (https://github.com/katholt/Kleborate). We further detected mutations in rmpA and rmpA2 by using blastn (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi). We included genome assemblies of the isolates sequenced in this study and the 62 isolates published elsewhere (10–12,24–26) in the phylogenetic and temporal analysis (Appendix 2 Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-0594-App2.xlsx).

**Statistical Analysis**
Statistical analyses are described in Appendix 1. We conducted all statistical analyses by using SPSS Statistics 23 (IBM, https://www.ibm.com) and SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute, https://www.sas.com).

**Results**

**Capsular Switch in CRKP-ST11 over a 4-Year Period**
We retrospectively screened 10,134 *K. pneumoniae* isolates to determine the proportion of BSI-CRKP. Of 705 nonrepetitive bloodstream isolates, 203 were CRKP. The proportion of *K. pneumoniae* and CRKP in BSIs increased from 17.1% to 45.5% during the study period (Table 1). ST11 was the predominant
Table 1. Prevalence trend of Klebsiella pneumoniae causing BSIs in a tertiary hospital, China, 2013–2017

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate type</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017 (half year)</th>
<th>Score test for trend</th>
<th>p value†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Primary BSI/non-BSI</td>
<td>123/610</td>
<td>133/635</td>
<td>2017/23</td>
<td>149/687</td>
<td>99/398</td>
<td>1.3934</td>
<td>0.1635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRKP/non-CRKP</td>
<td>21/102</td>
<td>35/98</td>
<td>53/148</td>
<td>49/100</td>
<td>45/54</td>
<td>6.0697</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRKP-ST11/non-ST11 CRKP</td>
<td>20/1</td>
<td>28/7</td>
<td>46/7</td>
<td>39/10</td>
<td>41/4</td>
<td>-0.3116</td>
<td>0.7553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST11-KL47/ST11-KL64</td>
<td>18/1</td>
<td>20/4</td>
<td>22/23</td>
<td>11/28</td>
<td>5/36</td>
<td>-7.5463</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*BSI, bloodstream infection; CRKP, carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae; ST, sequence type.
†Calculated by using Cochran–Armitage trend test.

We further included 29 patients infected with non-ST11 CRKP in the analysis to evaluate whether CRKP-ST11 caused higher mortality than non-ST11 CRKP. We found no significant differences in 30-day mortality between patients infected with CRKP-ST11 and those with non-ST11 CRKP (52.8% vs. 44.8%; 2 \( \times 2 \) = 3.771; p = 0.05).

Recombination-Mediated Evolutionary Diversification in CRKP-ST11

We performed phylogenomic analysis to understand the evolutionary diversification in the CRKP-ST11 population. We included 154 newly sequenced genomes (excluding the remaining 20 isolates without rmpA or rmpA2); 62 previously published ST11 genomes from diverse origins; and an ST1731 genome (accession no. ERR1541319) as an outgroup. We identified 429 recombined regions, including 348 that were >1 kb. The length of sequence removed per isolate ranged from 505,312 to 1,276,214 bp (median 947,836 bp). The phylogenetic tree, which was rooted using the ST1731 isolate that was later removed (Appendix 1 Figure 1), showed division of ST11 isolates into 2 major clades (Figure 2). One clade consists of isolates of KL47, KL64, and KL31 exclusively obtained from China, whereas the second clade consists of isolates possessing diverse K-types from elsewhere. These findings suggest that KL47 and KL64 have emerged and undergone local expansion in China.

Root-to-tip regression analysis of the 154 newly sequenced genomes demonstrated a correlation between the genetic distances and sampling dates \((R^2 = 0.64)\) (Appendix 1 Figure 2). By using a Bayesian dating method implemented in BactDating (27), we found that KL64 isolates probably evolved from a KL47 ancestor around 2011 (Appendix 1 Figure 3). A high substitution rate also was found (15.3 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)/genome/y, 95% CI 12.4–19.0 SNPs/genome/y).

The number of SNPs separating ST11-KL47 and ST11-KL64 isolates was 907–3,098 before and 30–220 after removal of recombination regions. This finding suggests that recombination largely contributed to the diversification of ST11-KL47 and ST11-KL64.
we detected 4 recombination events of >1 kb on the branch coinciding with the switch from KL47 to KL64 (regions with respect to the reference genome [isolate KP47434]: 307,448–322,057; 4,060,806–4,154,013; 4,173,036–4,186,742; and 4,197,111–4,217,597) (Appendix 1 Figure 4). Three of these events were localized around the cps region, suggesting that the capsule switch was likely the result of recombination. Another recombination event in the cps region also corresponds with the capsule switch from KL47 to KL31 (Appendix 1 Figure 4).

Emergence of rmpA/rmpA2–Positive ST11-KL64 Isolates

Analysis of virulence genes showed that the 154 ST11 isolates possessed yersiniabactin genes (ybtAEPQS-TUX, irp1, irp2, and fyuA) located on ICEKp3, and the core type III fimbrial cluster mrkABCDF, except for 4 ST11-KL64 isolates. Of 76 ST11-KL47 isolates, 29 (36.3%) carried an rmpA2 gene, and only 2 were positive for the string test, suggesting that rmpA2 was inactive in most isolates. rmpA2-positive ST11-KL47 isolates have been detected since 2013 and are interspersed among rmpA-negative isolates in the phylogenetic tree (Figure 2). A frameshift rmpA2 gene (rmpA2*) was identified in 48 of 92 ST11-KL64 isolates (52.2%). rmpA2*-positive ST11-KL64 isolates were first detected in 2015, and most of them are monophyletic (Figure 2). An rmpA gene was also found in 42 of the 48 rmpA2*-positive ST11-KL64 isolates, of which 6 had in-frame truncations resulting in 2 variants (555 bp and 624 bp) and 12 were positive for the string test. The rmpA-rmpA2*-positive ST11-KL64 isolates were detected from 2016 onward. The prevalence trend of rmpA-rmpA2-positive isolates is accordant with that of each subclone (Appendix 1 Figure 5).

All rmpA/rmpA2*-positive isolates also carried aerobactin genes iucABCD-iutA, implying that they might co-locate on the same plasmid. A plasmid-borne virulence factor peg-344 was exclusively found in 45 of 48 rmpA2*-positive ST11-KL64 isolates. The salmochelin cluster iroBCDN was also detected in 5 rmpA-rmpA2*-positive and in 1 classic ST11-KL64 isolate (Figure 2).

### Diversity of Virulence Plasmids

We further analyzed the vectors of rmpA/rmpA2 genes to understand how they were captured. The rmpA/rmpA2 gene of both subclones was detected on plasmids by using southern blot (Appendix 1 Figure 6). Higher diversity of the virulence plasmids was found in ST11-KL64 through classifying the plasmids by size; 5 types were detected in ST11-KL47 with sizes ranging from 110 to 217 kb, and 13 types were in ST11-KL64, ranging from 110 to 230 kb (Appendix 2 Table 5). The rmpA and rmpA2* genes coexisted on the same plasmid in ST11-KL64.

Virulence plasmids detected in KP16932 (KL47) and KP47434 (KL64) were circularized to evaluate their structural variations. The rmpA2 gene of KP16932 was carried by an IncFIB(K)-IncHI1B-type plasmid (pVir-KP16932) with a size of 177.8 kb, which is almost identical to a virulence plasmid pVir-CR-HvKP4 (MF437313) recently detected in a KL47 clone that caused a fatal outbreak in China (Appendix 1 Figure 2).
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7). The *rmpA* and *rmpA2* genes of KP47434 existed in an IncFIB(K)-IncHIIB-type plasmid (pVir-KP47434) with a size of 201.8 kb, which shares a high homology with a virulence plasmid pVir-CR-HvKP267 (accession no. MG053312). Compared with pVir-KP47434, a 24-kb and an 18-kb region were absent in pVir-KP16932 (Appendix 1 Figure 7), which encodes genes involved in metabolic processes such as carbon utilization (OppA-B-F and DppC) (28) and virulence (H-NS protein) (29). The virulence plasmids carried by *rmpA2*-KL47 and *rmpA/rmpA2*-KL64 isolates possessed the highly similar backbone sequences with the pVir-KP16932 and pVir-KP47434 plasmids, respectively, and the intra-subclonal variations were mainly caused by gain or loss of gene clusters involved in heavy metal resistance and mobile genetic elements (Appendix 1 Figure 8).

**Virulence Plasmids and Infections**

To evaluate whether the acquisition of virulence plasmids carrying *rmpA/rmpA2* and aerobactin genes has an effect on clinical outcomes, we stratified the cohort described according to the existence of virulence plasmids in ST11-KL47 and ST11-KL64. No significant differences in mortality were evident between patients infected by ST11-KL64-pVir-KP47434–like or classical ST11-KL64 isolates (i.e., without virulence plasmids).
(60.4% vs. 64.3%; p = 0.983) or those infected by ST11-KL47-pVir-KP16932 or classical ST11-KL47 isolates (51.7% vs. 53.5%; p = 0.931) (Appendix 2 Table 6, 7). However, the Charlson comorbidity scores for patients with ST11-KL47-pVir-KP16932-like infections (median 1 [range 0.5–2]) were significantly lower than scores for patients with ST11-KL47 infections (median 3 [range 1–4]; p = 0.003); similarly, scores for ST11-KL64-pVir-KP47434-like patients (median 1 [range 0–2]) were significantly lower than scores for ST11-KL64 patients (median 2 [range 1–3]; p = 0.003). These findings indicate that the virulence plasmids could promote infections in healthier patients.

**Various Resistomes in ST11-KL47 and ST11-KL64**

The resistome of ST11-KL47 was different from that of ST11-KL64 (Appendix 1 Figure 9). Genes floR, arr-3, dfrA27, and aac(6’)-Ib-cr were exclusively detected in ST11-KL47, whereas blaSHV-12 and dfrA14 were unique for ST11-KL64, suggesting the 2 subclones might have been selected for in different niches. For each subclone, the resistomes of *rmpA/rmpA2*-positive isolates were much more consistent than those of classical isolates (Appendix 1 Figure 9). This finding is consistent with the phylogeny and the fact that the *rmpA/rmpA2*-positive isolates were relatively more clonal.

**Enhanced Virulence in ST11-KL64**

The *rmpA/rmpA2*-encoding virulence plasmids carried by each subclone shared an intrasubclonal similarity as described previously (i.e., the intrasubclonal variations were mainly caused by gain or loss of heavy metal resistance gene clusters and mobile genetic elements). ST11-KL64 isolates produced significantly more biofilm than ST11-KL47 isolates (optical density at 595 nm: 0.54 + SD 0.09 vs. 3.08 + SD 0.11; p<0.0001) (Appendix 1 Figure 10, panel A). We evaluated the virulence potential by using a human neutrophil assay. The ST11-KL64 isolates had an average survival of 91.2% after incubation with the human neutrophils for 60 min, which was significantly higher than that of the ST11-KL47 strains (65.8%; p = 0.0011) (Appendix 1 Figure 10, panel B).

Compared with the ST11-KL64 isolates, the ST23-K1 isolates showed lower survival (70.3%) and the ST86-K2 isolates comparable survival (91.8%). The ST35 isolate had the lowest survival, 37.2%.

We further estimated pathogenicity by infecting *G. mellonella* larvae with an inoculum of 1 × 106 CFU. At 48 h postinfection, the 4 ST11-KL64 isolates (1 classic [isolate KP33068], 1 *rmpA2*-positive [isolate KP33130], and 2 *rmpA-rmpA2*-positive [isolates KP33229 and KP33367]) showed comparable virulence resulting in 10% survival, whereas survival was 40%–60% for the 4 ST11-KL47 isolates (2 classic [isolates KP9343 and KP29407] and 2 *rmpA2*-positive [isolates KP10042 and KP16932]). K1 survival 40% and K2 30%; survival of a classic CRKP isolate (ST35) reached 70% (Appendix 1 Figure 10, panel C).

To determine the underlying mechanisms of enhanced transmissibility obtained by *rmpA-rmpA2*-positive ST11-KL64, we randomly selected 6 ST11-KL47 isolates (3 classic [KP8369, KP29407, and KP30412] and 3 *rmpA2*-positive [KP9343, KP10042, and KP16932]) and 6 ST11-KL64 isolates (2 classic [KP28367 and KP33068], 2 *rmpA2*-positive [KP33130 and KP45812], and 2 *rmpA-rmpA2*-positive [KP47434 and KP39615]) to evaluate the capacity of survival on a dry polystyrene surface. Only viable cells of 2 *rmpA-rmpA2*-KL64 isolates were recovered after overnight drying; the average recovered loads were 90 + 31.09 CFU/mL and 115 + 20.62 CFU/mL. This finding suggests that the enhanced transmissibility of the newly emerged subclone was associated with enhanced environmental survival.

**National Prevalence of BSI-CRKP-ST11**

To estimate the national prevalence of BSI-CRKP-ST11, we further retrospectively screened 1,098 clinical BSI-KP strains collected from 13 provinces in China during 2014–2016 (Table 3). In total, 46 of 83 CRKP strains were ST11; ST11-KL47 accounted for 80.4% and ST11-KL64 19.6%. The *rmpA2* gene was detected in 11 ST11-KL47 and 1 ST11-KL64 isolates, and 1 isolate of each subclone also co-harbored an *rmpA* gene. The *rmpA2*-positive isolates were detected from Anhui and Zhejiang provinces. Most (9/12) *rmpA2*-positive CRKP isolates appeared after 2015.

**Discussion**

The global dissemination of CRKP poses a serious threat to public health. Control of CRKP in populations and healthcare networks thus becomes an urgent issue. However, efforts are often complicated by rapid evolution, especially among epidemic clones (e.g., ST11 and ST258). Therefore, tracking of evolutionary events and understanding their clinical importance are critical. We performed a comprehensive study to provide insight into the evolution of key virulence features of BSI-CRKP collected in China. We found 2 major KLs (KL47 and KL64) in the dominant clone BSI-CRKP-ST11. Capsule is known as an important immune-evasion molecule, and thus has become a popular target for vaccine design. Determining the prevalence of KLs is crucial for the development of capsule-based vaccines and phage-derived exopolysaccharide-depolymerase treatments, which are...
considered as novel approaches for the treatment of 
CRKP infections (30). Our study provides useful data for 
assisting the development of an immunotherapy 
for ST11-CRKP infections in China.

In this study, ST11 was partitioned into 2 clades, 
1 consisting of ST11-KL47, ST11-KL64, and ST11-
KL31, suggesting that these strains were diversified 
from a common ancestor. We found that sequences 
within the cps region of ST11-KL64 and ST11-KL31 
were imported through recombination indicating 
the occurrence of capsule switching. By using a 
Bayesian approach, we found that ST11-KL64 might 
have emerged from an ST11-KL47–like ancestor in 
2011. We further noted that the 2 ST11 subclones 
(ST11-KL47 and ST11-KL64) have spread nationally 
by interregional transmission. However, the lack of 
genome data about ST11-KL47 and ST11-KL64 from 
different origins hampers our understanding of spa-
tial evolution at a global scale.

The notion of a rapid evolution of the ST11 pop-
ulation is supported by numerous cps variants (n = 
19) and the very high evolutionary rate (15.3 SNPs/ 
genome/year) detected in this study and others (9). 
Capsule switching has been suggested to be a com-
mon event across the wider K. pneumoniae population 
through large recombination events (9–11). We 
suppose that generating numerous descendants with 
various combinations of evolved chromosomes and 
capsules heavily contributes to the success of 
ST11 and its descendants (e.g., ST258). Of note, our 
study identified a clonal replacement in the CRKP-
ST11 population over a 4-year period in a hospital. 
ST11-KL47, the dominant subclone before 2015, was 
progressively replaced by ST11-KL64. The popula-
tion structure of ST11-K64 was monophyletic, im-
plying that ST11-KL64 might have gained fitness and 
was ready to disseminate clonally like ST258. Also, 
KL64 is a more commonly observed capsule 
type than KL47, and has been detected in Brazil 
(25), Taiwan (31), Singapore (32), the United States, 
and Europe (33).

To understand the clinical importance of the 
clonal replacement that coincided with the capsu-
lar switch in the ST11 population, we analyzed the 
metadata of 162 infected patients. Patients infected 
by ST11-KL64 had significantly higher mortality 
rates than those infected by ST11-KL47 and non-ST11 
CRKP. This finding is supported by the results of our 
phenotypic assays, which showed that ST11-KL64 
was more virulent than ST11-KL47. Our findings sug-
gest that the acquisition of virulence plasmids pro-
motes the infection in healthier patients but is not as-
associated with the increased mortality, indicating that 
other virulence factors might be involved. Capsular 
switching in the ST11 population might contribute to 
increased mortality. The capsule type is thought to be 
an important determinant for the pathogenicity of 
K. pneumoniae, like the notorious capsular serotypes K1 and K2. Similar associations are also identified in 
other species, such as Acinetobacter baumannii (34) 
and Streptococcus spp. (35,36). We also cannot exclude 
that the enhanced virulence and increased mortal-
ity might be associated with other chromosomal and 
plasmid variations.

We further noted that the newly emerged 
rmpA2*-positive ST11-KL64 isolates exclusively carried an 
rmpA gene. The presence of a truncated variant might 
confer an advantage through a more subtle activation 
of capsule expression in comparison to a strain with 
2 fully functional variants present (37). In addition, 
the combination of RmpA and truncated RmpA2 was 
previously found predominantly in clinical isolates 
with a hypervirulent or hypermucoviscous pheno-
type (38). This finding is consistent with our study, 
given that rmpA-rmpA2*-ST11-KL64 isolates become 
the dominant clone after they emerged. We suppose 
that such combination might confer fitness to the 
population resulting in the replacement of rmpA2-
ST11-KL47 by rmpA-rmpA2*-ST11-KL64. This suppo-
sion can be supported by the fact that rmpA-rmpA2*- 
ST11-KL64 isolates survive longer than ST11-KL47 
in vitro, which largely facilitates a better dissemina-
tion of the population under nosocomial conditions. 
Besides the isolates found in Anhui and Zhejiang 
provinces in our study, 2 rmpA-rmpA2*-ST11-KL64 
isolates have been detected in Shanghai and Henan 
provinces (9), suggesting that the newly emerged 
subclone has widely disseminated in China.

In summary, our study identified the emergence 
of a high-risk subclone of CRKP-ST11, resulting in

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>KP</th>
<th>CRKP</th>
<th>CRKP-ST11</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1,098</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Isolates were collected as part of national surveillance for BSIs. BSI, bloodstream infection; CRKP, carbapenem-resistant Klebsiella pneumoniae; KL, capsular loci; KP, Klebsiella pneumoniae; ST, sequence type.
enhanced virulence and transmissibility. The newly emerging descendant obtained enhanced environmental survival and poses a substantial threat to healthcare networks, suggesting the urgent need for tailor-made surveillance and stricter infection-control measures to prevent further dissemination in nosocomial settings.
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Since 1997, hand, foot and mouth disease (HFMD) has emerged as a serious childhood infection in the Asia–Pacific region (1,2) with the potential of spreading to other parts of the world. Indeed, HFMD epidemics, especially those caused by enterovirus A71 (EV-A71), have increasingly been reported worldwide, including in the United States and Europe (3–5). Although HFMD is a mild infection in most cases, severe clinical complications (e.g., central nervous system involvement as brainstem encephalitis) may happen and can be fatal (1,6). However, no antiviral drugs are available to the affected patients, including those with severe clinical phenotypes.

HFMD is caused by various serotypes of enterovirus A of the family Picornaviridae. Of these, EV-A71, coxsackievirus A (CVA) 6, CVA10, and CVA16 are the most common pathogens isolated from patients with clinically suspected HFMD, with CVA6 being increasingly reported (7–9). In Vietnam, our recent report showed that of 1,547 patients with HFMD enrolled in a clinical study, EV-A71 was detected in 24.4%, followed by CVA6 (21.8%), CVA16 (10.8%), and CVA10 (7.9%). Other enteroviruses detected sporadically included CVA4 (1.7%), CVA12 (1.4%), and CVA2 (0.6%) (10). Infection with EV-A71 has received more attention because it frequently causes severe HFMD, especially in recent outbreaks recorded in the Asia–Pacific region since 1997 (6,11). Consequently, inactivated monovalent vaccines for EV-A71 have been successfully developed and licensed in China (12–14). The use of those vaccines, however, has been voluntary and restricted within mainland China.

Because the viruses causing HFMD are diverse, ongoing efforts exist to develop multivalent vaccines, especially those including antigens of the aforementioned common serotypes (15). Results from these preclinical studies using animal models showed a lack of cross-reactivity among EV-A71, CVA6, CVA10, and CVA16 (16,17). There is, however, scarce information about to what extent human infection with 1 HFMD-causing enterovirus serotype can elicit (cross-)neutralizing antibodies...
against homotypic and heterotypic enterovirus serotypes. Such data are of paramount importance to support the development of intervention strategies (including vaccines) and the design of epidemiologic research on surveillance and transmission dynamics of HFMD and will contribute to the expanded knowledge about host–pathogen and pathogen–pathogen interaction of this emerging clinical problem. We aim to fill the existing gaps in knowledge about seropositivity and (cross-)neutralization elicited as a consequence of human infection by EV-A71, CVA6, CVA10, and CVA16, the 4 most common serotypes responsible for the ongoing epidemic of HFMD worldwide, especially in Asia.

Materials and Methods

Settings
The clinical and patient data used in this study were derived from an ongoing clinical study of HFMD that has been conducted at Children’s Hospital (CH) 1, CH2, and the Hospital for Tropical Diseases (HTD) in Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, since 2013 (6,8). These hospitals are tertiary referral centers for children with HFMD in Ho Chi Minh City and southern Vietnam, covering a catchment population of >40 million.

Patient Enrollment and Data Collection
We screened all patients <12 years of age who came to outpatient departments or were admitted to inpatient wards of CH1, CH2, or HTD with a clinical diagnosis of HFMD and, if outpatients, an illness of <3 days for enrollment in our study. We excluded any patient for whom the attending physician believed another diagnosis was more likely.

We collected information regarding demographics, clinical signs/symptoms, clinical grades, treatments, laboratory tests, length of hospital stay, and outcomes. In addition, for enterovirus serotype determination, we sampled acute throat and rectal swabs at enrollment and collected a plasma sample from each participant at enrollment and 7–14 days after enrollment.

HFMD Clinical Grade Classification
According to the Vietnamese Ministry of Health, HFMD is clinically divided into 4 major grades. Grade 1 is assigned to patients with mouth ulcers or vesicles/papules on hands, feet, or buttocks, with or without mild fever (<39°C). Grade 2 is further divided into grade 2A (central nervous system [CNS] involvement, myoclonus reported by parents or caregivers only, fever>39°C or ataxia), grade 2B1 (myoclonus observed by medical staff or history of myoclonus and lethargy or pulse >130 bpm), and grade 2B2 (ataxia, cranial nerve palsies, limb weakness, nystagmus, persistent high fever, or pulse >150 bpm). Grade 3 involves autonomic dysfunction with sweating, hypertension, tachycardia, and tachypnea. Grade 4 is for disease with additional cardiopulmonary compromise with pulmonary edema or shock syndrome (18). Patients with grade 2B1 or above are considered to have severe HFMD, and often require intravenous immunoglobulin administration.

Determination of Enterovirus Serotype and EV-A71 Subgenogroup
We determined enterovirus serotype using a combination of PCR and sequencing approaches (19–21). In brief, we first extracted viral RNA from throat/rectal swab specimens. We then used a 1-step multiplex real-time reverse transcription PCR assay to simultaneously detect all enterovirus serotypes and EV-A71 (19). We then tested all specimens positive for enterovirus serotype or EV-A71 to further identify specific enterovirus serotypes or EV-A71 subgenogroups, using a combination of viral protein (VP) 1 PCR and sequencing of the obtained PCR amplicon (18,20,21). Finally, we analyzed the obtained VP1 sequences using a previously described online tool to determine enterovirus serotype or EV-A71 subgenogroup (22).

Selection of Patients and Plasma Sample for Microneutralization Assay
From this study, we selected a convenience sample of 120 patients (30 per serotype CVA6, CVA10, CVA16, and EV-A71) who had plasma samples collected at enrollment and follow-up and were available for immunological response analysis. In addition, for assessment of antigenic difference between subgenogroup B5 (circulating in Vietnam during 2013–2015) and C4 (circulating in Vietnam in 2018), we included 1 available follow-up plasma sample collected from a fatal case, in which the patient was infected with subgenogroup C4 during the 2018 outbreak (6).

Viral Strains
We isolated representative samples of CVA10, CVA16, and EV-A71 (including EV-A71 subgenogroup B5 in 2013 and C4 in 2018) used for microneutralization assay from patients with HFMD who were enrolled in the clinical study (7,8,10). We obtained a CVA6 isolate from the virus archive of Pasteur Institute in Ho Chi Minh City. For EV-A71, unless specified, all neutralization experiments were carried out using EV-A71 subgenogroup B5.

Neutralizing Antibodies in Patients with HFMD
**Microneutralization Assay**

We performed the microneutralization as previously described (23). In brief, we first inactivated plasma samples at 56°C for 30 min, and then diluted the samples in serial ratios from 1:8 to 1:512 in maintenance medium (Sigma-Aldrich, https://www.sigmaaldrich.com). Accordingly, the lower limit of detection of the assay was 1:8 and the upper limit was 1:512. Next, we incubated plasma dilutions with an equal volume of 100 times the median culture of infectious dose (TCID$_{50}$) of the virus at 37°C for 1 hour, and then transferred them into a 96-well plate precoated with human rhabdomyosarcoma (RD) cells (American Type Culture Collection, https://www.atcc.org). The plate was then incubated in a 5% carbon dioxide incubator at 37°C. Cells were observed daily for cytopathic effects. The antibody titer of the sample was determined by the highest plasma dilution that prevented cytopathic effects in 50% of the wells. We tested each dilution in quadruplicate and included negative and positive controls in each experiment. We defined seropositivity as neutralizing antibody titer >1:8. We defined seroconversion as a change from seronegativity to seropositivity, or at least a 4-fold rise in the neutralizing antibody titer between enrollment and follow-up time points.

**Statistical Analysis**

We performed statistical analyses of clinical data using IBM SPSS Statistics 23 (IBM Corp., https://www.ibm.com). We compared categorical variables using a $\chi^2$ test or Fisher exact test and compared continuous variables using the Mann-Whitney U-test, 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) test, or Kruskal-Wallis test. We tested the difference in neutralizing antibody titers between samples obtained at enrollment and follow-up using the Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test, available in Prism 5.04 (GraphPad Software, https://www.graphpad.com).

The institutional review boards of CH1, CH2, and HTD, as well as the Oxford Tropical Research Ethics Committee (OxTREC), approved the study. We obtained written informed consent from a parent or guardian of each enrolled patient.

**Results**

**Baseline Characteristics of Patients**

We compiled the baseline characteristics and clinical outcome of 120 patients included for analysis of neutralizing antibody responses to all 4 enterovirus serotypes (EV-A71, CVA6, CVA10, and CVA16) (Table 1). All patients were enrolled in the clinical study during July 2013–March 2017. Male patients were predominant (female/male ratio 45/75). Thirteen (10.8%) patients had severe HFMD (grade 2B1 or above); 119 patients (99.2%) made a complete recovery. Following the onset of illness, 50% of the patients were admitted to hospital within 1 day (range 0–5 days) and were enrolled in the clinical study within 2 days (range 0–6 days). All second/follow-up plasma samples included for analysis were collected at day >7 (median 9 days, range 7–17) after the illness onset.

Of the 30 patients infected with EV-A71, detailed information about subgenogroup was successfully generated for 13 patients, who were all positive for subgenogroup B5. Of the patients with EV-A71 infections, 20% had severe clinical phenotypes (grade 2B1 or above), whereas severe outcome was recorded in 3.3% of patients infected with CVA6, 6.7% of patients infected with CVA10, and 13.3% of patients infected with CVA16 (Table 1). Mouth lesion distributions and C-reactive protein levels were statistically different among serotypes. Otherwise, there were considerable similarities between groups of patients who were infected with EV-A71, CVA6, CVA10, or CVA16 in terms of clinical characteristics and outcome, as well as blood biochemistry parameters (Table 1), in agreement with a previous report (8).

**Seropositivity and Seroconversion**

We compiled the results of seropositivity testing at enrollment (baseline) and follow-up (Table 2). Although 60% (18/30) of patients infected with EV-A71 had specific antibodies to EV-A71 at the measured level (>1:16) or above in their blood samples, most of the patients (>70%) infected with CVA6, CVA10, or CVA16 had no specific antibodies to the infecting viruses at enrollment. The proportion of patients with antibodies against heterotypic viruses ranged from 7% (2/30) of CVA6 patients having neutralizing antibodies against EV-A71 to 57% (17/30) of EV-A71 patients having antibodies against CVA10.

At follow-up (>7 days after the onset of illness), seropositivity for homotypic viruses reached 97%–100% in all patient groups; comparisons for seropositivity rates at enrollment and follow-up were all significant (p<0.001) (Table 2), corresponding to seroconversion rates of 57% (17/30) for EV-A71, 77% (23/30) for CVA16, 83% (25/30) for CVA10, and 93% (28/30) for CVA6 (Table 2). We found no difference in antibody responses (seropositivity and seroconversion) between the groups of patients who were positive for EV-A71 subgenogroup B5 and those from whom EV-A71 subgenogroup was not available (data not shown).
The difference in the proportion of patients who were seropositive for heterotypic viruses was not statistically significant between the enrollment and follow-up time points (Table 2). At follow-up, seroconversion for heterotypic viruses was recorded in only 3% (1/30) to 23% (7/30) of the patients, with comparable rates across serotypes (Table 2). For example, of the 30 patients infected with CVA16, seroconversions for CVA6 were recorded in 10%, seroconversions for CVA10 in 7%, and seroconversions for EV-A71 in 7%. Similarly, of the 30 patients infected with EV-A71, seroconversions for CVA6 were recorded in 13%, seroconversions for CVA10 in 3%, and seroconversions for CVA16 in 10%. Five patients became seronegative for heterotypic viruses at follow-up (Figures 1, 2; Appendix Figures 1, 2, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-0721-App1.pdf).

Seropositivity versus Illness Day at Enrollment and Patient Age
We found a significant difference in illness days at enrollment between the groups of patients who were seropositive by neutralization testing for any homotypic virus and those who were negative, median day of illness 2 (range 1–6 days) versus 1 (0–6 days) (p<0.001) (Figure 3). Despite the small sample size,
subgroup analysis demonstrated a similar association between illness day and seropositivity at enrollment among patients infected with EV-A71 and those infected with CVA6 (Appendix Figure 3). We found no difference in age (months) between the groups of patients with and without neutralizing antibodies at enrollment (data not shown).

**Table 2. Seropositivity and seroconversion in plasma from hand, foot and mouth disease patients infected with EV-A71, CVA6, CVA10, and CVA16 viruses, Vietnam***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Immunity status</th>
<th>Virus, no. (%) samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CVA6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA6</td>
<td>Seropositivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At enrollment</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
<td>10 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At follow-up</td>
<td>30 (100)</td>
<td>9 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seroconversion</td>
<td>28 (83)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA10</td>
<td>Seropositivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At enrollment</td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
<td>9 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At follow-up</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td>29 (97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seroconversion</td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
<td>25 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA16</td>
<td>Seropositivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At enrollment</td>
<td>7 (23)</td>
<td>10 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At follow-up</td>
<td>9 (30)</td>
<td>11 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seroconversion</td>
<td>3 (10)</td>
<td>2 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV-A71</td>
<td>Seropositivity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At enrollment</td>
<td>5 (17)</td>
<td>17 (57)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At follow-up</td>
<td>7 (23)</td>
<td>14 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p value</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seroconversion</td>
<td>4 (13)</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* n = 30 for each virus. p values reflect the results of statistical analysis comparing the seropositive rates between the 2 time points (enrollment and follow-up) of the corresponding enterovirus serotypes. CV, coxsackievirus; EV, enterovirus.

**Kinetics of Neutralizing Antibody Titers**

To further shed light on the kinetics of neutralizing antibody titers over the course of illness, we plotted and compared the neutralizing antibody titers against homotypic and heterotypic enterovirus serotypes at enrollment and follow-up time points (Table 3; Figures 1, 2; Appendix Figures 1, 2). Overall, the antibody...
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Neutralizing antibody titers to homotypic enteroviruses at follow-up were significantly higher than those measured at enrollment (p<0.001; Table 3). All patients at follow-up had antibody titers against homotypic viruses ranging from 32 to 512, well above the protective level as defined from vaccine trials (12–14) (Appendix Figures 1, 2).

Neutralizing antibody titers against heterogeneous serotypes measured at the 2 time points were not statistically different, with most patients (15/50–27/30 [50%–90%]) having antibody titers below the assay cutoff (i.e., below the protective level). There was, however, a significant difference in antibody titer against CVA16 between the 2 time points among patients infected with CVA6 (p = 0.021; Table 3). Sub-analysis did not show that samples positive for CVA6 were more likely to be positive for CVA16 or any other serotypes than samples that were negative for CVA6 (Appendix Table 1).

Antigenic Difference between Subgenogroup B5 and Emerging Subgenogroup C4

To assess the extent to which infection with subgenogroup B5 circulating during 2013–2015 could elicit cross-neutralizing antibody responses against subgenogroup C4, which emerged in 2018 and caused a large outbreak of >130,000 hospitalizations and 17 deaths in Vietnam (6), we performed a complementary analysis using 6 follow-up plasma samples from the aforementioned group of patients infected with subgenogroup B5. Subsequently, all the included plasma samples could neutralize 2018 subgenogroup C4, and there was no difference in neutralizing antibody titers against the EV-A71 subgenogroups C4 and B5 (Figure 4). Likewise, the only available follow-up plasma sample collected from a patient infected with 2018 subgenogroup C4 had a neutralizing antibody titer of 1:512 against both subgenogroups.

Discussion

Despite the public health threat of HFMD, scarce information exists for pathogen–pathogen and host–pathogen interactions, from the immunity perspective, to inform the development and implementation of intervention strategies, especially vaccines, and the design of epidemiologic research on disease surveillance and transmission dynamics. Here we report on the seropositivity, seroconversion, and neutralization in HFMD patients infected with EV-A71, CVA6,
CVA10, or CVA16, the 4 most common enterovirus serotypes responsible for the ongoing HFMD epidemic in Vietnam and the Asia–Pacific region over the past few decades.

In terms of seropositivity, our results showed that antibody response against homotypic viruses at or above the titer of the protective level developed quickly after the onset of illness, with seropositivity for homotypic viruses changing from <60% at day 0–6 after illness onset (at enrollment) to 97%–100% at follow-up (7–19 days after the onset of illness). We could find no existing data obtained from natural infection to compare with our results. However, results obtained from phase 3 vaccine trials have shown that at day 56 after the administration of the first 2 doses of inactivated EV-A71 vaccine, 98.5–99.9% of the volunteers had neutralizing antibody against EV-A71 at titers of >1:16 (12–14). Collectively, these data expand our knowledge about immunogenicity elicited as a consequence of EV-A71 vaccination and natural infection. Coxsackievirus vaccine development has not gone beyond animal experiments; thus, no similar data exist for CVA6, CVA10, or CVA16 (15,17).

In contrast to the observed data for homotypic viruses, seropositive rates for heterotypic viruses were recorded in <57% of the patients during the course of illness. Furthermore, at follow-up, only a small proportion (3%–23%) of the patients had seroconverted for heterotypic viruses, suggesting that cross-neutralization among EV-A71, CVA6, CVA10, and CVA16 is absent or occurs in only a small proportion of patients (24,25). It cannot, however, be ruled out that these seropositive and seroconversion rates, especially among CVA6 patients, were attributable to previous exposure or co-infection with other serotypes (e.g., CVA16 in the case of CVA6 patients), which may have been undetected by PCR. Our data support a recent report about recurrent HFMD episodes resulting from reinfection with heterotypic serotypes in China (9) and the absence of cross-neutralization among these 4 enterovirus serotypes observed in vaccine studies (13,16,17). As such, multivalent vaccines are needed to control HFMD.

EV-A71 exists as a single serotype but is genetically divided into several genogroups (e.g., A, B, and C) and subgenogroups (e.g., C1–C5 and B1–B5). In Vietnam, HFMD has been a major public health concern since 2011, causing an average of 80,000 hospitalizations per year. The switches between predominant EV-A71 subgenogroups have been well documented; C4 was responsible for the 2011–2012

![Figure 3. Association between antibody response (seropositive) and illness days at enrollment (p<0.001) in study of patients with hand, foot and mouth disease, Vietnam. There were 82 patients with antibody titers below assay cutoff, and 38 patients with antibody titers above assay cutoff.](Image)

### Table 3. Geometric mean titers of neutralizing antibodies against enteroviruses in study of patients with hand, foot and mouth disease, Vietnam—*p* values reflect the results of statistical analysis comparing the geometric mean titers between the 2 time points (enrollment and follow-up) of the corresponding enterovirus serotypes. CV, coxsackievirus; EV, enterovirus; HFMD, hand, foot and mouth disease.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Geometric mean titer (95% CI) of neutralizing antibodies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CVA6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA6</td>
<td>Enrollment</td>
<td>4.9 (3.6–6.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>151.4 (113.0–202.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>p value</em></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA10</td>
<td>Enrollment</td>
<td>6.2 (3.9–9.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>8.7 (4.8–16.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>p value</em></td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVA16</td>
<td>Enrollment</td>
<td>9.0 (5.0–16.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>10.3 (5.7–18.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>p value</em></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EV-A71</td>
<td>Enrollment</td>
<td>6.6 (4.2–10.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow-up</td>
<td>9.4 (5.3–16.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>p value</em></td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
outbreak (18) followed by the predominance of B5 during 2013–2015 (10) and the reemergence of C4 in 2018 (6). Of note, the emergence of C4 in 2018 resulted in a severe outbreak that caused >130,000 hospitalizations and 17 deaths. The underlying mechanism that determines the emergence of certain subgenogroups in specific localities remains a puzzle; it may be a consequence of a complex interplay among the pathogen, the hosts, and public health response, of which antigenic evolution might play a role (26,27).

The fact that all serum samples from subgenogroup B5-infected patients collected before 2018 could neutralize the 2018 C4 virus suggests that immunity developed as a consequence of natural infection with subgenogroup B5 could provide protection against subgenogroup C4. However, the extent to which waning immunity (28), as observed from vaccine trials, may influence the long-term protection and overall population immunity, in turn resulting in possible reinfection, which has previously been reported in China (9), as well as disease emergence, remains unknown. The underlying mechanism determining the emergence of subgenogroup C4 in Vietnam in 2018 warrants further research.

Our study has some limitations. We based our analysis on only the 4 predominant serotypes currently responsible for the ongoing HFMD epidemics in the Asia-Pacific region, whereas >20 enterovirus serotypes have been reported to be associated with HFMD in the region. Furthermore, because of the unavailability of plasma samples, we were not able to informatively assess the antigenic relationship between EV-A71 subgenogroups responsible for major HFMD outbreaks in Vietnam since 2011 (C4 and B5) with proper sample size. Likewise, our syndromic hospital-based surveillance may have missed atypical HFMD cases. Together with the convenience sample used, these limitations may lower the level of generalizability of the obtained results to some extent. In addition, we were unable to obtain long-term follow-up blood samples from HFMD cases after hospital discharge. Therefore, evaluation of antibody kinetics and the waning antibody profiles of the natural infection beyond the sampling period of the current study remain unknown.

In summary, because human infection with 1 HFMD-causing enterovirus serotype can elicit neutralizing antibodies against homotypic viruses, our results support previous reports about the potential benefit of monovalent EV-A71 vaccine in reducing the incidence of EV-A71-associated HFMD (29). The data also emphasize the requirement for multivalent vaccines to control HFMD. Our results offer evidence that is essential for the development of intervention strategies, especially multivalent vaccines, and the design of seroepidemiologic studies.
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Chikungunya is a vectorborne viral disease that causes large outbreaks, mainly in tropical and subtropical countries (1). The term chikungunya is derived from a word in the Makonde language (spoken in parts of Tanzania and Mozambique, Africa), kun-gunyala, meaning “that which bends up,” referring to the stooped posture and impaired gait patients exhibit because of severe joint pain (2). Chikungunya virus (CHIKV; family Togaviridae, genus Alphavirus) is an enveloped, single-strand, positive-sense RNA virus transmitted through the bite of infected Aedes mosquitoes, predominantly Aedes aegypti and Aedes albopictus (2).

West African, East/Central/South African (ECSA), and Asian CHIKV genotypes are distinguished by envelope 1 (E1) glycoprotein phylogeny. In 2005, a major outbreak in countries around the Indian Ocean was caused by the ECSA genotype (3). Virus mutations facilitated its replication in Aedes albopictus mosquitoes and its rapid spread by Aedes albopictus and Aedes aegypti mosquitoes (3), species prevalent in Pakistan during and after monsoon season, May–September.

In Pakistan, CHIKV was reported to be circulating in rodents as early as 1983 (4), but few human cases were reported. During a 2011 dengue outbreak in Lahore, some patients also had CHIKV antibodies. CHIKV emerged in Karachi during 2016, and an outbreak eventually was declared when evidence of local transmission was confirmed (5). We reviewed the epidemiologic and evolutionary links of CHIKV detected during December 2016–May 2017 across Pakistan.

The Study
We tested serum samples from 584 patients with suspected CHIKV infection, according to the World Health Organization case definition (6). Patients were seen in different hospitals and clinics during December 20, 2016–May 31, 2017. Patients had acute onset fever (temperature >38.5°C), rash, and severe arthralgia or arthritis <7 days after a mosquito bite. Clinical signs and symptoms included fever in 90% (523/584) of persons with suspected cases, headache in 65% (382/584), joint pain in 85% (497/584), and rash in 24% (141/584) (Appendix Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/17-1636-App1.pdf).

We used the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com) to extract RNA from serum samples, according to the manufacturer’s protocol. We conducted one-step real-time reverse transcription-PCR (rRT-PCR) on the ABI7500 platform (Applied Biosystems, https://www.thermofisher.com) following guidelines from the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention emergency use authorization for Trioplex Real-Time RT-PCR assay (7). We used CHIKV-specific oligonucleotide primers to detect and sequence the envelope 1 (E1) and nonstructural protein 1 (NSP1) genes (8). We conducted phylogenetic analysis of partial E1 (n = 12) and NSP1 (n = 21) by the maximum-likelihood method in MEGA version 6 (https://www.megasoftware.net). We detected CHIKV IgM by using Anti-Chikungunya Virus ELISA (Euroimmun, https://www.euroimmun.com) commercial kits.

We collected and analyzed epidemiologic data, conducted bivariate analysis, and calculated p values.
DISPATCHES

We predicted the atomic structure of the E1 protein by using the Semiliki Forest virus (PDB ID: 2XFC) as a model in UCSF Chimera version 1.11.2 (University of California, San Francisco, https://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera).

We confirmed CHIKV by real-time reverse transcription PCR in 411 (70.3%) patients. The mean age of CHIKV-positive case-patients was 31.8 years (SD ± 15.7 years); most (25.5%) were 21–40 years of age (Appendix Figure 1). Children ≤10 years of age had more rashes (42%) than adults, but 91% of patients 11–20 years of age and 40% of patients 21–30 years of age had joint pain and swelling.

Of representative samples (n = 154), 85% (131/154) were positive by PCR; 67% (88/131) by IgM ELISA, of which 33% (43/131) had detectable CHIKV IgM; and 6% (8/131) of cases were confirmed by both methods. PCR was more sensitive ≤3 days after fever onset; IgM ELISA was more sensitive >3 days after fever onset.

Partial E1 (294 bp) and nsP1 (354 bp) gene sequences showed 99.9% similarity to strains of Indian Ocean lineage from the ECSA genotype (Figure 2). CHIKV strains in Pakistan had 99.9% homology with viruses from India, Singapore, and Bangladesh. The E1 protein of isolates from Pakistan diverged only 0.01% from prototype S-27 from Africa.

We noted substitutions in E1 genes at T98A, S111T, A145T, and K157N (Table 2) and at K128T in the NSP1 genes. We analyzed potential glycosylation sites in E1 and compared these with an o’nyong-nyong virus strain (GenBank accession no. AF079456). The E1 gene revealed a single conserved N-linked glycosylation site at N141 (Appendix Figure 2).

Conclusions
We noted a high rate of CHIKV infection, 70% (411/584), among suspected cases; most, 37% (153/411), occurred during May, early in monsoon season, similar to a 1963 outbreak in India that coincided with monsoon season, July–December. Another study in India noted an increase in CHIKV infections during and after monsoon season, possibly reflecting the favorable breeding conditions for Ae. albopictus and Ae. aegypti mosquitoes (9).

With a population of >180 million, Pakistan is the world’s sixth most populous country and the second most urbanized nation in South Asia; 36% of the population resides in cities. Pakistan and other countries in Asia are experiencing harsher summers and milder winters, conditions that increase outbreaks of arboviruses likely by expanding arthropod vector breeding seasons (10). In addition, outbreaks can intensify in poor sanitary conditions in parts of the region. CHIKV could also be introduced into nonendemic areas by travelers with viremia, leading to local transmission (11). Speculated risk factors in Sindh during 2016 included population movement across the country, as well as high vector density, poor sanitation, and susceptible populations (10).
Genomic and serologic assays confirmed CHIKV infection 3–5 days after patients’ fever onset. We noted more CHIKV cases in persons ≥20 years of age. However, persons <20 years of age more frequently exhibited rashes and arthralgia, similar to results from a previous study during a 2007 outbreak in Kerala, India (12).

CHIKV Asian genotype circulates in Southeast Asia, where *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes have been expanding during the previous 60 years (13). In CHIKV-endemic settings in Asia, 2 independent E1 gene mutations in A226V and T98A could enable virus adaptation to this mosquito species. In contrast, for Indian Ocean lineage strains, the same fitness advantage and selection efficiency could be gained by the acquisition of a single T98A amino acid substitution (13). Chikungunya should be considered as a diagnosis in persons who report fever, rash, or arthralgia, especially those returning from travel to virus-endemic areas (14). Chikungunya cases increased in Karachi and adjoining areas of Pakistan within months after massive outbreaks in India in 2016 (15). CHIKV in those outbreaks had high sequence homology to isolates from Pakistan, ascribing to the ECSA Indian Ocean lineage. Because no licensed CHIKV vaccine is available, public health officials should urge adoption of measures to prevent mosquito bites, such as use of repellents and mosquito nets.

Our study had some limitations. We did not obtain information on patient outcomes or clinical management. Our results might also underreport cases because Pakistan does not have a nationwide surveillance system for CHIKV.

In summary, we report on the molecular epidemiology of CHIKV genotypes circulating in Pakistan during 2016–2017. Sustained surveillance for CHIKV...
is needed to monitor the extent of virus circulation in subsequent years. Identification of genotypes and monitoring for mutations that might facilitate transmission fitness for CHIKV in mosquito vectors can improve public health response.
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Leptospirosis, a leading zoonotic cause of illness and death (1), has emerged as a major health problem due to the global expansion of urban slum communities (2–4). The disease is associated with severe manifestations such as Weil’s disease and pulmonary hemorrhage syndrome (5), for which case-fatality rates are 10%–50% or even higher (6). Transmission to slum residents occurs in the peridomiciliary environment, in which exposures to sewers, floodwater, and contaminated soil are risk factors (3,7,8). Extreme weather events may precipitate outbreaks (3–6), as recently experienced during the aftermath of Hurricane María in Puerto Rico (9). Similarly, seasonal periods of heavy rainfall and flooding are a contributing factor to the risk for urban leptospirosis (4,10).

In urban slum settings, contact with rats and Leptospira-contaminated water and soil occur year-round (3). Prior studies have shown, consistently, positive associations between heavy rainfall and hospitalized leptospirosis case-patients (4,10). However, this relationship may be affected by differences in case definitions used by diverse surveillance systems. In the few prospective cohort studies available, estimates of severe disease accounted for only a small proportion of the total disease burden (6). Thus, little is known about the role of rainfall in overall infection rates.

To characterize the seasonal pattern of leptospirosis and Leptospira infection in a tropical urban setting and evaluate the influence of meteorological factors on seasonal risk, we conducted a prospective investigation of Leptospira infection rates among slum residents while actively surveying for hospitalized leptospirosis case-patients within Salvador, Brazil, during seasonal periods of high and low rainfall.

The Study
During February 2013–April 2015, we identified patients >5 years old with suspected leptospirosis at the state infectious disease hospital in Salvador, Brazil (4,5), and those reported in the public health surveillance database by other hospitals in Salvador. We estimated the probable date of infection as 15 days before the hospital admission date. We evaluated suspected leptospirosis cases according to the WHO case definition standard (4,6,11) using the microscopic agglutination test (MAT), lipL32 real-time PCR assay (11), IgM-ELISA (6), or a combination. We defined laboratory-confirmed cases of leptospirosis as those with >4-fold rise in MAT titers in paired serum samples, MAT titers >1:800 in a single sample, or positive PCR (Appendix Tables 1, 2, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-0102-App1.pdf).

A linear regression model identified that cumulative monthly rainfall (Figure 1, panel A) was significantly associated with the monthly number of hospitalizations (Table 1). However, the association was not statistically significant after adjusting for temperature (Figure 1, panel B). The incidence of hospitalized leptospirosis patients was positively associated with increased precipitation in Salvador, Brazil. However, Leptospira infection risk among a cohort of city residents was inversely associated with rainfall. These findings indicate that, although heavy rainfall may increase severe illness, Leptospira exposures can occur year-round.

Leptospirosis, a leading zoonotic cause of illness and death (1), has emerged as a major health problem due to the global expansion of urban slum communities (2–4). The disease is associated with severe manifestations such as Weil’s disease and pulmonary hemorrhage syndrome (5), for which case-fatality rates are 10%–50% or even higher (6). Transmission to slum residents occurs in the peridomiciliary environment, in which exposures to sewers, floodwater, and contaminated soil are risk factors (3,7,8). Extreme weather events may precipitate outbreaks (3–6), as recently experienced during the aftermath of Hurricane María in Puerto Rico (9). Similarly, seasonal periods of heavy rainfall and flooding are a contributing factor to the risk for urban leptospirosis (4,10).

In urban slum settings, contact with rats and Leptospira-contaminated water and soil occur year-round (3). Prior studies have shown, consistently, positive associations between heavy rainfall and hospitalized leptospirosis case-patients (4,10). However, this relationship may be affected by differences in case definitions used by diverse surveillance systems. In the few prospective cohort studies available, estimates of severe disease accounted for only a small proportion of the total disease burden (6). Thus, little is known about the role of rainfall in overall infection rates.

To characterize the seasonal pattern of leptospirosis and Leptospira infection in a tropical urban setting and evaluate the influence of meteorological factors on seasonal risk, we conducted a prospective investigation of Leptospira infection rates among slum residents while actively surveying for hospitalized leptospirosis case-patients within Salvador, Brazil, during seasonal periods of high and low rainfall.

The Study
During February 2013–April 2015, we identified patients >5 years old with suspected leptospirosis at the state infectious disease hospital in Salvador, Brazil (4,5), and those reported in the public health surveillance database by other hospitals in Salvador. We estimated the probable date of infection as 15 days before the hospital admission date. We evaluated suspected leptospirosis cases according to the WHO case definition standard (4,6,11) using the microscopic agglutination test (MAT), lipL32 real-time PCR assay (11), IgM-ELISA (6), or a combination. We defined laboratory-confirmed cases of leptospirosis as those with >4-fold rise in MAT titers in paired serum samples, MAT titers >1:800 in a single sample, or positive PCR (Appendix Tables 1, 2, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-0102-App1.pdf).

A linear regression model identified that cumulative monthly rainfall (Figure 1, panel A) was significantly associated with the monthly number of hospitalizations (Table 1). However, the association was not statistically significant after adjusting for temperature (Figure 1, panel B). The incidence of hospitalized leptospirosis patients was positively associated with increased precipitation in Salvador, Brazil. However, Leptospira infection risk among a cohort of city residents was inversely associated with rainfall. These findings indicate that, although heavy rainfall may increase severe illness, Leptospira exposures can occur year-round.
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of hospitalized cases ($r^2 = 0.22$, $p<0.007$) (Figure 2). The highest hospitalized disease incidence occurred during the first period (February–September 2013; 3.29 cases/100,000 population; 95% CI 2.67–4.01 cases/100,000 population) and decreased across the next periods (Table 1; Figure 1, panels B, C).

Concurrently, we conducted a prospective cohort study assessing serologic evidence of *Leptospira* infection among urban slum residents of Pau da Lima, northwestern Salvador. We enrolled 2,421 of 3,716 eligible residents, ≥5 years of age and with written informed consent, of whom 821 participated in all serologic surveys performed twice annually during August–September (dry season) and February–March (rainy season) during 2013–2015 (Figure 1, panel A). Using panels with the 2 most common *Leptospira* species in Salvador (4), *L. interrogans* serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae serovar Copenhageni (strain Fiocruz L130) and *L. kirsheri* serogroup Cynopteri serovar Cynopteri (strain 3522C), we defined serologic evidence of *Leptospira* infection by a MAT titer increase from negative to ≥1:50 (seroconversion) or >4-fold increase between sequential, paired samples. During the study period, 29% of the infected participants reported fever.

To assess the association between rainfall and laboratory-confirmed *Leptospira* infection, we calculated the cumulative amount of rainfall that each study participant experienced between sequential samples. We used a generalized estimating equation and incorporated explanatory variables for gender, age, time period, and cumulative rainfall that each participant experienced. In contrast to the hospitalized cases, we found *Leptospira* infection risk in the urban area had an inverse association with cumulative rainfall (0.986 cm, 95% CI 0.977–0.995 per cm) (Table 2; Figure 1, panel D). We additionally assessed various rainfall metrics, as well as the number of severe rainfall events each participant experienced above the mean rainfall, and the resulting patterns remained...
consistent. Increasing age and male sex were associated with higher infection risk.

Conclusions

Leptospirosis is traditionally associated with heavy rainfall and flooding events in Brazil (5,9) and worldwide (7,10). Our findings support the association between extreme weather events and clinical leptospirosis. During the study period, the risk of acquiring leptospirosis that required hospitalization was significantly higher in periods with elevated rainfall. However, this finding is in contrast to *Leptospira* infection in nonhospitalized persons.

Our findings indicate that *Leptospira* infections occur year-round in this urban tropical setting and the cumulative incidence of *Leptospira* infection is high (2%-9% per period). This finding differs from patterns that we and others have identified for leptospirosis requiring hospitalization (2,4,9,12). Although this study does not specifically assess subclinical symptomatic infection, it provides further evidence that the impact of leptospirosis is underestimated, and physicians should be aware that leptospirosis infection may manifest clinically year-round.

The patterns of *Leptospira* exposure incidence and infection severe enough to require hospitalization, when taken together, suggest that rainfall may promote exposures of greater inocula, which in turn may increase the risk of developing severe clinical outcomes, such as severe pulmonary hemorrhage syndrome and Weil’s disease. For example, heavy rainfall may diffuse *Leptospira* from the soil, resulting in higher concentrations of bacteria in the media to which humans are exposed (sewer water) and so to a higher inoculum dose, thus increasing hospitalized disease incidence and perhaps decreasing the environmental exposure risk in and around households (mud and exposed soil) and decreasing infection risk. However, additional studies are needed to assess the specific contribution of inoculum dose to disease severity.

The 2-year study period was atypical because rainfall was lower than expected during the rainy seasons (Figure 1, panel A; Appendix Figure 1). Of note, we observed a significant inverse association between cumulative rainfall and the risk for infection during biannual sampling periods. Thus, these trends may not apply to periods with higher amounts of rainfall or extreme climatic events, such as El Niño. This study was also limited because we used seroconversion to identify infection and therefore could not determine the precise timing of exposure events; furthermore, we conducted serologic surveys only in a single urban slum community. However, most hospitalized cases occur in similar communities (4), and therefore Pau da Lima is likely to be representative. Last, although the surveillance hospitals were able to capture a variety of febrile illnesses, they did not capture mild febrile illness, which may account for a missing proportion of leptospirosis cases.

Our findings demonstrate that, despite the association of leptospirosis hospitalization with rainfall, *Leptospira* exposure continues year-round. Although we did not evaluate mild subclinical or clinical infections, it is possible that participants experience symptomatic illness that may be unrecognized or misdiagnosed as dengue or other febrile disease (12,13). Clinicians should be aware that leptospirosis may

---

### Table 1. Cumulative rainfall, citywide incidence of leptospirosis requiring hospitalization, and incidence of *Leptospira* infection among a community-based cohort in Salvador, Brazil, 2013–2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Follow-up period (dates)*</th>
<th>Cumulative rainfall, cm (+ SD)†</th>
<th>Hospitalizations/100,000 population‡</th>
<th><em>Leptospira</em> infection in period§</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 (2013 Feb 2–Sep 10)</td>
<td>126 (+ 13)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>3.29 (2.67–4.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 (2013 Sep 10–2014 Mar 14)</td>
<td>81 (+ 21)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>1.72 (1.26–2.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 (2014 Mar 14–2014 Aug 8)</td>
<td>93 (+ 16)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.50 (1.07–2.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 (2014 Aug 8–2015 Mar 3)</td>
<td>57 (+ 11)</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.86 (0.54–1.29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*We conducted 5 semiannual follow-up surveys for a community-based cohort of 861 residents of a community within Salvador, Brazil. A period was defined as the interval between 2 consecutive surveys.
†The source of rainfall data is 4 weather stations maintained by the Brazilian Institute for the Environment and Water Resources (Instituto do Meio Ambiente e Recursos Hídricos), located 1.6 km from the study site.
‡Cases of hospitalized leptospirosis per 100,000 population in the city of Salvador, Brazil (pop. 2,675,656 in 2010), during the follow-up period.
§We performed microscopic agglutination test to evaluate serologic evidence of *Leptospira* infections between 2 consecutive surveys. Cumulative incidence was calculated as the number of infections per 861 cohort subjects multiplied by 100.

---

### Table 2. Association of cumulative rainfall and semiannual follow-up period with risk for *Leptospira* infection, Salvador, Brazil, 2013–2015

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Per year of age</td>
<td>1.02 (1.02–1.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>1.98 (1.48–2.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative rainfall, cm†</td>
<td>0.986 (0.977–0.995)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*We used Generalized Estimating Equation to evaluate the association of rainfall, follow-up period, and patient age and sex on *Leptospira* infection, as ascertained by serologic evidence, assuming a dependence on the individual level across the 4 repeated measures.
†Cumulative amount of rainfall experienced by participant between sequential samples.
manifest clinically outside of normal seasonal periods of heavy rainfall. In addition, the differences observed during the time periods independent from rainfall indicate that other unexplained factors may influence the temporal risk for *Leptospira* infection. Identifying these factors will help enhance intervention strategies in urban slum environments.
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**DISPATCHES**
Incidence of Zika virus (ZIKV) infections rose rapidly in early 2015, and local transmission was confirmed in 84 countries and territories by March 2017 (1). Although ZIKV typically causes mild symptoms (2,3), in utero infection can cause congenital Zika syndrome (4,5). The threat of in utero infection, along with sexual transmission (6,7), led to advisories for women who were pregnant, or might become pregnant, and their partners to avoid travel to countries or areas with ZIKV transmission (7–10).

After implementing reactive screening during several global infectious disease outbreaks, including the 2014 Ebola outbreak, Mount Auburn Hospital (Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA) incorporated a standardized screening question regarding international travel into all hospital visits beginning in September 2015. To detect potential travel-associated exposures, patients were asked, "Have you traveled outside of the U.S. within the past 30 days?" Each quarter during November 1, 2015–October 31, 2016, we aggregated deidentified patient data to estimate the proportion of patients with potential ZIKV exposure and the possibility for congenital Zika syndrome.

The Study
During November 1–December 31, 2016, we retrospectively analyzed deidentified patient demographic, travel destination, and medical services data from the hospital database. We analyzed records from patients admitted as inpatients, and those seen in the emergency department/walk-in center (ED/WIC) and by other services during November 1, 2015–October 31, 2016. We included data from patients who responded “yes” to the travel screening question and provided a travel history and for whom diagnostic data were available. We categorized destination countries according to the World Health Organization 2016 classification for ZIKV transmission (11): category 1, countries that reported outbreaks from 2015 onward; category 2, countries with possible endemic transmission or evidence of local mosquito-borne ZIKV infections in 2016; and category 3, countries with evidence of local mosquito-borne ZIKV infections during or before 2015, but without documentation of cases in 2016, or designated as outbreak terminated. We defined reproductive age as 15–49 years of age for female patients and ≥15 years of age for male patients (12). We extracted records with International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision, codes applicable to pregnancy, including Z33.1, Z34.91, Z34.92, Z34.93, and Z34.90, and diagnosis descriptions that met the Zika disease case definition (3), which includes fever, rash, arthralgia, conjunctivitis, complication of pregnancy, or Guillain-Barré syndrome. We performed analyses by using IBM SPSS Statistics 17.0 (IBM, https://www.ibm.com). The Mount Auburn Hospital Institutional Review Board determined the activity to be exempt from review and approval.

We identified 5,642 patients who reported travel ≤30 days before their hospital visit. Of 5,004

We queried hospital patients about international travel in the previous 30 days to assess potential importation of emerging infections. We used 12 months of deidentified data to analyze patient demographics, travel destinations, and diagnoses for exposure to Zika virus. Our approach could be used to analyze potential infectious disease exposures.
patients who had complete demographic, destination, and diagnostic data, 3,109 (62.1%) were female and 1,895 (37.9%) male; patients were 10 months–94 years of age. A total of 959 (19%) were evaluated in the ED/WIC, and 161 (3.2%) with recent travel were hospitalized. The most frequently visited destinations were Canada, the United Kingdom, and Mexico (Table 1).

Among female patients, 1,579 (50.8%) were of reproductive age and 176 (5.7%) were pregnant. Among male patients, 1,850 (97.6%) were of reproductive age. Overall, 475 (30%) female and 514 (28%) male patients of reproductive age traveled to countries with ZIKV transmission. Of 176 pregnant women, 38 (22%) had traveled to countries with ZIKV transmission within the previous 30 days; 21 were in the first trimester (Figure).

When analyzed for destinations in WHO categories 1–3 (11), 1,492 travelers, 963 female and 529 male, visited 1,570 destinations with ZIKV transmission. Mexico, the Dominican Republic, Aruba, Brazil, and Costa Rica (Table 1) were the most frequently visited destinations, and the Caribbean was the most frequently visited region (n = 648).

Among patients who traveled to countries with ZIKV transmission whose admitting diagnosis or description was available, 42 listed symptoms compatible with ZIKV infection (Table 2). We did not

**Table 1.** Countries visited most frequently in the previous 30 days by 5,004 travelers and Zika-affected countries visited by 1,570 travelers, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>No. (%) travelers</th>
<th>Destination</th>
<th>No. (%) travelers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>778 (15.5)</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>318 (20.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>363 (7.2)</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>119 (7.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>318 (6.4)</td>
<td>Aruba</td>
<td>102 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>France</td>
<td>315 (6.3)</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>85 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>265 (5.3)</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>84 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>227 (4.5)</td>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>83 (5.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>200 (4.0)</td>
<td>Bahamas</td>
<td>81 (5.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ireland</td>
<td>158 (3.2)</td>
<td>Virgin Islands</td>
<td>57 (3.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>155 (3.1)</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>48 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>150 (3.0)</td>
<td>Cuba</td>
<td>45 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*According to the World Health Organization (11). ZIKV, Zika virus.

**Figure.** Flowchart of possible Zika virus exposure based on travel destination, sex, and pregnancy status in patients responding to a question on international travel ≤30 days before seeking care at a hospital, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA.
identify Guillain-Barré syndrome or any complications of pregnancy among the 42 patients, but 2 had laboratory-confirmed ZIKV infection.

Our results approximate the Global Travel Epidemiology Network analysis of pretravel consultations (13), in which 28% of 22,736 travelers planned trips to ZIKV-affected countries and >75% were of reproductive age. Another study retrospectively reviewed 46 patients for possible ZIKV infection and found 17% had laboratory evidence of infection (14). Applying this seropositivity rate to our study, if testing had been done, 7 patients with symptoms compatible with ZIKV clinical criteria might have had laboratory-confirmed ZIKV infection.

We found that a standardized question to screen for international travel provided a description of travel patterns for this patient community. Data from the 12-month period coincided with the rapid travel patterns for this patient community. Data for international travel provided a description of travel patterns for this patient community. Data from the 12-month period coincided with the rapid

### Table 2. Results of search for symptoms compatible with Zika virus clinical criteria in a study of travel screening for Zika virus infection, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient age, y/sex</th>
<th>Date of hospital visit</th>
<th>Destinations visited</th>
<th>Diagnosis and symptoms at admission</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40/F</td>
<td>2015 Nov</td>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49/F</td>
<td>2015 Nov</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Pruritus, unspecified; rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59/F</td>
<td>2015 Nov</td>
<td>Malaysia, Indonesia</td>
<td>Fever, unspecified; rash and other nonspecific skin eruption; myalgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/F</td>
<td>2015 Nov</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>Fever, unspecified; rash and other nonspecific skin eruption; myalgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/M</td>
<td>2015 Nov</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Unidentified influenza virus with other respiratory manifestations; fever, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/M</td>
<td>2015 Dec</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Viral infection, unspecified; rash and other nonspecific skin eruption; fever, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48/M</td>
<td>2015 Dec</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Acute pharyngitis, unspecified; rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40/M</td>
<td>2015 Dec</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Acute pharyngitis, unspecified; fever, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/F</td>
<td>2016 Jan</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Fever, unspecified; acute pharyngitis, unspecified; cough; myalgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34/F</td>
<td>2016 Jan</td>
<td>The Bahamas</td>
<td>Viral infection, unspecified; fever, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61/F</td>
<td>2016 Jan</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39/F</td>
<td>2016 Jan</td>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>Rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/M</td>
<td>2016 Jan</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Rash and other nonspecific skin eruption; fever, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33/M</td>
<td>2016 Jan</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55/M</td>
<td>2016 Jan</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>Fever, unspecified; rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58/F</td>
<td>2016 Feb</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Acute pharyngitis, unspecified; fever, unspecified; myalgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNK/M</td>
<td>2016 Feb</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Rash, cough, fever, sneezing; viral infection, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/M</td>
<td>2016 Feb</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Viral infection, unspecified; rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31/M</td>
<td>2016 Feb</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Posttravel follow-up; requested screening for other viral diseases; rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/F</td>
<td>2016 Mar</td>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>Influenza-like symptoms; viral infection, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/F</td>
<td>2016 Mar</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46/F</td>
<td>2016 Mar</td>
<td>Jamaica, Mexico</td>
<td>Influenza-like symptoms; cough; unidentified influenza virus with unspecified type of pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/M</td>
<td>2016 Mar</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Influenza-like symptoms; viral infection, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32/M</td>
<td>2016 Mar</td>
<td>The Bahamas</td>
<td>Headache, back pain, vomiting; fever, unspecified; nausea with vomiting, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/F</td>
<td>2016 Apr</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Fever and aches; viral infection, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>69/F</td>
<td>2016 Apr</td>
<td>Haiti</td>
<td>Fever, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/M</td>
<td>2016 Apr</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>Headache with rash; viral infection, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/F</td>
<td>2016 May</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
<td>Fever, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39/F</td>
<td>2016 Jun</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Rash and other nonspecific skin eruption; nonvenomous insect bites on left and right upper arm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/M</td>
<td>2016 Jun</td>
<td>Puerto Rico</td>
<td>Rash and other nonspecific skin eruption; other fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44/F</td>
<td>2016 Jul</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>Rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/M</td>
<td>2016 Jul</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>Influenza-like symptoms; Viral infection, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/M</td>
<td>2016 Jul</td>
<td>Trinidad and Tobago</td>
<td>Rash and other nonspecific skin eruption; Fever, unspecified; acute pharyngitis, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44/F</td>
<td>2016 Aug</td>
<td>Nicaragua</td>
<td>Rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51/F</td>
<td>2016 Aug</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>Cough; fever, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51/M</td>
<td>2016 Aug</td>
<td>Malaysia, Turkey</td>
<td>Cough; fever, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36/M</td>
<td>2016 Aug</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Posttravel screening; fever, unspecified; unspecified conjunctivitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/F</td>
<td>2016 Sep</td>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>Influenza-like symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34/F</td>
<td>2016 Sep</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Acute pharyngitis, unspecified; fever, unspecified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/F</td>
<td>2016 Sep</td>
<td>Virgin Islands</td>
<td>Rash; unspecified viral infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39/M</td>
<td>2016 Sep</td>
<td>Dominican Republic</td>
<td>Rash and other nonspecific skin eruption</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/M</td>
<td>2016 Sep</td>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Possible Zika virus; patient was ill after travel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Symptoms include rash, fever, conjunctivitis, arthralgia or myalgia, complications in pregnancy, or Guillain-Barré syndrome. Patients were asked, “Have you traveled outside the U.S. in the past 30 days?” UNK, unknown.
spread of ZIKV and revealed the sizable portion of patients who might have been exposed to ZIKV during travel. Population-based analysis of travel-related ZIKV exposure could provide estimates of at-risk populations and diagnostic testing needs, especially for pregnant women. The application is especially promising with newer electronic health record systems. However, limited testing capability might have underestimated the actual number of travel-associated cases (15), even when clinicians suspected ZIKV.

Our study had some limitations. Because we only reviewed the population at 1 hospital during a single 12-month period, our results might not be generalizable to the US population. Our analysis relied on recent travel to countries and territories that reported ZIKV transmission, but some travelers might have visited risk-free settings, such as locations at higher altitudes, resulting in overestimation of the number of possible infections. Infectious ZIKV has been detected in semen mainly ≤30 days after fever onset, but its presence in semen has been documented longer (10,15); therefore, the potential number of ZIKV infections might exceed our estimate because sexual partners could become infected. Also, we did not have information on whether the patients of reproductive age were sexually active, fertile, had pregnant partners, or were planning conception. We might have missed cases for the following reasons: we relied on diagnoses and diagnostic descriptions, but omission of symptoms in these fields might not represent truly absent symptoms; some infected persons might have been unaware of ZIKV and might not have sought medical evaluation; the incubation period of sexually transmitted ZIKV might be >30 days and patients might have become ill after being seen; we did not collect or record ZIKV infections identified after the study period; only patients strongly suspected of ZIKV were tested due to limited laboratory capacity; and the travel screening question would not have identified sexually transmitted ZIKV infection in a patient who had not traveled internationally.

Conclusions
We used a systematic travel screening question to analyze potential exposure to ZIKV in a hospital population. Because up to 80% of ZIKV infections are asymptomatic (2), we used travel to Zika-affect ed countries as a proxy for potential ZIKV exposure. In patients with international travel ≤30 days before seeking treatment, 31.4% visited countries with ZIKV transmission. Half of the female patients and most male patients were of reproductive age. In this population, 30% of female patients who were of reproductive age or pregnant reported travel with potential exposure to ZIKV; male patients similarly were affected. Despite severe restrictions on testing for ZIKV infection at the time of the study, our analysis demonstrated the ability to identify patients with clinical findings that fit the ZIKV case definition even if they were not tested. We also identified a large proportion of patients who should have received Zika pretravel counseling.

Analysis of the hospitalwide data for recent travel history provided a tool to assess the proportion of the population that might have been exposed to ZIKV. These data could inform population-based ZIKV vaccination needs in the future. In addition, systematic travel screening also could be applied to other imported emerging infections in the future.
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Zika virus is spread to people through mosquito bites. Outbreaks of Zika have occurred in areas of Africa, Southeast Asia, the Pacific Islands, and the Americas. Because the Aedes species of mosquitoes that spread Zika virus are found throughout the world, it is likely that outbreaks will spread to new countries. In May 2015, the Pan American Health Organization issued an alert regarding the first confirmed Zika virus infection in Brazil. In December 2015, Puerto Rico reported its first confirmed Zika virus case.
Elizabethkingia anophelis is a recently identified aerobic, nonmotile, oxidase-positive, indole-positive species of gram-negative bacillus (1,2) that has been implicated in nosocomial and community outbreaks and associated with high mortality rates (3,4). We report a case of E. anophelis bacteremia in an infant in Cambodia in October 2018 and a retrospective study to identify previously misidentified isolates and describe the clinical features of E. anophelis–associated pediatric illness in Cambodia.

The Study
A 7-day-old girl was brought to her local hospital with difficulty in breathing and poor feeding. She was a twin, born vaginally at 36 weeks’ gestation, with no antenatal or delivery complications. Both her mother and twin were in good health. Hospital staff administered ampicillin (50 mg/kg 2×/d) and gentamicin (5 mg/kg/36 h). The patient was transferred to the pediatric intensive care unit of Angkor Hospital for Children, a non-governmental pediatric hospital in Siem Reap, Cambodia, with presumed late-onset neonatal sepsis. Upon arrival, she was cyanotic with recurrent apneas, requiring intubation, and had jaundice. Clinical examination and vital signs were otherwise unremarkable. Blood tests showed a leukocyte count of 8.5 × 10⁹/L (neutrophils 6.4 × 10⁹/L); hemoglobin 16.9 g/dL; platelet count 45 × 10⁹/L; C-reactive protein 195 mg/L; and total bilirubin 252 μmol/L. Lumbar puncture was omitted due to thrombocytopenia. A blood culture was transferred with her from the local hospital.

The day after transfer, she experienced symptoms of meningitis, including fever and seizures. We initiated anticonvulsant therapy and changed her antimicrobial therapy to intravenous meropenem (40 mg/kg 3×/d). Blood culture microscopy subsequently showed gram-negative bacilli, identified as E. anophelis on hospitalization day 3 by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight (MALDI-TOF) mass spectrometry using bioMérieux VITEK MS in an in vitro diagnostic mode using the spectrum knowledge base version 3.2.0 (bioMérieux, https://www.biomerieux.com). At this stage antimicrobial drugs were changed to intravenous ciprofloxacin (10 mg/kg, 2×/d) and vancomycin (15 mg/kg, 1×/d); a blood culture collected before the change confirmed bacteremia caused by E. anophelis.

The patient was extubated on day 6 and underwent lumbar puncture because her platelet count had improved. Cerebrospinal fluid was cloudy, with a leukocyte count of 265 cells/µL (75% polymorphs), glucose of 1 mmol/L, and protein of 13 g/L. Gram stain microscopy revealed no organisms, and culture was negative. After 28 days of ciprofloxacin/vancomycin, she was clinically well and discharged home.

At her 1-month follow-up appointment, she displayed clinical features of raised intracranial pressure, including neurologic deficits. Cranial ultrasound showed hydrocephalus, a suspected sequela of meningitis, and she was referred for neurosurgical opinion.

After the case described was identified, we retrieved all isolates in −80°C storage that had been identified since January 2012 as Chryseobacterium meningosepticum, C. miricola, or Elizabethkingia spp. We included in our study the first isolates from a given clinical episode: 4 identified as C. meningosepticum, 3 as E. meningoseptica, and the isolate already identified as E. anophelis. From subculture, we analyzed these using VITEK MS MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. We identified...
6 isolates as *E. anophelis* and 1 as *E. meningoseptica*. MALDI-TOF was unable to return an identification for 1 isolate, previously identified as *C. meningosepticum*.

We determined MICs to antimicrobial drugs for all *E. anophelis* isolates using Etest (bioMérieux). MIC$_{50}$ result for ceftriaxone was 64 µg/mL; for sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, 0.25 µg/mL; for ciprofloxacin, 0.5 µg/mL; and for vancomycin, 12 µg/mL (Table).

To provide regional context for these results, 2 microbiology laboratories in Mae Sot, Thailand, and Vientiane, Laos, also reanalyzed stored clinical isolates as we described. In Mae Sot, a single isolate of *E. meningoseptica* from a neonatal blood culture was reidentified as *E. anophelis*. In Vientiane, 9 isolates of *C. meningosepticum* were reidentified as *E. meningoseptica*, and the identity of 1 *E. meningoseptica* isolate remained the same.

Conclusions

Although reports of *E. anophelis* are rare, cases are reported from countries in southern Asia, including Singapore (3), Taiwan (5), and Hong Kong (6). Our findings are consistent with reports of *E. anophelis* infection from other countries demonstrating it to be an opportunistic organism affecting more vulnerable patient groups (6). The mortality rate associated with *E. anophelis* is high (50%), and isolation of *E. anophelis* from blood in two thirds of the children in this study demonstrates its importance as a human pathogen.

Previous reports of community- and hospital-acquired *E. anophelis* infection among infants have proposed a range of transmission routes, including vectorborne (*Anopheles* mosquitoes) (1,2,7), waterborne (8), and vertical transmission (9). With no temporal clustering, and with most cases occurring among older infants, we suspect that unidentified environmental reservoirs are possible sources of these cases.

Previously, studies relied on 16S rRNA testing to identify *E. anophelis*, with biochemical phenotypic methods unable to distinguish between *Elizabethkingia* spp. (10). Although this method provides high discriminatory power, its use in diagnostic microbiology is limited to established laboratory settings. It also requires highly trained staff to interpret results, which are rarely available within a clinically useful timeframe. Until late 2017, oxidase-positive gram-negative isolates were identified at the microbiology laboratory at Angkor Hospital for Children by biochemical phenotypic methods (API 20NE, bioMérieux); identification is now done by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. Misidentification of *Elizabethkingia* spp. using biochemical methods has been reported (2,6); however, updated MALDI-TOF databases

---

### Table. Characteristics of *Elizabethkingia anophelis* isolates from Cambodia and Thailand*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Isolate no.</th>
<th>1 (this study)</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at admission</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6 d</td>
<td>8 mo</td>
<td>15 wk</td>
<td>0 d</td>
<td>51 d</td>
<td>0 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concurrent condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Prematurity†</td>
<td>Duodenal atresia</td>
<td>Failure to thrive</td>
<td>Prematurity†</td>
<td>Ventricular septal defect</td>
<td>Prematurity†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>Thailand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical features</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meningitis</td>
<td>VAP</td>
<td>Meningitis</td>
<td>Sepsis</td>
<td>VAP</td>
<td>Sepsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CIP/VAN</td>
<td>MER</td>
<td>CAX</td>
<td>CIP/GM</td>
<td>CAX</td>
<td>IMP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Survived</td>
<td>Died</td>
<td>Unknown‡</td>
<td>Died</td>
<td>Died</td>
<td>Survived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outcome</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Respiratory secretion</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Respiratory secretion</td>
<td>Blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collection date</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Blood</td>
<td>Blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization day collected</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>E. anophelis</td>
<td>E. meningoseptica</td>
<td>C. meningosepticum</td>
<td>E. meningoseptica</td>
<td>E. meningoseptica</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First ID</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALDI-TOF</td>
<td>MALDI-TOF</td>
<td>API 20NE</td>
<td>API 20NE</td>
<td>API 20NE</td>
<td>API 20NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial ID method</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MALDI-TOF</td>
<td>MALDI-TOF</td>
<td>API 20NE</td>
<td>API 20NE</td>
<td>API 20NE</td>
<td>API 20NE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIC (µg/mL)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>SXT</td>
<td>CAX</td>
<td>CIP</td>
<td>VAN</td>
<td>SXT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAN</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SXT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAX</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AMP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CAX</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SXT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VAN</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SXT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CIP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*AMP, ampicillin; CAX, ceftriaxone; CIP, ciprofloxacin; F, female; GM, gentamicin; ID, identification; IMP, imipenem; M, male; MER, meropenem; SXT, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim; VAN, vancomycin; VAP, ventilator-associated pneumonia.

†† was not possible to retrieve gestational age for all patients.

‡‡ Patient left hospital against medical advice.

§§ Sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim MIC testing not available in the Thailand laboratory.
provide reliable differentiation (10). As the resolution that MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry provides in pathogen identification expands, and its use becomes available in low- and middle-income countries, we expect to see higher reported incidence of *E. anophelis* infection. Conversely, it may become apparent that the burden of *E. meningoseptica* is not as high as previously thought, with retrospective studies already showing *E. anophelis* as the predominant species of its genus (6,10,11). In our study, this possibility was not found to be the case in Laos, suggesting possible regional variation.

*E. anophelis* demonstrates phenotypic and genotypic resistance to multiple antimicrobial drugs, and, without epidemiologically based interpretive cutoffs, selection of therapeutic options is challenging (4,5,10,12). High MICs to ceftriaxone are consistent with *β*-lactam resistance reported elsewhere, and carbapenem resistance should also be expected (4,5,10,12). Following Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (M100–29; 2019) (13) for “other non-Enterobacteriaceae,” these isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim. This finding is not consistent with other regional data that show greater rates of resistance to these drugs (5,10). *E. anophelis* has been shown to be susceptible to piperacillin/tazobactam and to rifampin (4,10), which were not tested against in this study and are not currently available as therapeutic options in the study setting. It is unusual for gram-negative organisms to exhibit susceptibility to vancomycin, and interpretation of MICs to this drug should be approached with caution. Use of Etest in this study was a methodological limitation; the preferred method of broth microdilution was not available.

In summary, updates of mass spectrometry platforms have enabled identification of clinical *E. anophelis* isolates in Cambodia and Thailand. As diagnostic microbiology capacity expands in low- and middle-income countries, further reports of this organism are expected. Because of the associated high mortality rates for this pathogen, consensus on therapeutic options for infection caused by *E. anophelis* is needed, especially in resource-limited settings with restricted choices for antimicrobial drugs.
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Global Expansion of Pacific Northwest Vibrio parahaemolyticus Sequence Type 36
Michel Abanto, Ronnie G. Gavilan, Craig Baker-Austin, Narjol Gonzalez-Escalona, Jaime Martinez-Urtaza

We report transcontinental expansion of Vibrio parahaemolyticus sequence type 36 into Lima, Peru. From national collections, we identified 7 isolates from 2 different Pacific Northwest complex lineages that surfaced during 2011–2016. Sequence type 36 is likely established in environmental reservoirs. Systematic surveillance enabled detection of these epidemic isolates.

Compared with other major foodborne illnesses, Vibrio parahaemolyticus infections have been steadily increasing (1); thus, V. parahaemolyticus has become the leading cause of seafood-related bacterial infections globally. The US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention estimated that the average annual incidence of all Vibrio infections increased by 54% during 2006–2017 (2), and V. parahaemolyticus infections were responsible for a large percentage of this increase in the later years (3). V. parahaemolyticus is believed to be responsible for ≈35,000 human infections each year in the United States alone (4) and has been identified as the leading cause of foodborne infections in China since the 1990s (5).

In some areas of the world, the steady increase in local numbers of cases associated with V. parahaemolyticus has coincided with the overall geographic expansion of V. parahaemolyticus disease. V. parahaemolyticus cases are now being regularly reported in areas with little previous incidence, including South America and northern Europe (6,7). Although the precise circumstances and factors driving the growth in case numbers are unclear, the transition of V. parahaemolyticus disease from a regional to a more global pathogen has been directly connected with the emergence of isolates with epidemic potential.

V. parahaemolyticus and V. cholerae represent the only 2 documented instances of global expansion of human pathogenic marine bacteria (8). Pandemic V. cholerae emerged >50 years ago, and intercontinental expansion of V. parahaemolyticus began ≈25 years ago. V. parahaemolyticus sequence type (ST) 3 emerged in India in 1996 and rapidly underwent transcontinental dissemination, reaching almost all continents (9). ST3 causes infections worldwide and persists as the dominant type in some countries of Asia, including China (5).

ST3 was the only known example of V. parahaemolyticus transcontinental expansion until 2012, when a new type, ST36, was identified outside its endemic region (the Pacific Northwest of North America) (10). ST36 infections were initially reported in the northeastern United States, increasing the number of V. parahaemolyticus infections in this region (3). A few months later, they were reported in a single large outbreak in Spain (11). An in-depth genomewide analysis of representative isolates from the Pacific Northwest, northeastern United States, and Spain showed that ST36 is a highly dynamic population and that the V. parahaemolyticus strains causing infections in the northeastern United States had diverged from the original lineage in the Pacific Northwest over the course of the cross-continental eastward expansion (12). The strains in the northeastern United States and Spain arose from 2 distinctive ST36 populations. Although the international spread of this population is a concern, ST36 has not been documented outside of the United States since the outbreak in Spain in 2012 (11).

The Study
After the emergence of cholera in 1991 in Peru and re-emergence in 1998, both concurrent with El Niño events, the Peruvian National Institute of Health (Lima, Peru) implemented a contingency plan for preparedness to respond to every El Niño episode. This contingency plan involves intensive investigations of all Vibrio isolates acquired in clinical settings and enhanced monitoring
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of environmental sources. Among the characterized *V. parahaemolyticus* isolates obtained over the course of surveillance, we identified 5 clinical ST36 isolates (3 in 2015 and 2 in 2016) from Lima (Table). After reviewing the *V. parahaemolyticus* isolates deposited in the historical collection of the Peruvian National Institute of Health over the past 30 years, we were able to identify 2 additional isolates (1 from a seawater sample collected in 2011 and 1 from a clinic in 2012).

**Table.** Sequence type 36 isolates identified in clinical settings and from environmental sources, Lima, Peru, 2011–2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Isolate</th>
<th>Alias</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CFSAN062371</td>
<td>3.369–15</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Environmental</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFSAN062362</td>
<td>1.004–13</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFSAN062300</td>
<td>3.252–16</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFSAN062366</td>
<td>1.147–15</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFSAN062373</td>
<td>1.146–15</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFSAN062273</td>
<td>1.210–16</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFSAN062350</td>
<td>1.166–15</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Clinical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Phylogenetic reconstruction of transcontinental spread of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* sequence type 36, North America, Peru, and Spain, 1985–2016. Timeline was estimated with BEAST (https://beast.community) by applying a Bayesian skyline demographic model and uncorrelated lognormal molecular clock. Single-nucleotide polymorphisms were identified in core genomes after the removal of recombination. Branch colors represent the most probable geographic origin of the last common ancestor of the group. Dates at nodes show estimated divergence dates from most recent common ancestor. Old PNW is the ancestral population (last strain identified in 2002) of the PNW lineage complex, and the modern PNW lineage is the currently circulating PNW population. *Vibrio* classifications are indicated. ANE, Atlantic Northeast; PNW, Pacific Northwest; SAWE, South America–West Europe.
We performed a genomewide phylogenetic analysis of a global collection of 111 ST36 isolates (Appendix Table, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-0362-App1.pdf) obtained during the past 30 years from the United States (west and east coasts), Canada, Spain, and Peru. Results indicated that the isolates from Peru were of 2 different genetic variants (Figures 1, 2): 5 clustered with the modern (i.e., currently circulating) Pacific Northwest lineage, and 2 clustered in a distinctive group comprising isolates from the 2012 outbreak in Spain. Analysis of the phylodynamics of transmission by Bayesian inference suggested the existence of 2 independent and almost contemporary introductions of ST36 into Peru in 2011, both originating from 2 distinct modern Pacific Northwest variants. The group comprising isolates from Peru and Spain appeared to have diverged from a common ancestor around 2004. Considering the number of years from the last common ancestor of both Peru lineages and that other closely related genetic variants are absent from the dataset, intermediary populations might exist in regions not yet scrutinized.

The identification of ST36 in Peru provides additional evidence of the extraordinary dynamics of *Vibrio* infections in this region. Since the emergence of cholera in 1991 and the subsequent implementation of an active surveillance system for *Vibrio* diseases in Peru, several instances of emergence of major epidemic clones of *V. parahaemolyticus* have been reported in the country. Although the sources and routes of introduction of these foreign clones remain yet undetermined, a growing body of evidence has linked the epidemic dynamics and spreading of disease in this particular region of South America to El Niño (13). During the past 30 years, the emergence of cases in Peru associated with new clones of Vibrio has been sharply influenced by the onset of El Niño conditions, which has also shaped the extent and severity of epidemics.

![Figure 2](image.png)

**Figure 2.** Transcontinental spread of *Vibrio parahaemolyticus* sequence type 36, North America, Peru, and Spain, 1985–2016. Timeline was estimated by using BEAST (Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees). Years on map indicate the inferred dates of arrival of *V. parahaemolyticus* sequence type 36 to that country. Old Pacific Northwest is the ancestral population (last strain identified in 2002) of the Pacific Northwest lineage complex, which also includes the modern (i.e., currently circulating) Pacific Northwest lineage, Pacific Northwest lineage 2, Atlantic Northeast lineage, and the South America–West Europe group.
(14,15). The arrival of extraordinary weather conditions brought on by El Niño (i.e., a combination of heavy rains and heat waves) provides the ideal conditions for the proliferation of *Vibrio* spp. in the environment. These circumstances, along with disruption of sanitary infrastructure caused by floods and landslides, can help generate the perfect conditions for the explosive emergence of *Vibrio* diseases.

Despite the evidence connecting the epidemiology of *Vibrio* in Peru to El Niño, little is known about the mechanisms of global dispersal and introduction of foreign epidemic clones into the region. Ballast water from cargo ships and marine heat waves have been associated with some instances of disease emergence elsewhere (12). Another mechanism that might be involved in the dispersal of *V. parahaemolyticus* populations is the international trade of shellfish, which was suggested to facilitate the introduction of ST36 into the United States and Spain (12).

**Conclusions**

We report the transcontinental expansion of ST36 *V. parahaemolyticus* into South America. The presence of ST36 in clinical and environmental settings in Peru emphasizes the exceptional epidemic potential of the Pacific Northwest complex and *V. parahaemolyticus* as a human pathogen. The long-term persistence and presence of environmental isolates suggest the successful establishment of ST36 in environmental reservoirs. ST36’s ability for intercontinental dispersal, along with its highly pathogenic nature (1), make this *Vibrio* population a major public health concern. Furthermore, Peru has shown that implementation of systematic surveillance for *Vibrio* species can facilitate the detection of emerging transnational epidemic strains. This strategy will play a crucial role under exceptional climatic conditions, such as those generated by El Niño, where enhanced risk for outbreaks is likely.
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Lyme disease is the most common vectorborne disease in Maine. *Borrelia burgdorferi*, the agent of Lyme disease, is transmitted through the bite of infected blacklegged ticks (*Ixodes scapularis*). Lyme disease cases in Maine increased from a single case in 1986 to 1,844 in 2017, reflecting the northward range expansion of *I. scapularis* ticks (1). *Anaplasma phagocytophilum*, the cause of human granulocytic anaplasmosis (HGA), is also transmitted by *I. scapularis* ticks and is the second most common tickborne illness in Maine. Only 45 HGA cases were reported during 2000–2008 (2), but case reports rose dramatically during 2013–2017, generating media attention (3,4). The Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention (MECDC) reported 663 cases of anaplasmosis in 2017, a 605% increase from 94 cases in 2013, in contrast with Lyme disease cases, which increased by only 33% (1,384 in 2013 to 1,844 in 2017) (5).

We sought to determine whether the increase in anaplasmosis cases reflected broader geographic transmission of *A. phagocytophilum* from ticks to humans through range expansion of *I. scapularis* ticks, increased testing effort through increased use of tick panels that detect multiple pathogens by PCR, or both. Evidence for increased transmission would include geographic range expansion of HGA incidence and hospitalizations. Evidence of increased testing effort would be increased use of tick panels, which could lead to discovery of mild *A. phagocytophilum* infections, especially pediatric cases, because HGA in children is generally a mild illness (6).

### The Study
MECDC provided the number of confirmed and probable cases for Maine residents during 2008–2017, available by county of residence and age of onset. For 2013–2017, we obtained the annual number of hospitalizations for Lyme disease and HGA for Maine residents, with age and county of residence at admission, from the Maine Health Data Organization.

We obtained the annual number of multipathogen (including HGA) PCR tick panel orders during 2013–2017 from NorDx and Mayo Medical Laboratories (MML). NorDx (Scarborough, ME, USA) started using its panel for the agents of HGA and babesiosis in 2015 (H. Webber, NorDx, pers. comm., 2018 Sep 12). All orders were for Maine patients (travel history not specified). MML provided data from 2 branch laboratories, Mayo Clinic Rochester (MCR; Rochester, MN, USA) and Mayo Medical Laboratories, New England (MMLNE; Andover, MA, USA). MML has offered the panel for ≈10 years; the panel contains a PCR test for the agents of human monocytic ehrlichiosis, HGA, babesiosis, and *Borrelia miyamotoi* infections (B. Pritt, MML, pers. comm., 2018 Aug 3). MML data comprised specimens sent to MML from Maine clients, without patient residence or travel history (B. Pritt, A. Boerger, MML, pers. comm., 2018 Oct 8). We were unable to obtain data from other laboratories; however, MML and NorDx combined accounted for 72% of HGA test reports sent to MECDC during 2013–2017. The MML panel had sensitivity and specificity of 1 for detection of *A. phagocytophilum* compared with standard PCR (7). The NorDx panel had sensitivity and specificity of 1 compared...
with panels of MML and other laboratories (H. Webber, pers. comm., 2019 Aug 29).

The HGA incidence rate, hospitalization rate, complications, and death rate increase with age (8), whereas Lyme incidence has a bimodal distribution, with peaks in young children and older adults (9). We tabulated HGA cases and incidence for 2008–2017 overall and by age class and tabulated HGA hospitalizations 2013–2017 overall and by age class and annual laboratory testing effort. For comparison, we included annual overall Lyme incidence and hospitalizations. We compared percentage changes from 2013 to 2017 in disease incidence and hospitalizations. To visualize geographic expansion of HGA, we plotted side-by-side maps of county-level incidence and population-adjusted hospitalization rates for 2013 versus 2017.

During 2013–2017, a total of 1,505 anaplasmosis cases were reported (10). Of these, 85.6% (1,289) were confirmed (1,286 by PCR and 3 by 4-fold antibody titer increase) and 14.4% (216) probable (203 with a single titer result, 8 with <4-fold titer increase, 5 with morulae visualization). Statewide, anaplasmosis incidence rose from 7 cases/100,000 persons in 2013 to 50 cases/100,000 persons in 2017, a 602% increase, compared with a 33% increase for Lyme disease incidence (Table 1). Hospitalizations for HGA rose from 36 in 2013 to 119 in 2017, a 231% increase, compared with a 27% decline in hospitalizations for Lyme disease (Table 2). Combined tick panel use by MML and NorDx rose from 773 in 2013 to 9,157 in 2017, a 1,085% increase (Table 2).

Among 39 pediatric HGA cases, 1 occurred in 2010 and the remaining 38 during 2014–2017, representing 1.7%–5.2% of total cases per year during 2014–2017 (Table 1). Even though hospitalizations increased for persons 18–64 and ≥65, there were no hospitalizations for children.

Anaplasmosis incidence and hospitalizations underwent geographic range expansion during 2013–2017 (Figures 1, 2). Anaplasmosis incidence was highest in Lincoln and Knox Counties, in Maine’s midcoast region, where incidence ranged from 29 cases/100,000 persons in 2013 to 278 cases/100,000 persons in 2017 (Figure 1, panels A, B).

Conclusions

We conclude that the surge in anaplasmosis incidence in Maine, an increase of 602% from 2013 to 2017, was a combination of increased transmission and testing effort, although we cannot partition the relative

### Table 1. Number of HGA and Lyme disease cases and incidence, Maine, USA, 2008–2017*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Lyme</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Incidence†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>0–17 y</td>
<td>18–64 y</td>
<td>≥65 y</td>
<td>All ages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>13.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>663</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>304</td>
<td>346</td>
<td>49.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change 2013–2017† 605% 443% 811% 602% 454% 701% 33% 33%

*Case data provided by the Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention. HGA, human granulocytic anaplasmosis.
†Cases/100,000 population.
‡Percentage increase 2013–2017 was calculated when there were nonzero data for 2013.

### Table 2. Number of hospitalizations for HGA and Lyme disease and number of PCR-based tickborne disease panels, Maine, USA, 2013–2017*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>HGA</th>
<th>Lyme</th>
<th>Tick panel†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>0–17 y</td>
<td>18–64 y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change 2013–2017 +231% +167% +252% -27% +1,085%

*Hospitalizations are Maine hospital inpatient encounters, provided through the Maine Health Data Organization. HGA, human granulocytic anaplasmosis; MML, Mayo Medical Laboratories.
†Tick panels performed at Mayo Clinic Rochester, Rochester, MN, USA (MML-R); MML, New England, Andover, MA, USA (MML-NE, closed in 2016); and NorDx, Scarborough, ME, USA.
Surge in Anaplasmosis Cases in Maine, USA

The 231% rise in hospitalized patients with HGA and the geographic expansion of HGA incidence and hospitalization indicate increased transmission. Range expansion of *I. scapularis* ticks in Maine likely has contributed to the rise in HGA cases in areas where this tick species is emergent (i.e., a recent colonizer). In addition, zoonotic amplification of *A. phagocytophilum* is likely occurring where *I. scapularis* ticks are established. Because of less efficient enzootic transmission, human infection with *Babesia microti*, the agent of babesiosis, lags behind *B. burgdorferi* transmission over time and space (11). Less efficient enzootic transmission of *A. phagocytophilum* also may be the case, but we know of no confirmatory studies.

Concurrent to increased transmission was the 1,085% increase in tickborne disease panel testing performed by the 2 major providers of testing results to Maine during 2013–2017. Increased testing effort may reflect increased clinician and patient awareness and ready availability of tickborne disease panels that detect multiple pathogens. These panels may lead to detection of mild *A. phagocytophilum* infections or co-infections in persons with nonspecific febrile illness, as suggested by increased detection of less severely ill persons, such as children. Thirty-eight of 39 pediatric HGA cases were reported after 2013, but there were no pediatric hospitalizations. Before the use of panels, pediatric HGA cases may have been ascribed to another illness with similar symptoms.

Studies relying on diagnostic tests are subject to test sensitivity and specificity. PCR is the most effective diagnostic test during early-stage *A. phagocytophilum* infection with high sensitivity and specificity (12,13). In this study, false positive PCR results were unlikely, based on test specificities reported by Mayo and NorDx.

Collaboration among all state health departments and testing laboratories across New England could help extend our findings. Vermont cases increased 1,078%, from 37 in 2013 to 399 in 2017 (14), and New...
Hampshire cases increased 260%, from 88 in 2013 to 317 in 2017 (15). Correlation between incidence and testing effort at the county level would corroborate a relationship between rising tickborne diseases and testing effort, if panel data included patient county of residence and travel history. Corroborating datasets on density of *A. phagocytophilum*–infected *I. scapularis* ticks would also help clarify the risks posed to human health.
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Management of *Mycoplasma genitalium* infections is challenging because the limited treatment options have been affected by rapidly evolving resistance to antimicrobial drugs. Molecular approaches are the preferred method of *M. genitalium* detection, and resistance is determined genotypically. 23S rRNA mutations are associated with macrolide resistance and azithromycin treatment failures (1–3), whereas fluoroquinolone resistance is associated with mutations in the quinolone resistance–determining region, specifically in the gyrA and parC genes (4).

Azithromycin is the first-line treatment for *M. genitalium* infections in New Zealand; second-line treatment relies on moxifloxacin, a fluoroquinolone. A high proportion (72%) of macrolide resistance has been reported in sexual health clinic (SHC) patients in our region (5), and elsewhere in New Zealand fluoroquinolone resistance is associated with mutations in the quinolone resistance–determining region, specifically in the gyrA and parC genes (4).

The Study

We performed a retrospective study of all specimens referred to the Microbiology Department at Auckland City Hospital (Auckland, New Zealand) for *M. genitalium* testing in 2017. Referral sites were predominantly general practices in Auckland and SHCs in the Auckland, Northland, and Waikato regions. Ethics approval was granted by the Health and Disability Ethics Committee (approval no. 16/CEN/188).

DNA had been extracted from specimens following a diagnostic workflow and stored at −80°C. We retrieved DNA samples that tested positive for *M. genitalium* using real-time PCR (5,7) for this study. We detected 23S rRNA mutations at nucleotide positions 2058 and 2059 (*Escherichia coli* numbering) by using the commercially available PlexPCR kit Resistance Plus MG (SpeeDx, https://plexpcr.com) (5) and gyrA and parC mutations by using PCR amplification of *M. genitalium* nucleotides 172–402 of gyrA and 164–483 of parC (8), followed by sequencing on the Applied Biosystems 3130xl sequencer (Life Technologies, https://www.thermofisher.com). Sequences were aligned against *M. genitalium* reference genes (GenBank accession nos. CP003773 for gyrA and parC for U25549) by using SeqMan II (DNASTAR, https://www.dnastar.com) and the mutations reported by using *M. genitalium* numbering. We compared prevalence of resistance-associated mutations in community and SHC cohorts by using a χ² test (α = 5%).

We tested 302 clinical specimens from 247 patients; 33% (101/302) of samples from 34% (84/247) of patients were *M. genitalium* DNA–positive. Four samples from 3 patients were excluded from subsequent analyses because insufficient PCR products were obtained for sequencing. We used the remaining 97
M. genitalium DNA-positive samples obtained from 81 patients to determine macrolide and fluoroquinolone resistance. Specimens were urine samples (92%) or urogenital swabs. The mean (± SD) age of patients was 29 (± 7.5) years, and 80% (65/81) of patients were men; 59% (48/81) were community patients, and 41% (33/81) were SHC patients.

We detected macrolide mutations in 75% (61/81) of patients (Table 1). We observed no significant difference in the prevalence of macrolide resistance between community (75% [36/48]) and SHC (76% [25/33]) patients (p = 1.00). Fluoroquinolone-resistant strains of M. genitalium were identified in 22% (18/81) of patients on the basis of the presence of mutations previously associated with phenotypic antimicrobial resistance or treatment failure (Table 2). We observed no significant difference in proportions of fluoroquinolone resistance between community (19% [9/48]) and SHC (27% [9/33]) patients (p = 0.66).

Missense mutations in parC at amino acid positions 81, 83, or 87 conferred fluoroquinolone resistance (Table 2). Mutations in codon 83 of parC are associated with resistance; therefore, the mutation at T249A was presumed to confer fluoroquinolone resistance. The importance of polymorphisms in parC at C184T and C356T is uncertain. Mutations in gyrA at codon 95 were detected in 6 patients, 5 of whom were SHC attendees. All 6 patients harbored concomitant parC mutations that are associated with fluoroquinolone resistance, meaning that mutations in gyrA were not detected in the absence of parC mutations. The 5 patients with mutations in gyrA at G285A harbored a concurrent parC mutation at G248T, suggesting the strains were similar.

We detected dual macrolide and fluoroquinolone resistance in 20% (16/81) of patients (Table 1). Fluoroquinolone-resistant strains were likely to show concurrent macrolide resistance (89%), with the exception of strains from 2 community patients, which harbored only a fluoroquinolone resistance-associated mutation at codon 83 in parC.

Repeat specimens from 2 community patients were suggestive of macrolide resistance developing during the sampling period. For 1 patient, 2 of 3 urine samples received at 2-month intervals were negative for 23S rRNA mutations, with a mutation only detected in the most recent of the 3 samples. Another community patient was infected with a strain that harbored a parC mutation at G285A only; however, a 23S rRNA mutation was later detected in 2 subsequent urine samples collected at 1-month intervals, and the parC mutation persisted. A SHC attendee harbored only a fluoroquinolone resistance-associated mutation at codon 83 in parC.

### Table 1. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in Mycoplasma genitalium strains from community and SHC patients, Auckland, New Zealand, 2017*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotypic-resistance profile</th>
<th>23S rRNA</th>
<th>parC</th>
<th>gyrA</th>
<th>Community patients</th>
<th>SHC patients</th>
<th>All patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild type</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrolide</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>55.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrolide + fluoroquinolone</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrolide + fluoroquinolone</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>15.1</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroquinolone</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SHC, sexual health clinic; +, positive; –, negative.

### Table 2. Position and number of patients with mutations detected in the quinolone resistance–determining regions of the gyrA and parC genes in Mycoplasma genitalium strains from community and SHC patients, Auckland, New Zealand, 2017†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene and mutation†</th>
<th>Amino acid change</th>
<th>Community patients</th>
<th>SHC patients</th>
<th>All patients</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>gyrA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G285A</td>
<td>Met → Ile (95)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(1,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G285T</td>
<td>Met → Ile (95)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>parC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C184T‡</td>
<td>Pro → Ser (61)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(1,9,11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G241T</td>
<td>Gly → Cys (81)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1,12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A247C</td>
<td>Ser → Arg (83)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(1,3,9,12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G248T</td>
<td>Ser → Ile (83)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>(1–3,9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T249A</td>
<td>Ser → Arg (83)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G259A</td>
<td>Asp → Asn (87)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>(1–3,8,9,11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G259T</td>
<td>Asp → Tyr (87)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>(1,2,8,10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C356T‡</td>
<td>Ala → Val (119)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>(11)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Nucleotide position and amino acid changes shown are based on M. genitalium numbering. SHC, sexual health clinic.
†Silent mutations not reported.
‡Fluoroquinolone resistance not determined.
months later harbored a mutation in gyrA at G285T in addition to the 23S rRNA and parC mutations.

Conclusions

Our findings imply that resistance is common in circulating M. genitalium stains in the general population and highlight the limited and declining antimicrobial options for treatment. Few studies have delineated antimicrobial resistance by referrer type, and our results imply that macrolide- and fluoroquinolone-resistant strains are endemic in sexual networks in the general population, rather than confined to, or disproportionally affecting, persons attending SHCs. This information might be useful at local and national levels for informing sexual health treatment guidelines, but a need exists for supranational monitoring and reporting of resistance, given that it varies between countries (13).

Infections caused by strains resistant to both macrolides and fluoroquinolones occurred in 20% of patients, who would require alternative treatment options to obtain clinical and microbiological cure. Although pristinamycin has been successfully used to treat patients with multidrug-resistant infections (14), this treatment is not publicly funded in New Zealand and is only available as an imported medicine by special approval, underscoring the importance of exploring new treatment strategies to manage patients with resistant strains.

The presence of fluoroquinolone resistance in macrolide-sensitive strains in the community is concerning and might signify emergence of circulating fluoroquinolone-monoresistant strains in the region, with consequent implications for future treatment strategies. Although our data do not distinguish between descendants of clonal mutant lineages and de novo variants, we speculate that the introduction of a resistant clone from overseas is likely and that the use of fluoroquinolones in the community contributes to selective pressure for resistant strains.

A limitation of this study was that we did not have patient information regarding treatment of infection or clinical outcomes. This information might help establish whether resistance developed during treatment or occurred through reinfection with a more resistant strain. Another limitation was the inability to establish chain of transmission between patients, which was particularly relevant to the 4 SHC attendees and 1 community patient who harbored similar strains with identical mutations in both the gyrA and parC genes.

Mutations in the gyrB and parE genes act synergistically to increase fluoroquinolone resistance when detected with mutations in gyrA, parC, or both and might also warrant consideration when screening strains for markers of resistance (15). We found that in genotypically fluoroquinolone-resistant strains, single-nucleotide polymorphisms in gyrA were only detected with concomitant parC mutations. This finding might support diagnostic laboratories in efforts to target only the 23S rRNA and parC genes during their genotypic-resistance testing.
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Etymology Revisited

Fluoroquinolone [floor"o-kwin'o-lôn]

The first quinolone (quinol[ine] + -one [compound related to ketone]), nalidixic acid, was isolated as a by-product of chloroquine (see “quinine,” https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/21/7/ET-2107_article) synthesis and was introduced in 1962 to treat urinary tract infections. In 1980, researchers at the Kyorin Pharmaceutical Company showed that the addition of a fluorine atom to the quinolone ring resulted in an antibiotic with broader antimicrobial activity, which was named norfloxacin, the first fluoroquinolone. In 1983, Bayer published data that showed adding a single carbon atom to norfloxacin—what would become ciprofloxacin—further increased activity. Fluoroquinolones are today among the most frequently used antimicrobial drugs to treat infections in humans and animals.

Sources: See link.

https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/eid/article/23/5/et-2305_article
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By Reubot, Public domain, Wikimedia Commons, https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?curid=14746558
Early Detection of Public Health Emergencies of International Concern through Undiagnosed Disease Reports in ProMED-Mail

Camille Rolland, Clément Lazarus, Coralie Giese, Bastien Monate, Anne-Sophie Travert, Jérôme Salomon

We conducted a retrospective analysis of all reports in ProMED-mail that were initially classified as undiagnosed diseases during 2007–2018. We identified 371 cases reported in ProMED-mail; 34% were later diagnosed. ProMED-mail could be used to supplement other undiagnosed disease surveillance systems worldwide.

To preserve human health security, a global surveillance system able to rapidly detect, verify, and assess burgeoning outbreaks is key. The World Health Organization (WHO) International Health Regulations (2005) (1) provides an international and legally binding framework for the early detection of, reporting of, and response to any public health threat (e.g., infectious disease outbreaks) that might be of international concern using an all-hazards approach (2).

Event-based surveillance through informal sources now represents a critical source for epidemic intelligence (3). Almost all major outbreaks during 1994–2017 investigated by the WHO were early reported and identified through informal sources (4–7). One of the most valued, internationally acknowledged sources for epidemic intelligence activities that is also available as an open source is ProMED-mail (4,8). By relying on local media, professional networks, and on-the-ground experts, ProMED-mail staff produce reports on occurrences of emerging infectious diseases and outbreaks in near real-time. Specialist moderators curate these reports and provide subject matter expert commentaries.

ProMED-mail captures many reports of undiagnosed diseases (i.e., reports of public health events for which the diagnosis has not yet been found or reported by field professionals and cannot be classified). Events in these reports take place all around the world, and reports are provided without enough information to formulate a comprehensive risk assessment.

Even though an undiagnosed disease report in ProMED-mail might be an early signal of a major future event (e.g., outbreak), such reports have not been described in the literature. In this study, we aimed to provide a descriptive analysis of reports of undiagnosed disease events related to human health published on ProMED-mail since 2007 to determine whether these reports should be considered in further risk assessments.

The Study

We conducted a retrospective analysis of all reports of undiagnosed diseases in the ProMED-mail registry that were published during January 1, 2007–June 14, 2018 (Figure 1). ProMED-mail staff provided all the archives for undiagnosed diseases and unknown diseases relative to humans, animals, and plants. We also collected data directly from Disease Outbreak News on the WHO website (https://www.who.int/csr/don/archive/country) for the period of the study; these data are also open access and disseminated as specified by Article 11 of the International Health Regulations (2005). From ProMED-mail reports, we collected data on case location (WHO zone), source of information, date of publication, number of cases, geographic distribution (i.e., regional, national, or international) of cases, affected population, and final diagnosis. We searched the WHO website for the existence of a related Disease Outbreak News report. We sought information on the confirmation (i.e., biologic confirmation) of the final diagnosis both in subsequent ProMED-mail reports and in Disease Outbreak News reports.
News reports. When several notifications were linked to 1 undiagnosed disease event, we made the link between notifications using the date of occurrence and location data. We described quantitative variables using median and range and qualitative variables using percentages.

During January 1, 2007–June 14, 2018, a total of 775 ProMED-mail reports accounted for 371 undiagnosed disease events in humans (Figure 1). The median number of undiagnosed disease events per year was 34 (range 15–45) (Figure 2). The sources of these reports were mainly the national press (67%, 250/371); 25% (93/371) were from international media, and 8% (28/371) were from experts.

The countries most affected by undiagnosed diseases were India (68/371), Sudan (20/371), Bangladesh (16/371), Nepal (15/371), China (14/371), the Democratic Republic of the Congo (13/371), Uganda (13/371), the United States (13/371), Vietnam (11/371), and Nigeria (9/371) (Appendix Figure, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-1043-App1.pdf). Overall, 44% of undiagnosed disease events were in rural areas and 56% were in urban areas; 8% (31/371) took place within a capital city. For 54% (200/371) of undiagnosed disease events, no specific population (e.g., children <18 years of age, persons >65 years of age, health professionals) was identified. For 2.4% (9/371) of undiagnosed disease events, healthcare professionals were affected.

We found a Disease Outbreak News report for 6.5% (24/371) of the undiagnosed disease events described in ProMED-mail. The median delay between the first ProMED-mail notification and the Disease Outbreak News publication was 18.5 (range −1 to 254) days (Table).
A final diagnosis was found for 34% (127/371) of undiagnosed disease events (Appendix Table). Among the 127 events for which a final diagnosis could be determined, the most frequent diseases were chikungunya (6/127), leptospirosis (6/127), Nipah virus infection (6/127), Ebola (5/127), meningitis (5/127), yellow fever (5/127), anthrax (4/127), Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever (4/127), and nodding disease (4/127).

Undiagnosed diseases might be reported in various medical situations. They often occur in cases of delayed diagnosis of common diseases when access to appropriate medical care or services (e.g., epidemiologic investigations, laboratory testing) is limited. As such, most undiagnosed disease events occurred within low-resource countries. Undiagnosed disease reports less frequently account for unusual or unexpected diseases, such as imported or emerging diseases.

Reporting of undiagnosed diseases through ProMED-mail can be limited by climatic or geopolitical events in the region, which was probably reflected by the yearly and geographic variation in the reporting of undiagnosed disease events we observed. Although informal sources of public health information are valuable, the editorial content of the news sources the reports are based on can strongly limit their usefulness. Hence, our data analysis was limited by missing data.

Conclusions

The impact of ProMED-mail on the public health emergency preparedness response is reflected by the percentage of undiagnosed disease events published through this informal reporting system (6.5%) that were also shared internationally through WHO’s Disease Outbreak News website. Regions and countries could benefit from complementing their undiagnosed disease surveillance systems with ProMED-mail (9). Using this approach would help further establish undiagnosed disease event-based monitoring as an invaluable public health tool. ProMED-mail provides critical content and an alternative to standard indicator-based outbreak reporting for undiagnosed diseases (4).
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Ocular Spiroplasma ixodetis in Newborns, France
Alexandre Matet, Anne Le Flèche-Matéos, François Doz, Pascal Dureau, Nathalie Cassoux

Cataract and uveitis are rare in newborns but potentially blinding. Three newborns with cataract and severe anterior uveitis underwent cataract surgery. Spiroplasma ixodetis was detected in lens aspirates using bacterial 16S-rRNA PCR and transmission electron microscopy. These findings, which suggest maternal–fetal infection, are consistent with previous experimental Spiroplasma-induced cataract and uveitis.

Spiroplasma is a genus of Mollicutes, a class of bacteria without cell wall. Spiroplasma are intracellular organisms with helical morphology and a small genome (0.78–2.2 Mb) comprising 38 species isolated from insects, crustaceans, and plants (1,2). Ticks, from which Spiroplasma ixodetis has been isolated, are abundant sources of Spiroplasma (3).

Spiroplasma develop a commensal, pathogenic, or mutualist pathogen–host relationship. The first isolated species (S. citri) was described in 1973 (4,5). Two Spiroplasma infections have been reported in humans: an intraocular infection in a newborn with Group VI Spiroplasma, now known as S. ixodetis (6), and a systemic infection in an immunocompromised adult with S. turonicum (7). These reports suggest that tetracyclines and macrolides are effective against Spiroplasma (6,7). We describe 3 newborns in France who had cataract and intraocular inflammation and in whom S. ixodetis was detected in ocular samples (Table).

The Case-Patients
In January 2014, case-patient 1, a 26-day-old girl with unremarkable medical history, was referred for bilateral leukocoria observed by her parents at 20 days of age, suggestive of retinoblastoma. She was born after normal full-term pregnancy without delivery complications (birthweight 2,820 g; Apgar score 10). She had bilateral anterior uveitis, large keratic precipitates, iris nodules, posterior synechiae, cyclitic membrane, and cataract (Figure 1, panels A, B). Fundus visualization and ocular ultrasonography ruled out retinoblastoma. Physical examination results were unremarkable. Blood cell count showed elevated monocytes (1.5 × 10^9/L [reference range 0.2–1.0 × 10^9/L]); serologic results for Toxoplasma gondii, rubella virus, cytomegalovirus, herpes simplex viruses 1 and 2, HIV-1 and -2, and Mycoplasma were negative. Aqueous humor cytologic examination did not reveal malignant cells but identified macrophages, suggesting intraocular infection, as observed in Tropheryma whippelii–related uveitis (8). Treatment with topical dexamethasone (8 drops/d with progressive tapering), topical atropine (0.3%, 2 drops/d), and oral josamycin (125 mg 2x/d) was initiated. Anterior chamber inflammation decreased dramatically, and cataract surgery with intraocular lens implantation was performed sequentially in both eyes 4 weeks later. We conducted microbiological investigations of lens and anterior vitreous aspirates from the right eye, including bacteriologic and mycologic cultures, and 16S-rRNA-based PCR for bacterial identification (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-1097-App1.pdf). Cultures remained negative, but bacterial PCR identified a complete sequence of the rrs gene, showing 98.7% similarity to the type strains of S. ixodetis (Figure 2). Uveitis did not recur over the next 4 years.

In January 2018, bilateral leukocoria caused by bilateral congenital cataract was detected in an otherwise healthy boy, case-patient 2, on day 3 after full-term birth (birthweight 2,900 g; Apgar score 10). Pregnancy was unremarkable, without maternal seroconversion for toxoplasmosis, rubella, herpes simplex viruses 1 and 2, or cytomegalovirus. Six weeks after birth, ophthalmologic examination under anesthesia revealed a total cataract in each eye with large keratic precipitates, posterior synechiae, and immature dilated iris vessels (Figure 1, panels C, D). Fundus was inaccessible in both eyes. The right eye was slightly microphthalmic. Because of the rarity of uveitis with
keratic precipitates and cataract in newborns and the similarity to case-patient 1, the child underwent bilateral cataract extraction without lens implantation, and lens material was sent for bacteriologic investigations. The 16S-rRNA–based PCR of the \textit{rrs} gene identified in both eyes was 98.6\% similar to \textit{S. ixodetis}. Mild, self-resolving bilateral intravitreal hemorrhage developed after cataract surgery. Anterior segment

The Table. Characteristics of 3 newborns with cataract and anterior uveitis* and 5 controls with congenital cataracts without signs of intraocular infection, France†

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID no.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Date of diagnosis</th>
<th>Age at diagnosis/lens extraction, mo</th>
<th>Affected eye</th>
<th>Clinical ocular findings</th>
<th>Region of residence (environment)</th>
<th>Travel during pregnancy</th>
<th>Crystalline lens sample volume, µL</th>
<th>Bacterial 16S-rRNA PCR, % homology to \textit{S. ixodetis}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case-patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2014 Jan</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Cataract + anterior uveitis</td>
<td>Hauts-de-France, France (rural area, adjacent to Saint-Gobain Forest)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2018 Jan</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>Both</td>
<td>Cataract + anterior uveitis</td>
<td>Centre-Val de Loire, France (rural area, adjacent to Loire-Anjou-Touraine Regional Forest)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>98.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2019 Jan</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Cataract + anterior uveitis</td>
<td>Ile-de-France, France (Paris suburban area)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2018 Feb</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2018 Apr</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>Cataract + nystagmus</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>2018 Apr</td>
<td>4/5</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Cataract + nystagmus</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2018 Feb</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>2018 Mar</td>
<td>0/3</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>Cataract</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Positive for \textit{Spiroplasma ixodetis} in crystalline lens material by bacterial 16S-rRNA PCR.
†For all case-patients and controls, pregnancy was normal and delivery was uneventful. NA, not applicable.

The 16S-rRNA–based PCR of the \textit{rrs} gene identified in both eyes was 98.6\% similar to \textit{S. ixodetis}. Mild, self-resolving bilateral intravitreal hemorrhage developed after cataract surgery. Anterior segment

**Figure 1.** Ocular anterior segment in 3 newborn infants with bilateral total cataract and anterior uveitis related to endogenous \textit{Spiroplasma ixodetis} infection. A, B) Case-patient 1. Right (A) and left (B) eyes of a 4-week-old girl showing total cataract, posterior synechiae due to a cyclitic fibrinic membrane, and large keratic precipitates more visible in the left eye. The immature iris vasculature is dilated in the context of anterior segment inflammation. C, D) Case-patient 2. Right (C) and left (D) eyes of a 6-week-old boy showing total cataract, posterior synechiae, dilated immature iris vessels, and few keratic precipitates more visible in the left eye. E) Case-patient 3. Left eye of a 1-month-old boy with multiple retrocorneal white deposits, total cataract, posterior synechiae, and immature dilated iris vessels. F) Case-patient 3. Electron transmission microscopy of crystalline lens material from a 2-month-old boy with total cataract and anterior uveitis, revealing the presence of microorganisms with spiral-like projections highly suggestive of bacteria from the \textit{Spiroplasma} genus.
inflammation resolved under topical dexamethasone (4 drops/d with progressive tapering over 3 months) and atropine (0.3%, 1 drop/d for 1 month) and did not recur over the next 18 months.

In January 2019, case-patient 3, a 1-month-old boy, was referred for left eye leukocoria, first observed 1 week after birth. Pregnancy was uneventful, and delivery was normal at 36 weeks’ gestation.

Figure 2. Neighbor-joining unrooted tree based on bacterial rrs gene sequences from the crystalline lens samples from 3 newborns with cataract and uveitis (case-patient 1, sample 14_00057; case-patient 2, sample 18_00012; case-patient 3, sample 19_00020). The 14_00057 (case-patient 1) and 19_00020 (case-patient 3) sequences differed by 1 nt along the 1,284-bp bacterial rrs gene, and the 18_00012 sequence (case-patient 2) harbored 2 additional nucleotides and differed from 14_00057 by 3 nt and from 19_00020 by 2 nt. At the variation site, the corresponding sequences were _ _ G (14_00057, case-patient 1), T G A (18_00012, case-patient 2), and _ _ A (19_00020, case-patient 3). Thick lines indicate bootstrap values >75% (based on 1,000 replicates). Scale bar indicates the proportion of substitutions per nucleotide position.
(birthweight 2,800 g; Apgar score 10). Left eye examination revealed multiple large keratic white deposits, total cataract, posterior synechiae, and immature dilated iris vessels (Figure 1, panel E). Results of a right eye examination were unremarkable. He underwent cataract extraction with synechialysis, without intraocular lens implantation. 16S rRNA-based PCR of the bacterial rrs gene performed on crystalline lens aspirates identified S. ixodetis with 98.7% similarity. Fresh crystalline lens samples analyzed by electron transmission microscopy revealed microorganisms with spiral-like projections matching the morphology of bacteria from the Spiroplasma genus (Figure 1, panel F). Postoperative mild intravitreal hemorrhage developed but self-resolved over 4 weeks. He was treated postoperatively with oral josamycin (125 mg 2×/d for 10 days), topical atropine (0.3% 2×/d for 1 month), and topical drops combining neomycin, polymixin B, and dexamethasone (4×/d with progressive tapering over 1 month). Intraocular inflammation did not recur over the next 6 months.

We conducted all PCRs in Spiroplasma DNA-free facilities. Internal negative controls were introduced during DNA manipulation/amplification (Appendix). No control was positive after 16S rRNA PCR amplification, confirming that the detection of Spiroplasma sequences did not result from contamination. To confirm that S. ixodetis is absent in intraocular media of newborns with noninflammatory cataracts, we collected crystalline lens samples from 5 newborns with congenital cataracts who underwent surgery before 6 months of age (Table). 16S rRNA-based PCR did not identify any bacterial signature in these samples. The Internal Review Board of the French Society of Ophthalmology approved this study.

Conclusions

Until recently, Spiroplasma spp. were considered non-pathogenic in humans. Our observations confirm the reports by Lorenz et al. of an intraocular Spiroplasma spp. infection (6), and by Aquilino et al. of a systemic infection (7). Moreover, the congenital presentation of case-patients 1–3 suggests maternal–fetal transmission during pregnancy or delivery, despite the absence of maternal symptoms. Our findings are consistent with those of Lorenz et al., who described a premature baby born at 27 weeks’ gestation who, at 4 months of age, had unilateral uveitis with corneal precipitates, posterior synechiae, and cataract. After cataract surgery, bacterial 16S-rRNA PCR of vitreous and lens aspirates identified Spiroplasma spp. Group VI (6), now referred to as S. ixodetis (9). Electron microscopy visualized filamentous and helical microorganisms compatible with Spiroplasma.

Another clade of Spiroplasma, S. mirum, phylogenetically close to S. ixodetis (Figure 2), initially named sucking mouse cataract agent (9,10), induces rapid cataract formation after intracerebral injection in newborn mice (11), rats (12), and rabbits (13), with variable intraocular inflammation. In these models, adult animals do not develop ocular pathology, suggesting a vulnerability of the immature eye to Spiroplasma infection. Moreover, a high rate of microphthalmia developed in these animals, as in case-patient 2, suggesting that Spiroplasma infection might interfere with ocular development.

Our observations suggest that intrauterine or early postnatal contamination with Spiroplasma spp. might lead to unilateral or bilateral cataract and anterior uveitis in newborns. A similar causative S. ixodetis subtype was identified in all 3 infants, without technical contamination. Two of 3 case-patients lived in a rural area adjacent to a forest.

The frequency of this intraocular infection in newborns may be underestimated. Spiroplasma are fastidious organisms detectable using PCR techniques not routinely performed on intraocular samples. Because affected infants are at high risk for visual impairment or blindness, pediatricians, ophthalmologists, and microbiologists should be aware of possible S. ixodetis ocular infections and collect clinical, bacteriologic, and epidemiologic data on this emerging pathogen. The mechanisms and timing of probable maternal–fetal transmission require further investigations. On the basis of these observations, we recommend systematic bacterial 16S-rRNA PCR analysis on intraocular fluids and lens material from neonates with cataract and uveitis.
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In November 2017, the mobile digital Surveillance Outbreak Response Management and Analysis System was deployed in 30 districts in Nigeria in response to an outbreak of monkeypox. Adaptation and activation of the system took 14 days, and its use improved timeliness, completeness, and overall capacity of the response.

Human monkeypox is a severe and rare smallpox-like illness that occurs sporadically in remote villages in the tropical rain forest of West and Central Africa (1,2). The causative agent, monkeypox virus, is transmitted by animal-to-human and human-to-human contact (3,4). In September 2017, an outbreak of monkeypox occurred in Nigeria after 40 years of no reported cases in the country. As of October 2017, local health departments in Nigeria had reported 89 cases and 294 contact persons (5,6).

Early in the outbreak, the Nigeria Centre for Disease Control (NCDC) used a conventional surveillance system for the outbreak investigation. That system consisted of paper-based forms transferred manually to databases within the framework of the Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response System (7). As the outbreak expanded, NCDC faced challenges because of information delay and difficulties with updating and verifying case data, integrating laboratory tests, and managing contact tracing in the conventional system. In October 2017, NCDC decided to implement the Surveillance, Outbreak Response Management and Analysis System (SORMAS) on an ad hoc basis; an earlier prototype of this system had been successfully piloted in Nigeria in 2015 (8). SORMAS is an open-source mHealth (mobile health) system that organizes and facilitates infectious disease control and outbreak management procedures in addition to disease surveillance and epidemiologic analysis for all administrative levels of a public health system (9–11). SORMAS includes specific interfaces for 12 users (e.g., laboratorian, contact tracing officer, epidemiologist), disease-specific process modules for 12 epidemic-prone diseases, and a customizable process module for unforeseen emerging diseases; it adheres to the Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response System. Most users operate SORMAS on mobile digital devices (e.g., smartphone, tablet), bidirectionally synchronized with a central server via mobile telecommunication networks.

We compared SORMAS performance with that of the conventional surveillance system. Here we describe how we adapted and deployed SORMAS, discuss challenges encountered during implementation, and provide recommendations for deployment of similar mHealth tools.

The Study

In the second week of October 2017, we held a 2-day design thinking workshop with clinicians, epidemiologists, and virologists, in which all specific procedures for surveillance and response were defined in accordance with guidelines from the World Health Organization (12). Within 10 days, we translated the findings of the workshop into process models and programmed them into the existing SORMAS. A 2-day field test guided final programming revisions,
which took another 2 days before the new module was released. In total, it took 14 days from initial decision to adapt and use SORMAS until its deployment.

In November 2017, we trained the laboratory officers and district surveillance notification officers (DSNOs) in 30 of the most affected local government areas of 8 federal states (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-1139-App1.pdf); each training session lasted 2 days. DSNOs used the mobile SORMAS version on mobile tablets to notify cases and conduct contact tracing; laboratories used either laptops or tablets to notify test results in SORMAS. We trained staff at the incident command center (Figure 1). The dashboard also displayed the updated status of cases by case classification, epidemic curve, map of spatial distribution, and contact persons, fatalities, and laboratory results, and each type of case data was stored on a different Excel sheet, thus increasing the time and complexity of updating case data.

### Table 1. Qualitative comparison of attributes of SORMAS and the conventional surveillance system in response to monkeypox outbreak in Nigeria, November 2017–July 2019*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>SORMAS</th>
<th>CS</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average time for data to arrive at NCDC from LGAs</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>2 d</td>
<td>For the CS, the DSNOs sent the paper case forms by post to NCDC, thus requiring longer time for case forms to arrive at NCDC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average time to update data (sample results from the laboratory, case classification, outcome, contacts) per case</td>
<td>5 min</td>
<td>20 min</td>
<td>Update in SORMAS requires searching for a case in the case directory and directly updating the fields. For the CS, the database was Excel (<a href="https://www.microsoft.com">https://www.microsoft.com</a>), and each type of case data was stored on a different Excel sheet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workload to transfer cases from paper forms to database at NCDC</td>
<td>Less</td>
<td>More</td>
<td>With the CS, all case forms were entered in an Excel database at NCDC; with SORMAS, 90 (38%) of the 240 cases were entered directly from the field by DSNOs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Availability of dashboard and statistics module to generate epidemiologic indicators for disease surveillance (e.g., case classification status, epidemic curve, laboratory test results, fatalities, and map of spatial distribution of cases and contact persons)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>SORMAS had a dashboard that displayed the needed surveillance indicators; the CS did not.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CS, conventional system; DSNOs, district surveillance notification officers; NCDC, Nigeria Centre for Disease Control; LGAs, local government areas; SORMAS, Surveillance Outbreak Response Management and Analysis System.

We conducted qualitative interviews with the NCDC incident managers of the monkeypox outbreak with regard to timeliness, usefulness, and workload of the conventional system compared with SORMAS. For quantitative evaluation, we used a set of core variables to compare the percentage of completeness in SORMAS versus that of the conventional system.

Yinka-Ogunleye et al. describe the epidemiologic characteristics of the outbreak in detail (14). From September 2017 through July 2019, including the period when SORMAS was not yet available, DSNOs reported 240 cases, either directly digitally in the field via SORMAS (n = 90) or via the conventional system (n = 150). Comparison of system attributes between SORMAS and the conventional system indicated equal or better performance of SORMAS for all attributes (Tables 1, 2). SORMAS continuously displayed the updated status of cases by case classification, epidemic curve, map of spatial distribution, contact persons, fatalities, and laboratory results, and it reported events in its dashboard within the incident command center (Figure 1). The dashboard also

### Table 2. Quantitative comparison of attributes of SORMAS and the conventional surveillance system in response to monkeypox outbreak in Nigeria, November 2017–July 2019*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data availability for selected variables</th>
<th>SORMAS, %† n = 90</th>
<th>CS, %‡ n = 150</th>
<th>95% CI for difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>(−0.09 to 0.07)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occupation</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>(0.15 to 0.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>(0.00 to 0.27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset date of symptoms</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>(−0.06 to 0.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body temperature</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>(0.39 to 0.62)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*95% CI indicates difference in percentage of completeness determined by using 2-sample χ² test. CS, conventional system; SORMAS, Surveillance Outbreak Response Management and Analysis System.
†Percentage of completeness for monkeypox cases notified directly in SORMAS by district surveillance officers in the field.
‡Percentage of completeness for monkeypox cases that arrived at the Nigeria Centre for Disease Control though the conventional system and were retrospectively registered in SORMAS.
included performance indicators on contact tracing and case follow-up. The network diagrams linking case-patients to contact persons demonstrate that, of 167 contact persons, 12 (7%) converted to case-patients, of which 8 (66%) emerged from 1 chain of transmission (Figure 2).

Conclusions
In this comparison, SORMAS clearly outperformed the conventional surveillance system. SORMAS accelerated visualization and analysis of case reports; expedited data updates and production of daily situation reports; and improved data completeness, timeliness, and several aspects of usefulness. The automated generation of chains of transmission enabled NCDC to assess overall transmissibility and effectiveness of contact tracing and helped with allocation of field staff during the outbreak.

The comparison of data completeness between SORMAS and the conventional system was limited by availability of data from the conventional system only after the incident command center had already executed data revisions and completions. Without this resource-intensive measure, the difference between SORMAS and the conventional system would have been more pronounced.

We also encountered challenges during the deployment phase. The ad hoc deployment of this new digital system in the midst of the outbreak allowed only 2 days of training for DSNOS to become acquainted with the tool. It also resulted in running 2 systems in parallel. Because the SORMAS concept integrates continuous surveillance and response management but has not yet been used routinely, its full potential could not come into play as the outbreak unrolled in this particular situation. Other challenges included the complaint of DSNOS not receiving compensation for transportation to execute follow-up visits for contact tracing, which could result in incomplete information about chains of transmission. This challenge, however, is not inherent to the conventional system or SORMAS, and SORMAS may have mitigated this challenge, given that it did produce chains of transmission that were not available by the conventional system.

Our evaluation was limited to selected attributes and based partly on quantitative analyses. Possibly the most convincing evidence for the added benefit of SORMAS was the ability of NCDC, while still responding to the monkeypox outbreak, to deploy SORMAS in 120 more local government areas of 6 federal states within 2 months. On the basis of the added value experienced through this measure, NCDC has set a goal to fully roll out SORMAS in all 774 local government areas of all 36 federal states plus the Federal Capital Territory in Nigeria by the end of 2021.

Overall, SORMAS has proven to be rapidly deployable and useful in response to multiple outbreaks, including an outbreak of an emerging disease such as monkeypox. For tools that integrate outbreak detection and response process management (such as SORMAS), we recommend their deployment...
independently from any response to an acute public health emergency to optimize efficiency of resources for software adaptation, hardware infrastructure, and training. Such a proactive approach will improve not only outbreak response but also early detection of outbreaks, thus further enhancing sustainability.
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Norovirus infection is a major cause of endemic and epidemic acute gastroenteritis. These viruses have been classified into 7 genogroups on the basis of the major capsid protein, VP1. Noroviruses GI, GII, and GIV can infect humans, GII pigs, GIII and GV ruminants and mice, and GVI and GVII dogs (1). The evolutionary mechanism and typing of noroviruses can be analyzed on the basis of recombination between the genes for RNA-dependent RNA polymerase and VP1 (2). Newly emerged norovirus strains might lead to increasing incidence of infection worldwide (3). The predominant genotype of noroviruses in humans is GII.4. Genetic diversity of noroviruses has been reported in a wide range of animals (e.g., pigs, cattle, and dogs).

In 2007, canine noroviruses in Italy were reported to have the GIV.2 genotype (4). Subsequently, these viruses have been reported to cause diseases in dogs in Asia and Europe (5–8). The seroprevalence of human noroviruses in dogs in the United Kingdom was reported to be 13% (6). The GII.4 genotype (variants GII.4-2006b and GII.4-2008) was reported in dogs in Finland, indicating that human noroviruses could be transmitted to and cause diarrhea in dogs (9). In humans, antibodies against canine norovirus were also reported in veterinarians, who experienced high risk of exposure (10). However, only a few reports describe human norovirus infections in dogs, and limited numbers of complete genomes of canine noroviruses are available in GenBank. We report evidence of human norovirus infection in dogs from a kennel and children on the same premises in Thailand.

The Study

On July 27, 2018, we investigated acute gastroenteritis in dogs in a dog kennel. An outbreak occurred in a small-scale dog kennel that contained 18 adult dogs in Suphanburi, central Thailand. Clinical signs in bitches and puppies were fever, acute watery diarrhea, and mild dehydration (Appendix Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-1151-App1.pdf). Information for the outbreak investigation indicated that 2 weeks earlier (July 18), 2 children (8 months and 2 years of age) who lived on the kennel premises were hospitalized because of vomiting and watery diarrhea. These children recovered within 1 week. During hospitalization, human cases were diagnosed and confirmed as norovirus infection by using a rapid test kit (RIDA QUICK Norovirus, https://clinical.r-biopharm.com). Five adults, 2 children, and 18 adult dogs were living on the premises. All dogs were housed in the kennel; only 2 apparently pregnant dogs (CU21939 and CU21952) were moved into the house of the owner. The 2 apparently pregnant dogs were kept in close contact with children.

On August 2, 2018, a pregnant dog gave birth to 6 puppies, and the other bitch was found to have a false pregnancy. During the 6 weeks (July 27–September 5) of the norovirus outbreak, 2 (11.11%) of 18 dogs (the 2 apparently pregnant dogs kept in the house of the owner) and 5 (83.33%) of 6 puppies showed clinical signs of infection (Appendix Table 1). After treatment and hygiene management, including separation of dogs, frequent cleaning, and disinfection, all dogs recovered, and no deaths occurred.

Animal samples were collected and examined at the Center of Excellence for Emerging and
Human Norovirus Infection in Dogs, Thailand

Re-emerging Infectious Diseases in Animals, Chulalongkorn University (Bangkok, Thailand). Studies were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (approval no. 1731074). Human samples were collected and submitted to the Center of Excellence for Clinical Virology under the institutional review board of Chulalongkorn University (Institutional Review Board no. 634/59).

During the 4 visits in the study, we examined 75 samples (4 stool samples from 2 children, 71 rectal swab specimens from 18 adult dogs and 6 puppies). We detected norovirus by using a reverse transcription PCR specific for the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase gene as described (11,12) (Appendix). We detected norovirus in samples from children (4/4), adult dogs (2/53), and puppies (10/18) (Appendix Table 1). All human samples were positive for norovirus at the first (July 27) and third (August 25) visits. The 2 bitches with clinical signs were positive for norovirus at the first visit (July 27). Their puppies (5/6) were positive at the second (August 18) and third (August 25) visits. Our findings are consistent with a previous report that animals can shed noroviruses for a long period (4). All samples were also tested for canine parvovirus type 2, rotavirus A, canine coronavirus, and canine distemper virus to rule out other canine enteric diseases; all showed negative results (Appendix Table 1).

We selected 4 of the noroviruses, 2 from humans (CU21953 and CU21954) and 2 from dogs (CU21939 and CU21952), for whole-genome sequencing by using oligonucleotide primer sets (Appendix). We then submitted nucleotide sequences for these viruses (GenBank accession nos. MK928496–9) (Table). Phylogenetic analysis showed that the noroviruses in this investigation clustered in genotype GII.4. In general, canine noroviruses are commonly grouped into genogroups GIV, GVI, and GVII. In contrast, noroviruses from these dogs were closely related to human noroviruses and viruses in genogroup GII (Figure 1).

Phylogenetic analysis of partial open reading frame 1 (ORF1) and ORF2 showed that all noroviruses from this investigation clustered with norovirus GII.PE-GII.4 Sydney 2012, which were reported to be

**Table.** Characteristics of noroviruses from humans and dogs, Thailand, July 2018*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>Host</th>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>GenBank accession no.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GII/Hu/THA/2018/GII.Pe-GII.4/CU21953</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Feces</td>
<td>2 y</td>
<td>MK928496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GII/Hu/THA/2018/GII.Pe-GII.4/CU21954</td>
<td>Human</td>
<td>Feces</td>
<td>8 mo</td>
<td>MK928497</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GII/Ca/THA/2018/GII.Pe-GII.4/CU21939</td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>Rectal swab</td>
<td>2 y</td>
<td>MK928498</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GII/Ca/THA/2018/GII.Pe-GII.4/CU21952</td>
<td>Dog</td>
<td>Rectal swab</td>
<td>3 y</td>
<td>MK928499</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Whole-genome sequences were tested for all isolates.

![Figure 1. Phylogenetic tree of whole-genome sequences of canine noroviruses (red dots) and human noroviruses (blue triangles) from Thailand and reference sequences. Genogroups GI–GVII are indicated by red oval and blue ovals. The tree was constructed by using MEGA version 7.026 (https://www.megasoftware.net) with the neighbor-joining algorithm and bootstrap analysis with 1,000 replications. Numbers along branches are bootstrap values. Scale bar indicates nucleotide substitutions per site.]
circulating worldwide (Figure 2; Appendix Figure 2) (3). Noroviruses from dogs in this study (GII.4 Sydney) were in different clusters from canine noroviruses 3–09 (GII.4 DenHaag) and 261–10 and 1C-09 (GII.4 unclassified) reported in Finland (9).

We compared nucleotide and deduced amino acids of the noroviruses from this investigation with reference canine and human noroviruses. On the basis of antigenic epitopes (A–E) of major capsid protein that correlate with blockade of neutralization antibodies, the noroviruses from Thailand had specific amino acids in specific positions consistent with those for human norovirus GII.Pe-GII.4 Sydney, which were not observed in human norovirus genogroups GI and GIV and canine norovirus genogroups GIV and GVII (Appendix Table 2).

Pairwise comparisons of whole-genome sequences showed that the viruses had 99.90% nt identities (only 3 nt differences in ORF2; T1176C [silent mutation 392G], C1354T [silent mutation 452L] and
in ORF3; T803A [V268E] to each other and highest nucleotide identities to human norovirus from China [99.00%; JN010] and the human norovirus reference Sydney strain [97.6%; NSW0514]). On the basis of partial ORF2 sequences, we showed that the canine noroviruses from this investigation were different from canine noroviruses GII.4 (3-09, 1C-09, and 261-10; 91.6% nt identities) and GIV, GVI, and GVII (52.90%–55.50% nt identities) (Appendix Table 3).

Conclusions

We report infection of dogs with human norovirus GII.4 Sydney. Human noroviruses have been reported in dogs in Finland (GII.4 Denhaag and GII.4 unclassified) (9). Dogs showed mild clinical signs of acute watery diarrhea, similar to that for human norovirus infection, and low levels of illness and death. Similar observations have also been reported in other studies (8,13). In this study, children had been hospitalized 2 weeks before the investigation. Disease developed in dogs and puppies after they shared the same premises and possible direct contact with the children. This observation suggests potential human-to-dog transmission of human noroviruses. Genetic and phylogenetic analyses confirmed that whole genomes of canine and human noroviruses were closely related to human norovirus GII.Pe-GII.4 Sydney, suggesting that a common strain is circulating in Thailand and worldwide (14,15). However, in our study, it is not clear how and when the viruses were introduced to children and dogs.

In summary, we demonstrated evidence of norovirus GII.Pe-GII.4 infection in humans and dogs in Thailand. Dog owners and veterinarians should pay more attention to norovirus infection as a potential zoonotic and reverse zoonotic disease in households, animal hospitals, and shelters. Expanded surveillance for norovirus is needed to determine its status and distribution in human and dog populations.
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Hepatitis E virus (HEV; family Hepeviridae, genus Orthohepevirus A) comprises at least 8 distinct genotypes (1). In industrialized countries, swine HEV of the zoonotic genotypes 3 and 4 (HEV-3 and HEV-4) is an emerging foodborne pathogen, transmitted by consumption of raw or undercooked pork (2). Recently, HEV-3 has been detected in human blood donors in the United States (3,4). We previously showed that HEV-3 is present in US swine herds (5) and that a small proportion of commercial pork products, such as liver and chitterlings, from US grocery stores contain infectious HEV (6). However, the current HEV infection status of US market-weight pigs at the time of slaughter, the entry point to the food supply chain, remains unknown. We therefore investigated the presence of HEV RNA and HEV IgG prevalence in 5,033 serum samples from market-weight pigs at 25 slaughterhouses in 10 US states.

The Study

During 2017–2019, a comprehensive set of archived serum samples from 22,940 market-weight pigs from 25 slaughterhouses in 10 US states was collected for an unrelated prevalence study of Toxoplasma and Trichinella. The samples were collected from slaughterhouses processing adult market-weight pigs ≥6 months of age: ≥250-pound market-weight hogs 6 months of age and female pigs >1 year of age. The blood samples were collected on the kill floor at the slaughterhouses, and serum was separated and stored frozen (−20°C) at the US Department of Agriculture–Agricultural Research Service, Beltsville Agricultural Research Center (Beltsville, MD, USA).

For our study, an aliquot of frozen serum samples was sent to Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (Blacksburg, VA, USA). From a total of 22,940 samples available, we performed a stratified random selection of 5,033 samples for this study, using the SURVEYSELECT procedure in SAS version 9.4 (https://www.sas.com); a combination of state and ZIP code of origin constituted the strata. To detect HEV RNA, we used an established quantitative reverse-transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) (7) and a nested RT-PCR (5). To detect HEV IgG, we used a commercial PrioCHECK Porcine HEV Ab ELISA kit (https://www.thermofisher.com), according to the manufacturer’s protocol.

Results of qRT-PCR indicated that ≈6.3% (318/5,033; 95% CI 5.6%–7.0%) of the market-weight pigs from US slaughterhouses were viremic for HEV RNA at the time of slaughter (Table). Viral loads ranged from <100 to 106 copies/mL (mean 8,285 copies/mL; 95% CI 6,210.7–25,397.2 copies/mL). The percentage of HEV-viremic pigs varied among slaughterhouses (range 0%–17.4%) and among states (Table). Higher serum HEV RNA positivity was found in pigs from 3 slaughterhouses in Iowa (17.4%, 9.5%, and 8.3%), 2 in Illinois (8.5% and 7.5%), 1 in North Carolina (7.9%), and 1 in Pennsylvania (7.5%).

To determine HEV genotype in US slaughterhouse pigs, we further tested the 318 serum samples
positive by qRT-PCR by using an established nested RT-PCR (5). We successfully amplified the HEV capsid gene region (348 bp) from 182 samples. Subsequent sequencing and phylogenetic analyses revealed that all 182 HEV sequences belonged to the zoonotic HEV-3 genotype and clustered within group 2 (HEV-3abchij) (Figure). The HEV sequences from slaughterhouse pigs shared >90%–94% nt sequence identity with the previously reported US HEV-3 isolates (GenBank accession nos. JN837481 and KF719308). We did not detect any HEV-3-efg subgenotype or HEV-4.

We found that the national average of HEV seropositivity among market-weight pigs in US slaughterhouses is ≈40% (95% CI 38.5%–41.2%). Seroprevalence varied from slaughterhouse to slaughterhouse and from state to state (range 0%–66.8%) (Table). At 1 slaughterhouse in Pennsylvania, all 50 pigs tested were seronegative. Higher HEV seropositivity was found at slaughterhouses in Iowa (68.8%), Oklahoma (59.1%), Tennessee (57.1%), and North Carolina (55.2%) (Table).

HEV RNA positivity (17.4%) and seropositivity (68.8%) were highest at 1 slaughterhouse in Iowa. Of note, HEV seropositivity was higher in serum samples from Tennessee, but only 1.8% of these samples were positive for HEV RNA (Table). We performed the Spearman correlation by using SAS version 9.4 and found no apparent correlation between HEV antibody seropositivity and serum HEV RNA positivity in this study (Spearman correlation \( R^2 = 0.07 \)); among 2,007 HEV IgG–positive samples, only 145 were also positive for HEV RNA (7.2%, 95% CI 6.1%–8.3%).

**Conclusions**

HEV-3 and HEV-4 are zoonotic viruses that infect pigs and humans. In this study, we found that >40% of US slaughterhouse pigs were seropositive for HEV, indicating prior HEV infection of the pigs on the farms, which was consistent with prior estimates for farmed US pigs (8,9). Despite the relatively high seropositivity, however, only a small proportion (6%) of the pigs had detectable HEV viremia, probably because HEV viremia is transient and thus the window for detecting HEV RNA in serum is narrow. In addition, active HEV infection occurs naturally in most farm pigs around 2 months of age (5,9). Therefore, most market-weight pigs >6 months of age at the time of slaughter

---

**Table.** Detection of HEV IgG and RNA in serum of market-weight pigs from 25 slaughterhouses in 10 US states, 2017–2019*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State, slaughterhouse no.</th>
<th>No. samples tested</th>
<th>Positive for HEV IgG by ELISA, no. (%)</th>
<th>Positive for HEV RNA by qRT-PCR, no. (%)</th>
<th>HEV RNA, copies/mL, range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oklahoma</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>455</td>
<td>269 (59.1)</td>
<td>24 (5.3)</td>
<td>&lt;100–1 × 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tennessee</strong></td>
<td>56</td>
<td>32 (57.1)</td>
<td>1 (1.8)</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Virginia</strong></td>
<td>213</td>
<td>89 (41.8)</td>
<td>6 (2.8)</td>
<td>&lt;100–4 × 10^2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Illinois</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>18 (45)</td>
<td>3 (7.5)</td>
<td>102–3,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>22 (40)</td>
<td>3 (5.5)</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16 (40)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>118 (26.5)</td>
<td>38 (8.5)</td>
<td>&lt;100–3 × 10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>60 (23.3)</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wisconsin</strong></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Iowa</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>135 (35.6)</td>
<td>36 (9.5)</td>
<td>&lt;100–1 × 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>304 (66.8)</td>
<td>23 (5.1)</td>
<td>&lt;100–3 × 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>21 (30)</td>
<td>1 (1.4)</td>
<td>10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>39 (37.1)</td>
<td>1 (1)</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>67 (37.2)</td>
<td>15 (8.3)</td>
<td>&lt;100–1 × 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>17 (46.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>153 (33.8)</td>
<td>79 (17.4)</td>
<td>&lt;100–2 × 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5 (22.7)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minnesota</strong></td>
<td>233</td>
<td>76 (32.6)</td>
<td>10 (4.3)</td>
<td>&lt;100–1 × 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North Carolina</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>61 (24.9)</td>
<td>7 (2.9)</td>
<td>&lt;100–3 × 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>482</td>
<td>266 (55.2)</td>
<td>39 (7.9)</td>
<td>&lt;100–1 × 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nebraska</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>62 (27.8)</td>
<td>2 (0.9)</td>
<td>100–300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>66 (27.49)</td>
<td>9 (3.8)</td>
<td>&lt;100–1 × 10^5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pennsylvania</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8 (22.9)</td>
<td>1 (2.9)</td>
<td>&lt;100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>94 (39.2)</td>
<td>18 (7.5)</td>
<td>&lt;100–1 × 10^4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>5,033</td>
<td>2,007 (39.9)</td>
<td>318 (6.3)</td>
<td>&lt;100–1 × 10^6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HEV, hepatitis E virus; qRT-PCR, quantitative reverse-transcription PCR.
are no longer actively infected by HEV. Nevertheless, studies have shown that 5.7% of UK (10), and 44.4% of Scotland (11) slaughterhouse market-weight pigs were viremic. A growing number of reported cases of autochthonous HEV-3 and HEV-4 human infection have been attributed to consumption of raw or undercooked pork (12,13), including 1 case acquired from imported HEV-4 in the United States (14).

The HEV sequences we detected all belonged to the zoonotic HEV-3 group 2 (HEV-3abchij). This finding is consistent with previous reports of detection of HEV-3 in US farm pigs and commercial pork products (5,6). Pigs in Europe are reportedly infected with HEV-3c, 3e, 3f, 3h, and 3i. Because our phylogenetic analysis was based on partial sequences, our results identified the prevalent HEV genotype at the group level, but more detailed study based on full-length sequence is warranted to definitively identify viral heterogeneity as well as the molecular clock of HEV evolution across the United States. Cases of autochthonous human infection with HEV-3abchij have been reported in the United Kingdom (13), and low levels of HEV-3abchij RNA were detected in human blood donor plasma samples in the United States (4). That ≈6% of slaughterhouse pigs are still viremic for HEV-3abchij raises a potential concern about pork safety because blood containing infectious HEV during slaughter may contaminate raw pork products. Therefore, to prevent foodborne HEV infection, pork should be properly cooked before consumption; an internal temperature of 71°C inactivates infectious HEV (15).
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Human anthrax cases necessitate rapid response. We completed *Bacillus anthracis* nanopore whole-genome sequencing in our high-containment laboratory from a human anthrax isolate hours after receipt. The de novo assembled genome showed no evidence of known antimicrobial resistance genes or introduced plasmid(s). Same-day genomic characterization enhances public health emergency response.

*Bacillus anthracis* causes anthrax, a deadly infectious disease, and is found worldwide, including areas of the United States. Naturally occurring anthrax outbreaks are reported annually in wild and domestic grazing animals, but human transmission is rare (1). Deliberate misuse of *B. anthracis* as a bioweapon could pose an immediate risk to human populations. In such instances, a timely response is critical to reduce morbidity and mortality rates.

After the anthrax incidents during 2001, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) published medical countermeasure recommendations for human anthrax treatment and postexposure prophylaxis using antimicrobial drugs, including amoxicillin, ciprofloxacin, doxycycline, levofloxacin, and penicillin (2). Most *B. anthracis* strains are susceptible to antimicrobial drugs; however, naturally occurring and engineered antimicrobial-resistant strains have been reported (3–5). Laboratory antimicrobial susceptibility testing (AST) by broth microdilution (BMD) remains the standard method to determine MIC values but requires >16 hours before results are available. During an anthrax emergency, rapid genomic characterization of the implicated *B. anthracis* strain(s) could identify sequences associated with drug resistance.

Single-nucleotide mutations in chromosomal *B. anthracis* quinolone resistance-determining regions of *gyrA*, *gyrB*, *parC*, and *parE* genes can lead to ciprofloxacin resistance, and gene acquisition can lead to tetracycline and doxycycline resistance (3,4,6). Penicillin resistance can result from a chromosomal mutation in the antisigma factor gene, *rslP* (5). Most *B. anthracis* strains carrying this signature *rslP* mutation are resistant to penicillin and amoxicillin (5,7,8). Detection of known antimicrobial resistance (AMR) mutations or other novel gene insertions and deletions (indels) in the clonal *B. anthracis* genome signals genetic anomalies and could influence treatment and postexposure prophylaxis strategies.

Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) can identify gene indels, mutations, or previously undescribed genetic elements, including extrachromosomal plasmid DNA. However, common short-read sequencing (SRS) technologies have difficulty resolving bacterial genome structure because de novo assemblies yield multiple contigs. Long-read nanopore sequencing with the MinION device (Oxford Nanopore Technologies, https://nanoporetech.com) can resolve repetitive sequences and structural genomic rearrangements and enables complete bacterial genome finishing (9). Although MinION data are error-prone, especially in homopolymeric regions (10), compared with Illumina (https://www.illumina.com)–based SRS, it is available immediately during the sequencing run, enabling rapid assembly and analysis. The technology enables real-time sequencing, including direct pathogen identification from patient specimens, and holds the promise for future point-of-care applications that speed laboratory results reporting (11,12). Portable WGS instruments are
advantageous for laboratories with limited space and remove the need to transfer DNA out of high-containment laboratories for sequencing, mitigating exposure risks to personnel (13).

CDC described a rapid nanopore sequencing approach and custom bioinformatics pipeline for *B. anthracis* that yielded complete chromosome and plasmid assemblies, and detected known AMR genes and mutations in avirulent laboratory strains (13). On the morning of August 2, 2019, our laboratory received a *B. anthracis* culture isolate (Ba0914) from a naturally occurring human anthrax case in Texas. Same-day laboratory WGS and bioinformatics analysis were performed. This study describes the laboratory work and demonstrates the usefulness of rapid WGS to inform time-sensitive public health responses.

**The Study**

All laboratory work with the *B. anthracis* isolate and nanopore sequencing was performed inside a class II type A2 biological safety cabinet located in a US Federal Select Agent Program registered Biosafety Level 3 laboratory. We performed rapid nanopore sequencing as described by Gargis et al. (13), including silica membrane–based genomic DNA (gDNA) extraction, except that a bead-beating step was added to speed cell lysis. We extracted Ba0914 gDNA from colonies of an overnight agar culture in 75 min. Within the next hour, fluorometer and microvolume spectrophotometer measurements confirmed that the gDNA extraction was suitable for nanopore sequencing. We prepared a nanopore DNA sequencing library (Rapid Barcoding Sequencing Kit SQK-RBK004; Oxford Nanopore Technologies) and sequencing began <45 min later (MinKNOW, version 18.12.6; Oxford Nanopore Technologies).

Within ≈10 min of sequencing, nanopore data were ready for blastn analysis (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov), which identified a 13.5-kb read with >91.5% sequence homology with *B. anthracis*. Approximately 120,000 live basecalled reads (average length 4,089 nt, average quality score/read 14.8) were generated in <5 hours of nanopore sequencing. We performed de novo genome assembly (Flye version 2.5; https://github.com) by using the first 120,000 nanopore reads and error-corrected with Medaka version 0.6.1 (https://pypi.org).

The Ba0914 genome assembly contained single contigs for the chromosome and each plasmid, pXO1 and pXO2 (Figures 1, 2) with ≥54X average depth of coverage and shared >99.75% identity to the Ames Ancestor strain (GenBank accession no. AE017334).
DISPATCHES

Thousands of indels and nearly 700 mismatches were detected (Table). Conventional BMD testing also began on August 2, 2019, according to guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (14), and susceptibility results were ready the following day.

We also performed Illumina-based SRS. We extracted DNA as described by Gargis et al. (7) and prepared the sequencing library (Nextera DNA Flex Library Kit; Illumina) for paired-end 2 × 150–bp sequencing by using the iSeq 100 (Illumina). We performed read filtering and assembly as described (15). The SRS-based assembly contained 32 more contigs than nanopore but with higher depths of coverage for the chromosome and plasmids (Table). Alignment to the Ames Ancestor reference strain yielded >99.9% genome identity, with fewer indels and mismatches. SRS-based approaches result in lower error rates and can more reliably detect single-nucleotide polymorphisms in B. anthracis, especially in homopolymeric regions, but a same-day laboratory workflow is currently not feasible (Figure 1, panel A). An alignment plot showed gaps in coverage of the chromosome (0.1%) and pXO1 (1.4%) caused by incomplete SRS-based assemblies (Figure 2). Alignment of the nanopore-based assembly to the SRS-based assembly resulted in >99.8% identity but with thousands of indels (Table). All sequencing data were submitted to GenBank under accession no. SAMN12588378.

Only 45 min of bioinformatics analysis (Pima version 01, https://github.com) using 120,000 basecalled nanopore reads was sufficient to assemble and confirm the absence of known AMR genes/markers associated with resistance to quinolones and tetracyclines (Figure 1, panel B) (13). We detected no mutations in gyrA, gyrB, parC, or parE genes, identified no AMR genes contained in the Resfinder database (https://cge. cbs.dtu.dk), and found no unexpected plasmids. SRS and bioinformatics analysis yielded analogous details about the AMR markers in Ba0914 (Figure 1, panel B). Only the SRS-based assembly, and not the nanopore assembly, was reliable for sequencing rsiP. Strain Ba0914 lacked mutations in the homopolymeric rsiP region that can confer penicillin resistance. Sequencing of regions containing repetitive nucleotide bases is a known limitation of nanopore technology and, consequently, detection of the rsiP mutation was excluded from AMR bioinformatics analysis.

Although genetic analysis is useful for detection of known AMR genes/markers in the B. anthracis genome, phenotypic susceptibility testing by BMD remains essential to detect functional resistance (7,13). By using the conventional BMD method, we found that strain Ba0914 was susceptible to ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin, tetracycline, doxycycline, penicillin, and amoxicillin.

Conclusions
Real-time sequencing of the biothreat pathogen B. anthracis in a high-containment laboratory demonstrated the speed and usefulness of a rapid, portable

Table. De novo whole-genome assembly metrics for sequencing of Bacillus anthracis strain Ba0914*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aligned to</th>
<th>Mismatches</th>
<th>Indels</th>
<th>Contigs</th>
<th>Nucleotide identity, %; average fold coverage</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>pXO1</th>
<th>pXO2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ames reference strain</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>6,411</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>99.83; 54</td>
<td>99.78; 192</td>
<td>99.80; 91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanopore</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>99.96; 115</td>
<td>99.94; 467</td>
<td>99.94; 220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>6,305</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>99.86</td>
<td>99.88</td>
<td>99.88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Mismatches, indels, nucleotide identity, and average fold coverage for chromosomal and plasmid sequences of B. anthracis strain Ba0914 were determined on the basis of alignment with the Ames Ancestor reference strain assembly (top) or to the SRS-based Ba0914 strain assembly (bottom). The nanopore assembly was generated by using the first 120,000 live basecalled reads. Contigs, contiguous overlapping DNA segments; Indels, insertions and deletions; NA, not applicable; SRS, short-read sequencing.

Figure 2. Circular maps of the whole-genome–sequenced Bacillus anthracis Ba0914 chromosome and 2 plasmids, pXO1 and pXO2, assembled by using rapid nanopore sequencing and short-read sequencing. (Maps are not to scale.)
nanopore sequencer during an emergency. Long-read sequencing could detect *B. anthracis*-specific DNA sequence from the culture isolate after only 3.5 hours. Although the nanopore-based assembly was error-prone when compared with the SRS-based assembly, as few as 8.5 hours would be required to find evidence of known AMR genes/markers or engineering, including gene insertions and extra-chromosomal plasmids from *B. anthracis*. Although conventional AST remains essential for characterizing functional antimicrobial resistance in *B. anthracis*, nanopore sequencing provided same-day, on-site genomic characterization useful for an anthrax emergency response.

Acknowledgments

We thank scientists in the Zoonoses and Select Agent Laboratory, Division of High-Consequence Pathogens and Pathology, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases (NCEZID), CDC, for performing short-read sequencing; scientists in the Antimicrobial Resistance and Characterization Laboratory, Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion, NCEZID, CDC, for performing conventional BMD AST; and scientists in the Biodefense Research and Development Laboratory, Division of Preparedness and Emerging Infections, NCEZID, CDC, for performing nanopore sequencing.

This study was supported by the CDC Center for Preparedness and Response.

About the Author

Dr. McLaughlin is a scientist at the Biodefense Research and Development Laboratory, Division of Preparedness and Emerging Infections, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. Her primary research interests are development and evaluation of phenotypic and whole-genome sequencing–based assays to characterize biological threat agents, with an emphasis on rapid detection of antimicrobial resistance in bacteria.

References


Address for correspondence: Heather P. McLaughlin, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd NE, Mailstop H17-5, Atlanta, GA 30329-4027, USA; email: yfq4@cdc.gov
Rickettsia mongolitimonae
Encephalitis, Southern France, 2018

María Dolores Corbacho Loarte, Cléa Melenotte, Nadim Cassir, Serge Camilleri, Philippe Dory-Lautrec, Didier Raoult, Philippe Parola

Author affiliations: Hospital Universitario Severo Ochoa, Madrid, Spain (M.D. Corbacho Loarte); Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire–Méditerranée Infection, Marseille, France (M.D. Corbacho Loarte, C. Melenotte, N. Cassir, D. Raoult, P. Parola); Aix-Marseille University, Marseille (M.D. Corbacho Loarte, C. Melenotte, N. Cassir, D. Raoult, P. Parola); Assistance Publique des Hôpitaux de Marseille, Marseille (C. Melenotte, N. Cassir, S. Camilleri, P. Dory-Lautrec, D. Raoult, P. Parola)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2602.181667

We report a case of Rickettsia sibirica mongolitimonae infection, an emerging tickborne rickettsiosis, with associated encephalitis in a 66-year-old man. Diagnosis was rapidly confirmed by quantitative PCR obtained from an eschar swab sample. The patient was successfully treated with oral doxycycline.

In July 2018, a 66-year-old man was admitted to the emergency department in Marseille, France, because of fever (40°C) and confusion. His medical history included arterial hypertension controlled with amlodipine and dyslipidemia and coronary artery disease treated with pravastatin and aspirin. He lived in a rural area near Marseille and owned dogs, pigs, pheasants, pigeons, and chickens. In the hospital emergency department, he received acyclovir (1 g every 8 h), amoxicillin (4 g every 6 h), and ceftriaxone (3 g every 12 h) for suspected meningoencephalitis.

At admission to the infectious diseases department, he had a general maculopapular rash over his trunk, palms of his hands, and soles of his feet of 3 days' duration (Figure, panel A). Blood pressure was 130/80 mm Hg. A 15-mm black eschar was noted on his right ankle, associated with rope-like lymphangitis (Figure, panel B). He had a 4/5 right corporal hemiparesis with hemisensory loss and right Babinski sign. Lumbar puncture results were unremarkable, and C-reactive protein was 65.4 mg/L (referent <3 mg/L). Oral doxycycline (300 mg 1×/d) was added to his drug regimen 3 days after symptom onset. Results of brain computed tomography scan were unremarkable. Magnetic resonance imaging showed multiple bilateral brain lesions compatible with acute encephalitis related to vasculitis (Figure, panel C). Positron emission tomographic scan showed cerebral cortical diffuse hypometabolism (Appendix Figure, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/18-1667-App1.pdf). Results of microbiological tests performed on cerebrospinal fluid and indirect immunofluorescence assay for spotted fever group (SFG) rickettsiae were negative. DNA obtained from eschar swab samples was positive by quantitative PCR for all SFG Rickettsia species (gltA and ompA genes) (1). Positive samples tested with species-specific R. massiliae, R. conorii, and R. sibirica mongolitimonae primers were positive for R. sibirica mongolitimonae (35 cycles quantification) (1).

Oral doxycycline was continued for 10 days; other drugs were discontinued. The cutaneous lesions regressed at day 3, and neurologic symptoms progressively improved after administration of doxycycline. A low seroconversion for the SFG rickettsiae was observed (IgM 1:16; IgG 1:16) 3 weeks after symptom onset; at 7 weeks postinfection, serology became negative.

One month after symptom onset, the patient had 4/5 muscular strength in his right leg. Magnetic resonance imaging performed at 7 weeks and 1 year after symptom onset showed cerebral sequelae lesions (Figure, panel C). At 1 year, the Babinski sign in the right foot persisted, but muscular testing was 5/5 with the exception of lifting the right foot, which was 4/5.

R. sibirica mongolitimonae infection is an emerging rickettsiosis; <40 human cases have been described. It is seasonal in France (spring and summer). It has been referred to as lymphangitis-associated rickettsiosis because of the typical rope-like lymphangitis sign (2). Other clinical signs include the classic triad of fever, rash, and eschar. SENLAT (scalp eschar and neck lymphadenopathy after tick bite) also has been reported (3).

Most R. sibirica mongolitimonae infections have been reported in the Mediterranean area (France, Spain, Portugal, Greece, and Turkey), Africa (Algeria, Egypt, Cameroon, South Africa), and China (4,5). In Europe, vectors include the tick species Hyalomma excavatum, H. marginatum, H. turanicum, Rhipicephalus pusillus, R. bursa, and Haemaphysalis parva (1,2,4). R. sibirica mongolitimonae infection usually causes mild disease, but severe manifestations have been described, including retinal vasculitis, lethargy with hyponatremia, septic shock, myopericarditis, and acute renal failure (2,6).

Only R. conorii conorii, R. rickettsii, R. japonica, and R. slovaca have been associated with encephalitis in the literature (Appendix Table); no patients who had received doxycycline were reported to have died. Doxycycline has proven to be superior...
to chloramphenicol and ciprofloxacin in rickettsial infection and should be the treatment of choice for rickettsial-associated encephalitis (1,7).

SFG rickettsiosis can be diagnosed by serology, culture, or molecular assay on blood, skin biopsy, or eschar swab sample. Seroconversion generally appears in the second and third weeks of illness; culture is fastidious and performed only in expert laboratories. Molecular tools using eschar cutaneous swab samples appeared as the best method for detecting and identifying *Rickettsia* spp. (1). The sensitivity of this technique is comparable with that of rickettsial detection on skin biopsy samples using molecular tools. It is a noninvasive and nonpainful diagnostic method that can be performed easily where molecular facilities are available (3,8).

The discrepancy observed in this case between PCR and serology has been reported in cases of *R. africae* infection, in which seroconversion is delayed (28 days for IgG and 25 days for IgM) and doxycycline treatment within 7 days after symptom onset prevents development of antibodies (9,10). In this patient, we observed very low serologic response 3 weeks after symptom onset, which might have been affected by the early administration of doxycycline. Moreover, the lack of serologic response observed

![Clinical manifestations and cerebral magnetic resonance imaging of a 66-year-old man with *Rickettsia sibirica mongolitimonae*–associated encephalitis, southern France, 2018. A) Maculopapular rash. B) Black eschar and rope-like lymphangitis on the right leg. C) Magnetic resonance imaging with diffusion (B1000), ADC, and FLAIR. In July 2018, cytotoxic lesions were observed intra-axially and in the white matter of right cerebellar hemispheres with FLAIR hypersignal and with low ADC signal. In September 2018, these cytotoxic lesions regressed in diffusion with the appearance of a necrotic cavity facing the roof of the left lateral ventricle. In July 2019, disappearance of diffusion anomalies. Small necrotic cavity with after-effects on FLAIR and ADC signals. ADC, apparent diffusion coefficient; FLAIR, fluid-attenuated inversion recovery.](image-url)
here may be precisely related to the severity of the disease. The case we described illustrates the rapid efficacy of doxycycline to treat the severe neurologic consequences of rickettsial diseases, as well as the effectiveness and rapidity of the swab sample diagnostic test.
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Alveolar echinococcosis is a parasitic disease caused by the tapeworm larval stage of *Echinococcus multilocularis*. This zoonotic disease has not been known to occur in Croatia. We report a confirmed case of human alveolar echinococcosis in a patient in Croatia who had never visited a known *E. multilocularis*–endemic area.

A 63-year-old male patient was sent to the University Hospital for Infectious Diseases in Zagreb, Croatia, in September 2017 for treatment of cystic liver lesions and pleural effusion. The patient had grown up and still lived in a rural area in Vukovar (45°21′N, 18°59′E/45.35°N, 18.99°E), where he worked for a waste management company. He spent free time in the woods picking mushrooms.

Before his referral, in November 2014, the patient underwent kidney ultrasonography, which also detected cystic formations in his liver. A subsequent multislice computed tomography (MSCT) scan in
a regional hospital revealed an oval heterogeneous zone in the liver measuring 11 × 8 cm with irregular postcontrast enhancement and an enlarged right suprarenal gland that could not be distinguished from the outer wall of the inferior vena cava. His laboratory results, including tumor markers, were within reference ranges, except for a slightly elevated alkaline phosphatase level.

In March 2015, histopathology of liver tissue excluded malignant disease. The patient tested positive for *Echinococcus* sp. by enzyme immunoassay and Western blotting, but cystic echinococcosis was excluded on the basis of radiologic findings. In June 2015, a second liver biopsy revealed necrotic cells. In November 2015, another MSCT revealed multiple new nodular lesions in the right and left lungs. Thorax and lung biopsies revealed necrotic material.

In 2016, the patient had a bronchoscopy, which showed no malignancy. He had a third diagnostic liver biopsy in October 2016, which revealed acellular, eosinophilic, periodic acid-Schiff–positive histopathology, and morphology that suggested echinococcosis. However, the patient was not seen by an infectious disease physician, nor did he receive treatment for parasites.

An examination in August 2017 showed that his lung nodules had progressed, and a right-sided pleural effusion had enlarged. One month later, the patient’s condition worsened. He had a fever (39°C), dyspnea, cough, and sharp pain in the right hemithorax. He was referred to the hospital, where we conducted a cystic lesion biopsy, which indicated suppurative inflammation, but a culture was negative for bacteria. The patient’s pleural effusion had eosinophilic exudate, which was negative for bacteria and fungi. The patient had eosinophilia with an average eosinophil count of 2,600 × 10^9 cells/L. We analyzed his prior MSCT and magnetic resonance scan results and noted the patient had experienced slow progression of alveolar echinococcosis. The liver lesion had grown from 11 × 8 cm to 13 × 12 × 12 cm during the previous 2.5 years, and the parasite had infiltrated his lungs and right adrenal gland (Figure).

We extracted DNA from pleural exudate and formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded liver biopsy tissue by using the QIAamp DNA Mini QIAcube system (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com). We subjected duplicate samples to PCR and sequenced a 200-bp region in the mitochondrial gene *nad1* (1) and a 395-bp region of the 12S rRNA gene (2). All sequences were identical to isolates of *E. multilocularis* tapeworms from Europe found in GenBank (accession nos. MG755265, MG755266).

Serologic tests using various antigens (3) performed at the University of Zurich (Zurich, Switzerland) further supported the diagnosis of alveolar echinococcosis. We obtained strongly positive results with genus-specific ELISAs conducted with somatic protoscoleces or cyst fluid from *E. granulosus* sensu stricto and with ELISAs highly specific for *E. multilocularis* tapeworm based on the recombinant Em18 antigen or affinity-purified native EmG11 antigen. Serum analysis conducted by using the Anti-Echinococcus EUROLINE-IgG Western Blot system (EUROIMMUN, https://www.euroimmun.com) showed positive results for p7, p28, and Em18.

The patient refused surgery and was treated with oral albendazole (400 mg 2×/d) and intravenous piperacillin/tazobactam (4.5 g 4×/d) for 6 weeks. At discharge, we recommended continued albendazole until clinical and radiologic follow-up 6 months later.

No previous human cases of alveolar echinococcosis have been reported in Croatia, but the *E. multilocularis* tapeworm is endemic in central and eastern Europe and in the Baltic states (4). This patient’s infection is surprising because he lived >60 years in eastern Croatia with no international travel. The
closest reported autochthonous human cases were in southwestern Hungary (5).

*E. multilocularis* tapeworms have been reported in foxes in western and central Croatia (6) and likely is in eastern areas, such as Vukovar, because it was found in 17.9% of foxes and 14.3% of golden jackals in the region of Serbia directly across the Danube River from Vukovar (7). Since 2013, rabies vaccination has increased in Croatia, which might give the fox population an opportunity to expand and increase transmission of *E. multilocularis* tapeworms to humans, as noted in Switzerland (8).

Correct diagnosis for this patient took 2.5 years because radiologic findings were inconsistent with cystic echinococcosis and clinicians assumed that was the only type of human echinococcosis in Croatia (9). This case highlights the need for clinicians to include alveolar echinococcosis in differential diagnosis of liver lesions. Imaging provides the first-line approach to such a diagnosis and serology provides strong complementary support. Our case also highlights the usefulness of considering pleural effusion and analyzing archival biopsies to retrospectively diagnose alveolar echinococcosis.
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We describe 2 human cases of infection with a new *Neisseria* species (putatively *N. brasilensis*), 1 of which involved bacteremia. Genomic analyses found that both isolates were distinct strains of the same species, were closely related to *N. iguanae*, and contained a capsule synthesis operon similar to *N. meningitidis*. 
Neisseria is a genus containing diverse organisms; most are rarely pathogenic. N. meningitidis and N. gonorrhoeae are the most clinically relevant species. The polysaccharide capsule is the most critical meningococcal virulence factor, a vaccine target, and the basis for classifying meningococci into serogroups (1). During routine laboratory-based public health surveillance in Brazil, we identified 2 cases of infection caused by a previously uncharacterized species of the Neisseria genus.

Clinicians reported 2 cases to the National Reference Laboratory, Adolfo Lutz Institute (IAL), São Paulo, Brazil. Case-patient 1 was a 64-year-old man from Rio Grande do Sul state, Brazil, who, in June 2016, had congestive heart failure with bilateral pulmonary infiltrates and pleural effusion on chest radiograph. Case-patient 2 was a 74-year-old woman with leprosy from Paraná state, Brazil, who, in February 2016, developed a polymicrobially infected ulcer of the left lower extremity. The 2 cases were separated in time and by >400 km and had no known epidemiologic link.

Overnight cultures of blood from case-patient 1 and ulcer exudate from case-patient 2 on brain–heart infusion agar containing 10% chocolate and horse blood at 37°C in the presence of 5% CO2 both revealed brownish colonies uncharacteristic of N. meningitidis. We identified both isolates (N.95-16, from case-patient 1, and N.177-16, from case-patient 2) as gram-negative glucose-fermenting diplococci with positive catalase and oxidase tests. The isolates fermented maltose, lactose, sucrose, and fructose but not mannose; they reduced nitrate and produced a starch-like brownish colonies uncharacteristic of N. meningitidis. Assessment by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectroscopy found no species match; the closest matches were Neisseria species. The closest genome matches were N. iguanae and the proposed N. weixii, isolated from the intestinal contents of a Tibetan Plateau pika (Figure, panel A) (PubMLST identification 56407–56409; GenBank accession no. CP023429). Both genomes shared identical rMLST profiles (rST 61343); the 4 proposed N. weixii genomes shared only 1–2 alleles of 53 rMLST loci with these isolate genomes, and N. iguanae shared no rMLST alleles. Both genomes contained an intact capsule gene cluster (cps) that was similar in gene organization and sequence identity to N. meningitidis (Figure, panel B). The cta-csa/csxA promoter region was conserved in both isolates. However, both genomes contained only 1 copy of galE-rfbCAB (Region D), compared with 2 copies found in meningococcal reference genomes; the tex gene was located >10 kb outside cps, upstream from ctrD (Figure, panel B). The 2 isolates differed in their sequence of sialic acid biosynthesis genes within region A; isolate N.95-16 contained csxABC genes that shared 98% amino acid identity with the meningococcal serogroup X reference strain α388 (1), and isolate N.177-16 contained csABC-csb genes that shared 99% amino acid identity with serogroup B reference strain H44/76 (Figure, panel B). The cps differences observed between the isolates were similar to the mosaic recombination pattern associated with meningococcal capsular switching (9). Taken together, the presence of cps genes sharing substantial similarity to meningococcal homologs suggests that both isolates have the potential to synthesize meningococcal-like capsules.

We performed serogrouping by slide agglutination (2) with polyclonal goat or horse antiserum prepared at IAL against the N. meningitidis capsule groups (ABCEWXYZ), as described previously (3), and confirmed with real-time PCR (4). Isolate N.95-16 had strong agglutination against serogroup X and nonspecific agglutination against serogroups A, B, C, W, Y, E, and Z antiserum; isolate N.177-16 had nonspecific agglutination against A, B, C, W, X, Y, E, and Z antiserum. Meningococcal serogroup-specific real-time PCR identified isolate 1 as N. meningitidis capsular group X and isolate 2 as capsular group B.

We extracted genomic DNA from overnight cultures and performed library preparation and whole-genome sequencing using a combination of Illumina MiSeq (https://www.illumina.com) and Oxford Nanopore MinION (https://nanoporetech.com) technologies. Sequencing reads underwent hybrid assembly using Unicycler (5), which generated a high-quality draft assembly for isolate N.95-16 and a complete genome sequence for isolate N.177-16 (GenBank accession nos. WJXO0000000 and CP046027; PubMLST [https://pubmlst.org] identification 94178–94179). We performed species investigation by querying sequencing reads and assemblies against the GenBank and PubMLST reference databases (6). We aligned gene sequences corresponding to 53 conserved ribosomal MLST (rMLST) loci (7) across the Neisseria genus and constructed a maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree using RAxML with 1,000 bootstrap replicates (Figure, panel A). We calculated average nucleotide identity (ANI) using OrthoANI (8).

Both isolate genomes were 2.5 Mb and had 49.2% guanine- cytosine (GC) content. ANI was 99.3% between the 2 genomes and <86% relative to all other Neisseria species. The closest genome matches were N. iguanae and the proposed N. weixii, isolated from the intestinal contents of a Tibetan Plateau pika (Figure, panel A) (PubMLST identification 56407–56409; GenBank accession no. CP023429). Both genomes shared identical rMLST profiles (rST 61343); the 4 proposed N. weixii genomes shared only 1–2 alleles of 53 rMLST loci with these isolate genomes, and N. iguanae shared no rMLST alleles. Both genomes contained an intact capsule gene cluster (cps) that was similar in gene organization and sequence identity to N. meningitidis (Figure, panel B). The cta-csa/csxA promoter region was conserved in both isolates. However, both genomes contained only 1 copy of galE-rfbCAB (Region D), compared with 2 copies found in meningococcal reference genomes; the tex gene was located >10 kb outside cps, upstream from ctrD (Figure, panel B). The 2 isolates differed in their sequence of sialic acid biosynthesis genes within region A; isolate N.95-16 contained csxABC genes that shared 98% amino acid identity with the meningococcal serogroup X reference strain α388 (1), and isolate N.177-16 contained csABC-csb genes that shared 99% amino acid identity with serogroup B reference strain H44/76 (Figure, panel B). The cps differences observed between the isolates were similar to the mosaic recombination pattern associated with meningococcal capsular switching (9). Taken together, the presence of cps genes sharing substantial similarity to meningococcal homologs suggests that both isolates have the potential to synthesize meningococcal-like capsules.

In summary, we describe 2 sporadic cases of a new Neisseria species (which we propose to name
*Neisseria brasiliensis*, 1 of which also involved bacteremia. Both genomes contain an intact repertoire of genes for capsule synthesis, a key meningococcal virulence factor. The significance of capsule genes and potential capsule synthesis in nonmeningococcal *Neisseria* is unknown (10). Continued surveillance is required to establish the pathogenic potential and host range for this apparent new species.
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**Figure.** Analyses of newly characterized *Neisseria* species, Brazil. A) Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree of 53 aligned ribosomal multilocus sequence typing genes with 1,000 bootstrap replicates using *Moraxella catarrhalis* as an outgroup. Bootstrap support values <90% are not shown. Scale bar represents number of substitutions per site. B) *cps* sequences in isolates 1 (N.95-16) and 2 (N.177-16) relative to meningococcal reference genomes. Arrows represent genes, which are color coded by previously defined *cps* regions (17). Gray rectangles represent percentage nucleotide identity; darker shading indicates higher identity. The *txs* gene in both isolates is located >10 kb downstream of other *cps* genes. *cps*, capsule gene cluster; IS, insertion sequence; NmB, *N. meningitidis* serogroup B reference genome H44/76; NmX, *N. meningitidis* serogroup X reference sequence α388; IS, transposase gene.
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In 2018, a hepatitis A virus outbreak was identified among internally displaced persons in Syria. Sequence analysis based on the viral protein 1/2A junction revealed that the causative virus belonged to genotype IB. A high displacement rate, deteriorated sanitary and health conditions, and poor water quality likely contributed to this outbreak.

Hepatitis A virus (HAV) is the leading cause of acute hepatitis infections worldwide, infecting ≈1.5 million persons annually (1). Symptoms, which are usually mild, include nausea, vomiting, abdominal pain, restlessness, body weakness, myalgia, loss of appetite, and fever. However, HAV may progress into fulminant liver failure, necessitating liver transplant. Generally, HAV is self-limiting (2). HAV (genus *Hepatovirus*, family *Picornaviridae*) is a nonenveloped virus with a single-stranded, positive-sense RNA linear genome (7.5 kb). The viral protein (VP) 1/2A junction (168 nt) is used to classify HAV into 6 genotypes: I–III (subgenotypes A and B) of human origin and IV–VI of simian origin (3). Genotype IA is the most commonly reported worldwide, whereas genotype IB is predominant in the Middle East (4–6).

On September 9, 2018, the governorate of Aleppo, Syria, informed the World Health Organization office in Syria that internally displaced persons (IDPs; displaced since early 2018) and local host community members in Tal Refaat, Fafin, and surrounding areas in the northwestern and western parts of Aleppo were experiencing a suspected hepatitis outbreak. The affected area included 17 locations in Azaz and Jabal Sem’an districts in western Aleppo (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-0652-App1.pdf). Outbreak field investigation found sporadic cases of the disease among IDPs starting July 21, 2018; as of November 8, a total of 638 cases of suspected acute hepatitis infection had been reported. Most patients (98.59%) were <15 years of age and the rest 16–54 years of age. A total of 105 patients (16.5%) were admitted into the Fafin hospital; no fatalities were reported. No field investigations were performed in the first half of 2018 because of the crisis that led to weakness in the routine surveillance system.

A total of 48 unidentified serum and plasma samples were collected from 24 IDP children with suspected hepatitis and sent to the laboratory on October 29. The specimens originated from 3 locations in Syria: 13 from Fafin camp in Aleppo, 6 from eastern rural Daraa, and 5 samples from rural Quneitra. Even though the main outbreak was in the Aleppo governorate, Daraa and Quneitra were also experiencing a notable upsurge in reported cases of suspected acute hepatitis infection. For this reason, additional samples were collected from these governorates.

We analyzed the serum specimens by serology (total HAV antibodies and HAV IgM) using the enzyme-linked fluorescent assay VIDAS (bioMérieux Diagnostics, https://www.biomerieux-diagnostics.com) and the plasma specimens by real-time reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) for the detection of HAV (using the HAVNET protocol) and hepatitis E virus (HEV) (7). Seven samples had insufficient volume to perform both total HAV antibody and HAV IgM tests; thus, only the IgM test was performed. Overall, 19 plasma specimens were positive for HAV and none for HEV by PCR (Table). Eighteen serum specimens had detectable HAV IgM. All the specimens with sufficient volume (n = 17) were positive for HAV and none for HEV by PCR (Table). Eighteen serum specimens had detectable HAV IgM. All the specimens with sufficient volume (n = 17) were positive for HAV and none for HEV by PCR (Table). Eighteen serum specimens had detectable HAV IgM. All the specimens with sufficient volume (n = 17) were positive for HAV and none for HEV by PCR.
results. One patient had detectable HAV vRNA but negative HAV IgM, which indicates the early start of acute infection (8). This patient’s serum was positive for total HAV antibodies, indicating a previous exposure with a current breakthrough infection.

We successfully sequenced the VP1/2A region for 6 specimens (Table) and used ClustalW in BioEdit 7.0 to align the sequences (9). Sequence-based genotypes were inferred by comparing the obtained sequences with genotype reference and contemporary strains obtained from GenBank. The phylogenetic analysis indicated that all Syria specimens belonged to genotype IB.

The Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs reported that, as of September 3, 2018, a total of 23,279 families (107,083 persons) were displaced from Afrin to Tel Refaat, Fafin, and surrounding villages. These IDPs were in addition to 4,766 families (38,843 persons) in the host community. Tents, destroyed or empty dwellings, schools, mosques, and warehouses were used as collective shelters but are relatively distant from active frontlines. IDPs had restricted freedom of movement and no access to proper sanitation facilities as a result of infrastructure damage; 70% of the population rely on water trucking services, and 30% live on less than 20 L of water per day. Moreover, 88% of the respondents reported accumulation of solid waste in their areas (World Health Association, unpub. data). A health assessment in the Afrin district found that 153 of 180 (85%) assessed communities have no access to health services. Vaccination campaigns have been largely suspended since November 2016. These poor living and health conditions render the IDPs highly prone to vaccine-preventable diseases, including hepatitis A, measles, polio, and cholera (10). Although water testing for HAV was not possible in the affected areas, deterioration in water quality was reported down the supply chain and may have contributed to this outbreak (World Health Association, unpub. data).

In summary, we report a large outbreak of hepatitis among IDPs in Syria. Laboratory testing confirmed current HAV IB infection among most screened patients. The high displacement rate, deteriorated sanitary and health conditions, and poor water quality may have all contributed to the increased HAV reports among this population.
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**Table.** Serologic and PCR analysis of serum and plasma specimens from the suspected hepatitis outbreak*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lab ID</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>Serology</th>
<th>Molecular analysis</th>
<th>Genotype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Fafin</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Quneitra</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Quneitra</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Quneitra</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Quneitra</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Quneitra</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>IB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Daraa</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Daraa</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Daraa</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>IB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Daraa</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Daraa</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Daraa</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HAV, hepatitis A virus; HEV, hepatitis E virus; ND, not determined; NS, no sufficient volume; +, positive; –, negative.
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We determined prevalence of Rickettsia spp. in 172 ticks of the Amblyomma maculatum group collected from 16 urban sites in Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, USA, during 2017 and 2018. Most ticks (59.3%) were collected from 1 site; 4 (2.3%) were infected with Rickettsia parkeri and 118 (68.6%) with Candidatus Rickettsia andeanae.

Rickettsia parkeri, part of the spotted fever group Rickettsia (SFGR), affects humans throughout much of the southern United States (1). Although R. parkeri in an engorged nymph was reported once in Oklahoma, R. parkeri has not been reported in adult A. maculatum ticks in Oklahoma or Kansas. To date, all test-positive adult ticks in Kansas and Oklahoma have been infected with Candidatus Rickettsia andeanae (2). The absence of R. parkeri in Oklahoma is surprising because it was detected in A. maculatum group ticks recovered from dogs in Arkansas counties bordering eastern Oklahoma (3) and in adult A. maculatum ticks in Texas (4), and A. maculatum ticks have been present in Oklahoma since the 1940s (4). We collected A. maculatum ticks in the Oklahoma City metropolitan area during May–August 2017 and 2018 and tested them for Rickettsia spp.

We selected 16 sites as part of a larger study of tickborne disease epidemiology (Figure). We performed collections during May–August by flagging vegetation or using CO2 traps (5). We completed identification by using established keys (6).

We tested field-collected ticks for rickettsial DNA by using established PCR protocols (7,8). To limit DNA contamination, we conducted DNA extractions by using site-specific reagents in a separate laboratory. After soaking adult ticks in deionized water for 30 minutes and surface-sterilizing with 70% ethanol, we longitudinally bisected ticks; we used one half for DNA extraction and stored the other half at −80°C. DNA extraction followed established protocols (5). In 2017, we screened all ticks by using assays targeting the gltA and ompA (8) genes and retested positive samples by using an assay targeting the omPB gene (primer pair 120–2788/120–3599) (7). In 2018, we...
initially screened ticks by using the gltA assay and confirmed the results with an ompB assay.

We sequenced positive ompB amplicons bidirectionally by using an Applied Biosystems 3730 DNA Analyzer (https://www.thermofisher.com) at the Oklahoma State University Core Facility to identify bacterial species. We verified each resulting sequence by using BioEdit 7.2 (https://bioedit.software.informer.com) and aligned bidirectional sequences to create consensus sequences by using Clustal Omega (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalo). We compared resulting consensus sequences with GenBank submissions by using default conditions on BLAST (http://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi), using the highest percentage sequence identity to determine species similarity.

We collected 172 adult ticks in the A. maculatum group (112 in 2017, 60 in 2018; 81 male [50 in 2017, 31 in 2018] and 91 female [62 in 2017, 29 in 2018]) from 15/16 sites across Oklahoma City (Figure). Most (59.3%) A. maculatum ticks were collected at 1 site in the southwestern metropolitan area consisting of grassland and deciduous shrubland and woodland surrounded by rapidly growing suburban developments (Figure). Most A. maculatum tick collections occurred in areas dominated by grassland with few woody plants and trees.
Initial screening of the 172 ticks detected 122 positive results, indicating a *Rickettsia* spp. prevalence of 70.9% (76.8% in 2017, 60.0% in 2018). Consensus sequences demonstrating 100% identity with the 850-bp portion of the *ompB* gene of *R. parkeri* Portsmouth (GenBank accession no. CP003341.1) and the 590-bp portion of the *ompA* gene of *R. parkeri* La Paloma (GenBank accession no. MG574938.1) were amplified from 4 (3.3%) positive *A. maculatum* ticks (3 males in 2017, 1 female in 2018). All 4 *R. parkeri*-infected ticks were from 1 site (Figure). The remaining 118 (96.7%) sequences from 122 ampiclon-positive *A. maculatum* ticks demonstrated complete identity to homologous 850 bp portions of the *ompB* gene of *Candidatus* *R. andeanae* (GenBank accession no. GU395297.1). The overall *Candidatus* *R. andeanae* prevalence by sex was 72.8% for males (74% in 2017, 71% in 2018) and 64.8% for females (74.2% in 2017, 44.8% in 2018). Most *Candidatus* *R. andeanae*-infected ticks (74/118) were from the park with *R. parkeri*-positive ticks; however, *Candidatus* *R. andeanae*-positive ticks also were collected in 12 other sites (Figure). No dually infected ticks were identified.

We identified *A. maculatum* group ticks infected with *R. parkeri* and *Candidatus* *R. andeanae* in the Oklahoma City metropolitan area. Oklahoma lies at the western edge of 1 of the highest-incidence areas of SFGR in the United States (1). To date, no human rickettsiosis cases caused by *R. parkeri* have been reported in Oklahoma, possibly because of treatment based on nonspecific symptoms and the lifting of mandatory reporting to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (9). The low prevalence of *R. parkeri* in Oklahoma ticks differs from other areas of the United States, such as Virginia, where prevalence of *R. parkeri* is higher in *A. maculatum* ticks (10). *Candidatus* *R. andeanae* prevalence in *A. maculatum* ticks varies inversely with *R. parkeri* prevalence in some regions (4). Although *Candidatus* *R. andeanae* is not known to cause human illness (4), the high prevalence of *Candidatus* *R. andeanae* in Oklahoma ticks might interfere with *R. parkeri* development, limiting its distribution (2). The potential presence of this human pathogen in the largest metropolitan area in the state, and 1 of the largest in the central United States, necessitates thorough case evaluation of future SFGR cases in this region.
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Astrovirus is a positive-sense, single-stranded RNA virus first identified in feces of children with gastroenteritis in 1975. Since then, astrovirus has been found in a wide variety of mammals and birds (1). The family Astroviridae comprises 2 genera, Mamastrovirus and Avastrovirus, and classification is based on host origin. Astroviruses cause diarrhea and neurologic diseases in mammals and a spectrum of diseases, including diarrhea, hepatitis, and nephritis, in birds (2). Astrovirus is associated with respiratory disease in humans, cattle, and pigs (3–5) and has also been found in fecal samples from roe deer with gastrointestinal illness in Denmark (6). Whether astrovirus circulates in other species of deer remains unclear.

In September 2018, the Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory at Iowa State University (Ames, Iowa, USA) received 5 sets of tissue samples collected from deer of the same farm for identification of the infectious cause of death of 5 male white-tailed deer 8–14 weeks of age. The pen-raised deer experienced pneumonia and sudden death. Postmortem examinations showed pleural fluid in the lungs, pneumonia, and purple-mottled lungs. Histopathologic observations revealed that 3 deer had necrotizing bronchopneumonia, and 2 had interstitial pneumonia.

Although different combinations of the bacterial pathogens Bibersteinia trehalosi, Tureperella pyogenes, Fusobacterium necrophorum, and Pasteurella multocida were found in all cases, an underlying viral cause could not be excluded. Therefore, we used next-generation sequencing, first with pooled lung samples and then with individual lung samples, using Nextera XT DNA Library Preparation Kit with the MiSeq platform and MiSeq Reagent Kit v2 (Illumina, https://www.illumina.com). A bioinformatic analysis indicated the presence of an astrovirus along with the bacteria. The complete genome sequence (6,246 nt) of this astrovirus (WI65268; GenBank accession no. MN087316) was found in the pooled lung tissue sample and 1 lung tissue sample, and partial genomes were found in the other 4 lung samples. A complete-genome comparison revealed that BoAstV/JPN/Ishikawa24-6/2013 (bovine isolate from Japan) had the highest identity (60.9%) to WI65268. Further nucleotide sequence analysis revealed that WI65268 had a similar genome organization as other astroviruses (Appendix Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-0878-App1.pdf).

Sequence comparisons of the amino acid sequences of the 3 open reading frames (ORFs) showed that WI65268 was closely related to 4 bovine astroviruses from Asia: B18 (ORF1a 71.9% sequence identity), Kagoshima1-7 and B76-2 (ORF1b 87.8% sequence identity), and Hokkaido11-55 (ORF2 46.8% sequence identity, distance value 0.479) (Appendix Table). In contrast, WI65268 showed low amino acid sequence identities to US bovine strain BSRI-1 for all 3 ORFs (ORF1a 37.0%, ORF1b 68.3%, ORF2 38.8%) (Appendix Table). The 2 available astrovirus sequences from roe deer (GenBank accession nos. HM447045 and HM447046) from Europe comprised only partial genomic sequences. WI65268 had low identities (34.0% HM447045 and 34.4% HM447046) and pairwise distances (0.787 HM447045 and 0.813 HM447046) to these isolates. On the basis of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses p-distance criteria (new genotypes are assigned at a value of >0.378) (7), WI65268 represents a novel astrovirus genotype.

Phylogenetic analysis of the complete genome showed that WI65268 is distantly related to other bovine, dromedary, takin, and yak strains (Appendix Figure 2). In phylogenetic analyses of ORF1a and ORF1b protein sequences, WI65268 clustered with
bovine, yak, and takin astrovirus isolates from Asia (Appendix Figure 3). However, in an analysis of ORF2 (capsid) protein, WI65268 clustered with 2 bovine isolates from Japan and was distantly related to the cluster formed by the bovine, yak, and takin isolates from Asia (Figure), strongly indicating that WI65268 is a recombinant. We used Recombination Detection Program 5 (http://web.cbi.uct.ac.za/~darren/rdp.html) to confirm that WI65268 was a recombinant and characterize the recombination event (Kagoshima1-7 at 1–5,031 and 5,651–7,967 and Kagoshima2-3-2 at 5,032–5,650; Appendix Figure 4). Reverse transcription

**Figure.** Phylogenetic analysis of amino acid sequence of open reading frame 2 of WTD-AstV WI65268 from deer in the United States, 2018 (red square), and potential parent viruses, including Kagoshima1-7 (green highlight), Kagoshima2-3-2 (blue highlight), and CcAstVs (purple triangles). Genus type is provided for viruses where that information was known. GenBank accession numbers are indicated, and bootstrap values are provided at nodes. Scale bar indicates amino acid changes per site. AstV, astrovirus; BdAstV, bottlenose dolphin astrovirus; BoAstV, bovine astrovirus; BufAst, water buffalo astrovirus; CaAstV, canine astrovirus; CcAstV, Capreolus capreolus astrovirus; CsIAstV, California sea lion astrovirus; DcAstV, dromedary camel astrovirus; FAstV, feline astrovirus; HAstV, human astrovirus; MAstV, mink astrovirus; MuAstV, murine astrovirus; OAstV, ovine astrovirus; PAstV, porcine astrovirus; RAstV, rat astrovirus; RaAstV, rabbit astrovirus; TAstV, turkey astrovirus; WBAstV, wild boar astrovirus; WTD, white-tailed deer.
PCR and sequencing results confirmed that sequences at the 2 junctional sites were the same as those found by next-generation sequencing.

Pathogens causing respiratory disease in domesticated animals, such as cattle and pigs, are relatively well studied. However, pathogens causing these diseases in wildlife animals, such as deer, are not well characterized. In this study, the new astrovirus we found or the bacterial pathogens could have contributed to the respiratory disease observed. Whether astrovirus plays a major or just synergistic role in respiratory disease in deer should be explored further.

Astrovirus was previously identified in roe deer with gastrointestinal illness in Europe and found to be closely related to bovine astrovirus isolates from Hong Kong, China, of the same genus (Mamastrovirus 33) (7,8). WI65268 was also closely related to bovine isolates from Japan but distantly related to roe deer and Hong Kong bovine astrovirus isolates. An additional analysis of genetic distances of related isolates on the basis of ORF2 tentatively classified WI65268 as a novel species (Appendix Table).

Determining the evolution of WI65268 any further is difficult without further epidemiologic data. Bovine or bovida astroviruses might be able to cross species barriers and replicate in deer, as suggested in a previous study (9), in which a bovine astrovirus isolate clustered with a porcine astrovirus type 5 instead of other bovine astroviruses. Further surveillance of white-tailed deer for astrovirus is needed for field monitoring.
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Mycetoma is a neglected tropical disease that poses a major public health problem (1). It is endemic in arid or semiarid regions, such as part of the Indian subcontinent, East and West Africa, and Central and South America (2). Mycetoma when caused by bacteria is called actinomycetoma; when caused by fungi, eumycetoma. The pathogens are found in the environment, often in soil, and usually infect people through minor or undetected trauma, thorn pricks being the most common (3). Bacteria of the Actinomadura genus can cause actinomycetoma; the most frequently clinically isolated species are A. madurae and A. pelletieri (1). We report infection with A. mexicana that was acquired in the Caribbean.

A 38-year-old woman from Haiti who had arrived in France with no apparent medical problems was hospitalized a month after her arrival for treatment of multinodular lesions of the left foot and the distal part of the left leg (Figure 1, panel A). She was afebrile but had multiple bulbous nodules of the foot associated with a nodular lesion. The nodules had central pinpoint ulcerations with granular discharge. The woman was experiencing pain and a complete loss of function of her left foot, symptoms that had been evolving for ≈6 months.

Standard radiographs, a computed tomography scan, and magnetic resonance imaging of the affected foot showed symmetrical para-articular marginal erosion in the third and fourth metatarsophalangeal joints, with local inflammation and multiple subcutaneous nodular lesions containing small low-signal foci. A negative result from an HIV serology test and the absence of lymphopenia (2.19 g/L) and hypogammaglobulinemia indicated that there was no immunosuppression. An inflammatory syndrome, with a C-reactive protein level of 53.93 mg/L and a total leukocyte count of 4.5 g/L (neutrophils 1.7 g/L), was identified.

A sample of the nodules, taken from a punch biopsy, revealed a liquid serum containing white-yellow grains (Figure 1, panel B). Direct examination showed numerous branching gram-positive bacilli, characteristic of actinomycetal bacteria (Figure 1, panel C). Histologic analysis revealed abundant filamentous structures consistent with aerobic actinomycetes. Results from Grocott’s methenamine silver staining and Zhiel-Neelssen staining tests were negative at direct examination for mycobacteria and fungus.

We cultured biopsy specimens on Columbia blood agar in an aerobic atmosphere using chocolate Polyvitex agar under 5% CO₂ and Sabouraud and Lowenstein media. After an 8-day incubation, the cultures yielded positive results for bacterial colonies, which were pink to red in color and convex with a wrinkled morphology in shape (Figure 1, panel D). Aerobic and anaerobic blood vials remained negative. A surgical bone biopsy was also performed, and direct examination showed gram-positive branching filaments. Final identification was confirmed by 16S rRNA gene sequencing, as described by Rodriguez-Nava et al. (4), using BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) to compare the identified sequence with existing sequences in the GenBank database. The sequence matched >95% with A. mexicana (GenBank accession no. MN684846).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing, performed using the agar disk diffusion method (Bio-Rad, https://www.bio-rad.com) according to French Microbiology Society guidelines (5), showed susceptibility to amoxicillin, amoxicillin/clavulanate, carbapenems, third-generation cephalosporins, aminosides, and hypogammaglobulinemia indicated that there was no immunosuppression. An inflammatory syndrome, with a C-reactive protein level of 53.93 mg/L and a total leukocyte count of 4.5 g/L (neutrophils 1.7 g/L), was identified.

A sample of the nodules, taken from a punch biopsy, revealed a liquid serum containing white-yellow grains (Figure 1, panel B). Direct examination showed numerous branching gram-positive bacilli, characteristic of actinomycetal bacteria (Figure 1, panel C). Histologic analysis revealed abundant filamentous structures consistent with aerobic actinomycetes. Results from Grocott’s methenamine silver staining and Zhiel-Neelssen staining tests were negative at direct examination for mycobacteria and fungus.

We cultured biopsy specimens on Columbia blood agar in an aerobic atmosphere using chocolate Polyvitex agar under 5% CO₂ and Sabouraud and Lowenstein media. After an 8-day incubation, the cultures yielded positive results for bacterial colonies, which were pink to red in color and convex with a wrinkled morphology in shape (Figure 1, panel D). Aerobic and anaerobic blood vials remained negative. A surgical bone biopsy was also performed, and direct examination showed gram-positive branching filaments. Final identification was confirmed by 16S rRNA gene sequencing, as described by Rodriguez-Nava et al. (4), using BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) to compare the identified sequence with existing sequences in the GenBank database. The sequence matched >95% with A. mexicana (GenBank accession no. MN684846).

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing, performed using the agar disk diffusion method (Bio-Rad, https://www.bio-rad.com) according to French Microbiology Society guidelines (5), showed susceptibility to amoxicillin, amoxicillin/clavulanate, carbapenems, third-generation cephalosporins, aminosides,
cyclines, erythromycin, linezolid, vancomycin, sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim, fluoroquinolones, and rifampin. The patient was given amoxicillin/clavulanate (2 g 3×/d) and sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim (1,600 mg/280 mg 3×/d) for a minimum of 6 months. At her 3-month follow-up, the woman reported reduced pain and doctors found a decrease in the size of the subcutaneous nodules; magnetic resonance imaging confirmed decreased nodule size and indicated no extension of bone damage. No debridement surgery was performed.

The patient used to live in a rural village near the town of Gonaïves in the Artibonite district of Haiti, a semiarid and hot region compatible with actinomycetoma (6), and she mainly walked barefoot or with open shoes, which may explain her exposure to the bacteria. However, no previous case of actinomycetoma caused by A. mexicana had been reported in that area. A. mexicana was described by Quintana et al. (7) and was isolated with A. meyerii from garden soil samples in Mexico in 2003, but a study by Bonifaz et al. published in 2014 found that this species was not identified as a cause of any of the 482 cases of mycetoma recorded in the country during 1980–2013 (8). A. mexicana was also not identified as the cause of any mycetoma cases reported during 1991–2014 in Brazil (9). We could find no accounts in the literature of actinomycetoma in the Caribbean region. The only clinical case of mycetoma found, described by Gugnani and Denning in 2016 (10), involved eumycetoma, with etiologic agents such as chromoblastomycoses and Microsporum canis. In that article, 2 infections were identified as mycetomas based on case reports in which no laboratory-confirmed microbiological identifications were reported. However, the absence of previous identification might be explained, in part, by lack of access to current molecular biology resources (e.g., matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry or PCR).

This case highlights that actinomycetoma may be present but underrecognized in the Caribbean. Because of the severity of mycetoma and the potential for major socioeconomic effects, healthcare providers in this region should remain informed about the potential risk for these infections.
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Antigenic Variant of Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza A(H7N9) Virus, China, 2019
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In China, influenza A(H7N9) virus appeared in 2013, then mutated into a highly pathogenic virus, causing outbreaks among poultry and cases in humans. Since September 2017, extensive use of the corresponding vaccine, H7-Re1, substantially reduced the prevalence of H7N9 viruses. However, in 2019, a novel antigenic variant emerged, posing considerable economic and public health threats.

Since mid-2016, influenza A(H7N9), a highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) virus, has led to 17 outbreaks in poultry in China (1–3). Extensive use of the corresponding vaccine, H7-Re1, substantially reduced the prevalence of H7N9 viruses (4,5). However, in early 2019, active surveillance detected the unprecedented and rapid emergence of a novel HPAI H7N9 virus antigenic variant in several regions of China.

Since 2013, a total of 1,567 cases of human infection with novel H7N9 viruses, associated with a high mortality rate, have been reported in China (6). Studies on circulating H7N9 viruses have suggested that they originated from poultry (7). However, strains isolated from birds at live bird markets displayed low pathogenicity in poultry (8). In early 2017, several outbreaks caused by HPAI H7N9 viruses in poultry were reported. To control infection of poultry and reduce the risk for human exposure to H7N9 virus, development and national use of an inactivated vaccine, H7-Re1, with hemagglutinin (HA) and neuraminidase (NA) genes derived from A/pigeon/Shanghai/S1069/2013 (H7N9), has since September 2017 substantially decreased prevalence of H7N9 viruses among poultry and humans (5). In December 2018, on the basis of surveillance findings, the original vaccine was replaced with the H7-Re2 vaccine, with HA and NA genes derived from A/chicken/Guangdong/SD009/2017 (H7N9).

In 2019, during active surveillance for avian influenza infection in China, we identified 7 strains of H7N9 viruses from 4,226 chicken swab samples. We isolated the strains by inoculating them into 10-day-old specific-pathogen-free chicken embryos and confirmed their identification via reverse-transcription PCR and sequencing. Viruses were from Hebei and Liaoning Provinces and designated A/chicken/China/FQ2/2019(H7N9) (FQ2), A/chicken/China/QHD1/2019(H7N9) (QHD1), A/chicken/China/DL614/2019(H7N9) (DL614), A/chicken/China/AS1/2019(H7N9) (AS1), A/chicken/China/WYG1/2019(H7N9) (WYG1), A/chicken/China/HD1/2019(H7N9) (HD1), and A/chicken/China/DL1/2019(H7N9) (DL1). After determining the HA and NA sequences of the viruses, we deposited the data in GenBank (accession nos. MN70030–43).

According to the deduced amino acid sequence of HA, all strains contained multiple basic amino acids (PKRKRTAR/GLF) at the cleavage site, suggestive of high pathogenicity. This theory was further confirmed by analysis of the intravenous pathogenicity index. In chickens, pathogenicity of the strains was high (index values 2.18, 2.32, 2.28, 2.18, 2.26, 2.30, and 2.36), but in ducks, pathogenicity was low. Although the viruses had replicated in the internal organs (brain, lungs, spleen, liver, intestine, and kidneys) of inoculated ducks on postinoculation days 3 and 5, no deaths or signs of infection were observed within 14 days after inoculation (Appendix Table, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-1105-App1.pdf).

We determined that the amino acid residues at the receptor-binding site of HA proteins are A138, V186, P221, and Q226 (H3 numbering), which suggests that these viruses could bind receptors in birds.

**Table.** Efficacy of H7-Re2 vaccine against highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H7N9) viruses in chickens, China, 2019*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Challenge virus</th>
<th>Mean HI titer 21 d after vaccination (log2)</th>
<th>Virus shedding</th>
<th>Survival rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trachea</td>
<td>Cloaca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H7-Re2</td>
<td>FQ2 3.2 ± 0.6</td>
<td>8/8 (2.6 ± 0.4) 8/8 (2.4 ± 0.3)</td>
<td>4/4 (2.3 ± 0.3) 4/4 (2.5 ± 0.4)</td>
<td>4/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DL1 3.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>8/8 (2.8 ± 0.3) 8/8 (2.5 ± 0.3)</td>
<td>4/4 (2.2 ± 0.4) 4/4 (2.3 ± 0.3)</td>
<td>4/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rFQ2</td>
<td>FQ2 3.6 ± 0.6</td>
<td>0/10 0/10 0/10</td>
<td>0/10 0/10 0/10</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DL1 3.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0/10 0/10 0/10</td>
<td>0/10 0/10 0/10</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>FQ2 &lt;1</td>
<td>4/4 (4.8 ± 0.5) 4/4 (4.5 ± 0.4)</td>
<td>NA NA</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DL1 &lt;1</td>
<td>4/4 (4.7 ± 0.4) 4/4 (4.9 ± 0.5)</td>
<td>NA NA</td>
<td>0/10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HI, hemagglutination inhibition; NA, not applicable because of death of chickens.
and humans (9). The phylogenetic tree based on the HA gene showed that all strains belong to the highly pathogenic H7N9 clade but are clearly distinguishable from HPAI H7N9 viruses isolated in 2017 and 2018 (Appendix Figure).

Amino acid identities of the HA gene segments of these strains were 95.8%–96.5% identical to those of H7-Re1 (92.7%–93.7% for HA1) and 97.4%–98.0% identical to those of H7-Re2 (96.2%–97.2% for HA1). To evaluate the antigenicity and protective efficacy of the H7-Re2 vaccine, we vaccinated specific-pathogen-free chickens with H7-Re2 and rFQ2 (a reverse genetic recombinant carrying HA and NA of FQ2 with internal gene segments of PR8). FQ2 and DL1 viruses were selected for subsequent experiments. Cross-reactive hemagglutination inhibition titers of viruses were selected for subsequent experiments.

To evaluate the antigenicity and protective efficacy of the H7-Re2 vaccine and the FQ2 and DL1 viruses, we selected for subsequent experiments. Cross-reactive hemagglutination inhibition titers of H7-Re2 antiserum against FQ2 and DL1 viruses were 4.5–4.6 log2 lower than those against the homologous H7-Re2 antiserum against FQ2 and DL1 viruses. Cross-reactive hemagglutination inhibition titers of antiserum against the H7-Re2 antigens from rFQ2 virus did not differ markedly from those against the 2 homologous H7N9 viruses. These results indicate that the FQ2 and DL1 viruses exhibited rapid antigenic drift and distinct antigenicity relative to the H7-Re2 vaccine strain.

During the 10-day observation period after challenge, H7-Re2–vaccinated birds displayed clinical signs of infection, such as depression, huddling, and decreased consumption of feed and water. Moreover, shed virus was detected in tracheal and cloacal swab samples from all experimentally inoculated chickens on postchallenge days 3 and 5. Only 40.0% of the challenged chickens survived, indicating that the H7-Re2 vaccine had a poor protective effect against FQ2 and DL1.

All rFQ2-vaccinated birds survived with no clinical signs of infection. In addition, no virus shedding was detected in tracheal or cloacal swab samples from any rFQ2-vaccinated chickens on postchallenge days 3 and 5 (Table). Of note, antiserum against the rFQ2 virus showed a broader spectrum of reactivity to other viruses, including H7-Re2, indicating that recombinant rFQ2 offers a better alternative for vaccine development.

In China, vaccination plays a decisive role in the prevention and control of H7N9 virus–mediated infection. Earlier mass vaccination of poultry with H7-Re1 successfully induced a sharp decline in H7N9 infection prevalence among poultry and humans. However, as of 2019, H7N9 variants have surfaced, posing a considerable economic and public health threat and highlighting the urgent need for new antigen-matched vaccines and more productive measures to eliminate highly pathogenic H7N9 viruses.
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We report isolation of a New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase-5–producing carbapenem-resistant Escherichia coli sequence type 167 from companion animals in the United States. Reports of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in companion animals are rare. We describe a unique cluster of blaNDM-5–producing E. coli in a veterinary hospital.

Carbapenems are critically useful antimicrobial drugs that are reserved for treatment of infections caused by multidrug-resistant, gram-negative bacteria (1). Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) have emerged as a major cause of human healthcare-associated infections and are a major clinical and public health problem (1). The most common mechanism of resistance is production of carbapenemases, which hydrolyze carbapenems and many other β-lactams. The genes that encode carbapenemases are found on conjugative plasmids and commonly fall in the following classes: KPC (Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase), NDM (New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase), IMP (imipenemase), and VIM (Verona integron-encoded metallo-β-lactamase) (1). Control of these infections in human healthcare settings is a challenge because the organisms colonize the gastrointestinal tract and can go undetected (1). Reports of CRE in animals and animal settings are rare but have been documented in livestock, wildlife, and companion animals (2).

In April 2019, passive surveillance by the Veterinary Laboratory Information and Response Network of the US Food and Drug Administration identified the blaNDM-5 gene in a carbapenem-resistant Escherichia coli isolated from a dog in July 2018. This isolate belonged to sequence type 167 (ST167).

A retrospective review of hospital records showed that, during July 11–August 3, 2018, seven carbapenem-resistant E. coli isolates were isolated from 6 animals (Table). Isolates were obtained from 5 dogs and 1 cat; all were from respiratory tract specimens, except for 2 isolates from the urine of 1 dog. All animals were housed in the intensive care unit for ≥24 hours (Appendix Figure, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/26/2/19-1221-App1.pdf). All animals overlapped with ≥1 other affected animal.

We evaluated antimicrobial use; 5/6 animals received ≥4 antimicrobial drugs before specimen submission. No animals received a carbapenem drug in the 30-day period before sample submission. A β-lactam was given to 5/6 animals, azithromycin to 5/6 animals, metronidazole to 4/6 animals, and enrofloxacin to 4/6 animals (Table).

The first isolate, E. coli 24213-18, was sequenced by using a Pacific Biosciences Sequel Sequencer (https://www.pacific.com) and uploaded to GenBank (BioSample SAMN11230749). This testing confirmed E. coli ST167 and identified a circular IncFII plasmid of 139,547 bp, which contained the blaNDM-5 gene and additional resistance genes: tet(A), aac(6’)-Ib-cr, aadA5, aadA2, blaOXA-1, blaCTX-M-15, catB3, dfrA17, dfrA12, sul1 (2 copies), and mph(A) (3).

Whole-genome sequencing was performed on all 7 isolates (24213-18, 24920-18, 27025-1-18, 27025-2-18, 27241-18, 27609-18, and 27614-18) by using the Illumina MiSeq platform (https://www.illumina.com). We identified antimicrobial resistance genes by using the National Center for Biotechnology Information Pathogen Detection Isolates Browser, which uses AMRFinder (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pathogens/antimicrobial-resistance/AMRFinder). The following genes were found in all 7 isolates: aac-3-Ids, aac (6’)-Ib-cr5, aadA2, aadA22, aadA5, blaCTX-M-15, blaNDM-5, blaOXA-1, blaTEM-1, ble, catB3, dfrA12, dfrA17, mph(A), qacEdeI1, sul1, and tet(A). The floR gene was detected in all isolates except 27025-1-18. PlasmidFinder (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/PlasmidFinder) analysis confirmed the presence of an IncFII plasmid in all isolates.

NDM-5–producing E. coli have been reported in dogs from Finland, South Korea, and Algeria (3–5). The isolates from Finland were also ST167; the isolates from South Korea and Algeria were obtained from canine feces and identified as ST410 and ST1284. ST1284 is a double-locus variant of ST167, which suggests possible distant relatedness of these isolates; ST410 does not share any multilocus sequence type alleles with ST167 (5). In 2011, the NDM-5 carbapenemase was
described in an isolate of *E. coli* (ST648) from a human in the United Kingdom who was previously hospitalized in Goa, India (6). In February 2018, three isolates of NDM-5–positive *E. coli* (ST43) were isolated from 2 patients in a skilled nursing facility in New York, New York (7). Spread of NDM-5–positive *E. coli* has occurred globally and included reports of ST167 in persons in Europe and Asia (8,9).

Healthcare-associated spread of this *E. coli* strain in the veterinary intensive care unit emphasizes the need to rapidly identify and characterize carbapenem-resistant isolates from animals. Methods to control the spread of CRE in veterinary medical settings have not yet been studied; these studies are needed to limit the spread of these pathogens in animal populations. Control measures in human healthcare settings include strict hand hygiene, use of personal protective equipment, and environmental decontamination (10). The risk for transmission of CRE from animals to persons is currently poorly understood.

It has been documented that bla<sub>NDM-5</sub>–producing *E. coli* strains can infect humans and animals (4). Additional investigations are needed in the context of transmission between humans and animals. Characterization of CRE isolates from animals is needed to build a knowledge base and provide guidance for future studies because CRE will continue to emerge in veterinary medical settings. CRE will be a major challenge across all health fields as these organisms become more prevalent in the community. A One Health approach to antimicrobial resistance surveillance, infection prevention, and antimicrobial stewardship could limit the spread and potential global dominance of CRE.

This study was supported in part by grant FOA PAR-17-141 and performed in collaboration with the US Food and Drug Administration Veterinary Laboratory Investigation and Response Network (FDA Vet-LIRN) under grant 1 U18 FD006669-01. PacBio sequencing of isolate 24213-18 was supported by the US Food and Drug Administration as part of routine work.
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Hantavirus Infection with Renal Failure and Proteinuria, Colorado, USA, 2019
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Hantavirus Infection with Renal Failure and Proteinuria. Clinical descriptions of hantavirus-associated renal disease in the Americas are scarce. Herein, we discuss the case of a 61-year-old man whose predominant manifestations were acute kidney injury and proteinuria. Clinical recognition of renal signs in hantavirus infections can reduce risk for death.

In North America, hantaviruses commonly cause hantavirus pulmonary syndrome (HPS). Clinical descriptions of hantavirus-associated renal disease in the Americas are scarce. Herein, we discuss the case of a 61-year-old man whose predominant manifestations were acute kidney injury and proteinuria. Clinical recognition of renal signs in hantavirus infections can reduce risk for death.
When he arrived he was alert; heart rate was 87 bpm, blood pressure 118/73 mm Hg, respiratory rate 26/min, temperature 37.0°C, and SpO₂ 94%. Physical examination revealed mild abdominal tenderness. Laboratory findings included mild thrombocytopenia (140 × 10^9/L; reference 150–100 × 10^9/L); elevated creatinine (2mg/dL; reference 0.7–1.3 mg/dL); a glomerular filtration rate of 35 mL/min (reference 90–120 mL/min); and increased lactate dehydrogenase (306 units/L, reference 124–271 U/L), fractional excretion of sodium (FeNa) (0.5%), spot albumin-to-creatinine ratio (1,576 mg/G, reference <30 mg/G), and urine protein (100 mg/dL, reference 0–20 mg/dL). A 24-hour total protein excretion was 861 mg/D (reference 0–101 mg/D). Cerebrospinal fluid parameters were within reference values. Chest radiograph showed interstitial prominences and bibasilar patchy opacities (Figure). Renal ultrasound results were normal. Results were negative for blood and cerebrospinal fluid cultures and tests for HIV, hepatitis A/B/C, and lymphocytic choriomeningitis virus. He was admitted for overnight observation; intensive care was not required. Renal function was stable after 24 hours, and he was discharged home without renal replacement or other supportive therapy.

Using a qualitative assay (Quest Diagnostics Infectious Diseases, http://www.questdiagnostics.com), we detected IgM and IgG against recombinant hantavirus antigens derived from both Old and New World hantaviruses in patient samples. Testing at the Colorado Public Health Laboratory confirmed Sin Nombre virus (SNV)–specific IgM of 1:6,400 (expected result <1:100). The patient recovered by day 6, with no residual symptoms. Three weeks later, his creatinine was within reference levels (1.07 mg/dL).

This patient’s primary manifestations of hantavirus infection were reversible acute kidney injury and proteinuria. Respiratory symptoms were mild; his respiratory rate was slightly elevated, and chest radiograph disclosed interstitial infiltrates suggestive of mild HPS. Clinical HFRS manifestation can range from asymptomatic infection to acute renal failure with proteinuria, hematuria, and oliguria. Severity has been correlated with a specific hantavirus strain (5). Proteinuria is due to hantavirus nephritis, which is transient and usually resolves in 2 weeks (6). When acute interstitial nephritis occurs, it may manifest as acute tubular necrosis with histologic evidence of glomerular and endothelial damage (7).

HFRS caused by Puumala virus (nephropathia epidemica) or SEOV is generally mild and associated with a benign long-term prognosis. Diagnosis is facilitated by a high index of suspicion, a complete history including occupational and travel history, recognition of characteristic clinical symptoms, serologic or PCR testing, and exclusion of other infections. HFRS should be suspected in patients with acute renal failure, fever, hemorrhage, headache, and abdominal, back, or orbital pain; who live in rural areas; or who have possible rodent exposure within the previous 7 weeks. Because hantavirus infection rarely manifests as kidney disease in the United States, clinicians might be less aware of HFRS. At least 1 other SNV case has been reported with renal involvement requiring transient hemodialysis (8). General management is supportive, and most patients recover fully; few develop chronic renal sequelae (9).

Because of serologic cross-reactivity between SNV and SEOV, we lack a final etiology in this case. Our findings are also limited by the absence of viral sequencing and nephritis pathology analysis.
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Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV) causes severe disease with fatalities. Awareness of potential sources of infection is important to reduce risk to healthcare workers and contacts. We detected CCHFV RNA in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tissues from a spontaneous abortion that were submitted for histology 9 weeks after a suspected CCHFV infection in the mother.

C

Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV) has the potential to emerge in areas where competent vectors are present and become a major risk to public health. Because of an absence of registered vaccines or specific antiviral treatment, CCHFV is included as one of the diseases prioritized by the World Health Organization for research and development in public health emergency contexts. Nosocomial infections are one of its transmission routes; thus, awareness of possible sources of infection is important for reducing risk to healthcare workers and other contacts of infected persons.

We detected a case of CCHFV infection in South Africa during a retrospective study, conducted in 2014, of serum samples from patients with suspected tickbite fever and no diagnosis. We retrospectively screened 196 serum samples that were collected during 2008–2011 from acutely ill patients in Free State Province and submitted to the routine serology laboratory of the Department of Medical Microbiology, National Health Laboratory Service (Bloemfontein, South Africa) with suspected rickettsial infection. The University of the Free State Health Science Ethics Committee provided ethics approval to screen residual diagnostic samples (ethics approval no. ETOVS 118/06).

For this screening, RNA was extracted from human serum samples using the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. We used RNA as template in a nested reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) with CCHFV primers designated F2,
R3 and F3, R2 (1,2). The primers target a 536-bp region (F2, R3) and a 260-bp region (F3, R2) of the small segment using a nested format.

CCHFV RNA was amplified from 1 of the 196 samples. Determination of partial nucleotide sequence of the 260-bp amplicon confirmed that CCHFV RNA was amplified with 100% nt homology to previously identified South Africa strains (Figure). Because the screening was performed retrospectively on residual samples, no clinical details were available; however, a heat-inactivated aliquot of the serum had a CCHFV IgM titer of 1:40 and IgG titer of 1:10 by immunofluo-
rescent antibody assays (EUROIMMUN, https://www.euroimmun.com), suggesting an acute infection. Laboratory records indicated that, 9 weeks after the blood sample was collected and submitted, an endometrial curettage sample taken after a spontaneous abortion was sent for histologic examination. Therefore, we retrieved a formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue section of this sample from the archives and tested it retrospectively for evidence of CCHFV RNA using nested RT-PCR. We amplified a short fragment of the gene encoding the CCHFV nucleocapsid protein and confirmed its identity using nucleotide sequencing (Figure).

Because the biopsy sample contained both endometrial and placental tissue, the sample did not enable cellular localization of viral RNA or antigen and thus did not provide evidence directly linking the viral RNA to fetal demise. Similarly, the absence of retrospective maternal serum samples collected at the time of the spontaneous abortion, or fresh biopsy material, did not enable investigation for viremia. However, detection of viral RNA in tissue biopsy collected 9 weeks after detection of viral RNA in a serum sample suggests persistence of CCHFV RNA.

Persistent viral infection in selected sites has been demonstrated for other RNA viruses. Zika virus RNA is normally detectable in serum samples 3–10 days after symptom onset. Investigations on persistence of Zika virus RNA in formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded fetal tissue from pregnant mothers with confirmed infection identified a time frame of 119–238 (mean 163) days from maternal symptom onset to detection of RNA by RT-PCR in brains and 15–210 (mean 81) days in placentas (3). The detection of viral RNA in a curettage specimen does not enable distinction between maternal and fetal infection but nevertheless extends the period during which viral RNA is detectable.

Little is known about the clinical course of CCHFV infections in pregnant women. Spontaneous abortions were reported in 24 (58.5%) of 41 mothers with CCHFV infection (4). Similarly, viral hemorrhagic fevers, such as from Ebola and Lassa viruses, during pregnancy have been associated with spontaneous abortion (5,6). Antigenemia has been detected 2–11 days after illness onset, viral RNA has been detected 1–18 days after initial symptoms, and infectious virus has been isolated from samples collected 1–12 days after onset (7). Whether pregnancy influences the duration of viremia is not known.

Although our findings are subject to some limitations and it is not possible to make any assumptions with regard to association between virus infection and fetal demise or distinguish between maternal and fetal infection, our findings extend the period for detecting CCHFV RNA after infection and raises the potential for nosocomial infections. In addition, because the serum sample we tested was initially submitted for suspected tickbite fever, healthcare workers should consider CCHFV as part of the differential diagnosis for tickbite fever (rickettsial infections) in local patients and travelers returning from CCHFV-endemic regions.

Funding was obtained from the South African Research Chairs Initiative (Vector Borne and Zoonotic Pathogens Research, grant 98346) of the Department of Science and Technology and National Research Foundation.
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To the Editor: We read with interest the recent article describing involvement of *Crithidia*-related parasites in visceral leishmaniasis (VL) in Brazil (1). In 2010, we published a similar study about the identification of *Leptomonas* sp., another monoxenous trypanosomatid, among clinical isolates from VL patients in India: of 120 cultured isolates, 111 were typed as *L. donovani* and 9 as *Leptomonas* sp. (2). As in the Brazil study, we infected BALB/c mice with 1 *Leptomonas* isolate; at 45 days postinfection, we found *Leptomonas* and *Leishmania* DNA by PCR in the animals’ spleens. Assuming that sterility was preserved during the experiment, we interpreted that original infection in patients resulted from a mixture of the 2 species, *Leptomonas* overgrew *L. donovani* in culture because of substantial growth advantage of the former, and a few remaining *L. donovani* cells in the culture spread in the animals after inoculation because of their higher in vivo fitness.

We suspect a similar phenomenon could have occurred in Brazil, and additional analyses are required to support the authors’ conclusions. Given that mice were inoculated with clinical isolates, postanimal typing should have been done. Furthermore, linking genotyping information of cultivated (cloned) strains with a patient phenotype is risky because of the selection biases of in vitro isolation and maintenance. Using a recently developed method for direct sequencing of *L. donovani* complex parasites in host tissues, we demonstrated that genotypes of parasites in bone marrow samples differed from derived and cultivated isolates. This result most likely was due to polyclonal *L. donovani* infections and differences in fitness of different genotypes in vitro and in vivo. On the basis of this evidence, we recommend direct parasite sequencing in clinical samples in future work. If impossible, results based on cultured isolates should be interpreted with caution. We recommend a follow-up study to verify the possibility of *Crithidia/Leishmania* co-infection and the capacity of *Crithidia* to cause leishmaniasis-like disease as a single infection.
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Films related to themes of disease, infection, and contagion often fall into 1 of 3 broad categories connected to fantasy, science fiction, or horror: apocalyptic destruction or near destruction of the whole of humanity, rising concerns over bioterrorism, and the rise of an undead or form of zombie existence (1). Although films traditionally deal sensitively or realistically with the topic of HIV/AIDS (e.g., *Dallas Buyers Club, Philadelphia, And the Band Played On, Kids*), often through melodrama, fewer films have dealt with other epidemic diseases, as either direct subject material or background context. Of these more realistic or semirealistic films about epidemics, scholarly literature has focused on the inadequacy of capturing the correct science behind disease transmission, spread, and illness (2–4) or anachronistic characters in films concerning historical epidemics (5). Notwithstanding broader discussions of society–disease interaction in the media (6), the social responses to various diseases portrayed in films have been discussed less frequently.

In this article, we bring together a sample of films that we manually selected from a consolidated database of epidemic-related films built from assorted scholarly literature and catalogs (2,4,5,7–9). This database was supplemented by accessing “Films about viral outbreaks” on Wikipedia (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Films_about_viral_outbreaks) and a list of “Apocalyptic, epidemic, pandemic and disaster movies” on the Internet Movie Database (https://www.imdb.com/list/ls058975821). We manually selected the films and eliminated those that corresponded to the 3 broad categories mentioned above (i.e., those that are overly fantastical, not based or loosely based on an actual epidemic disease) and focused on films that pay explicit attention to social responses to disease (rather than being a peripheral backdrop to an unrelated story). Furthermore, the absence of comprehensive indexing of films containing narratives around epidemics makes construction of a systematic sample impractical (4).

Scholarly literature that focuses on contemporary disease psychology holds central a connection between fear, panic, and epidemics (10), often focusing on the unique characteristics of infectious diseases themselves (11). Indeed, in an article about the psychosocial effects of diseases, the disproportionate degree of fear was described as connected to the fact that “it is transmitted rapidly and invisibly; historically, it has accounted for major morbidity and mortality; old forms re-emerge and new forms emerge; and both the media and society are often in awe” (12). However, after analyzing this selection of epidemics-related films, we suggest that although social reactions such as panic (an emotive response caused by fear) are typically found in films concerning epidemics, films also remind us that the fear seen during epidemics is often little associated with the disease itself. In fact, films show that epidemics can push societies in 2 directions: fear leading to a breakdown in sociability, but also fear stimulating the preservation of tightly held social norms. The first social response to epidemics is often informed by concern over broader moral failings within society at large, leading, for example, to violence or scapegoating. In accordance with the “outbreak
narrative,” a concept developed by Priscilla Wald, a
terror of the spread of disease is developed in only 1
direction, from marginalized, deviant, or underde-
veloped groups to native, mainstream, or developed
society (6). In recent films, this kind of orientaliza-
tion (perpetuating stereotypes about Middle Eastern,
Asian, and North African societies) and othering
(viewing or treating others as intrinsically differ-
ent from and alien to oneself) has been taken a step
further as traditional or underdeveloped societies
are heroically saved by outsiders. The second social
response to epidemics is often informed by the per-
ceived application of arbitrary or excessive controls
from above or outside the community in question.
Films have shown that epidemics produce active
responses such as resistance or unrest—sometimes
violent—to paradoxically retain aspects of normal life
under threat (often from elites and authorities), such
as perceived freedoms and liberties and customary
 traditions and practices.

Social Morality during Epidemics in Cinema
Many films dealing with epidemics have tended to
see panic as an inevitable social response; their main
focus has been the process of authorities withhold-
ing information to guard against chaos or the circula-
tion of misinformation by the media. For example, in
Panic on the Streets (1950, directed by Elia Kazan), to
avoid mass panic across the city of New Orleans, the
US Public Health Service and the police agree to not
notify the press of a death resulting from pneumonic
plague. Appearing in the same year, The Killer That
Stalked New York (1950, Earl McEvoy) was based on an
actual threat of smallpox that occurred in New York
in 1947. In the film, public health officials develop a
widespread vaccination program, but after the nec-
 essary serum runs out, the city descends into mass
panic—after the authorities tried to cover up this in-
formation. In the UK film 80,000 Suspects (1963, Val
Guest), the doctor tackling an outbreak of smallpox
uses a quarantine process with the explicitly men-
tioned goal of reducing the chances of public panic.
In Morte a Venezia (1971, Luchino Visconti), a film
based on the 1912 novel by German author Thomas
Mann, Der Tod in Venedig [Death in Venice], the city
authorities do not inform those on vacation of chol-
era problems within the city for fear they will franti-
 cially leave—an approach also taken by town officials
in John Ford’s depiction of a community during a
typhoid epidemic, Dr. Bull (1933). Another common
feature is defiance of the film’s protagonists against
a perceived lack of official information. For exam-
ple, in Quiet Killer (1992, Sheldon Larry), when the
doctor realizes that her patient has succumbed to
plague, she tries to push authorities to warn the citi-
zens of New York, against considerable reluctance
from the mayor, who envisages widespread panic.

Other films, however, have gone further and tried
to examine some of the causes of this fear and panic; in
many films, the roots lie in society’s response to per-
ceived declines in social morality. One of the earliest
examples is Die Pest in Florenz [The Plague of Florence]
(1918, Fritz Lang), which focused on the real outbreak
of the Black Death in Florence in the mid-14th century
and portrayed death from plague as a response to im-
moral behavior and sexual debauchery. The connec-
tion between disease and deteriorating social moral-
ity came from actual observations of contemporaries
at the time, for example, the views of Giovanni Vil-
nani (Nuova Cronica) and Giovanni Boccaccio (Decam-
eron). In several films, the plague became used as an
explicit punishment for immorality and wrongdoing:
The Pied Piper (1972, Jacques Demy), The Hour of the
Pig (1993, Leslie Megahey), and especially Anazapta
(2002, Alberto Sciamma), in which plague was a sup-
posed consequence of the brutal rape of a lord’s wife
by the village.

Similar kinds of existentialist angst and pes-
simism over social values in connection with the
Black Death were later famously exploited by Ingmar
Bergman in The Seventh Seal (1957), Lars von Trier
in Epidemic (1987), Luis Puenzo in The Plague (1992),
and Christopher Smith in The Black Death (2010).
Those 4 films focus especially on intolerance in the
form of scapegoating and persecution of women as
witches, but visualization of dread had already ap-
peared earlier in lesser known films, such as Sinozolla
(1949, Christian-Jaque), Háixam (1922, Benjamin Chris-
tensen), and Skeleton on Horseback (1937, Hugo Haas).
In the film Trollsyn (1994, Ola Solum), set in Norway,
the initial stage of the Black Death outbreak is pre-
sented as mass hysteria among the villagers: crying
and shouting, desperate prayers, suicides, and fran-
tic searching for buboes and panicked movements.
Also, in reference to social decline, one scene shows
simultaneously the aggressive inquisition of a female
scapegoat while amid the chaos a couple are having
sex and others have taken off their clothes and are
rolling down a grassy hill.

Many films focusing on outbreaks of epidemic
disease focus on outbreaks of senseless violence in-
dicative of a society completely out of control. In The
Horseman on the Roof (1995, Jean-Paul Rappeneau), set
during a cholera epidemic in 19th-century Manosque,
southern France, the film’s protagonist, Angelo,
is captured by a paranoid mob who accuse him of
poisoning the town fountain and take him to the authorities. Elsewhere, in the Masque of the Red Death (1964, Roger Corman [adapted in 1989 by Larry Brand/Jeffrey Delman]), which focuses on a fictional disease with loose parallels to plague, rural villagers become increasingly desperate and seek to escape the devastating death, only to have soldiers shoot them down by crossbow. In Jezebel (1939, William Wyler), chaotic and violent scenes of 19th-century New Orleans are overlaid with a dramatic, flashing, capitalized “YELLOW FEVER” text across the screen, as if to heighten the emphasis on uncontrolled panic.

Another aspect of declining social morality is linked to scapegoating; stigmatization and blame have long been connected with epidemics. It is well known that the Black Death brought mass persecution of Jews in various parts of Europe (13), and families were gathered up and burned alive. More recently, Muslims were blamed for poisoning water systems during the 1994 plague outbreak in Surat, India (14), and the HIV/AIDS pandemic from the 1980s led to extreme prejudice against homosexuals and intravenous drug users (15). Globalization and the fear that exotic diseases can be transported into modern urban environments (16), together with increased access to air travel (17), has to some extent heightened these kinds of concerns about scapegoating. Viruses know no borders and thus become easily entangled with contemporary anxieties over migration and refugees (18). Asian populations in Chinatowns of various Western cities were victimized in the wake of severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) (19), and studies performed in Hong Kong revealed that the public actually anticipated this kind of outcome (20).

Not surprisingly, then, popular culture has often tended to present epidemics as a foreign invasion (2,21), especially given the moral associations often drawn between disease and social and cultural phenomena through metaphors such as corruption, decay, and pollution (11). For example, in the Sherlock Holmes story The Giant Rat of Sumatra (not penned by Conan Doyle), Professor Moriarty prepares to import plague to Britain by acquiring infected rats from Southeast Asia (5). Accordingly, in some recent Hollywood films, the direction of disease movement is typically east to west, or at least from developing to developed countries, and plays on common stereotypes, including concepts of orientalization and othering (4,22). The film Contagion (2011, Steven Soderbergh), although widely lauded for its accurate depiction of the mechanisms of disease transmission and epidemiology, can be seen to neatly fit within Wald’s outbreak narrative framework, in which a pathogen is brought into the developed world after contact with migrants and visitors from lesser developed areas (4,6). Evie Kendal noted that the virus that hit the United States in Outbreak (1995, Wolfgang Petersen) was thought to have originated in Africa, whereas in Contagion and The Crazies (1973, George Romero), the disease originates in Asia (4).

In more recent years, however, the othering narrative has also taken new forms, in which an undeveloped or traditional society under threat of an epidemic is heroically saved by outside forces. This narrative can be seen in the popular film set in China, Wolf Warrior II [Zhan lang II] (2017, Jing Wu), which tries to favorably present Chinese attempts to control a fictional disease (lamanla) based on Ebola in Africa. In The Painted Veil (2006, John Curran), a 1920s rural village in China is unable to deal with a cholera outbreak until a British bacteriologist comes along to investigate and in the end selflessly gives his own life.

Resistance and Normalcy during Epidemics Depicted in Cinema

Although epidemics can produce panicked responses that lead to the unraveling of the social fabric, this response is not the only outcome, as the literature (both historical and contemporary sociological) has argued (13,23). In a related way, some films have also shown that extreme reactions during epidemics often have a specific purpose, and, paradoxically, elements of unrest (e.g., resistance to authorities and violence) can be society’s way of attempting to return to a state of normalcy and cohesiveness. Indeed, although according to Michel Foucault, sickness and disease became an arena through which authorities and elites tried to exert social controls (24), epidemics can also become a context in which those lower down the social hierarchy vent frustrations, leading to conflict, often as a way of protecting freedoms or traditions and customary practices. Good early cinematic examples of lower class involvement include The Citadel (1937, King Vidor), with its scenes of working class miners resist medical authority during a tuberculosis outbreak, and 1918 (1985, Ken Harrison), in which residents of a small Texas community resolutely try to continue their normal lives, functions, and networks despite the scale of deaths from influenza.

In recent times, more nuanced views about how societies respond to epidemics can be found in films, especially showing how extreme reactions tend to not be intrinsically associated with the disease itself but rather to be a way of dealing with top-down repression impinging on freedoms and liberties. Although perpetuating some elements of othering (6),
the US-based film Contagion is a good example of a film that deals with social movements from below, in the process criticizing contemporary trends toward avarice and self-interest, which are further exposed during the epidemic. Throughout the movie, Soderbergh presents collective patterns of behavior during the epidemic, which lead to social discord. However, much of this unrest is connected to unsatisfactory responses to the disease by authorities. Most fears stem from an absence of information from reputable sources, leaving a vacuum for dubious intelligence to emerge on alternative platforms, where intrigue and speculation become almost comparable types of contagion. Overall, a tension emerges between the medical authorities’ advised procedure of forcible isolation and quarantine, which conflicts with very contemporary demands for maintenance of ordinary patterns of social networking and communication. This response has strong parallels in history, where communities have been shown to cling strongly to their sociability. Religious rituals such as the Janaza blessing continued unabated in the Middle East during Second Pandemic plagues (25), and the influenza pandemic of 1918–1920 has been cited as the foremost example in modern history of continued social bonds in times of excessive deaths (26).

Another film demonstrating similar issues is Blindness (2008, Fernando Meirelles), which deals with a fictional disease that causes epidemic blindness, leading to collective hysteria. Overall, the film considers the human capacity for prejudice, indifference, selfishness, and an easy resort to aggression and violence. As more citizens contract the disease, the normal functioning of society is upturned: strict government quarantines of the infected are imposed by use of physical force. Just as with Contagion, however, this action provokes unrest not connected to the disease but to perceived arbitrary and ruthless actions of elites and authorities. We see scenes in which resources such as food begin to be distributed inequitably, and people begin to exploit their positions by withholding food in exchange for other resources, including coerced sex. Most of the violent confrontation stems from hostilities between those subject to quarantines and those managing the confined environments.

These aspects of extreme disdain for the withholding of perceived societal freedoms are not found solely in Western films but in films from Asia too, such as the recent popular movie Flu (2013, Kim Sung-su), set in South Korea, which plays on recent experiences with SARS and influenza by focusing on responses to an outbreak of a fictional disease with parallels to the strain of avian influenza A(H5N1) virus. On the one hand, many scenes capture the frenzied breakdown of social norms. As first details of the disease emerge, the film’s protagonist, Ji-goo, is seen in a mall among people frantically making phone calls and rushing to leave the city. After the news is made public on national television, raising the category of the crisis to critical, citizens begin fighting for resources and even exploiting the chaos to loot a supermarket. On the other hand, however, we also see much more focused forms of unrest directed at certain social groups, especially in the process of implementing quarantines. Of note, when infected citizens are forcibly moved to camps, elements of compassion and cohesion develop among camp residents; the most violent reactions come from and are directed toward authorities managing the site. The film also reflects on distrust of elites and authorities with medical knowledge and power; rumors are spread that the infected are killed rather than treated. This same distrust is a theme seen in other films from China, such as Shen yi bian que (1985, Yin Cui) and Fall of Ming [Da Ming jie] (2013, Jing Wang), about real-life physicians Bian Que and Wu Youke, respectively. This theme again has broad parallels to history and the riots that occurred during 19th- and 20th-century cholera outbreaks, opposing medical staff and governments (27). Note that several films set in China and Hong Kong produced in the immediate aftermath of the 2003 SARS outbreak aimed to present an optimal governmental response: Profoundly Affecting [Jingxing dingpo] (2003, Jia Wang/Dong Shen), Feidian rensheng (2003, Wai-Man Cheng), and Golden Chicken 2 [Gam gai 2] (2003, Leung Chun Chiu).

Aside from freedoms and liberties, other films have highlighted how societies sometimes move toward unrest—through either resistance to authorities or physical violence—as a response to perceived infringements on customary and traditional practices that have occurred during an epidemic outbreak. One of the clearest demonstrations of this response appears in the 2006 readaptation of The Painted Veil, which follows a British bacteriologist working to prevent the spread of cholera in a small village in rural China in the 1920s, set within a broader context of distrust through heightened nationalist tensions. In this film we see no signs of fear or panic from the local population, despite many deaths within the community; the inhabitants are more concerned about maintaining ordinary patterns of living and sociability. The villagers become incensed for the first time when the foreign doctor tries to move bodies from the cemetery to avoid infecting the water; the villagers believe that the deceased must be nearer to the river to
move swiftly to the afterlife. The decision to remove all corpses and bury them immediately raises further fury because the villagers expect a certain period to elapse while the bodies are laid out in their homes before burial. Although we must be aware of the potential effects of the orientalist imagination when considering a film such as The Painted Veil, medical history points to its accuracy. In rural China during the 1920s and 1930s, elite medical reformers' disregard for village internal politics and power dynamics limited the effectiveness of their public health prevention policies, as locals continued the same routines (28). The same issues also appear in older productions set in China; in Horse Thief [Dao ma zei] (1986, Tian Zhuangzhuang), set during a disease outbreak in Tibet in the 1920s, people living out in the steppe or grasslands continue their very same daily functions, stopping only to pray for the disease to cease.

Conclusions

Cinema is not reality, and elements of fear leading to panic and chaos are likely to persist in dramatic representations of disease, purely for entertainment value. These representations may be problematic in 2 interrelated ways. First, “the image of a panicked mob makes exciting footage in disaster movies, but it obscures a broad range of possible public reactions” (29). Second, the public perception of how epidemic diseases behave is substantially influenced by the media and popular culture (2,4,6,30); parts of this perception are sometimes adopted as scientific facts (31,32). Similar issues have recently been brought to our attention regarding the widespread and incorrect diagnostic use of images of persons with a disease that is wrongly assumed to be plague (33,34) and media perpetuation of several recent misguided anxieties over how the Ebola virus is spread (35,36).

In this article, however, we have shown that many films focusing on social responses to epidemic disease outbreaks also shine a light on another side of how people react. Although on the one hand, fear and panic can be connected to a perceived breakdown in social morality, on the other hand, disruptive reactions can also work toward societal cohesion to protect freedoms, privileges, and customs under threat. By shifting between the macro scale of humanity or society to the micro scale of individual protagonists and relatable characters—including different social and demographic groups—films can, at times, do an excellent job of showing how disease responses are also shaped by hierarchical relationships (not just the demonstration of power from above but also the reception of this power from below). In the films mentioned in this article, a recurring lesson is the failure of imposed mass isolation techniques, largely resulting from weak compliance (4). These films also show situations similar to ongoing problems with Ebola in Africa today, where communal suspicions and distrust of the decisions of outside authorities are rife (37–39), violent resistance and outcry occur within communities (40), and localized attempts continue to maintain customary practices in terms of the treatment of the dead (41).

This work was supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research grant nos. 275-53-014 and 016.Vidi.185.046; the Chinese National Ministry of Education, Humanities and Social Science grant no. 16YJC760013; the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities grant no. 30919013302; and the Jiangsu Overseas Visiting Scholar Program for University Prominent Young and Middle-aged Teachers and Presidents, China.

About the Authors

Dr. Han is an associate professor at Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing, China, specializing in film–society interactions. Dr. Curtis is an associate professor at Erasmus University Rotterdam, Rotterdam, the Netherlands, specializing in social responses to historical diseases.

Reference

ANOTHER DIMENSION


Address for correspondence: Daniel R. Curtis, Erasmus University Rotterdam, Erasmus School for History, Culture and Communication, Rm M6-31, Burg Oudlaan 50, Rotterdam, 3062PA, the Netherlands; email: curtis@eshcc.eur.nl
The modern word camel is derived from the Latin word *camelus*, the Greek word *kamēlos*, and the Hebrew word *gāmāl*, which means “going without,” in reference to the camel’s ability to survive and function without food or water for days. Camels can “go without” thanks in large measure to their humps, which contain up 80 pounds of fat that can be broken down into water and energy. This onboard reservoir enables them to travel up to 100 miles through the desert without water. Several other adaptations help camels thrive in dry, desert environments, where temperatures can soar to more than 100°F in summer and plummet to –20°F or colder in winter.

For thousands of years, the people of North Africa and Asia have used the one-humped Arabian camels of the species *Camelus dromedarius* (commonly called dromedaries) for transporting people and conveying goods essential for trade and sustenance. Dromedaries, one of the largest species of domesticated livestock, may reach a shoulder height of 7 feet, and the males typically weigh between 900 and 1,300 pounds. More than serving as beasts of burden, they have also sustained human societies living in inhospitable environments as a source of meat, milk, and leather.

This month’s cover art, *A Rajput Warrior with Camel*, Possibly Maru Ragini from a Ragamala, celebrates the longstanding relationship between humans and camels. The painting comes from India, the country at the eastern end of the range for this type of camel. Within India, these camels are concentrated in the northwestern state of Rajasthan, and smaller populations are found in the neighboring states of Gujarat and Haryana.

*A Rajput Warrior with Camel* is an example of ragamala painting, a genre that emerged in medieval India. According to the Metropolitan Museum of Modern Art, “A ragamala, translated from Sanskrit as ‘garland of ragas,’ is a series of paintings depicting a range of musical melodies known as ragas. Its root word, raga, means color, mood, and delight, and the depiction of these moods was a favored subject in later Indian court paintings.” In many cases the mood, or raga, is written as poetry on the margins of the painting, and such works “express the intersections of painting, poetry, and music in Indian court art.” A ragamala typically included 36 or 42 loose-leaf paintings collected in a portfolio by members of various court circles who commissioned the work.

The young warrior portrayed on the painting balances on a fallen tree trunk; his domesticated dromedary compliantly kneels before him. He holds a thin...
Dromedary viruses could replicate in human respiratory tract infections in humans. Importantly, non-lethal coronavirus that causes some upper respiratory infections in humans, ranging from the common cold to severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). According to an article published in the journal, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) was identified in Saudi Arabia in 2012. Dromedary camels are a reservoir host for this zoonotic virus, and researchers believe that the original reservoir hosts may have been bats because similar coronaviruses have been found in bats. More than a third of reported cases have resulted in death. Coronaviruses are a large family of viruses, many of which can cause diseases in humans, ranging from the common cold to severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS). According to an article published in 2018, researchers also identified dromedary viruses related to human coronavirus (HCoV) 229E, a primarily non-lethal coronavirus that causes some upper and lower respiratory tract infections in humans. These dromedary viruses could replicate in human cells, thus suggesting that HCoV-229E may have descended from camelid-associated viruses.

Almost all modern camels are domesticated, and their population is growing at a faster rate than that of other domestic livestock such as cattle, horses, sheep, and lamas (though at a lower rate than that for goats). Zoonotic infections such as MERS remind us that for all the shared benefits of long-term human and animal relationships, these close interactions between animals of different species can also yield unwanted consequences and require new protocols and approaches to mitigate disease transmission.
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Article Title
Acute Toxoplasmosis among Canadian Deer Hunters Associated with Consumption of Undercooked Deer Meat Hunted in the United States

CME Questions

1. Which of the following statements regarding the epidemiology of infection with *Toxoplasma gondii* is most accurate?
   A. Its reservoir is limited to mammals  
   B. Contact with cat feces is the most common cause of infection among adults  
   C. Felines are the only definitive hosts for toxoplasma  
   D. Consumption of infected soil does not promote illness among adults

2. Which of the following statements regarding infection with toxoplasma is most accurate?
   A. Infection after birth can be asymptomatic  
   B. Toxoplasma is often recognized after development of a characteristic rash  
   C. The most common sign is painful and swollen joints  
   D. Infection usually resolves within 18 months

3. Which of the following statements regarding the men infected with toxoplasma in the current study is most accurate?
   A. Only men with preexisting conditions developed symptoms  
   B. Symptoms began 2 to 3 weeks after returning home from the hunting trip  
   C. None of the symptomatic patients were hospitalized  
   D. Symptoms included headache, fever, myalgia, and joint pain

4. Which of the following statements about the laboratory assessment of the current cohort of men is most accurate?
   A. All men with symptoms were positive for toxoplasma-immunoglobulin (Ig)M antibodies  
   B. Most men with symptoms were positive for toxoplasma-IgG antibodies  
   C. Toxoplasma-IgG antibodies were not found among asymptomatic men  
   D. Serological assays for brucellosis were positive in half of the cohort
Earning CME Credit

To obtain credit, you should first read the journal article. After reading the article, you should be able to answer the following, related, multiple-choice questions. To complete the questions (with a minimum 75% passing score) and earn continuing medical education (CME) credit, please go to http://www.medscape.org/journal/eid. Credit cannot be obtained for tests completed on paper, although you may use the worksheet below to keep a record of your answers.

You must be a registered user on http://www.medscape.org. If you are not registered on http://www.medscape.org, please click on the “Register” link on the right hand side of the website.

Only one answer is correct for each question. Once you successfully answer all post-test questions, you will be able to view and/or print your certificate. For questions regarding this activity, contact the accredited provider, CME@medscape.net. For technical assistance, contact CME@medscape.net. American Medical Association’s Physician’s Recognition Award (AMA PRA) credits are accepted in the US as evidence of participation in CME activities. For further information on this award, please go to https://www.ama-assn.org. The AMA has determined that physicians not licensed in the US who participate in this CME activity are eligible for AMA PRA Category 1 Credits™. Through agreements that the AMA has made with agencies in some countries, AMA PRA credit may be acceptable as evidence of participation in CME activities. If you are not licensed in the US, please complete the questions online, print the AMA PRA CME credit certificate, and present it to your national medical association for review.

Article Title
Characteristics of Patients with Acute Flaccid Myelitis, United States, 2015–2018

CME Questions

1. You are advising a public health department in Colorado regarding prevention and management of acute flaccid myelitis (AFM). According to the study by McLaren and colleagues, which of the following statements about the clinical and laboratory characteristics of AFM cases reported in Colorado during peak vs. nonpeak years from 2015 through 2018 is correct?
   A. Peak years were 2015 and 2016, followed by nonpeak years in 2017 and 2018
   B. Cases in peak years were older than in nonpeak years (8.3 years vs 5.2 years; p = 0.02)
   C. Cases in peak vs. nonpeak years had more cerebrospinal fluid pleocytosis (85% vs. 63%; p<0.001)
   D. Cases in nonpeak vs. peak years had a greater proportion of enterovirus (EV)/rhinovirus (RV)-positive specimens (38% vs. 16%; p = 0.02)

2. According to the study by McLaren and colleagues, which of the following statements about clinical and laboratory characteristics of AFM cases reported in Colorado during peak years 2016 and 2018 is correct?
   A. Compared with cases with onset in 2018, those in 2016 were more severe and less likely to have cranial nerve lesions
   B. In 2016, more cases had an illness in the 4 weeks preceding limb weakness onset than in 2018
   C. The proportion of confirmed AFM cases that were positive for EV/RV was significantly higher in 2018 than in 2016
   D. Among cases positive for EV/RV, those in 2018 vs 2016 were less likely to be positive for EV-D68 (46% vs. 70%; p = 0.03) but more likely to be positive for EV-A71 (18% vs. 6%; p = 0.13)

3. According to the study by McLaren and colleagues, which of the following statements about clinical and public health significance of differences in the clinical and laboratory characteristics of AFM cases reported in Colorado during peak vs. nonpeak years from 2015 through 2018 is correct?
   A. Differences in clinical and laboratory characteristics of AFM in peak vs. nonpeak years suggest differences in viral etiologies, informing treatment and prevention strategies
   B. Most evidence supports EV-A71 as the most likely pathogen responsible for the new epidemiology of peak years alternating with nonpeak years
   C. AFM surveillance should be restricted to detecting the specific pathogen most likely to be implicated in peak activity
   D. Healthcare providers should report only AFM cases positive for EV-D68 to public health authorities
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CME Questions

1. You are advising a large hospital in Spain regarding anticipated needs for influenza care and management. According to the retrospective cohort study by Delgado-Sanz and colleagues, which of the following statements about clinical characteristics among severe, hospitalized, confirmed influenza cases (SHCIC) caused by different virus types and subtypes in Spain from 2010 to 2017 is correct?
   A. Approximately one-third of cases were influenza B
   B. Median age was 53 (interquartile, range [IQR] 37–66) years for A(H1N1)pdm09 cases; 73 (IQR 56–83) years for A(H3N2), and 60 (IQR 22–74) years for B cases (p<0.001)
   C. Comorbid medical conditions were most frequent in A(H1N1)pdm09 cases
   D. Median duration from onset of symptoms to hospitalization was longest in A(H3N2) cases

2. According to the retrospective cohort study by Delgado-Sanz and colleagues, which of the following statements about outcomes among SHCIC caused by different virus types and subtypes in Spain from 2010 to 2017 is correct?
   A. Risk for pneumonia did not differ among the subgroups
   B. Risk for intensive care unit (ICU) admission was highest among A(H3N2) cases
   C. Case fatality rate was significantly higher for A(H3N2) than for A(H1N1)pdm09
   D. The associations of ICU admission and death with influenza type and subtype were not independent of other factors

3. According to the retrospective cohort study by Delgado-Sanz and colleagues, which of the following statements about clinical implications of findings regarding SHCIC caused by different virus types and subtypes in Spain from 2010 to 2017 is correct?
   A. Influenza seasons with predominant A(H1N1)pdm09 circulation will result in greater demand for hospital ICUs, especially among hospitalized young adults
   B. Antiviral treatment should be reserved only for confirmed cases with clinical deterioration after 48 hours of supportive care
   C. Data regarding circulating influenza types and subtypes are unlikely to affect healthcare resource allocation
   D. Findings of this study regarding age distribution differ substantially from those of previous reports