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Blastomycosis is caused by inhalation of Blastomyces spp. fungi. Limited data are available on the incidence and geographic range of blastomycosis in the United States. To better characterize its epidemiologic features, we analyzed combined surveillance data from the 5 states in which blastomycosis is reportable: Arkansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin. Surveillance identified 4,441 cases during 1987–2018, a mean of 192 cases per year. The mean annual incidence was <1 case/100,000 population in most areas but >20 cases/100,000 population in some northern counties of Wisconsin. Median patient age was 46 years, 2,892 (65%) patients were male, 1,662 (57%) were hospitalized, and 278 (8%) died. The median time from symptom onset to diagnosis was 33 days. The severity of illness and diagnostic delays suggest that surveillance underestimates the true number of cases. More in-depth surveillance in additional states could elucidate blastomycosis incidence and inform efforts to increase awareness.

Blastomycosis is a fungal infection primarily by inhalation of the environmental fungi Blastomyces dermatitidis and B. gilchristii. The incubation period varies from 2 to 15 weeks, and the clinical spectrum ranges from asymptomatic to life-threatening infections involving acute respiratory distress syndrome or extrapulmonary dissemination (1,2). Most identified cases involve pulmonary infection that manifests similarly to other causes of pneumonia (1,2). The clinical similarities between blastomycosis and other pulmonary infections often result in diagnostic delays and unnecessary empiric antimicrobial drug treatment for suspected bacterial pneumonia (3). Because acute illnesses can self-resolve before diagnosis, and because physician awareness of this generally uncommon disease probably is low in most parts of the United States, many blastomycosis cases likely go undetected.

In the United States, most blastomycosis cases are thought to occur in the midwestern, south-central, and southeastern states, in areas surrounding the Ohio and Mississippi River valleys, the Great Lakes, and the Saint Lawrence River. Cases also occur outside these regions, indicating that the infection’s true range is broader than generally appreciated (4,5). Blastomyces spp. appear to have an affinity for moist soil and decomposing plant matter, but much remains unknown about its precise environmental niche (6,7). The fungus is difficult to isolate from the environment, making investigation of potential sources challenging.

Public health surveillance for blastomycosis in the United States is limited because it is currently reportable in only 5 US states: Arkansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin. Blastomycosis is not nationally notifiable, so the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention does not routinely receive case reports from states where it is reportable. Nevertheless, surveillance data represent some of the most comprehensive information about blastomycosis. Before the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists (CSTE) approved a standardized surveillance case definition in 2019 (8), state health departments used different case definitions (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4078-App1.pdf). However, state surveillance generally collected similar demographic, clinical, and laboratory data elements, enabling comparisons across states.

We summarized available blastomycosis surveillance data to assess the overall burden of disease, geographic patterns and temporal trends, and factors associated with poor clinical outcomes.

Methods

We included data elements that were collected by ≥3 states. We considered event date as the earliest date associated with the case; for example, symptom onset, or first healthcare visit, laboratory test order, or public health report. We considered all laboratory tests recorded as positive for blastomycosis to be positive, even without an explicitly stated qualitative or quantitative result. Negative blastomycosis test results were not routinely available; therefore, we did not include these in the analysis.

We used patients’ state and county of residence to calculate annual state-specific incidence and county-level mean annual incidence per 100,000 persons by using yearly population estimates from the US Census Bureau, Population Division, Vintage 2015 Special Tabulation (https://www.census.gov). We used χ², Fisher exact, and t-tests to identify factors independently associated with hospitalization or death, the Cochran-Armitage test for trends in the proportion of patients who were hospitalized or died, and negative binomial regression to assess incidence trends, and we considered p<0.05 statistically significant. We also compared demographic features and outcomes among cases associated with outbreaks (outbreak cases) and those not associated with outbreaks.
(nonoutbreak cases) for Minnesota and Wisconsin, the 2 states that reported outbreaks during the surveillance periods we examined. Human subjects review by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention determined this project to be consistent with nonresearch public health surveillance.

Results

Descriptive Analysis

Data were available for 4,441 cases: 348 from Arkansas, 296 from Louisiana, 186 from Michigan, 671 from Minnesota, and 2,904 from Wisconsin. Most (2,892 [65%]) patients were male, and the median age was 46 years (range 0–97, interquartile range [IQR] 31–59) (Table 1). Most (64%, n = 2,778) cases were among persons of White race, 17% (740) were among persons of unknown race, 9% (406) were among persons of Black or African American races, and 5% (193) were among Asian, Native Hawaiian, or other Pacific Islander races. Most (2,828 [71%]) patients were not Hispanic or Latino; ethnicity was unknown for 1,015 (26%) patients.

Symptom data were available for 2,005 patients from Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin beginning in 2005. The most common symptoms were cough in 79% (range by state 51%–83%) of patients, fever in 61% (range by state 38%–69%), shortness of breath in 55% (range by state 44%–85%), and weight loss in 54% (range by state 29%–62%).

Among 2,912 patients with hospitalization data, 57% (1,662) were hospitalized. The median length of hospitalization was 7 days (range 1–379 days, IQR 4–15 days; n = 1,231). Among 3,385 patients with mortality data, 278 (8%) died. The proportion of hospitalized patients did not change significantly during 2007–2017 (p = 0.252), but the proportion of patients who died increased from 9.9% to 12.4% (p = 0.017).

Data on positive blastomycosis laboratory tests were consistently available from Arkansas, Michigan, and Minnesota (Table 2). Among 1,241 reported cases from the 3 states, the most common test types were culture among 835 (67%) cases and microscopy among 333 (27%) cases. Less commonly reported tests included positive antigen tests for 206 (17%) cases and antibody tests for 59 (5%) cases.

Among 777 patients with available data, the median time from symptom onset to diagnosis was 33 days (range 1–2,996 days; IQR 16–75 days). We did not observe clear seasonal patterns by event month. Minnesota had 32 (5%) outbreak cases and Wisconsin had 181 (6%) outbreak cases. Outbreak cases were more frequent among younger persons (median age 25 years) than nonoutbreak cases (median age 45 years; p = 0.0092). Outbreak cases also more often occurred among female persons (41% vs. 34% of non-outbreak cases; p = 0.0365) and non-White persons (28% vs. 19% of nonoutbreak cases; p = 0.002). In addition, persons with outbreak cases were less likely to be hospitalized (45% vs. 58% of nonoutbreak cases; p = 0.003) or to have died (2% vs. 9% of nonoutbreak cases; p = 0.001).

Bivariable Analysis

Age; female sex; non-White race; and positive antigen, culture, and microscopy tests had statistically significant associations with hospitalization (Table 3). The median age among hospitalized patients was 46 years compared with 44 years for nonhospitalized patients (p = 0.015). Female patients were more likely to be hospitalized (relative risk [RR] 1.13; 95% CI 1.06–1.21) than male patients. Persons of non-White races were more likely to be hospitalized (RR 1.13; 95% CI 1.05–1.21) than persons of White race. Patients with positive antigen tests (RR 1.25; 95% CI 1.13–1.37), positive culture (RR 1.28; 95% CI 1.20–1.36), and positive microscopy (RR 1.32; 95% CI 1.23–1.43) were more likely to be hospitalized than patients without positive results for those laboratory tests. Factors significantly associated with death were older age (median 61 years vs. 44 years; p<0.001) and positive microscopy test (RR 1.76; 95% CI 1.34–2.38).

Table 1. Patient characteristics of blastomycosis cases reported to public health, Arkansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin, USA, 1997–2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median age, y</strong></td>
<td><strong>46 (0–97; 31–59)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex, n = 4,441</strong></td>
<td>M 2,892 (65.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F 1,533 (34.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown 16 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race, n = 4,316</strong></td>
<td>White 2,778 (64.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black or African American 406 (9.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian, Native Hawaiian, other Pacific Islander 193 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American Indian or Alaska Native 152 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other or multiple races 47 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown 740 (17.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ethnicity, n = 3,984</strong></td>
<td>Not Hispanic or Latino 2,828 (71.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic or Latino 141 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unknown 1,015 (25.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hospitalized, n = 2,912</strong></td>
<td>Y 1,662 (57.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 1,250 (42.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Died, n = 3,385</strong></td>
<td>Y 278 (8.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N 3,107 (91.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no. (%) except as indicated, IQR, interquartile range.
SYNOPSIS

Incidence
During years for which data were available from all 5 states, 2007–2017, surveillance detected 2,111 cases, a mean of 192 cases per year. In Arkansas, incidence declined from 1.3 cases/100,000 population in 1995 to 0.4 cases/100,000 population in 2017 (p<0.001) (Figure 1). Incidence was stable during each state’s surveillance period in Louisiana, Michigan, and Minnesota. Mean annual incidence was 0.2 cases/100,000 population in Louisiana, 0.2 cases/100,000 population in Michigan, and 0.6 cases/100,000 population in Minnesota. In Wisconsin, incidence peaked at >3 cases/100,000 population during 2006, 2010, and 2015. Mean annual county-level incidence in Wisconsin was highest in Menominee (42.1 cases/100,000 population), Lincoln (28.4 cases/100,000 population), and Vilas (26.5/100,000 population) counties (Figure 2).

Discussion
We summarize blastomycosis surveillance data from 5 states and provide a broad update on the basic epidemiology of this enigmatic and underrecognized disease. Many patients experienced severe outcomes and diagnostic delays. Our results show that blastomycosis is underdetected, even in states where it is reportable, and that more standardized and in-depth surveillance, ideally in additional states, would help public health professionals better identify highest-risk groups and emerging areas for targeted prevention messaging.

Blastomycosis often results in severe illness, even in previously healthy persons (9), but this observation might be influenced by underdetection of asymptomatic or milder, self-resolving disease. The high hospitalization rate of 57% noted in this analysis demonstrates that blastomycosis surveillance detects severe cases, which is typical for passive disease surveillance. We found an annual mean of <200 cases/year; a hospitalization rate of 57% suggests that ~110 patients are hospitalized each year from states where blastomycosis is reportable. In contrast, ~1,000 blastomycosis-associated hospitalizations occur nationwide (10,11), showing that the limited surveillance likely underdetects cases nationally.

The average time of >1 month from symptom onset to diagnosis indicates delays in seeking healthcare, delays in diagnosis, or both. This time interval is consistent with a previous report describing a median of 23 days between examination at a healthcare facility and a median of 2.5 courses of antibacterial medications for presumed bacterial infection before pulmonary blastomycosis was correctly diagnosed (3). Earlier diagnosis might reduce unnecessary antibacterial drug use, time, and resources invested in searching for alternative diagnoses and could potentially improve patient outcomes. Therefore, greater public and provider education about blastomycosis is needed, especially in areas where blastomycosis is less commonly recognized.

The high proportion of patients with positive confirmatory laboratory tests, such as culture and

Table 2. Positive laboratory tests among 1,241 blastomycosis cases reported to public health, Arkansas, Michigan, and Minnesota, United States, 1995–2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test type</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antibody</td>
<td>59 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunodiffusion</td>
<td>18 (1.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement fixation</td>
<td>7 (0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enzyme immunoassay</td>
<td>30 (2.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified antibody test</td>
<td>17 (1.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen</td>
<td>206 (16.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmatory test</td>
<td>965 (77.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>835 (67.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscopy*</td>
<td>333 (26.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA probe</td>
<td>40 (3.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>2 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified test type</td>
<td>166 (13.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Includes smear, histopathology, and unspecified microscopy tests.

Table 3. Factors associated with hospitalization or death among blastomycosis cases reported to public health, Arkansas, Louisiana, Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin, United States, 1987–2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Hospitalization</th>
<th>Death</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Older age</td>
<td>RR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>1.13 (1.05–1.21)</td>
<td>&lt;0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-White race</td>
<td>1.13 (1.05–1.21)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen test†</td>
<td>1.25 (1.13–1.37)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture†</td>
<td>1.28 (1.20–1.36)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscopy†</td>
<td>1.32 (1.23–1.43)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NA, not applicable; RR, relative risk.
†Arkansas, Michigan, and Minnesota only.
microscopy, likely reflects detection of more severe cases because serologic tests for blastomycosis offer only presumptive evidence of infection (12), and serologic tests were not included in most states’ case definitions (Appendix). The associations between older age and confirmatory test types with hospitalization point to severe illness, and are unsurprising; however, why women were more likely to be hospitalized is unclear but could be related to delayed diagnosis or underdiagnosis of less severe disease in women. More blastomycosis hospitalizations typically occur among men (10,13), although a recent study found female sex was independently associated with death in blastomycosis patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome (14). The increased risk for hospitalization among persons of non-White races lends further evidence to the existence of blastomycosis-related health disparities, as previously suspected (15–17). Further studies could help determine whether these differences are related to genetic predisposition (18), involvement in outdoor activities resulting in exposures to Blastomyces, or access to medical care (19).

Reliance on often invasive and time-consuming tests such as culture and microscopy for diagnosis likely is a key factor in underdiagnosis of blastomycosis because these tests might not be ordered until tests for other diseases have been negative. Accordingly, most specimen types in our analysis were from bronchoalveolar lavage and lung and other tissue, which likely required biopsy. Given the prolonged time to diagnosis we identified, improved noninvasive diagnostic methods with high sensitivity and specificity for blastomycosis are needed for earlier and more frequent testing, which could prevent hospitalizations and deaths.

Consistent with previous reports, Wisconsin had the highest number of cases and incidence of the 5 states where blastomycosis is reportable, with mean annual incidence in several northern counties >20 cases/100,000 population. Peaks in incidence in Wisconsin corresponded to a known outbreak at a yard waste site in 2006 (20), an outbreak likely associated with multiple sources in 2010 (21), and an outbreak linked to recreational tubing on the Little Wolf River in 2015 (22). For case-patients in these outbreaks, younger age and higher likelihood of being non-White was consistent with our findings (20,21). In addition, the finding that patients with outbreak-associated cases had less severe outcomes could reflect detection of milder cases through enhanced case detection efforts during outbreak investigations. However, outbreaks comprised <6% of cases overall, suggesting that most cases occur sporadically, which also is true for histoplasmosis and coccidioidomycosis. Of note, most of northern Wisconsin is rich in soils classified as spodosols, which are characterized by high concentrations of organic matter in coarse, often sandy, particles (23). Blastomyces spp. are thought to dwell primarily in organic-rich soils. However, spodosols
also occur widely in northern Michigan, where disease incidence was not elevated, and are less common in northern Minnesota, where incidence was higher. Further study, including the role of soil types, could elucidate the natural habitat of these fungi.

For most states in this analysis, the relatively stable incidence and hospitalization rates over time were consistent with a previous analysis of blastomycosis-related hospitalizations during 2000–2011 (10). Another study found a decline in blastomycosis-associated deaths nationwide during 1990–2010 (15); the reasons for the increase in deaths we observed during 2007–2017 are unclear but could reflect improvements in case follow-up, a decline in reporting of less severe cases, or other surveillance changes over time.

The limitations of our study include that pooling surveillance data based on different blastomycosis case definitions is fundamentally problematic; however, few other data sources would enable analyses of thousands of cases, which is helpful for studying this uncommon disease. Furthermore, some states’ case definitions changed over time. Although blastomycosis was reportable in each state during the years included in this analysis, Arkansas did not have a formal case definition, and Michigan did not have one until 2012. Wisconsin classified all cases as confirmed until September 2015, when their case definition changed to include confirmed and probable case classifications; for outbreaks in Wisconsin, a positive serologic blastomycosis test plus an epidemiologic link was sufficient to be considered a case. Moving forward, the standardized blastomycosis case definition from the Council of State and Territorial Epidemiologists will enable more robust comparisons between states and stratification of confirmed and probable cases.

Combining data from different times in each state is an additional potential limitation. Some states’
surveillance systems underwent changes during the analysis period; for example, data elements were added or removed, resulting in inconsistent denominators in the pooled analysis. For certain variables, such as race and ethnicity, missing data or values of “unknown” were common and demonstrate that information can be challenging to obtain because substantial time and resources often are needed to conduct case investigations (24). Data about environmental exposures, immunocompromised status, body site of infection, occupation, illness duration, and treatment were not available consistently from every state. Wisconsin and Minnesota conducted extensive follow-up on cases (19,25), providing deeper insight into state-specific features of blastomycosis. Collecting these types of data in a standardized way in additional states could help identify high-risk populations and activities and help inform prevention efforts.

In summary, blastomycosis remains a rarely reported but severe disease in most areas where it is under public health surveillance. Our findings indicate that blastomycosis is likely underdetected. Blastomycosis also can occur in areas outside those where it is commonly recognized (4) and might be emerging in new areas, such as east-central New York (5). Surveillance for blastomycosis in more areas and collection of more standardized, detailed data could help identify emerging geographic hotspots or clusters, new risk factors, and other epidemiologic patterns. Increased awareness among healthcare providers and the public could lead to faster diagnosis and treatment for blastomycosis patients.
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From the Greek *trepo* (rotate, turn) and *ne`ma* (thread), *Treponema* is a genus of gram-negative, anaerobic or microaerophilic bacteria. They are spiral-shaped and have flagella, which extend from motors at the pole, producing undulating movement through fluids, enabling tissue invasion and dissemination. In 1905, microbiologist Fritz Richard Schaudinn and dermatologist Paul Erich Hoffmann described *Treponema pallidum* subsp. *pallidum* as *Spirochaeta pallida* from a fresh human vulvar lesion.

*Treponema* spp. can invade the epidermis and oral, intestinal, and genital mucosa of humans and animals. They cause human diseases, such as syphilis, yaws, pinta, and bejel, and animal diseases, such as digital dermatitis. *T. phagedenis*, *T. pedis*, and *T. medium* infect mainly cattle. *T. paraluiscuniculi* can cause syphilis in rabbits and bejel, and animal diseases, such as digital dermatitis.

Most *Treponema* spp. are not cultivable, except for *T. pallidum* subsp. *pallidum* and *T. phagedenis*. *T. pallidum* subsp. *pallidum* caustive syphilis is a reemerging disease in industrialized countries. Digital dermatitis, a polytreponemal disease, is considered to be the major infectious claw disease in cattle worldwide.
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Since September 2017, Nigeria has been experiencing the largest monkeypox outbreak in the country’s history. As of November 2019, the country had reported 183 confirmed cases across 18 states (1). This outbreak is also the largest recorded that has been caused by the West Africa clade of the monkeypox virus (MPXV). Beyond its scale, this outbreak is an illustrative case study for emerging zoonoses because of its epidemiologic characteristics.

Preliminary genetic analysis suggests multiple zoonotic introductions from animal reservoirs into the human population (2). In 2018, an MPXV sample isolated from a case-patient in Cameroon was found to be genetically similar to a sample from Nigeria despite no epidemiologic linkage, raising the possibility of an epizootic event spanning the Nigeria-Cameroon border (3). This finding is uncharacteristic of the West Africa clade, which tends to cause temporally and geographically isolated outbreaks (4,5). Moreover, the 2017–2020 Nigeria outbreak showed a higher prevalence among adults; 78% of patients were 21–40 years of age (1), whereas historically, most case-patients were <15 years of age (6). The changing demographics of this outbreak may offer insights into reasons behind the reemergence of monkeypox in West Africa.

We hypothesized 2 main mechanisms to explain this resurgence after 40 years of no reported cases. First, residents have experienced increased exposure to and interactions with forest animals, driven by deforestation, armed conflicts, and population migration. Second, herd immunity from since-discontinued universal smallpox vaccination programs in the 1970s has declined over time (7). The 2 theories, not mutually exclusive, represent the loss of 2 different barriers to spillover (8). We aimed to examine the potential role of declining population immunity and how it interacts with the country’s rapid urbanization to affect the reemergence of monkeypox in Nigeria. Whereas data on urbanization and land expansion are available, the dearth of data from recent serologic surveys makes it challenging to separate out changes in the levels of residual immunity from smallpox vaccination from the endemicity of monkeypox in the population. By using a statistical model to account for declining individual-level immunity, we aimed to quantify the fraction of the population that is susceptible to monkeypox and plot the growth of this population during 1970–2018.
Methods

Data Sources
We retrieved epidemiologic and demographic data from monthly situational reports and weekly epidemiologic reports from the Nigeria Centre for Disease Control and Prevention, as well as from published literature. Annual population data and crude death rates for 1970–2020 came from the World Bank data portal (9,10) and state population data and area size used to determine population density from the Nigeria National Bureau of Statistics (11).

Population Immunity Model
We sought to model declining immunity against monkeypox at 2 levels. At the individual level, we assumed that smallpox vaccination provides 85% effective cross-immunity against MPXV among all vaccinated persons (12) and that the level of serologic immunity to MPXV for each vaccinated person would decline at a constant rate until it reached 0%, at which point the person would be fully susceptible to MPXV. We set the rate of decline for serologic immunity levels at 1.29% (95% CI 0.56–2.71) per year, based on findings from a 2006 study in which the authors plotted the fraction of vaccinated case-patients protected against fatal or severe disease against the number of years since their most recent smallpox vaccination (13). At the population level, we assumed that 77.2% of the population in 1970 had received smallpox vaccination based on data from a series of surveys in 1969 that reported the proportion of populations in northern and western regions of Nigeria with evidence of smallpox vaccination by jet injectors (14). We used population sizes of these 2 regions to calculate a weighted country-level estimate of vaccination coverage, which we used in the model (Table 1). Because the surveys were conducted through 1969, we chose 1970 as the first year for the model.

In each subsequent year, we calculated that the size of the vaccinated population in the model would decline at a rate equivalent to that year’s crude death rate. The difference between total population reported by World Bank and the living vaccinated population represented the immunologically naive population; this figure accounted for the number of newly born children and unvaccinated immigrant persons recruited into the subsequent year’s unvaccinated population figure for the model. We calculated population immunity level by multiplying the proportion of the living vaccinated population in the total population by the individual immunity level. The model used countrywide population data, not state population data, because the latter became available only beginning with the 1991 census (15). We assumed that vaccination coverage was uniform across all states in 1970 and no subsequent vaccination campaigns occurred after 1970. To visualize the decline of immunity over time, we plotted the proportion of immunological-naive populations during 1970–2018 and superimposed individual- and population-level immunity levels onto this plot (Figure 1).

Geographic Distribution
We tabulated total confirmed and suspected or probable cases in each state through September 2020 based on case definitions (Table 2) and mapped these data as a chronopleth (Figure 2, panel A). We calculated population density and annual population growth rate during 2006–2016 for each state (Table 3) and mapped these data with Nigeria’s 2018 road network overlaid as a chronopleth (16) (Figure 2, panel B). Risk ratios were calculated for states with population densities and annual growth rates higher than the national averages (Table 3). Only states with confirmed cases were considered for analysis because the definition of suspected or probable cases has low specificity and can lead to misdiagnosis with similar rash-like illnesses such as varicella zoster virus (17).

Results

Increase in Susceptible Population over Time
During 1970–2018, the overall population of Nigeria increased from 55.98 million to 195.87 million. The unvaccinated, immunologically naive population increased from 12.76 million (22.8% of total population) in 1970 to 177.62 million (90.7% of total population) in 2018. From 43.22 million (77.2% of total population) in 1970, the vaccinated population declined to ≈18.25 million (9.3% of total population) in 2018.

Table 1. Estimation of a weighted country-level estimate of smallpox vaccination coverage, Nigeria, 1969

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Northern Nigeria</th>
<th>Western Nigeria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Population assessed*</td>
<td>6.8 million</td>
<td>4.4 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight assigned to region in calculation of overall coverage, %</td>
<td>60.7</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proportion of population with evidence of smallpox vaccination, %</td>
<td>88.4</td>
<td>60.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>77.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Provided in the source study (14).
In addition, the cross-immunity protection of 85% conferred by smallpox vaccination for monkeypox, using the assumed linear rate of decline over time from vaccination, fell to only 23.1% (95% CI 0.0%–58.1%) among vaccinated persons. Combining the effects of declining immunity from these 2 factors, the overall population immunity, estimated to be 65.6% in 1970, declined to only 2.2% (95% CI 0.0%–5.4%) in 2018 (Figure 1). In 2016, the year preceding the outbreak, the percentage of the population vaccinated was 10.1% and estimated population immunity was 2.6% (95% CI 0.0%–6.0%).

**Geographic Distribution**

States that reported >10 confirmed cases within a year were Rivers (36), Bayelsa (31), Lagos (19), and Delta (17) (Table 3). Exported cases in the United Kingdom, Singapore, and Israel had epidemiologic linkages to clusters in these states with the highest numbers of monkeypox cases (18,19). Most states with confirmed cases were concentrated in the South-West (3), South-South (6), and South-East (4) zones, with sporadic spread to the North-West and North-Central zones, which include highly populated states such as the Federal Capital Territory (FCT), Nasawara, and Plateau (Table 3).

Among 17 states with confirmed cases, 4 (Rivers, Akwa Ibom, Oyo, and FCT) had annual population growth rates higher than the national average of 3.93%; Abuja (FCT), the capital city, increased 15.3% (Table 3). In 2016, the national population density was 421.1 persons/km², but 8 states had population densities >500 persons/km²; Lagos state reported more than 3,500 persons/km² (Table 3). A dense network of roads converges in the South-South zone and Lagos state, an area with an overall population density of >1,000 persons/km² (Figure 2, panel B). States with population densities higher than the national average were 2.1 (95% CI 1.0–4.2) times more likely to report confirmed cases (p = 0.039). Higher risk (risk ratio 1.2, 95% CI 0.5–2.7; p = 0.65) was also observed among states with annual population growth higher than the national average, albeit without statistical significance.

**Discussion**

Our investigation shows that a large decline in estimated population immunity was observed before a 2017 increase in cases of monkeypox. On this basis, we postulate a relationship between decreased immunity to smallpox and resurgence of monkeypox in Nigeria. The potential role of declining population immunity in the resurgence of monkeypox has been raised in earlier studies (4,6,7,20). Epidemiologic evidence suggests previous smallpox vaccination work of roads converges in the South-South zone and Lagos state, an area with an overall population density of >1,000 persons/km² (Figure 2, panel B). States with population densities higher than the national average were 2.1 (95% CI 1.0–4.2) times more likely to report confirmed cases (p = 0.039). Higher risk (risk ratio 1.2, 95% CI 0.5–2.7; p = 0.65) was also observed among states with annual population growth higher than the national average, albeit without statistical significance.

**Table 2. Case definitions for monkeypox in Nigeria**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Suspected case</td>
<td>Acute illness with fever &gt;38.3°C, intense headache, lymphadenopathy, back pain, myalgia, and intense asthenia followed 1–3 days later by a progressively developing rash often beginning on the face (most dense) then spreading elsewhere on the body, including soles of feet and palms of hand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable case</td>
<td>Meets the clinical case definition; not laboratory confirmed, but has an epidemiological link to a confirmed case</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmed case</td>
<td>Clinically compatible case that is laboratory confirmed by positive IgM, PCR, or virus isolation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Figure 1. Relationship between population- and individual-level smallpox vaccination and immunity rates and resurgence of monkeypox cases in Nigeria, 1970–2018.](image-url)
provides at least partial protection against severe MPXV infections \((13,20)\), further supported by immunologic studies of smallpox vaccine. Residual IgG and neutralizing antibodies were shown to persist in vaccinated persons \((21–23)\) and have been associated with milder disease among infected patients \((24)\). Among US monkeypox patients, those vaccinated for smallpox displayed evidence of vaccination immunity \((\text{orthopoxvirus [OPXV]} \text{ IgG and memory B cells})\) after monkeypox exposure \((24)\). Smallpox vaccine induces both humoral and cell-mediated response against OPXV, including MPXV, targeting a wide range of viral particles and preventing viral replication \((23,25)\).

Our results show that the effect of a decline in individual-level immunity among vaccinated persons, as well as population growth in the postvaccination era, has substantially reduced the overall population immunity level within the past 45 years. The median age of the patients was 29 years old \((2)\), notably higher than for previous outbreaks except from the 2017 outbreak in Central African Republic \((\text{median 27.5 years of age})\) and a single case in Sierra Leone in 1970 \((27.5 \text{ years old})\) \((4)\). This finding can be explained by the fact that children too young to get vaccinated in the 1970s have grown up and now form most of the contemporary susceptible population. The smallpox vaccination campaign officially ceased in 1980; by 2017, when the monkeypox outbreak in Nigeria occurred, the unvaccinated cohort would encompass all residents \(\leq 37\) years of age. This contemporary susceptible population is composed mainly of working adults who maintain wider social contact and are more likely to engage in activities that include risk of animal exposures, such as hunting, farming, or trading bush meat \((26)\). In addition, the expanding unvaccinated population means that entire households are now susceptible to monkeypox instead of just children, which enhances the risk of human-to-human transmission. In fact, the index case in 2017 was part of a 5-member family cluster of cases \((27)\).

Most confirmed cases were concentrated in the southern zones, which are characterized as natural ecologic niches of monkeypox because of swamps and rain forests \((2,4)\). Satellite imagery during 2000–2016 shows a substantial increase in built-up areas and farmland in southern Nigeria, created at the expense of these forested areas \((28)\). This expansion of developed areas increases the likelihood of reservoir animals, such as rodents, rabbits, and primates, being displaced from their natural habitat and living among humans, thus increasing interspecies contact \((29)\). Past serologic surveys found higher seroprevalence of OPXV-specific IgG among residents of forested habitat, suggesting frequent exposure to MPXV and other OPXV \((5,30,31)\). This evidence is further supported by the disproportionate prevalence among men in this outbreak \((\text{male:female ratio} = 3:1)\), because predominantly men perform most

**Figure 2.** Monkeypox in Nigeria and factors affecting spread. A) Case distribution by state, September 2017–September 2020. B) Population density by state in 2016 (gray shading) and nationwide road network in 2018 (black lines).
high-risk occupations dealing with wild animals, such as hunting and trading bush meat (4,32). In addition, expansion of urban transport networks may have contributed to widespread transmission in this outbreak, because states with >10 confirmed cases tended to be converging points for major roads (Figure 2, panel B).

Of note, an increasing number of cases were detected in drier savannahs in the northern zones, which are not typical ecologic niches of MPXV (2). This finding is possibly because more animal-human interfaces are occurring outside of MPXV natural habitats because of savannah being cleared for farming and settlement. In fact, savannah-to-agricultural land transition constituted the largest segment of land use change and settlement. In fact, savannah-to-agricultural land transition constituted the largest segment of land conversion in Nigeria during 1975–2013 (33). Moreover, interstate railway lines and highways may have enabled patients from monkeypox clusters to travel north from southern locations and subsequently infect local residents.

Several models have conceptualized zoonotic transmission as a multistage process with several bottlenecks that can influence the probability of spillover (8,34). In these models, host-specific and pathogen-specific factors determine how many pathogens are released into the environment and how long they survive. Individual human behaviors determine the probability and dose of exposure; individual human physiology and immunity determine the probability and severity of infection upon exposure (8). In other words, although urbanization and land conversion increase the frequency of animal exposure and the average exposure dose, human immunity potentially opposes this effect by lowering the probability of infection. At the same time, although smallpox vaccination may provide partial protection, sufficiently large infectious inoculum, through prolonged or frequent animal contact, can overcome such protection and manifest symptomatically (24,35).

Table 3. Annual population growth and number of cases, by state, Nigeria, 2006–2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Zone</th>
<th>Area, km²</th>
<th>Population density, 2006, persons/km²</th>
<th>Population density, 2016, persons/km²</th>
<th>Annual population growth, %</th>
<th>No. cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abia</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>4,900</td>
<td>580.7</td>
<td>760.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1–9 confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adamawa</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>38,700</td>
<td>82.1</td>
<td>109.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akwa Ibom</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>6,900</td>
<td>565.5</td>
<td>794.5</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Only confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anambra</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>4,865</td>
<td>858.8</td>
<td>1,136.2</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Only confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bauchi</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>49,119</td>
<td>94.7</td>
<td>133.1</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayelsa</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>9,059</td>
<td>188.2</td>
<td>251.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>30–39 confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benue</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>30,800</td>
<td>138.1</td>
<td>186.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1–9 confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borno</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>72,609</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross River</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>21,787</td>
<td>132.8</td>
<td>177.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>1–9 confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delta</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>17,108</td>
<td>240.4</td>
<td>331.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>11–19 confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebonyi</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>6,400</td>
<td>340.1</td>
<td>450.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edo</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>19,187</td>
<td>168.5</td>
<td>220.8</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>Only confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ekiti</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>5,435</td>
<td>441.4</td>
<td>601.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Only confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enugu</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>7,534</td>
<td>433.7</td>
<td>585.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Only confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCT</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>7,607</td>
<td>184.9</td>
<td>468.5</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>Only confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gombe</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>17,100</td>
<td>138.3</td>
<td>190.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Only confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imo</td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>5,288</td>
<td>742.7</td>
<td>1,022.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1–9 confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jigawa</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>23,287</td>
<td>187.3</td>
<td>250.3</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>No cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaduna</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>42,481</td>
<td>143.9</td>
<td>194.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kano</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>20,280</td>
<td>463.6</td>
<td>644.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Katsina</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>23,561</td>
<td>246.2</td>
<td>332.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kebbi</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>36,985</td>
<td>88.1</td>
<td>120.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kogi</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>27,747</td>
<td>119.4</td>
<td>161.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kwara</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>35,705</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>89.4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagos</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>3,671</td>
<td>2,482.6</td>
<td>3,418.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>11–19 confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasarawa</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>28,735</td>
<td>65.1</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1–9 confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>68,525</td>
<td>57.4</td>
<td>80.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ogun</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>16,400</td>
<td>228.7</td>
<td>318.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>No cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondo</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>15,820</td>
<td>218.8</td>
<td>295.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osun</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>9,026</td>
<td>378.6</td>
<td>521.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>No cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyo</td>
<td>SW</td>
<td>26,500</td>
<td>210.6</td>
<td>295.9</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>1–9 confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plateau</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>27,147</td>
<td>118.1</td>
<td>154.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1–9 confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rivers</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td>10,575</td>
<td>491.6</td>
<td>690.7</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>30–39 confirmed cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sokoto</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>27,825</td>
<td>133.1</td>
<td>179.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>No cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taraba</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>56,282</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>No cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yobe</td>
<td>NE</td>
<td>46,609</td>
<td>49.8</td>
<td>70.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>No cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zamfara</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>37,931</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>119.0</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>Only suspected/probable cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National average</td>
<td>NW</td>
<td>24,592</td>
<td>304.4</td>
<td>421.1</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NA, not applicable; FCT, Federal Capital City; NC, North-Central; NE, North-East; NW, North-West; SE, South-East; SS, South-South; SW, South-West.
Although no cases were reported in Nigeria during 1978–2017, because of the high prevalence of smallpox vaccination among the 1970s cohort, mild and asymptomatic infections might have occurred but gone unreported. In addition, the West Africa clade is associated with lower virulence (36), which could have enabled the disease to spread through mild or asymptomatic cases not captured by passive surveillance. In fact, before the 2017 outbreak, monkeypox was not in the Integrated Disease Surveillance and Response system list of reportable diseases (37). Serologic surveys of West Africa populations revealed active levels of IgG suggestive of routine exposure to OPXV, albeit without patients recalling symptoms or having scars (6,30). The resurgence of monkeypox in Nigeria in 2017, although seemingly unprecedented, may be the result of alignment of several control gaps in the spillover process, driven by a combination of factors: modern urbanization, urban densification, waning of immunity among vaccinated residents, and accumulation of unvaccinated cohorts.

This study is subject to some limitations because our model was built on several assumptions. We assumed that the base population in 1970 started with a uniform immunity level of 85%; in reality, persons vaccinated before 1970 would have had a lower immunity level at the start of the model and persons vaccinated from 1970–1980 would have started with a higher immunity level at a later year. We assumed that 77.2% vaccination coverage was uniform across all states, but our uniform vaccination coverage and protection levels represent a simplified averaging of heterogeneous rates of coverage across states. Finally, for our model, we assumed that no vaccination campaigns occurred in Nigeria after 1970. In fact, several vaccination campaigns were conducted during 1969–1980 in Nigeria (38), but there was insufficient data on these campaigns’ frequency and coverage to accurately quantify their effects on the population immunity level. The model also did not account for changing kinetics of antibodies and T-cells in persons receiving a booster dose (39).

Next, in the absence of state-specific population growth rates, we were unable to simulate rural-urban migration in the model, which resulted in an urban-rural growth differential and could lead to differential increase in the susceptible population between states (40,41). However, accurately estimating this effect would require expanding the parameters of a future model to account for population migration between states, data that are not publicly available. Last, the estimated rate of serologic immunity decline we used had a wide confidence interval in the source study (13); that would have increased the margin of error for our estimates of individual and population immunity levels. The model would benefit from future studies that more accurately estimate rates of immunity decline.

The wide geographic spread of the 2017 outbreak in Nigeria was likely driven by the lower level of residual OPXV immunity, population growth, an increase in the proportion of susceptible persons, and potential spillover events at the animal-human interfaces caused by human settlements encroaching into forested areas. The initial spillovers may have been followed by rapid human-to-human transmission enabled by high population density and a growing immunologically naive population fully susceptible to MPXV. High prevalence among working adults 21–40 years of age, born after universal vaccination programs were discontinued, suggests that declining population immunity plays a substantial role in the reemergence of monkeypox.

Fewer monkeypox cases were diagnosed in 2020, which other researchers have attributed to the self-limiting nature of MPXV human transmission (e.g., because of nonairborne mode of transmission, low probability of infection per contact) (4,42). However, we cannot rule out the possibility of future mutations that might enable sustained human-to-human transmission or adoption of more cosmopolitan animal reservoir hosts. Such occurrences would present substantial public health risks. These ongoing risks highlight the importance of serosurveillance to understand the extent of OPXV endemicity within the population. The role of vaccination in preventing monkeypox is being considered, and clinical trials for healthcare workers are underway (43,44). In the absence of seroprevalence data in Nigeria, this study provides an alternative method to estimate the residual level of vaccine immunity and adds another perspective to the discourse on monkeypox reemergence in West Africa.
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Rabies vaccines are highly effective, but delivering them can be challenging. The challenge is even greater for stray animals, which might not trust a stranger trying to deliver a life-saving vaccination.

How can public health officials ensure that stray dogs (and the people around them) are protected against rabies?

Some researchers may have an answer: Oral vaccines in dog treats.

In this EID podcast, Dr. Ryan Wallace, a CDC veterinary epidemiologist, explains an innovative strategy for delivering safe and effective oral vaccines.

Visit our website to listen: https://go.usa.gov/xs5f6
Animal Reservoirs and Hosts for Emerging Alphacoronaviruses and Betacoronaviruses

Ria R. Ghai, Ann Carpenter, Amanda Y. Liew, Krystalyn B. Martin, Meghan K. Herring, Susan I. Gerber, Aron J. Hall, Jonathan M. Sleeman, Sophie VonDobschuetz, Casey Barton Behravesh

Coronaviruses are a family of RNA viruses whose large genomes, propensity for mutation, and frequent recombination events have resulted in a diversity of strains and species that are capable of rapid adaptation to new hosts and ecologic environments (1). This viral plasticity has garnered widespread concern because of zoonotic potential and the consequences of new emergence events in both human and animal populations. The emergence of a new strain of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which causes coronavirus disease (COVID-19) has once again demonstrated the role of the family Coronaviridae in causing human disease outbreaks. SARS-CoV-2, a novel betacoronavirus, was identified in human patients from Wuhan, China, during December 2019 and has resulted in a global pandemic, an unprecedented public health emergency, and untold economic and societal repercussions worldwide. Similar to the 2002–2003 severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) epidemic, a live animal market where hundreds of animal species were sold is suspected to be associated with the emergence or early spread of COVID-19 in humans (2).

Although COVID-19 is novel in the breadth of the human outbreak, several pathogenic alphacoronaviruses and betacoronaviruses have shown similar patterns of emergence. As early as the 1930s, coronaviruses pathogenic to livestock, companion animals, and laboratory animals were identified (3). During the 1960s, 2 human coronaviruses, HCoV-229E and HCoV-OC43, were detected in patients who had common colds (4,5). Although it is speculated that HCoV-OC43 might also have emerged through a global pandemic in the late 1800s (6), the 2002–2003 SARS outbreak is the first known global epidemic caused by a coronavirus. The SARS epidemic triggered research within this viral family (3). This research led to detection of 2 new human coronaviruses, HCoV-NL63 and HCoV-HKU1 (7,8). HCoV-229E, HCoV-OC43, HCoV-NL63, and HCoV-HKU1 are now accepted as globally endemic common cold species that are typically associated with mild-to-moderate respiratory illness. In 2012, the most deadly human coronavirus to date was detected in the Arabian Peninsula: Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) (9). A cumulative body of research on these and other coronaviruses has shown that most alphacoronaviruses and betacoronaviruses infecting humans have come from animal hosts and that both historic patterns and coronavirus biology establish an urgent ongoing threat to human and animal health (10).
Although coronaviruses are divided into 4 viral genera, namely alphacoronaviruses, betacoronaviruses, gammacoronaviruses, and deltacoronaviruses, we focus on alphacoronaviruses and betacoronaviruses because all known human coronaviruses are from these genera, and they may therefore pose an increased risk for causing future pandemics.

This review is intended to compile data to inform a One Health approach to combating emerging alphacoronaviruses and betacoronaviruses. One Health is a collaborative, multisectoral, and transdisciplinary approach—working at the local, regional, national, and global levels—with the goal of achieving optimal health outcomes recognizing the interconnection between humans, animals, plants, and their shared environment (11). For example, in Qatar, a One Health approach for MERS-CoV prevention and control has been implemented since early in the outbreak, and is associated with improvements in coordination, joint outbreak response rates, and diagnostic capacity (12). Similarly, in the United States, establishment of the One Health Federal Interagency COVID-19 Coordination Group has been instrumental in ensuring an efficient and coordinated all-of-government response by creating a mechanism to communicate, share timely updates, and align messaging (13). More generally, the One Health approach is endorsed as an effective means of combating zoonotic diseases internationally by the Tripartite international health organizations, consisting of the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, the World Health Organization, and the World Organisation for Animal Health (14).

As with other zoonotic diseases, effective implementation of a One Health approach for emerging coronaviruses requires an understanding of the transmission dynamics and human and animal hosts associated with the pathogen. Therefore, this review summarizes information from other coronavirus emergence events, which might be useful in identifying trends, establishing baselines, and informing decision-making by using a One Health approach around the current COVID-19 pandemic and future emerging coronavirus threats. Specifically, we provide information on the receptor used by each current or previously emerging coronavirus because tropism can help predict host susceptibility (Table 1) for all known hosts of each coronavirus and their host category (i.e., reservoir, intermediate, spillover, susceptible through experimental infection, or nonsusceptible through experimental infection) (Table 2, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-3945-T2.htm) and clinical signs associated with coronavirus infection (Table 3, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-3945-T3.htm)

**Emerging Coronaviruses and Wildlife**

More than 70% of zoonotic emerging infectious diseases in humans are caused by pathogens that have a wildlife origin (11). Several mammalian orders are now known to host coronaviruses, including carnivores, lagomorphs, nonhuman primates, ungulates and rodents (3). However, the attention has focused on Chiroptera (bats), which are hypothesized to be the origin host for all alphacoronaviruses and betacoronaviruses, and therefore all human coronaviruses (Table 2) (1,3).

After rodents, bats are the second most diverse and abundant mammalian order, comprising 20% of all mammalian biodiversity worldwide. In the past 2 decades, research has intensified to determine why bats harbor more zoonotic diseases than other mammalian taxa, including pathogens that result in high-consequence infectious diseases, such as Ebola and Marburg filoviruses; Nipah and Hendra paramyxoviruses; and SARS-CoV, SARS-CoV-2, and MERS-CoV, emerging in humans (15). Behavioral and ecologic traits, such as their gregariousness, sympathy with mixed species assemblages in roosts, and long lifespan relative to size, have been suggested explanations for why bats are reservoirs to many viral pathogens (15). Physiologically, bats have comparatively high metabolic rates and typically do not show clinical signs after viral infection. Recently, it has also been shown that bats have several immune characteristics that are unique among mammals and that cumulatively dampen their antiviral responses (16). Those factors also probably contribute to their effectiveness as viral reservoirs.

Coronavirus richness and diversity detected in bats far exceeds those of other mammalian orders; ≥11 of 18 chiropteran families across 6 continents have tested positive for ≥1 coronavirus species (3). A study surveying the diversity of wildlife coronaviruses across global disease hotspots identified 100 distinct viruses, of which 91 were detected in bats (10). This study reported that patterns of coronavirus diversity mirrored bat diversity and evolutionary history, reinforcing the idea that bats are the predominant reservoir of zoonotic and emerging coronaviruses (10). On the basis of extrapolations made in the same study, Anthony et al. predicted that bats harbor ≥3,204 coronaviruses, most of which remain undetected (10). Although much coronavirus diversity remains to be detected, several SARS-like coronaviruses have been detected already in bats,
including viruses that use the same human cellular receptor molecule as SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, and might therefore pose an increased risk for future emergence from bats to humans (17).

Despite the risks associated with bat-origin coronaviruses, bats play integral roles in ecosystems, including insect suppression through predation, prey for numerous predators, pollinators for economically and ecologically useful plants, and seed dispersal for countless tropical trees and shrubs (18). Therefore, mitigating the risks of future emergence events from bats would benefit from minimizing close interaction between humans and bats and other wildlife, by reducing or stopping wildlife sales at wet markets, wildlife hunting, and encroachment on wildlife habitat.

Although further research on bats might help to understand the origins of coronaviruses, other wildlife species are intermediate hosts for human emerging coronaviruses. Intermediate hosts might not only add complexity to coronavirus transmission dynamics, but might also amplify viral spillover to new hosts by closing gaps in interaction frequency between species, and by increasing transmissibility and/or infectiousness through viral adaptation (19). A canonical example is SARS-CoV, whose intermediate host is accepted to be palm civets (Table 2; Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-3945-App1.pdf). In this instance, close interaction between humans and civets sold through wildlife markets probably facilitated transmission to humans, and passage and ongoing recombination in civet intermediate hosts is believed to have played a critical role in human receptor tropism (19,20) (Table 1).

Some wildlife species are at risk for human coronavirus spillover. Wild great apes, all species of which are endangered, are a taxonomic group vulnerable to spillover from humans, at least in part because they are our closest living relatives. Several documented respiratory outbreaks that resulted in clinical signs ranging from mild illness to death in chimpanzees and gorilla populations originated from a human source (21,22). The human betacoronavirus HCoV-OC43 was reported as the causative agent of mild-to-moderate respiratory illness among wild chimpanzees in Côte D’Ivoire in late 2016 and early 2017 (Table 2; Appendix), suggesting the susceptibility of these chimpanzees to human coronaviruses. As the COVID-19 pandemic continues, there is concern that susceptible wildlife, such as great apes, might be exposed to the virus through human contact, resulting in a new host reservoir, which could pose a risk for perpetuating...
enzootic transmission and zoonotic transmission into recovering human populations.

Wildlife infections with SARS-CoV-2 have already occurred; the first natural infection of SARS-CoV-2 in a wild animal, and the first confirmed animal cases in the United States, were in tigers (n = 5) and lions (n = 3) at a zoo in New York, NY (Table 2; Appendix). Unlike most other asymptomatic animal cases reported previously, the large cats demonstrated respiratory signs that included coughing and wheezing but ultimately made a full recovery (Table 3). SARS-CoV-2 infection in wild felids in captivity highlights the complex interactions humans might have with wildlife, including the potential for human-to-wildlife transmission. Given these interlinkages, framing risk by using a One Health approach might more comprehensively address the socioeconomic and environmental drivers of disease emergence, leading to potentially novel, mutually beneficial solutions. For example, risks could be reduced by improving wildlife importation, trade and market regulations, and sanitary standards, which would not only protect public health and animal health but also result in positive wildlife conservation outcomes.

Emerging Coronaviruses and Livestock

Some coronaviruses naturally infect livestock and can have devastating economic consequences, such as swine acute diarrhea syndrome coronavirus (SADS-CoV), porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV), and betacoronavirus 1. Although recent studies suggest that pigs are not susceptible hosts for SARS-CoV-2 infection (23,24), pigs are a common host for alphacoronaviruses and betacoronaviruses; 6 viral species cause disease (25) (Table 2). Of these species, the enteric alphacoronavirus PEDV is considered reemerging, and the enteric alphacoronavirus SADS-CoV (a strain of the *Rhinolophus* bat coronavirus HKU2) is considered emerging (25). Although PEDV was detected in China in the 1970s, a highly pathogenic variant caused considerable losses to the United States pork industry in 2013–2014 (26). SADS-CoV is highly pathogenic in swine and was detected in Guangdong Province in China during 2016–2017, causing the death of nearly 25,000 piglets (27) (Table 3). SADS-CoV emerged within 100 km of the accepted locale of the SARS index case, and like SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, SADS-CoV is suspected to originate in horseshoe bats (*Rhinolophus* spp.) (Table 2; Appendix). However, unlike SARS-CoV and SARS-CoV-2, SADS-CoV has not been detected outside China (25).

Among betacoronaviruses, a strain of betacoronavirus 1 also infects pigs (25). Porcine hemagglutinating encephalomyelitis virus has been circulating for decades and causes rapid death in piglets (25) (Table 3). Unlike other coronaviruses, betacoronavirus 1 is a unique species complex, in that its distinct strains are host-specific to a range of different species, including wild and domestic ungulates, rabbits, and canines (19,28) (Table 2; Appendix). Perhaps the most well-studied strain of betacoronavirus 1 is bovine coronavirus (BCoV), which has a major economic role because it can be associated with a suite of clinical disease in calves and cattle, including calf diarrhea, winter dysentery, and respiratory infection (28) (Table 3). BCoV also infects several other livestock species, including horses, sheep, and camels (19,28) (Table 2).

Livestock have also been intermediate hosts in the emergence of 3 human coronaviruses. An unknown ungulate species, speculated to be cattle, is accepted as the intermediate host of HCoV-OC43 (6,29), a strain of betacoronavirus 1 (Table 2). On the basis of molecular clock calculations, HCoV-OC43 is predicted to have jumped from livestock to humans around 1890, a timeframe coincident with pandemics of respiratory disease in cattle (which resulted in widespread culling) and humans (although this outbreak is historically attributed to influenza) (6). Dromedary camels are accepted as established hosts of MERS-CoV and are believed to be associated with the emergence of HCoV-229E in humans on the basis of closely related viruses found in cameldids (Table 2; Appendix). Dromedary camels inhabit the Middle East and northern Africa and comprise 90% of extant camels on earth. In much of their range, dromedaries are a major livestock species that are used as racing and working animals, as well as for their milk, meat, and hides.

Livestock can also be spillover hosts of human coronavirus infection. After the 2002–2003 SARS outbreak, a study conducted on farms in Xiqing County, China, tested livestock (pigs, cattle, chickens, and ducks) and companion animals (dogs and cats), leading to detection of 1 pig that was positive for SARS-CoV by antibody test and reverse transcription PCR (30) (Table 2). A larger and more complex series of livestock outbreaks of SARS-CoV-2 has been unfolding since April 2020. Mink farms across Europe and North America have reported outbreaks of SARS-CoV-2 (Tables 2, 3). In most outbreaks, farmed mink were suspected to be initially infected by COVID-19–positive farm employees (31,32). Findings from the Netherlands have
also identified instances of spillback from mink to humans through ongoing investigations (33). National surveillance and control efforts have been implemented in several countries, many of which have subsequently identified other SARS-CoV-2-positive species living on or nearby mink farms, including cats, dogs, and escaped or wild mink (32). Several countries have implemented mandatory reporting of any virus-positive animals and depopulation or quarantine of affected farms (32). In Europe, several million mink have been culled, and a moratorium has been placed on the mink industry in some countries; such early and coordinated One Health actions are needed to prevent bidirectional transmission of zoonotic diseases (32).

**Emerging Coronaviruses and Companion Animals**

Companion animals are members of many households and can improve the physical and mental well-being of their owners (34). In the United States, ≈71.5 million households (57%) own ≥1 companion animal (35). Among households with companion animals, dogs (67%) and cats (44%) are the most commonly owned (35). Despite the many benefits of pet ownership, close interactions with pets pose risks for zoonotic disease transmission (34). Zoonotic diseases that are spread between humans and companion animals include rabies, salmonellosis, campylobacteriosis, and hookworm (34,36,37). Companion animals are estimated to be a source of >70 human diseases (38), and the burden of zoonotic diseases attributed to interactions with companion animals is substantial. For example, rabies kills ≈59,000 persons per year globally, and 99% of human rabies cases originate from rabid dogs (37).

Several common coronaviruses have been detected in companion animals, although none of the coronaviruses that are endemic to companion animal populations are zoonotic. One of the most common respiratory diseases in dogs is canine infectious respiratory disease, or kennel cough, which typically causes cough and nasal discharge in puppies and dogs (39,40). Although kennel cough can be caused by several pathogens, most frequently the bacterium *Bordetella bronchiseptica*, canine respiratory coronavirus (CRCoV) is a contributing pathogen to this syndrome (39,41) (Table 1). CRCoV is believed to originate from BCoV through a common ancestor, host variant, or a host species shift and is therefore considered a strain of betacoronavirus 1 (39,41). Regardless of how CRCoV and BCoV are genetically related, experimental studies have shown that dogs challenged with BCoV can become infected and transmit the virus to other dogs, although they do not exhibit clinical signs of disease (Tables 2, 3; Appendix).

Canine enteric coronavirus (CCoV) is an alphacoronavirus often associated with mild enteritis in puppies and dogs, especially in group housing situations (42). However, during 2005, a novel, highly pathogenic variant strain of CCoV-II, CB/05, was identified (43) (Table 2). This new variant is now pantropic, and results in a mortality rate up to 100% in isolated outbreaks in puppies (43) (Table 3). Because of its increased pathogenicity and changes in tissue tropism, CCoV is considered an emerging pathogen (42).

Although CCoV is generally considered to be specific to dogs, cats experimentally challenged with the virus can be infected with CCoV and mount an anamnestic response to further exposure, although they do not develop clinical signs of illness (Table 3; Appendix). In addition, although there are 2 serotypes of feline coronavirus (FCoV), FCoV type I and FCoV type II, type II is hypothesized to have originated from a recombination event between FCoV type I and CCoV, which suggests co-infections of coronaviruses among companion animals might yield opportunity for emergence of new disease (44).

Companion animals might also act as spillover hosts for human coronaviruses. A study after the 2002–2003 SARS outbreak showed that pet cats living in a Hong Kong, China, apartment complex were naturally infected with SARS during the epidemic (45). After the epidemic, challenge experiments in cats and ferrets found that both species could be experimentally infected and transmit the infection to immunologically naive animals of the same species they were housed with (45) (Table 2). In this experiment, cats did not show clinical signs of illness, although ferrets became lethargic, showed development of conjunctivitis, and died on days 16 and 21 postinfection. However, unlike human cases, there was no evidence that SARS-CoV–associated pneumonia was a cause of death (Table 3). Rather, the main findings in deceased ferrets were marked hepatic lipidosis and emaciation (45).

Companion animals, specifically dogs and cats, are among the most commonly infected groups of animals in the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. Natural cases of suspected human-to-animal transmission have been confirmed in dogs and cats from several countries, and the earliest reports date back to March 2020 in Hong Kong (32). As of January 2021, there are >100 confirmed cases of SARS-CoV-2 infections in dogs and cats in the United States; most of those
cases resulted from exposure to owners who had COVID-19 (46). Experimental challenge studies additionally suggest that similar to SARS-CoV, several companion animals, including cats, ferrets, and golden hamsters, are all susceptible to SARS-CoV-2 infection under laboratory conditions (Table 2; Appendix). Furthermore, studies in cats, hamsters, and ferrets showed that they are capable of direct and indirect transmission to healthy animals of the same species in experimental settings (23,24,47,48), which underscores the need for infection prevention and control practices for humans and companion animals (49).

The global prevalence of companion animal ownership underscores the need for better understanding of pathogens, such as coronaviruses, that can infect pets. Because companion animals harbor endemic coronaviruses and might also be at risk for spillover for some human zoonotic coronaviruses, there is potential for coronavirus recombination events and new viral emergence to occur within these hosts. Therefore, ensuring that persons understand how to safely interact with their companion animals is essential for ensuring that persons and companion animals stay healthy while also protecting animal welfare.

Conclusions
A considerable number of mammalian species, including wildlife, livestock, and companion animals, are susceptible to infection with alphacoronaviruses and betacoronaviruses. The propensity of alphacoronaviruses and betacoronaviruses to jump to new hosts, coupled with their relatively large host ranges, suggests that a One Health approach could be used to develop strategies to mitigate the effects of current and future coronavirus emergence events. During the COVID-19 pandemic, One Health collaboration between public health and veterinary sectors has already bolstered critical healthcare resources and infrastructure, leading to improvements in diagnostic testing capacity and human resource availability (50). In the United States, the One Health Federal Interagency COVID-19 Coordination Group has developed risk communication and messaging for companion animals, livestock, and wildlife and has been instrumental in coordinating joint outbreak response and diagnostic testing in animals. As these examples highlight, integration of the One Health approach into preparedness planning, joint epidemiologic investigations, surveillance, laboratory diagnostics, risk assessment, and field research is not only beneficial but a useful approach to safeguard the health, welfare and safety of humans, animals, and their shared environment.

Acknowledgments
We thank members of the COVID-19 One Health Working Group of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the One Health Federal Interagency Coordination Group, and state and local partners for providing valuable contributions and insights for this manuscript.

The opinions expressed by authors contributing to this journal do not necessarily reflect the opinions of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Emory University, or the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, but do represent the views of the US Geological Survey.

About the Author
Dr. Ghai is an associate service fellow in the One Health Office, National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA. Her primary research interests include One Health, disease ecology, and zoonotic diseases.

References


SYNOPSIS


Address for correspondence: Ria R. Ghai, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Rd NE, Mailstop H16-5, Atlanta, GA 30329-4027, USA; email: ofu9@cdc.gov

The Public Health Image Library (PHIL)

The Public Health Image Library (PHIL), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, contains thousands of public health–related images, including high-resolution (print quality) photographs, illustrations, and videos.

PHIL collections illustrate current events and articles, supply visual content for health promotion brochures, document the effects of disease, and enhance instructional media.

PHIL images, accessible to PC and Macintosh users, are in the public domain and available without charge.

Visit PHIL at: http://phil.cdc.gov/phil
Difficulties in Differentiating Coronaviruses from Subcellular Structures in Human Tissues by Electron Microscopy

Hannah A. Bullock, Cynthia S. Goldsmith, Sherif R. Zaki, Roosecelis B. Martines, Sara E. Miller
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The Coronavirus family of viruses contains several human pathogens, including severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causative agent of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. Since early 2020, the unprecedented collective response to the COVID-19 pandemic from the scientific and medical community has led to numerous SARS-CoV-2-related publications and underscored the urgent need to demonstrate and verify the presence of coronavirus directly in tissues. In attempts to attribute pathology of COVID-19 patients directly to tissue damage caused by SARS-CoV-2, investigators have inaccurately reported subcellular structures, including coated vesicles, multivesicular bodies, and vesiculating rough endoplasmic reticulum, as coronavirus particles. We describe morphologic features of coronavirus that distinguish it from subcellular structures, including particle size range (60–140 nm), intracellular particle location within membrane-bound vacuoles, and a nucleocapsid appearing in cross section as dense dots (6–12 nm) within the particles. In addition, although the characteristic spikes of coronaviruses may be visible on the virus surface, especially on extracellular particles, they are less evident in thin sections than in negative stain preparations.

Efforts to combat the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) have placed a renewed focus on the use of transmission electron microscopy for identifying coronavirus in tissues. In attempts to attribute pathology of COVID-19 patients directly to tissue damage caused by SARS-CoV-2, investigators have inaccurately reported subcellular structures, including coated vesicles, multivesicular bodies, and vesiculating rough endoplasmic reticulum, as coronavirus particles. We describe morphologic features of coronavirus that distinguish it from subcellular structures, including particle size range (60–140 nm), intracellular particle location within membrane-bound vacuoles, and a nucleocapsid appearing in cross section as dense dots (6–12 nm) within the particles. In addition, although the characteristic spikes of coronaviruses may be visible on the virus surface, especially on extracellular particles, they are less evident in thin sections than in negative stain preparations.

Knowledge of coronavirus ultrastructure and morphogenesis is paramount to avoiding errors in identification. The name coronavirus was coined by
June D. Almeida, who visualized the virus by EM in 1967 (35). The name was derived from the surface peplomers or spikes that give the viral particles the appearance of having a solar corona. These spikes are one of the more distinctive features for a coronavirus. For diagnostic EM, coronaviruses can be observed using 2 techniques, negative stain (36) and thin section (36,37). Negatively stained samples are prepared by adsorbing virus suspended in fluid onto a plastic-coated grid, wicking off excess liquid, and staining with a heavy-metal salt solution. The virus is coated with the stain, which penetrates

### Table. Structures misidentified as coronavirus particles by transmission electron microscopy in publications, March–November 2020*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original reference</th>
<th>Tissue</th>
<th>Structures misidentified as coronavirus (Figure no., panel)</th>
<th>Correct identification</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yao et al. (1)</td>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in the cytoplasm (1, A–C)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pesaresi et al. (2)</td>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Coated vesicles (5, B)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vacuole containing vesicles (1, A, B)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clusters of dark particles some associated with membranes (1, C, D)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Clusters of dark particles (2, A, B, E)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vacuole containing vesicles (5, C)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extracellular spiked vesicles (5, F)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vacuole containing vesicles (5, E)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Circular membranes in cytoplasm (5, E)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extracellular spiked vesicles (5, F)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Vacuole containing vesicles (5, C)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Extracellular vesicles (5, G)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Membrane bound vesicles (5, G)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Membrane bound vesicles (5, H)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grimes et al. (3)</td>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Vacuole containing vesicles (2, A)</td>
<td>MVB</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Spiked vesicle in cytoplasm (2, B)</td>
<td>MVB</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ackermann et al. (4)</td>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Dark circular structures (3, D)</td>
<td>Unidentifiable structure</td>
<td>Scholkmann et al. (29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borczuk et al. (5)</td>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Clusters of dark particles associated with membranes (6, E)</td>
<td>Vesiculating RER</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradley et al. (6)</td>
<td>Lung</td>
<td>Vacuole containing vesicles (7, F)</td>
<td>CCV</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestine</td>
<td></td>
<td>Collections of vesicles (5, A, D)</td>
<td>Unidentifiable structures</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Coated vesicles (5, B)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Vacuole containing vesicles (5, C)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Circular membranes in cytoplasm (5, E)</td>
<td>Unidentifiable structures</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Extracellular spiked vesicles (5, F)</td>
<td>Unidentifiable structures</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Vacuole containing vesicles (5, E)</td>
<td>Unidentifiable structures</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Membrane bound vesicles (5, H)</td>
<td>Unidentifiable structures</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Membrane bound vesicles (5, G)</td>
<td>Unidentifiable structures</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Membrane bound vesicles (5, F)</td>
<td>Unidentifiable structures</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Membrane bound vesicles (5, E)</td>
<td>Unidentifiable structures</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Membrane bound vesicles (5, D)</td>
<td>Unidentifiable structures</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Su et al. (7)</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in cytoplasm (2, A–D)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kisling et al. (8)</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Vacuole containing vesicles (1, E, F)</td>
<td>MVB</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varga et al. (9)</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Circular membrane structures with surrounding black dots (1, A, B)</td>
<td>Vesiculating RER</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farkash et al. (10)</td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in cytoplasm (3, A–C)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Vacuole containing vesicles (3, D)</td>
<td>MVB</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in cytoplasm (3)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Vacuole containing vesicles (3, D)</td>
<td>MVB</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in cytoplasm (2, A–D)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in cytoplasm (2, A–D)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in cytoplasm (2, A–D)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in cytoplasm (2, A–D)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in cytoplasm (2, A–D)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in cytoplasm (2, A–D)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in cytoplasm (2, A–D)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in cytoplasm (2, A–D)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kidney</td>
<td>Spiked vesicles in cytoplasm (2, A–D)</td>
<td>CCVs</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CCV, clathrin or coatomer coated vesicles; MVB, multivesicular body; NA, not applicable; RER, rough endoplasmic reticulum.
between spikes protruding on the virus surface, making them visible. Thus, negative stain EM images readily show the prominent spikes that are associated with coronaviruses (Figure 1, panel A). For thin section EM, tissues or infected cell culture specimens are fixed in formalin or glutaraldehyde, stained with osmium, embedded in epoxy resin, baked to harden, and sectioned using an ultramicrotome. The resulting ultrathin sections show a cross-sectional view of the cells and viruses. In ultrathin sections of fixed tissues, coronavirus particles are \( \approx 100 \) nm in diameter including their peplomer spikes and \( \approx 80 \) nm in diameter excluding spikes (Figure 1, panel B). The spikes on coronavirus particles within cytoplasmic vacuoles (Figure 1, panel C) are not easily visible by thin section EM, unless the tissue is processed with tannic acid; instead, they usually appear as a fuzz on the surface of the virus. The difference in the appearance of the virus in negative stain versus thin section contributes to the confusion and misidentification of coronaviruses. Spikes are very rarely as clear in thin-sectioned specimens as they are when seen by negative stain EM.

**Coronavirus Biology**

Proper identification of coronaviruses within tissue samples requires understanding the biology of the virus and its replicative process (37-39); this knowledge ensures that the microscopist is searching for it in the correct cellular location, saving valuable time and helping to avoid misidentifying normal cellular structures as virus. In an infected cell, virus replication takes place within the host cell cytoplasm.

![Figure 1. Overview of the ultrastructural features of coronavirus morphology as seen by negative stain and thin section. A) Extracellular viral particles \( \approx 100 \) nm in diameter with prominent peplomers (spikes). Prepared from a cell culture sample by negative stain using heavy metal salt solutions to coat the outside of the virus. Scale bar indicates 100 nm. B) Extracellular viral particles \( \approx 100 \) nm in diameter with clearly visible spikes. Cross sections through the helical nucleocapsid are visible on the interior of the particle as electron-dense black dots, 6–12 nm in diameter. Prepared by thin section from a formalin-fixed autopsy specimen. Scale bar indicates 100 nm. C) Intracellular viral particles \( \approx 80 \) nm in diameter held within a membrane-bound vacuole. Cross sections through the helical nucleocapsid are visible inside the particles. Prepared by thin section from a formalin-fixed autopsy specimen. Scale bar indicates 200 nm. D) Intracellular viral particles (arrowhead) within a membrane-bound vacuole and nearby clathrin-coated vesicles (CCV) in the cytoplasm (arrows). CCV spikes directly contact the cell cytosol; viral spikes, barely visible as a faint fuzz, contact the vacuole contents. Cross sections through the helical nucleocapsid are visible inside the viral particles but not within the CCVs. Prepared by thin section from a glutaraldehyde-fixed cell culture sample. Scale bar indicates 500 nm.](http://www.cdc.gov/eid/vol.27.no.4/a1.png)
Several studies have documented that the coronavirus replicative process induces formation of modified host cell membranes, including structures like double-membrane vesicles and convoluted membranes (39,40). Coronavirus structural components, including envelope, membrane, and spike proteins, are inserted into the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and eventually move to the endoplasmic reticulum–Golgi intermediate compartment (ERGIC) (37,41). Complete virions are produced when the helical viral nucleocapsids bud through membranes of the ERGIC, taking with them ERGIC membrane, which pinches off to form spherical viral particles inside vesicles; the budding process provides the viral envelope (37,38). This region between the rough ER (RER) and the Golgi complex is known as the budding compartment. Virions then accumulate in the intracisternal space that forms a vacuole; if spikes were visible, they would be observed within the area of this membrane-bound vacuole (Figure 1, panels C, D). The vacuoles with viral particles migrate to the cell surface where the vacuolar and plasma membranes fuse, and the virus is extruded, resulting in extracellular particles in which spikes may be more apparent (38) (Figure 1, panel B). Of note, accumulations of coronavirus would not be found free within the cytoplasm of a cell, and at no point would the spikes of a coronavirus be in direct contact with the cytosol.

**Structures Commonly Misidentified as Coronaviruses**

We performed a literature search for reports published during March 1–November 30, 2020, that used EM to identify coronavirus directly in patient specimens. We used the keywords ultrastructure or electron microscopy in conjunction with COVID-19, SARS-CoV-2, or coronavirus when searching Google Scholar, PubMed, MEDLINE, Web of Science, and Scopus. We identified 27 reports with EM findings. Four of these reports and 1 letter to the editor included correctly identified coronavirus (30–34). The other 23 articles revealed a pattern of subcellular structures misidentified as virus (Table), including clathrin-coated and coatomer-coated vesicles (CCVs; 48%), multivesicular bodies (MVBs; 26%), circular cross-sections through vesiculated RER (19%), spherical invaginations of RER (4%), and other nonviral structures (30%). Figure 2 shows an overview of these subcellular components observed within autopsy tissues.

The most common structures erroneously identified as coronaviruses were CCVs (Table; Figure 2, panel A), which play essential roles in cellular transport. The clathrin protein is associated with vesicle formation and transport at the plasma membrane and trans-Golgi network. Coatomer proteins mediate transport within the Golgi complex and between the Golgi complex and ER (42). Although the sizes of the
CCVs and the virus may be similar, the cellular location of each and the lack of cross sections through the viral nucleocapsid are key differentiating features (Figure 1, panel D). CCVs are found free in the cytoplasm, not within the membrane-bound vacuoles where intracellular coronavirus particles are found (Figure 1, panel D). The clathrin or coatamer projections protruding from the vesicles as a fringe can be easily misinterpreted as viral spikes. These clathrin and coatamer proteins, however, are in direct contact with the cell cytosol (Figure 1, panel D; Figure 2, panel A), whereas spikes on intracellular coronavirus particles, if visible, are within the vacuolar contents and not the cell fluid (Figure 1, panels C, D). An additional morphologic feature visible in coronaviruses in thin section EM is the helical nucleocapsid (41,43), which can be seen in cross sections as electron-dense black dots 6–12 nm in diameter on the inside of the viral particles (Figure 1 panels B-D). CCVs do not contain these black dots (Figure 1, panel D; Figure 2, panel A). The lack of these dots in a subcellular structure is a good indicator that it is not coronavirus.

Several reports have misidentified multivesicular bodies (MVBs) as coronavirus particles (Table). MVBs are a type of late endosome consisting of multiple vesicles within a membrane-bound structure formed from the inward budding of an outer endosomal membrane (Figure 2, panel B) and are part of standard cellular processes for protein degradation (23,24). MVBs may be confused with vacuolar accumulations of virus-like particles; both have the appearance of a membrane-bound collection of spherical particles (Figure 1, panels C, D; Figure 2, panel B). The key differentiating feature is the lack of cross sections through the viral nucleocapsid within the spherical profiles of the MVB. Any purported membrane-bound accumulation of virus-like particles without the black dots signifying cross sections through the viral nucleocapsid is likely an MVB rather than a vacuole containing coronavirus. MVBs have also been misidentified as double-membrane vesicles, a part of the replication complex for coronaviruses. However, double-membrane vesicles are composed of 2 tightly apposed membranes, which is not the case with MVBs (37,40). In a letter to the editor of the journal *Kidney International*, Calomeni et al. discussed the prevalence of MVBs in kidney biopsies from the pre–COVID-19 era (24).

Circular cross sections through vesiculating RER, with its ribosome-studded membranes, have also been highlighted as viral particles in tissue samples (Table). The RER is the site of protein synthesis and plays a role in viral replication; however, it has been misidentified as virus itself in some recent publications. A thin section through an area of RER may give the appearance of a circular membrane with small dark spikes along the outside edge of the membrane (Figure 2, panel C). In this instance, the spikes along the membrane are in fact ribosomes, not viral peplomers. The substantial variability in size of circular cross sections through the RER indicate that these are not viral particles; coronavirus particles with spikes are typically around 80–100 nm in diameter. Vesiculating RER also lacks the interior black dots of cross sections through the viral nucleocapsid, and the ribosomes, mistaken for spikes, are in direct contact with the host cell cytoplasm, rather than the vacuolar content.

An additional structure that has misled investigators appears to be an invagination of RER that results in roughly spherical particles with ribosomes inside (13), referred to in one paper as outside-in RER (44). These virus-like particles are uniform and comparable in size to coronaviruses, ≈100 nm in diameter. The particles meet the morphological criteria for a coronavirus except that the dots inside are larger (≈20 nm) than those in cross sections through coronavirus nucleocapsids (≈6–12 nm). The exact nature of their composition or relationship to any cellular processes has not been determined.

**Identifying Coronaviruses Using Formalin-Fixed Tissue and Formalin-Fixed, Paraffin-Embedded Samples**

Although EM alone is a powerful tool, a multipronged approach for detecting and identifying viral particles can be key to the prompt and accurate diagnosis of the extent of infection and for further investigation into disease pathology. This fact is particularly true in rapidly developing situations, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, when transmission of high-quality scientific information is of paramount importance. Although formalin- or glutaraldehyde-fixed tissues embedded for EM are necessary for accurate classification of viral morphology and morphogenesis, the detection of virus within a tissue may require a more targeted approach to find the infected area, such as by working closely with a pathologist to select promising areas for EM from formalin-fixed tissues displaying evident disease pathology (e.g., areas of pulmonary consolidation) or by using formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) blocks (31).

The benefit of using FFPE samples is the ability to perform a variety of diagnostic methodologies on serial sections from the same tissue, enabling comparison and correlation of test results. For example,
immunohistochemistry (IHC) tests using antibodies for a specific pathogen can be applied to sections of FFPE tissue on glass slides and, based on the IHC results, areas of interest that are likely to contain the antigen or virus can be identified for EM analysis. The selected areas can be prepared for EM by embedding a tissue section 4–6 µm thick affixed to a glass side in situ on the slide (on-slide), or the targeted tissue can be removed from the FFPE block using a biopsy punch, deparaffinized, and embedded in epoxy (31,36). However, processing and analyzing each of these sample types presents challenges; the foremost is the accurate identification of viral particles, because the ultrastructural morphology of the virus and the surrounding tissue may be degraded by the processing for light microscopy. Having an area of interest selected that is already positive for a virus by another test, such as IHC or in situ hybridization (ISH), aids in viral detection and identification by EM. For this approach to be successful, IHC and ISH assays must be rigorously evaluated and validated by using negative controls and by testing antibody cross-reactivities to prevent false positives and the misinterpretation of nonspecific staining.

An example of this approach in autopsy tissues is shown in Figure 3. We selected an area of interest for EM based on a positive IHC result for SARS-CoV-2 in ciliated epithelial cells from the trachea (Figure 3, panel A). Using FFPE samples leads to compromised ultrastructure and a reduction in viral particle size because of the additional processing these samples undergo, including embedding in paraffin, deparaffinizing, staining, and drying, before being dehydrated and embedded in epoxy for EM. This deteriorated ultrastructure is particularly evident in the on-slide sample (Figure 3, panel B). Although morphology is compromised, the presence of large numbers of intracellular and extracellular uniformly sized particles in areas corresponding to positive immunostaining or molecular labeling are clues to the presence of viruses. The extracellular viral particles are smaller than would be observed in a typical glutaraldehyde-fixed thin section sample due to shrinkage from processing, closer to 75 nm in diameter than 100 nm, but can still be differentiated from the surrounding ciliary structures. Closer examination of the FFPE biopsy punch sample (Figure 3, panel C) reveals apparent cross sections through the viral nucleocapsid as well as a surrounding fuzz which is suggestive of peplomers. Using a multifaceted approach such as this for SARS-CoV-2 detection enables accurate determination of the localization of the virus within tissues and correlation of histopathological with ultrastructural features of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Conclusions

EM is powerful in its ability to provide a window into the ultrastructure, and thus function, of tissues and the infectious agents they may contain. It gives scientists a valuable tool to provide clear visual evidence of viral infection and disease pathology, unlike biochemical tests that require choosing a priori the correct reagent and may yield false positive or false negative results. However, knowledge of both viral morphogenesis and normal subcellular architecture is necessary to identify viruses correctly by EM. The

Figure 3. Use of immunohistochemistry and electron microscopy to detect severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in formalin-fixed paraffin embedded (FFPE) autopsy tissues. A) Immunostaining (arrows) of SARS-CoV-2 in the epithelial cells of the trachea. Scale bar indicates 20 µm. B) Ultrastructural features of extracellular SARS-CoV-2 particles (arrow) in association with ciliated cells of the trachea from paraffin section in panel A, prepared using an FFPE on-slide method. Scale bar indicates 200 nm. C) Thin section of a biopsy punch from the original FFPE block in panel A showing viral particles (arrow) ≈75 nm. Scale bar indicates 200 nm.
issue of virus misidentification within tissue samples is not unique to coronaviruses or limited to those subcellular structures we addressed in this report; nuclear pores may be mistaken for herpesvirus, perichromatin granules for smaller DNA viruses such as parvoviruses or polyomaviruses, and ribosomes for picornaviruses. Neurosecretory granules and glyco-calyceal bodies can also be misidentified as viruses (23,45,46). Before declaring the presence of viruses, particularly complex enveloped viruses with multiple appearances in different stages of maturation, we recommend consulting with a trained diagnostic EM professional who has extensive knowledge of viral ultrastructure. If, after such consultation, a definitive identification still cannot be made, a descriptive report may be used, including the size, morphology, and cellular location of the particles of interest. One should only use the term virus or a more specific term, such as coronavirus, when the particles in question can be positively identified. The term virus-like may be used when only some morphologic criteria for virus identification have been met or in cases of deteriorated ultrastructure.

The use of diagnostic EM for infectious diseases pathology research is at its best when it involves collaboration between specialists in pathology, microscopy, and microbiology. The scientific community’s interest in diagnostic EM and the need for trained professionals in this field is highlighted by the number of recent articles seeking to identify SARS-CoV-2 particles in patient specimens. In each case of erroneously identified coronavirus particles, the structures mistaken for virus are common cellular organelles. These misinterpretations are easy to make without extensive training and are made easier by the publication of incorrectly identified viral structures. Articles with misidentified viral particles are used by others to verify the presence of viral particles in their own research, potentially wrongly documenting the presence of the virus in damaged tissues. However, rather than actual virus infection of a failing organ, the damage could be due to lack of support of the infected organ due to the body’s response to toxins, such as cytokines and circulating debris, or to clotting (47,48). These continued misinterpretations could have meaningful impacts on future publications about coronavirus detection and research.

Coronaviruses have been the cause of 3 life-threatening human disease outbreaks over the past 18 years: SARS-CoV in 2002, Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus in 2012, and finally, the 2020 SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Given the abundance of coronaviruses in the natural environment, the scientific literature should accurately reflect the nature of SARS-CoV-2 infection, including the ultrastructure and cellular location within the cell of the virus. This need is important not only for our current understanding of SARS-CoV-2 infection but also as the public health community prepares for future outbreaks. Diagnostic EM has played a key role in previous outbreaks of Nipah virus, SARS-CoV, monkeypox virus, and Ebola viruses, as well as many others (49), and will continue to be a tool for detecting and characterizing new and emerging pathogens.
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Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*, better known as MRSA, is often found on human skin. But MRSA can also cause dangerous infections that are resistant to common antimicrobial drugs. Epidemiologists carefully monitor any new mutations or transmission modes that might lead to the spread of this infection.

Approximately 15 years ago, MRSA emerged in livestock. From 2008 to 2018, the proportion of infected pigs in Denmark rocketed from 3.5% to 90%

What happened, and what does this mean for human health?

In this EID podcast, Dr. Jesper Larsen, a senior researcher at the Statens Serum Institut, describes the spread of MRSA from livestock to humans.

Visit our website to listen: https://go.usa.gov/x74Jh
In the early months of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic, meat processing facilities became among the largest epicenters of COVID-19 outbreaks in the United States (1). Declared a critical infrastructure industry in April 2020 (2), meat processing facilities are particularly vulnerable to COVID-19 because of the high density of workers required for operations, prolonged close contact of personnel on the production line, indoor work environments with compact cafeteria and locker room areas, and a workforce with diverse cultural and linguistic backgrounds that make educational efforts more challenging (3). A Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) report found that, as of May 31, 2020, >16,000 workers in meat and poultry processing facilities in the United States had been diagnosed with COVID-19 and 86 had died (4); as of October 2020, those case counts and deaths had more than tripled (5).

Meat processing facilities in Nebraska employ ≈26,000 workers (6). The first COVID-19 illness among meat processing facility workers in Nebraska was identified March 9, 2020. As of July 2020, cases had been reported among workers in 23 Nebraska meat processing facilities. The University of Nebraska Medical Center (UNMC) and Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services partnered to mitigate COVID-19 risks in Nebraska among workers in this industry. Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services expanded case investigations and contact tracing teams and coordinated 2 mass testing events with participating meat processing facilities. UNMC created evidence-based guidelines for facilities (7) and assembled a team of infectious disease and infection prevention and control (IPC) experts to provide onsite and virtual technical assistance to facilities to evaluate gaps in IPC practices and provide facility-specific IPC recommendations.

Local and state health departments conducted case investigations to collect information on demographics, employer, occupation, industry, illness descriptions, medical history, and outcomes among Nebraska meat processing workers. Moreover, although industry-specific guidelines for mitigating COVID-19 transmission in meat processing facilities have been issued by CDC and other public health...
organizations (7,8), the effectiveness of these measures among workers has not been reported. We present data on the effectiveness of initiating a universal mask policy and installing physical barriers (plexiglass or plastic partitions) between workstations and at cafeteria tables on reducing COVID-19 incidence at meat processing facilities in Nebraska.

**Methods**

**Characteristics of Laboratory-Confirmed Cases**
We used SAS version 9.4 (https://www.sas.com) to develop a keyword algorithm to identify meat processing facility workers by using occupation, industry, and employer data fields from case investigations conducted among Nebraska residents with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19. Specimens were collected from healthcare providers, work-sponsored testing events, state-sponsored testing events, and stationary state-sponsored testing sites during April 1–July 31, 2020. We used R 4.0.2 with dplyr version 1.0.2 (https://cran.r-project.org) to examine the duration of timelines between illness onset dates, specimen collection dates, and case investigation dates. Data from records with erroneous timelines were excluded from timeline analyses (Table 1), including records in which the same dates were recorded for illness onset, specimen collection, and investigation (not possible within the case investigation workflow) or if illness onset occurred after the case investigation.

We classified workers with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 into 1 of 3 timeline categories based on the relationship between the illness onset date, specimen collection date, and case investigation date: primary timeline, probable timeline, or presymptomatic timeline. We classified workers into the primary timeline if they had an illness onset date followed by specimen collection date, followed by an investigation date. We classified workers into the probable timeline into 3 subcategories: 1) illness onset occurring the same day as the investigation date, followed by specimen collection; 2) illness onset date followed by an investigation date, followed by specimen collection date; or 3) illness onset date followed by specimen collection date occurring on the same day the investigation began. We classified workers into the presymptomatic timeline if they had a specimen collection date followed by illness onset date, followed by an investigation date. We used the R package table1 version 1.2 to create frequency tables for demographics, illness descriptions, medical history, and outcomes.

**Effects of Mask and Physical Barrier Interventions**
The UNMC team provided technical assistance as voluntarily requested by meat processing facilities in the state to identify facility-specific recommendations on additional risk mitigation measures that could be implemented. We used a 4-page checklist summarizing primary IPC recommendations for meat processing facilities to guide technical assistance site visits or calls and subsequent debriefing with plant leadership (7). The checklist included recommendations for engineering controls (e.g., enhancing ventilation, installing physical barriers between workers on the production line and in cafeterias), administrative controls (e.g., cohorting of consistent work teams, education, environmental cleaning and disinfection policies), and personal protective equipment. Site visit personnel completed the checklist and gathered information on the workforce (e.g., number of employees, employee demographics) and dates of initiating a universal mask policy, installing physical barriers, or both. For each facility, the dates of initiating a universal mask policy and completing physical barrier installation were collated and used in the analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Timeline exclusions, subsets, and median durations for case investigations of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection among meat processing workers, Nebraska, April 1–July 31, 2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Category</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beginning total</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erroneous timelines excluded: onset date = collection date</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable cases analyzed separately: onset → investigation → collection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable cases analyzed separately: onset → collection → investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presymptomatic cases analyzed separately: collection → onset → investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary timeline totals: onset → collection → investigation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median (range) for primary timeline, d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We used Stata version 16 (https://www.stata.com) to conduct a retrospective analysis of data on the incidence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection among employees in the meat processing facilities that received technical assistance during April–July 2020. To estimate when the effect of an intervention, measured by case counts, might be observed, we estimated the total duration from exposure through testing and diagnosis (positive test) at ≥10 days based on prior analyses (9). The main outcome variable we assessed was the incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection.

For each plant, before-intervention incidence per 1,000 persons per day was calculated by dividing the number of cases reported before or <10 days after intervention by the product of the total number of employees and the number of days from baseline to 10 days after intervention. Postintervention incidence per 1,000 persons per day was calculated by dividing the number of new cases reported 10 days after intervention by the product of the total number of cases 10 days after intervention and the number of days from 10 days after intervention to the last day of the study period. Z-test of proportion was used to compare incidence per 1,000 persons per day before or <10 days after intervention with incidence 10 days after the intervention. Differences in the incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection before and after the intervention were considered significant where p was <0.05.

Results

Characteristics of Laboratory-Confirmed and Probable Cases

During April 1–July 31, 2020, among Nebraska residents working at meat processing facilities, 5,002 of ≥26,000 received a diagnosis of COVID-19 (attack rate 19%). Of those, 3,817 (76%) had a recorded illness onset date, 4,834 (97%) had a recorded specimen collection date, and 5,002 (100%) had a recorded investigation date; 5,002 (100%) had a recorded investigation date; 3,695 (74%) had both illness onset and specimen collection dates recorded, 4,834 (97%) had both specimen collection and investigation dates recorded, and 3,817 (76%) had both illness onset and investigation dates recorded. After excluding erroneous timelines, probable cases, and presymptomatic cases (Table 1), we used data from confirmed cases with illness onset followed by specimen collection followed by investigation to calculate durations for the primary timeline; we calculated durations independently for the probable and presymptomatic timelines.

For the primary timeline, the median duration from illness onset to specimen collection was 3 days (n = 3,302), from specimen collection to investigation was 4 days (n = 4,365), and from illness onset to investigation was 8 days (n = 3,421). For probable cases, the median duration from illness onset to specimen collection was 4 days (n = 124), from specimen collection to investigation was 0 days (n = 200), and from illness onset to investigation was 2.5 days (n = 124). For presymptomatic cases, median duration from specimen collection to illness onset was 3 days (n = 214), from specimen collection to investigation was 6 days (n = 214), and from illness onset to investigation was 4 days (n = 214).

Among the 5,002 total COVID-19 case-patients, the median age was 43 years (mean 42.7 years, range 13–81 years). Men accounted for 2,919 (58%) cases; 3,343 (67%) identified as Hispanic or Latino, 2,678 (54%) as White, 570 (11%) as Asian, 405 (8%) as Black or African American, 27 (<1%) as American Indian or Alaska Native, 16 (<1%) as Native Hawaiian or other Pacific Islander, and 1,306 (26%) as other race or unknown. Twenty-seven case-patients (<1%) were pregnant.

Symptoms were reported by 4,237 (85%) workers, 501 (10%) were asymptomatic, and 264 (5%) had unknown symptom status. Of those reporting symptoms, the average illness duration was 12.8 days (median 11 days). Headache (2,526; 60%), cough (2,442; 58%), and muscle pain (2,344; 55%) were most frequently reported symptoms. Smoking (124/4,237; 2%) was reported more frequently among workers not identifying as Hispanic or Latino (64; 5%) than among Hispanic or Latino workers (52; 2%). A preexisting medical condition was reported by 1,117 (22%) workers, most frequently diabetes (359; 32%) or cardiovascular disease (240; 21%). Diabetes was reported more frequently among workers identifying as Hispanic or Latino (277; 36%) than among non-Hispanic/Latino workers (72; 23%).

Among symptomatic case-patients, 225 (4%; median age 55 years, range 19–49 years) were hospitalized for an average duration of 8.4 days and 83 (2%; median age 57 years, range 21–79 years) required intensive care unit (ICU) admission; 21 (<1%; median age 63 years, range 39–79 years) workers died. Among the 225 hospitalized patients, 161 (72%) were men, as were 65 (78%) requiring ICU admission, and 17 (81%) who died. Hispanic or Latino ethnicity was reported for 164 (73%) hospitalized patients, 65 (78%) requiring ICU admission, and 18 (86%) who died.

Effects of Mask and Physical Barrier Interventions

We analyzed case counts and intervention initiation dates for 13 facilities for which data were available; technical assistance was provided onsite at 12 facilities and by telephone call to 1 facility. Facilities consisted
of primary processing plants for beef (n = 7), pork (n = 3), and poultry (n = 1), as well as 2 secondary processing plants. The number of workers employed at the 13 facilities ranged from <400 to several thousand (mean 1,675). Placement of physical barriers varied by facility, but they were generally located on the production line and at cafeteria tables; barriers consisted of plexiglass partitions, plastic wrap secured around PVC pipes, or plastic sheeting. Although the site visit teams recommended use of surgical masks, national shortages of personal protective equipment early in the pandemic led to the adoption of different masking requirements; some facilities allowed cloth masks, and other facilities acquired and provided surgical masks to workers. Of the 13 facilities, 5 (38%) initiated a universal mask policy ≥10 days before physical barriers were installed; 6 (46%) initiated a universal mask policy and installed physical barriers <10 days apart; and 2 (15%) had universal mask policies but no physical barriers in place at the time of technical assistance and whether physical barriers were installed later is unknown.

We analyzed the incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection before and after the date the last intervention was initiated (e.g., date physical barriers were installed if universal mask policy began first). Ten days after the last intervention was initiated, 8 facilities (62%) showed a statistically significant (p<0.05) decrease in incidence and 3 (23%) showed a nonsignificant decrease; 1 (7%) facility showed a statistically significant (p<0.05) decrease in incidence and 3 (23%) showed a nonsignificant decrease in incidence after both interventions were in place simultaneously (Table 3). All 3 facilities showed a significant reduction (p<0.05) in incidence, particularly with both interventions deployed.

**Discussion**

The meat processing industry in Nebraska employs ≈26,000 workers (6), of whom 5,002 were diagnosed with COVID-19 during March–July 2020. The attack rate during this time period (19%) was more than double the 9.1% attack rate that was reported in a multistate analysis of meat processing facilities across the United States through May 2020 (4). Cases in meat processing facilities have far-reaching effects, potentially fueling outbreaks within surrounding communities where workers and workers’ families comprise a substantial proportion of area residents. In addition, plants are often located in rural communities with limited infrastructure and resources to respond to outbreaks. In Nebraska, the 8 counties with the highest COVID-19 case rates per capita (as of September 2020) are also home to large meat processing facilities (10).

This report supports the increasing body of evidence that the COVID-19 pandemic has disproportionately affected racial and ethnic minority groups (11). Although 67% of confirmed cases were among Nebraska meat processing workers reporting Hispanic or Latino ethnicity, they constituted 73% of hospitalized case-patients, 78% of ICU admissions, and 86% of deaths, indicating a higher proportion of poor outcomes (hospitalizations, ICU admissions, deaths) compared with other racial and ethnic groups. Likewise, data presented here

![Table 2. Comparisons of the incidence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 infection before and after mask or physical barrier interventions or both among employees in 13 meatpacking facilities in Nebraska, April–July 2020*](attachment:table2.png)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>Incidence /1,000 persons/d</th>
<th>10 d after final intervention</th>
<th>p value for difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facilities that initiated a universal mask policy ≥10 d before physical barriers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7.27</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities that initiated a universal mask policy and physical barriers &lt;10 d of each other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>17.16</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>0.059</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities that only initiated a universal mask policy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>3.17</td>
<td>0.944</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For facilities that initiated both a universal mask policy and physical barriers, date of last intervention was defined as start date of latter intervention (i.e., if physical barriers were initiated first, final intervention date was date of mask policy initiation). For facilities that initiated only masking, final intervention date was the initiation date.
reflect emerging evidence suggesting disease manifestations are more severe in men (12,13). Despite comprising 58% of confirmed case-patients, men represented 72% of hospitalized case-patients, 78% of ICU admissions, and 81% of deaths. Higher risk of poor outcomes among men and ethnic and racial minority groups demands tailored prevention and education strategies to subgroups shown to be more affected by adverse outcomes, both for this specific work environment and for broader local, state, and federal public health policy applications. Plant or corporate management can work to address these disparities among their worker populations by engaging with language and culture experts to ensure appropriate and effective communication and educational materials (e.g., videos, infographics) by providing materials in all languages spoken by workers and partnering with respected local community leaders (e.g., religious and spiritual leaders, elders) and community organizations to educate and disseminate information to workers.

The proportion of SARS-CoV-2 infections that remain asymptomatic is uncertain, although some reports estimate it to be higher than 30% (14–16). The percentage of asymptomatic COVID-19 cases (10%) among Nebraska meat processing workers was lower than these estimates. However, because few Nebraska meat processing facilities completed mass testing events during the study period, it is likely that many asymptomatic cases went undetected and are not reflected in this report. Indeed, a mass testing event at 1 Nebraska facility found that nearly one third of workers confirmed with COVID-19 reported no symptoms (17). Data on the 214 presymptomatic case-patients described in this report suggest detectable levels of virus in these persons and therefore transmission potential (18–20) at a median of 3 days before onset. Mass and routine testing enables identification of asymptomatic and presymptomatic infections, leading to swifter isolation, fewer days of potential exposure, and faster identification, quarantine, and testing of close contacts. As detailed in this report, identifying presymptomatic cases shortened the duration from symptom onset to investigation by a median of 4 days. Facilitywide or corporationwide routine testing programs, with frequency of testing informed by both local community transmission rates and cases identified within the plant, can position meat processing plants to identify cases early and stem potential outbreaks.

Risk mitigation strategies based on symptoms, such as active screening protocols and paid sick leave policies, are limited by asymptomatic and presymptomatic transmission and emphasize the importance of multilayered IPC interventions. Industry-specific guidance released by the CDC and the Occupational Safety and Health Administration in late April (8) centered on the Hierarchy of Controls risk mitigation framework (https://www.cdc.gov/niosh/topics/hierarchy/default.html) to reduce transmission within facilities (21). Although many of these risk mitigation strategies are similar to those recommended for various other high-risk industries (e.g., schools, long-term care facilities), the effectiveness of these IPC measures in the meat processing work environment has not been reported.

Our results indicate significantly reduced incidence of COVID-19 cases in 62% of studied facilities following adoption of universal masking and physical barrier interventions. Several factors may explain why some facilities did not see incidence decrease and 1 saw incidence significantly increase after initiating these measures. First, as an engineering control, physical barriers are generally considered one of the most effective measures to reduce person-to-person transmission of a communicable disease because they do not rely on worker adherence (21). However, since the study period, evidence has mounted supporting the substantial role of aerosols in transmitting COVID-19 (22–24). Although physical barriers installed between meat processing workers on the production line and at cafeteria tables would block larger respiratory droplets, the primary mode of transmission according to the CDC (22), they would not fully protect against aerosol transmission. Moreover, low temperatures and limited fresh air supply combined with physically demanding work conditions could facilitate longer-range aerosol transmission (25). Enhancements in ventilation (e.g., increasing the number of air exchanges per hour, installing high efficiency particulate air [HEPA] filtration) should therefore be considered the most effective

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facility</th>
<th>&lt;10 d after mask intervention</th>
<th>Between day 10 after mask and day 10 after physical barrier intervention</th>
<th>≥10 d after physical barrier intervention</th>
<th>p value for difference*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>3.46</td>
<td>3.23</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>11.13</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p value difference represents difference in incidence before initiation of mask intervention and after physical barrier intervention.
engineering control for COVID-19. More study is needed on aerosol transmission dynamics in this setting.

Second, although masking is one of the most effective tools for reducing COVID-19 transmission (26,27), the effectiveness of a universal mask policy relies on workers being educated on and adhering to proper mask use. A previous study of Nebraska meat processing workers found that only 44% of workers had received information on how to wear and care for a mask properly (28). Observed adherence to proper mask use (e.g., wearing the mask over both mouth and nose, minimizing adjustment or touching of the front of the mask) varied during site visits; at some plants, nearly all workers exhibited proper use, but at other facilities nearly half of workers wore masks below their noses.

The IPC challenges inherent in meat processing facilities cannot be addressed with only 1 or 2 measures; multilayered interventions are more effective than any single measure (29). In addition to IPC-focused strategies to reduce transmission within the facility, such as reducing density, engineering controls, physical distancing, active screening, environmental cleaning and disinfection, and masking, workforce policies ensuring social protections such as paid sick leave and flexible absenteeism policies are critical tools to prevent the disease from entering the workplace. However, given the inherent IPC challenges faced by the industry (e.g., high density of workers, duration of shifts, indoor environment, crowded cafeterias where masks are removed), it is also possible that no combination of interventions will be completely effective at reducing transmission in meat processing facilities, particularly when high rates of local community transmission exist. Facilities that did not see a significant reduction in incidence after initiating mask policies and physical barriers may not have incorporated other strategies to the same degree as facilities that did see significantly reduced incidence. Alternatively, some facilities we assessed might have initiated key interventions well before cases among their workers were diagnosed, causing interventions to appear less effective in this study.

A limitation of this study is that, although we attempted to distinguish the effectiveness of a universal mask policy from that of physical barrier installation, only 3 facilities had enough cases between the initiation of the 2 interventions to evaluate the separate direct effects of the measures. Moreover, when it became apparent in mid-April that meat processing facilities were particularly vulnerable to and being affected by COVID-19, facilities scrambled to incorporate IPC strategies within a short timeline and requested simultaneous technical assistance from our team. In many cases, site visits were conducted <10 days after a universal mask policy or physical barrier installation was begun. Our site visits and incorporation of additional IPC measures beyond physical barriers and masking might have contributed to reduced incidence. In addition, we were not able to definitively separate out whether transmission to case-patients occurred in the workplace or in the community and therefore couldn’t determine the exact effect risk mitigation measures had on incidence compared with trends in community transmission rates. However, COVID-19 cases among meat processing workers represented almost 1 in 5 cases in Nebraska during the study period (there were 27,036 total cases in Nebraska from the beginning of the pandemic through July 31, 2020) (30). In addition, Nebraska’s first wave of COVID-19 cases peaked in early May and gradually declined from May to July; our findings indicate that mitigation measures had a more rapid effect on incidence than reductions reflected in community transmission trends.

In conclusion, we present a snapshot of the effect of COVID-19 among meat processing workers in facilities in Nebraska. Nearly 1 in 5 Nebraska meat processing workers were diagnosed with COVID-19 between March and July 2020, a profound burden of cases unparalleled in any other worker population. Many of the nationwide trends that have become apparent during this pandemic applied here, namely high attack rates among workers in the meat processing industry, a disproportionately high risk of adverse outcomes among ethnic and racial minority groups and men, and the effectiveness of IPC interventions at reducing person-to-person transmission. Increased multilayered IPC strategies, rapid contact tracing, and accessible testing are critical to identifying asymptomatic and presymptomatic cases and interrupting silent transmission. COVID-19 will be an enduring threat to the meat processing industry and its workers for the foreseeable future. Facilities must adopt and sustain multiple interventions to prevent, control, and rapidly identify transmission within facilities to protect this worker population.
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Unsafe injection practices and injection drug use have been linked to multiple HIV outbreaks in Pakistan since 2003; however, few studies have systematically analyzed the causes of these outbreaks. We conducted a systematic review of published English-language literature indexed in bibliographic databases and search engines and focused gray literature review to collate and analyze all reported HIV outbreaks in Pakistan during 2000–2019. Of 774 unique publications reviewed, we identified 25 eligible publications describing 7 outbreaks. More than half occurred during 2016–2019. The primary sources of transmission were iatrogenic transmission, affecting children, persons with chronic medical conditions, and the general population (4 outbreaks); injection drug use (2 outbreaks); and a combination of both (1 outbreak). In the absence of robust HIV testing and surveillance in Pakistan, timely and detailed outbreak reporting is important to understand the epidemiology of HIV in the country.

The first cases of HIV in Pakistan were reported in 1987, with epidemiologic evidence supporting the importation of cases by migrant workers from the Gulf States (1–3). Since that time, noncontinuous surveillance assessments have noted high prevalence of HIV in certain populations; the most recent 2016–2017 prevalence estimates were 38.4% among persons who inject drugs (PWID), 7.2% among transgender persons, and 5.6% among men who have sex with men (4–8). By comparison, in the prevalence in the general population is 0.1%, representing ≈190,000 persons living with HIV (PLHIV), including 6,100 children <15 years of age, according to 2019 Joint United Nations Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS) estimates (8,9). Approximately 44,758 (24%) PLHIV were registered with the National AIDS Control Programme with a known diagnosis as of December 2020, and of these, only 24,362 (54%) were receiving antiretroviral therapy (ART) (10). These statistics are far below the UNAIDS 90–90–90 HIV treatment targets (90% of HIV-positive persons being aware of their status; of those, 90% receiving ART; and of those, 90% being virally suppressed) aimed at containing the AIDS epidemic; most PLHIV (87%) in Pakistan are not receiving treatment (11).

In April 2019, a major HIV outbreak in Larkana District in Pakistan was identified by local and provincial public health officials (12). After several ill children with HIV-negative parents tested positive for HIV, the provincial Sindh AIDS Control Program began a voluntary district-wide testing campaign. During April 25–June 28, 2019, a total of 30,192 persons were tested for HIV; 876 (2.9%) were HIV positive, and 82% of those were children <15 years of age. A World Health Organization (WHO) report cited unsafe medical practices and poor infection control programs as key risk factors for infection (12) and noted that this outbreak was the fourth HIV outbreak in Larkana since 2003. A cursory review of the literature, however, did not identify peer-reviewed publications on all of these referenced outbreaks. The objective of our systematic review was to identify and collate data from all reported HIV outbreaks in Pakistan to describe overarching themes and aid in future prevention efforts.

Methods
We followed the PRISMA statement and the Cochrane Handbook to conduct this systematic review (Appendix Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4205-App1.pdf (13). We searched Medline, Embase, CAB Abstracts, Global Health, PsycInfo, Cochrane Library, Scopus, Academic Search Complete, Cumulative Index to Nursing and Allied Health Literature, ProQuest Central, PubMed Central, Virtual Health Library, and Google Scholar to identify English-language publications on reported HIV outbreaks in Pakistan during January 1, 2000–December 31, 2019. We limited the search to studies published after January 1, 2000, because the earliest reported HIV outbreak in Pakistan occurred in 2003 (14). To complement the published literature search, we conducted a comprehensive search of the gray literature (i.e., publications not published in indexed peer-reviewed journals), including UNAIDS reports, WHO reports, and International AIDS Society conference abstracts. In addition, we manually reviewed Pakistan’s provincial and national Ministry of Health websites. The following search strategy was used for database and gray literature searches: (HIV or AIDS, any associated synonyms, or both) AND (outbreak, epidemic, pandemic, or cluster) AND (Pakistan [or all subnational units]). We omitted location criteria for manual review of Pakistan governmental websites. The full search strategy is detailed in Appendix Table 2. We used Endnote X9 (Clarivate Analytics, https://endnote.com) to import and manage retrieved records. To identify duplicate reports, we used the EndNote automated “find duplicates” function, with preferences set to match by title, author, and year; a second round of manual de-duplication was performed by using the same matching criteria. We grouped the remaining reports by database, search engine, and source, and authors reviewed these independently. We used a shared database to track the progress of the reviews.
We systematically screened and reviewed results from the published and gray literature search (Figure). We screened titles and abstracts, and we defaulted to reviewing the abstract if the title had an unclear focus and reviewing the full report if no abstract was available, counting it among the number of abstracts reviewed. We included publications that reported data on outbreaks of HIV or sudden increases in cases in Pakistan. For the purpose of this systematic review, we defined an outbreak as an unexpected number of HIV cases identified through targeted testing or key population surveillance, labeled and reported as an outbreak, and leading to an evaluation or investigation. We excluded abstracts without published final reports (unless identified in the gray literature), reports that provided prevalence or incidence data only (including key population surveys), opinion pieces without mention of a specific outbreak, mathematical modeling studies, epidemiologic analyses, reports without quantitative data, and preprint reports. We also excluded reports where the author did not define the described cases as an outbreak or did not provide a discrete geographic, temporal, or epidemiologic link. If identical reports were published in ≥1 journal, the earliest publication was included. Similarly, if identical or nearly identical reports were published in a journal and also included as a conference abstract, we included only the published report. If a report included outbreak data as well as a subset of data in a case control, cohort, or cross-sectional investigation, we included data on the larger outbreak and the study. We reviewed journal submission guidelines to determine whether a publication was peer-reviewed.

We organized eligible publications, gray literature, and government reports by geographic location and year of the reported outbreak. We included reports describing multiple outbreaks under each appropriate outbreak heading. We extracted year and type of report, investigating agency and source or reference for primary data, number of persons tested and diagnosed with HIV, case positivity rate (defined as the percentage of persons positive among the number tested within the period defined by the authors of the publication), notable demographic and behavioral characteristics of case-patients, major risk factors, and other relevant information (Appendix Table 3). We noted instances where articles used media reports as their primary citation. One author independently reviewed initial data extraction of all eligible reports for concurrence. If necessary, we reached out to corresponding authors of individual reports for clarification.

Results

Our initial search identified 1,653 records published during January 2000–December 2019. We removed 879 (53%) duplicate reports identified across multiple databases or search engines through automated and manual processes (Figure). Of the remaining 774 de-duplicated reports, 625 (81%) were excluded after review of the title and 108 (14%) were excluded after review of the abstract. We excluded 16 reports upon review of the full article, gray literature, or government report, leaving 25 (3%) reports eligible for inclusion.

The 25 reports identified by our search strategy described 7 outbreaks: 4 in Punjab Province (Sargodha, Sargodha District [2007]; Kot Imrana, Sargodha District [2018]; Jalalpur Jattan, Gujrat District [2008]; and Faisalabad, Faisalabad District [2019]) and 3 in Sindh Province (Larkana, Larkana District [2003 and 2016] and Ratodero, Larkana District [2019]) (Appendix Table 3). Six (24%) reports described ≥2 outbreaks.

Case-positivity rates ranged from 1.3% to 51.8%, varying in part because of sampling methods. The potential source of 4 of the 7 outbreaks was reported as iatrogenic transmission through unsafe healthcare practices at clinics, hospitals, and dialysis centers; 2 outbreaks were attributed to injection drug use, and 1 outbreak was attributed to both. Several reports described a potential association with unqualified healthcare providers (frequently designated as quacks in Pakistan [15]), in general, or with a specific provider. Some reports also reported cultural practices as a contributing factor to transmission. Populations most affected by the outbreaks varied by proposed etiology; iatrogenic causes affected the general community, including women and children, as well as persons living with specific medical conditions, such as end-stage renal disease. Recreational drug use affected primarily PWID, most frequently men.

Our review identified 5 reports in peer-reviewed literature, with the remaining reports published as letters to the editor or correspondence, nongovernmental organization and government reports, and conference abstracts. National or provincial AIDS control programs led the initial investigations of 4 of the 7 outbreaks; the National Institutes of Health–Pakistan and Field Epidemiology Training Program–Pakistan and district health departments provided data for the other 3 outbreaks. The Ratodero (2019) outbreak had additional support from WHO, other United Nations agencies, local universities, and other international and local partners. Of the
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SYNOPSIS

25 reports, 17 (68%) describe this single outbreak. Other outbreaks had more limited data, often limited to case counts and affected population. Authors were often not directly affiliated with the primary data but rather briefly described testing statistics, demographic information, and risk factors obtained from investigations from government entities, media reports, and other sources. Some discrepancies were noted across reports pertaining to the same outbreak, and many reports did not provide complete information on case-positivity rates, study period, or method of data collection. Authors occasionally (4 [16%]) used media reports as the primary source of information. Though most outbreaks had at least 1 article citing primary data or data directly from a testing program, the single report found for the Faisalabad (2019) outbreak cited only a newspaper article. Of the 25 reports describing the 7 outbreaks, only 5 reports provided detailed outbreak investigation information. Despite more extensive investigations, these reports still had limited ability to draw conclusions or conduct statistical comparisons because of study design (e.g., no comparison group [16] or small sample size [17]). Only 1 of the 25 reports, describing an outbreak investigation in Jalalpur Jattan (2008), included phylogenetic information (16), which demonstrated that transmission likely occurred over a decade, reflecting endemic disease rather than an outbreak.

Discussion

Our review identified 25 reports describing 7 HIV outbreaks during 2000–2019 in Pakistan: 3 in Sindh Province and 4 in Punjab Province. Of these, 4 were identified during 2016–2019. In 2019, two outbreaks were reported: a large outbreak primarily affecting children in Ratodero in Larkana, a district with multiple prior outbreaks, and an outbreak in Faisalabad, primarily infecting PWID. Case-positivity rates ranged from 1.3% to 51.8%, and populations most affected varied by outbreak but included PWID; persons living with specific medical conditions; and the general population, including women and children. The level of detail pertaining to the description of data collection and investigation methods varied across the publications, and much of the data provided were collected not by authors but by national, provincial, and district health departments and other government entities. Iatrogenic transmission (57%), injection drug use (29%), or both (14%) were identified as the potential sources of the outbreaks; no outbreak solely attributable to sexual transmission was reported.

Iatrogenic transmission from unsafe healthcare practices and poor infection prevention and control was identified as the primary or contributing risk factor in 5 of the 7 HIV outbreaks (Jalalpur Jattan [2008], Kot Imrana [2018], Larkana [2016], Ratodero [2019], and Faisalabad [2019]). From a recent survey in Pakistan, researchers estimated that ≥38% of surveyed physicians likely reused syringes (18). Data from the latest Demographic Health Survey indicate that ≥9% of injections given to patients in Pakistan are unsafe, and every person receives an average of 4.1 therapeutic injections per year in Pakistan (19). Extrapolating from this frequency and safety data, approximately 1 in 3 persons might receive an unsafe injection every year in Pakistan (19). Furthermore, cross-sectional studies of persons with thalassemia in Pakistan have shown a high prevalence of bloodborne infections, including HIV, hepatitis B, and hepatitis C, suggestive of infection from blood transfusions (20, 21). Nosocomial or iatrogenic transmission including unsafe blood transfusions and reuse of medical equipment has contributed to several HIV outbreaks in other countries, including ≥10,000 children in orphanages in Romania (22), >400 children in Libya with frequent co-infection with hepatitis B and C (23), and 242 adults and children in Cambodia (24).

Several factors might play a role in the propagation of unsafe injection practices in low-income countries. These factors include sociocultural factors such as healthcare providers’ belief that compliance is better with injections than with oral medication and patients might seek healthcare elsewhere if not provided injections; financial incentives on the part of both patient and provider through fee-for-injection practices and contingent on provider ability to purchase and maintain a supply of injecting equipment; corruption, when money allocated for healthcare, such as disposable injecting equipment, is used elsewhere, leading to reuse of equipment; lack of policies and procedures around safe injection practices, such that policies forbidding the reuse of injecting equipment are not implemented nor enforced in low-income countries as they are in high-income countries; ready access to injectable medications without a prescription; and lack of awareness of risks associated with unsafe injection practices (25). Given these factors, developing a multi-strategy approach that might be adapted and tailored as necessary might help prevent future outbreaks of HIV and other bloodborne pathogens. These strategies include community and healthcare provider education to address excessive and unnecessary use
of therapeutic injections, implementation and monitoring of policies around single-use injecting equipment, and addressing gaps in infection prevention and control.

Injection drug use was reported as the primary or contributing cause of HIV transmission in 3 of the 7 outbreaks (Larkana [2003], Sargodha [2007], and Faisalabad [2019]). Periodic HIV surveillance data are available for key populations in specific cities from the National AIDS Control Programme Integrated Biologic and Behavioral Surveillance surveys, but they are not designed to measure prevalence for the general population or key populations in rural areas (4–8). The HIV prevalence among PWID documented by each survey increased from 10.8% to 38.4%; however, because the survey was expanded to new cities across the different reporting periods, direct comparison of the change in prevalence is not possible. Whether any change in prevalence might be attributable to sporadic outbreaks or a steady increase in HIV prevalence in this subpopulation is unknown. None of the literature describing outbreaks with injection drug use as the primary or contributing source of transmission reported a phylogenetic analysis, leaving timelines of infections in these outbreaks unclear.

Although the Integrated Biologic and Behavioral Surveillance surveys offer insight into HIV prevalence among key populations, the absence of routine HIV surveillance in the general population prevents understanding of the actual burden of the HIV epidemic in Pakistan. Considering the high prevalence of HIV in PWID, men who have sex with men, and female sex workers, as well as unsafe injection practices as we have described, spillover to the general population is only a matter of time. Widespread surveillance of HIV might be challenging and might yield little information given the low general population prevalence of 0.1% (9). However, adding surveillance of targeted populations at higher risk, such as pregnant women, patients at infectious disease or tuberculosis clinics, and persons requiring frequent transfusions, might provide early warning signs to changes in HIV prevalence. Likewise, systematic monitoring of the blood supply might represent an efficient, less costly approach to surveillance. Currently, routine surveillance is not conducted in any of these settings. Although phylogenetic analyses, which assist in understanding circulating strains and subtypes, might contribute to our understanding of a rise in cases, only 1 publication identified in this review reported a phylogenetic analysis; it showed that, despite preliminary data suggestive of a new outbreak, transmission occurred over a decade (16). Without comprehensive surveillance and phylogenetic data, ascertaining whether new HIV diagnoses or a sudden increase in diagnoses in an area represent an outbreak or simply missed HIV diagnoses with endemic transmission over time is difficult.

Outbreaks are underrepresented in the literature; those that are published have limited ability to characterize the full epidemiologic and phylogenetic footprint of an outbreak. Nonsystematic tracking of media reports identified at least 2 other potential outbreaks known to national or provincial AIDS control programs but not described by our systematic review (26,27). Given the frequency of media reports of HIV outbreaks, albeit without full epidemiologic data, and well-documented data on the widespread prevalence of unsafe injections across Pakistan, the paucity of systematic outbreak investigations is striking. Of the reports included in our systematic review, only 5 (20%) were peer-reviewed; the remaining were published as letters to the editor, editorials, general correspondence, abstracts, nongovernment organization publications, and government reports, without clear description of methods, study design, and data collection. Given the limited outbreak investigations and robust data reporting in peer-reviewed and gray literature, our systematic review likely underestimates the frequency of the problem and its associated burden of disease.

The main strength of our review is that we searched multiple bibliographic databases, with the addition of Google Scholar and the Virtual Health Library, nongovernmental organization and government websites, and conference abstracts to ensure all relevant publications were captured. However, we note several limitations. First, we recognize that the definition of an outbreak is challenging in the setting of limited phylogenetic and surveillance data. A study by Ansari et al. (16) determined that the observed increase in cases was likely a progression of endemic disease only after the results of phylogenetic analysis. As such, other outbreaks reported in this review might, if the same analyses were available, have been determined not to be outbreaks. Second, our literature review was limited to English-language publications. Although a potential exists for missing articles written in Urdu and other local languages, English is one of the official languages in the country and is the predominant language for scientific and medical research dissemination in Pakistan (28,29). Finally, although unlikely, a small chance exists that a unique outbreak might have been men-
tioned in a publication focusing on surveillance or other data and thus been missed by our tiered review approach. We also recognize that outbreak reports written by government entities might be for internal review only or might be posted online for a limited time, resulting in a possible bias towards availability of more recent outbreaks.

In summary, reported outbreaks in Pakistan suggest that the spread of HIV might continue if adequate prevention strategies are not adopted. Education campaigns to improve knowledge in the general public about unsafe injection practices, both therapeutic and recreational, might limit HIV transmission and occurrence of outbreaks. Assessing patient and provider misconceptions about the benefits of therapeutic injections could guide public health messaging and reduce demand for unnecessary medical interventions. Reviewing injection safety and infection prevention and control practices could inform healthcare reform efforts to limit iatrogenic exposures and the potential for HIV outbreaks. Last, developing and putting into place comprehensive HIV surveillance systems could assist in outbreak identification, prompting investigations that explore risk factors and underlying transmission sources. Reporting of outbreaks in peer-reviewed literature, including epidemiologic studies and phylogenetic analyses, might shed additional light on the etiologies of outbreaks and effective prevention strategies. Across the spectrum of reports identified by our systematic review, all reports had the consistent message of sounding an alarm and highlighting a potentially rapidly growing problem in Pakistan.
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The aim of this prospective study was to assess the risk for tickborne infections after a tick bite. A total of 489 persons bitten by 1,295 ticks were assessed for occurrence of infections with *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato, *Anaplasma phagocytophilum, Rickettsia* spp., *Babesia* spp., *Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis,* and relapsing fever borreliae. *B. burgdorferi* s.l. infection was found in 25 (5.1%) participants, of whom 15 had erythema migrans. Eleven (2.3%) participants were positive by PCR for *Candidatus N. mikurensis.* One asymptomatic participant infected with *B. miyamotoi* was identified. Full engorgement of the tick (odds ratio 9.52) and confirmation of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. in the tick by PCR (odds ratio 4.39) increased the risk for infection. *Rickettsia helvetica* was highly abundant in ticks but not pathogenic to humans. Knowledge about the outcome of tick bites is crucial because infections with emerging pathogens might be underestimated because of limited laboratory facilities.

Ticks are vectors for a variety of tickborne pathogens that cause human disease (1). The diversity of tickborne pathogens has increased extensively in recent years, supported by progress in the molecular identification of microorganisms (2). Clinical studies on the health-related impact of many emerging tickborne pathogens are scarce and information on the epidemiology is limited.

We undertook a comprehensive observational study in Austria to assess the incidence of recognized tickborne infections by applying clinical, serologic, and microbiological endpoints. We conducted a detailed risk analysis of contracting Lyme borreliosis. Our objective was to investigate whether variables such as confirmation of *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato in ticks, duration of tick attachment, engorgement of ticks, and number of simultaneous tick bites have an impact on the risk for infection. Furthermore, we wanted to know whether the localization of a given tick bite and any previous contact with *B. burgdorferi* s.l. can affect this risk.

**Methods**

Participants were enrolled prospectively during 2015–2018 at 2 centers in Austria (Vienna and Thiersee). The invitation to participate was announced in the local media. The analysis focused on infections with tickborne pathogens including *B. burgdorferi* s.l., *Anaplasma phagocytophilum, Rickettsia* spp., *Babesia* spp., *Candidatus Neoehrlichia mikurensis,* and relapsing fever borreliae. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Medical University of Vienna (1064/2015) and of the Medical University of Innsbruck (AN2016-0043-359/4.16). Participants provided written informed consent.

**Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria**

Inclusion criteria were a minimum age of 18 years and the availability of the particular tick for testing. Persons bitten >7 days before assessment were excluded.

**Questionnaire**

A standardized questionnaire was used to collect information concerning tick bite location, history of erythema migrans, antimicrobial drug treatment within 4 weeks before the tick bite, estimated duration of tick attachment, number of ticks removed, and possible geographic region of tick attack. The feeding duration of the tick was reported in days by the difference of the estimated date of the tick bite and the date of tick removal.

**Outcome Definition**

Serologic testing and PCR for blood were conducted during the first week after the removal of the tick, with a follow-up scheduled 6 weeks thereafter. We defined infection as ≥1 of the following: occurrence of erythema migrans diagnosed by a medical professional (M.M. or D.H.), increase in *Borrelia*-specific antibodies in follow-up samples, and presence of the microorganism determined by PCR in the initial or follow-up blood samples.

**Laboratory Analyses**

Laboratory analyses were conducted at the Institute of Hygiene and Applied Immunology in Vienna. An experienced technician (A.-M.S.) identified ticks morphologically. If identification was inconclusive, we used molecular methods. Ten percent of the randomly selected *Ixodes ricinus* ticks underwent molecular identification to confirm the identification procedure. We documented the developmental stage of the ticks and recorded engorgement levels as not engorged, partially engorged, or fully engorged.

We extracted DNA from the ticks as described (2). Molecular identification of ticks was conducted by using the mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene (3), 12S rDNA gene (4), internal transcribed spacer 2 region (5), or cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 gene (6). PCR products were purified and sent to Microsynth (https://www.microsynth.at) for bidirectional sequencing.

Molecular detection of *B. burgdorferi* s.l.; *Rickettsia* spp.; *Anaplasma/Ehrlichia* spp., including *Candidatus N. mikurensis,* *Babesia* spp., and *Coxiella burnetii,* was performed by using reverse line blot (RLB) hybridization (2). Sequencing was conducted if RLB failed to yield a species-specific signal. When *Rickettsia* spp. could not be identified by sequencing the 23S–5S intergenic spacer region used for RLB (7), we conducted
additional PCRs specific for the gltA gene (8,9). We used real-time PCRs to detect B. miyamotoi (10) and, in addition to RLB hybridization, for Candidatus N. mikurensis (11).

Molecular Analysis of Blood
We screened extracted DNA from blood containing EDTA for tickborne pathogens by using real-time PCR. The pathogens screened were B. burgdorferi s.l. (12), Rickettsia spp. (12), relapsing fever borreliae (12), A. phagocytophilum (13), B. miyamotoi (10), and Candidatus N. mikurensis (11).

Serologic Testing
We assessed infections with B. burgdorferi s.l. by comparing ELISA values for IgM and IgG at the first and the follow-up tests. The increase in antibody levels was observed when the first sample yielded a negative result by using the cutoff value provided by the manufacturer and the result was positive in the follow-up sample. For specimens with a value above the cutoff value in the initial sample, we defined the infection as a 25% increase. Positive and borderline ELISA results were confirmed by using immunoblot (Anti-Borrelia-EUROLINE-RN-AT; Euroimmun, https://www.euroimmun.com).

During this study, a change of test systems was necessary because of withdrawal of systems from the market. A Borrelia ELISA (Medac, https://international.medac.de) was used until the end of May 2018, followed by Anti-Borrelia-plusVlsE-ELISA (Euroimmun) after June 2018. In the instance that the first and the follow-up serum samples were analyzed by different ELISAs, we used a paired sample for retesting with the new ELISA.

We performed serologic testing for other tick-borne pathogens by applying the following commercial tests: A. phagocytophilum and Rickettsia IgG immunofluorescence assays (Focus Diagnostics, https://www.focusdx.com) and the Weil-Felix agglutination assay (DiaMondiaL, https://www.diamondial.com) as an additional serologic test able to detect infections with Rickettsia spp. Infections were defined as a 4-fold change in the titer.

Determination of Sample Size
Human infection rates for B. burgdorferi s.l. after tick bites have been reported to be 2%-5% (14,15). Because high endemicity can be assumed for the covered regions, we determined the sample size on the basis of an upper limit of 5%. To have a power of 80% to detect an effect associated with an odds ratio (OR) ≥2, and considering covariates with a combined R² of 25%, a total of 411 participants were considered necessary to provide statistical significance at the (2-sided) 5% level.

Statistical Analysis
Because many participants had >1 tick on >1 occasion, we considered only ticks brought at the time of infection for infected persons. For noninfected persons who had >1 visit, 1 visit was chosen randomly, and the tick removed on that occasion was used for analysis. Similarly, if several ticks were available for the visit, 1 tick was randomly selected unless 1 of them was infected.

Preliminary comparisons of Borrelia-infected and noninfected participants were performed by using the Mann-Whitney test for metric data. We used the Fisher exact probability test for dichotomous data and the Fisher-Freeman-Halton test for categorical data. These data are reported as mean ± SD and median (interquartile range) with absolute and percent frequencies. Multiple logistic regression analysis was conducted to assess the risk for infection associated with attributes of the ticks, taking the age and sex of the participants into account. Seven persons did not complete follow-up testing and were excluded from the analyses. No imputation for missing data was applied. All analyses were performed by using Stata 13.1 (StataCorp LLC, https://www.stata.com).

Results

Study Population
A total of 489 participants were included in the study, of whom 7 were unavailable for follow-up. The number of ticks removed by the participants was 1,295. The total number of 482 study participants (255 women and 227 men) had a mean age of 49 years (range 19–83 years) and had been bitten by 1,279 ticks. A total of 433 (89.8%) participants were enrolled in Vienna and 49 (10.2%) were enrolled in Tyrol. At baseline, 120 (24.9%) participants were seropositive for Borrelia antibodies, 39 (8.1%) for A. phagocytophilum antibodies, and 13 (2.7%) for Rickettsia spp. antibodies. The mean time interval between the baseline and the follow-up test was 47 days (range 21–147 days).

Ticks Obtained from Participants
A total of 96% of the tick bites occurred in Austria. Most ticks were removed during the months of June (338, 26.4%) and May (303, 23.7%), followed by July (227, 17.7%) and August (115, 9.0%).

Of the 1,279 ticks, 1,277 (99.8%) were I. ricinus. The 2 remaining ticks were H. concinna and a nymphal
Haemaphysalis sp. tick imported from Cambodia. The most common developmental stage was the nymphal stage (922 ticks, 72.1%) followed by larvae (241 ticks, 18.8%), and adults (112 ticks [103 females and 9 males], 8.8%). For 4 ticks (0.3%), it was not possible to identify the developmental stage, but *I. ricinus* was confirmed by PCR.

We compiled an overview of tick collection (Figure 1). Of the 482 participants, 139 persons collected >1 tick. The highest number of ticks per person was 163. Nearly half of the ticks were removed on the first day (629, 49.2%) (Figure 2).

**Molecular Screening of Ticks**

*B. burgdorferi* s.l. was detected in 15.2% (194/1,279) of all ticks. The most common genospecies was *B. afzelii* in 66.5% (129/194), followed by *B. garinii*/*B. bavariensis* in 16.5% (32 ticks), *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto in 7.7% (15 ticks), and other *Borrelia* spp. in 11.3% (22 ticks). Co-infections with >1 genospecies were detected in 4 ticks.

*Rickettsia* spp. was the second most frequent organism with 9.4% (120/1,279), and *R. helvetica* represented 86.7% (104/120) of all *Rickettsia*-positive ticks, followed by *R. monacensis* in 8 ticks (6.7%). Eight *Rickettsia*-positive samples yielded only genus-specific signals on the RLBs. Presence of *Candidatus* R. mendelii was confirmed by sequencing 4 of these ticks. Two were new species according to phylogenetic guidelines (16), of which 1 belonged to the spotted fever group *Rickettsiae* (17). For the remaining 2 *Rickettsia*-positive ticks, the species could not be identified. We provide an overview of the tickborne pathogens detected in the different life stages of the ticks (Table 1).

Of the 1,279 ticks included in the study, 380 (29.7%) harbored ≥1 tickborne pathogen. Dual infections with organisms of different genera occurred in 48 ticks (3.8%). Seven ticks (0.6%) harbored 3 different genera.

**Human Infection**

*Borrelia* infection was found in 25 (5.1%) participants. Fifteen patients had erythema migrans, of whom 9 also showed an increase in *Borrelia*-specific antibodies in the follow-up sample. All instances of erythema migrans except 1 were localized at the site of the tick bite. Moreover, in 10 persons, evidence of *Borrelia* infection was found by serologic testing, and these persons did not have erythema migrans or any other symptoms. Demonstration of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. by PCR in the blood was successful in only 1 participant who had erythema migrans in an early stage. Infection with *B. burgdorferi* s.l. occurred twice in 2 participants. One woman had an erythema migrans twice within 4 months. Another
woman had an asymptomatic infection, followed by erythema migrans 3 weeks later. She had been bitten by 11 ticks and showed seroconversion. Thereafter, she had another tick bite, which caused also erythema migrans around the bite. Antimicrobial drugs were given to patients who had erythema migrans but not to those who had asymptomatic infections.

With regard to other infections, 11 (2.3%) participants were positive for \textit{Candidatus} \textit{N. mikurensis}. These participants reported no symptoms. For 3 participants, the presence of \textit{Candidatus} \textit{N. mikurensis} was identified at the first visit, as well as at the follow-up tests. The time intervals between the examinations for these 3 participants were 41, 44, and 86 days.
One study participant was positive for *B. miyamotoi* by PCR but reported no signs or symptoms. No infections with *A. phagocytophilum* or *Rickettsia* spp. were documented. No infections with *C. burnetii* or *Babesia* spp. were found by PCR; however, serologic testing was not used for these infections.

**Risk for Infection with *B. burgdorferi* s.l.**

We compared the demographic and other variables between the participants with *Borrelia* infection and noninfected participants (Table 2). In a multivariate model, the tick engorgement levels (OR 9.52) and confirmation of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. in ticks (OR 4.39) showed a major increase in the risk for infection (Table 3).

We also compared the differences in the distribution of ticks co-infected with multiple pathogens that had bitten participants with and without *Borrelia* infection. Of 37 ticks detached by 25 *Borrelia*-infected participants, 4 (10.8%) harbored >1 pathogen, whereas among 1,242 ticks from the noninfected group, 56 (4.5%) carried multiple pathogens (p = 0.07).

**Discussion**

We investigated 482 persons bitten by ticks for the occurrence of bacterial tickborne infections and *Babesia* spp. We demonstrated a high incidence of infections with the emerging pathogen, *Candidatus* N. mikurensis. Furthermore, our data clearly show that *R. helvetica*, though highly abundant in ticks in Austria, does not pose a risk for human health. We also conducted a detailed risk analysis for contracting Lyme borreliosis by analyzing numerous demographic and clinical parameters. This knowledge is needed for further research on the efficacy of specific interventions for preventing Lyme borreliosis, such as local or systemic antimicrobial drug prophylaxis after tick bite (18).

The risk for contracting *Borrelia* infection was 5.1%, which is consistent with published data for the Netherlands and Sweden (14,15), despite a different frequency of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. in ticks (15.2%) compared with previous reports (26% and 29.3%). This finding might be explained by the fact that more larvae were removed during the current study. *I. ricinus* larvae do not harbor *B. burgdorferi* s.l. because of lack of transovarial transmission of this pathogen. An investigation of ticks collected from vegetation throughout Austria showed that 25% of ticks were infected, as local or systemic antimicrobial drug prophylaxis (18).

We also compared the differences in the distribution of ticks co-infected with multiple pathogens that had bitten participants with and without *Borrelia* infection. Of 37 ticks detached by 25 *Borrelia*-infected participants, 4 (10.8%) harbored >1 pathogen, whereas among 1,242 ticks from the noninfected group, 56 (4.5%) carried multiple pathogens (p = 0.07).

**Table 2. Comparison of persons infected and not infected with *Borrelia burgdorferi* sensu lato after tick bite, Austria, 2015–2018**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Not infected, n = 457</th>
<th>Infected, n = 25</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>214</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>243</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, y</strong></td>
<td>48.7 ± 14.5</td>
<td>52.4 ± 14.0</td>
<td>0.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Use of repellent</strong></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>No. ticks</strong></td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.2</td>
<td>2.4 ± 3.8</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Time, tick bite to blood test, d†</strong></td>
<td>4.3 ± 4.0</td>
<td>3.9 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of tick attachment, d‡</strong></td>
<td>1.0 ± 2.9</td>
<td>1.2 ± 1.2</td>
<td>0.688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tick location</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left leg</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right leg</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left arm</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right arm</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head/neck</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdomen/chest</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genital/pelvic area</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Back</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antimicrobial drug‡</strong></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR positive</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG§</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgM§</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgG and IgM§</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of erythema migrans</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tick engorgement</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly/partially</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fully</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*†*Time between tick bite and first blood test.

†Received within 4 weeks before tick bite.

§Presence of *Borrelia*-specific antibodies at the first visit.
on humans, only 9 of 112 adult ticks detached by study participants were male.

The presence of *Borrelia* in ticks and the level of tick engorgement were the major predictors of infection. However, we did not find a correlation between infection and the time of attachment reported by the participants. Clinical trials on the relationship between infection risk and duration of tick feeding are scarce, and results are contradictory (14,15). Inconsistency might be attributed to the fact that self-assessment of the duration of tick attachment might be imprecise. We assume that if more granular time intervals (e.g., in hours instead of days) had been applied in our study, the results might have been different, particularly for the large group of persons who had removed their ticks within the first 24 hours (≤50% of the ticks in this study). Transmission of *B. burgdorferi* s.l. can occur <24 hours from tick attachment (14,19). Our study demonstrates that morphologic evaluation of tick engorgement is more reliable as a predictor for risk of infection. The risk was 10 times higher for fully engorged ticks than for nonengorged ticks. Limited correlation between self-reported duration of tick attachment and level of engorgement has been reported (20).

Our data suggest that a history of erythema migrans and presence of antibodies do not avert further *Borrelia* infections. The frequency of participants who had been seropositive at baseline and of those who had previous erythema migrans was higher in the infected group (Table 2). Although not statistically significant, these results suggest greater exposure to ticks.

Among other tickborne pathogens, *Candidatus* *N. mikurensis* was the most frequent agent identified in blood containing EDTA, and 2% of the participants had an asymptomatic infection with this emerging pathogen. Infection with *Candidatus* *N. mikurensis* can have a severe clinical picture. Life-threatening complications can occur not only for immunocompromised patients but also for immunocompetent patients (21,22). The pathogen was detected in blood samples of patients who had erythema migrans–like rashes in Norway; a total of 70 symptomatic patients were tested, and the pathogen was found in 10% of the patients (23). Asymptomatic infections are rare and they have been reported in healthy foresters from Poland (24), but no prospective data on the risk for acquiring the infection after tick bites are available. For 3 persons, we detected the pathogen in 2 consecutive samples. In 1 of these persons, the first positive sampling occurred during October, and the follow-up was performed 86 days later in January. Because no tick bites were documented in this study during the months of December–February, this finding suggests a long persistence of the pathogen in the blood in the absence of symptoms. However, there are no comparable reports on the persistence of *Candidatus* *N. mikurensis* in a human host.

*B. miyamotoi* is transmitted uniquely by *Ixodes* ticks and is an emerging pathogen causing febrile illness and meningitis in immunocompromised patients (25,26). With a prevalence of 2% in ticks, we expected a low incidence of infections in humans. We detected this spirochete in a healthy 79-year-old man. The incidence for infections with *A. phagocytophilum* was low, which corresponds to observations from Scandinavian countries (27). We did not document any case despite a relatively high level of background seroprevalence at study inclusion (8%). Severe cases of human granulocytic anaplasmosis sporadically occur in Austria (28), and a larger sample size might be necessary to detect such cases.

*Rickettsia* spp. was found in 9.4% of the ticks in our study. However, we did not identify any infections by using serologic or molecular methods. No study participant showed development of clinical signs of rickettsial infection, such as skin eschars or lymphadenopathy. The dominating species in ticks from Austria was *R. helvetica*, and only a few infections with this organism have been reported worldwide, suggesting its low pathogenicity (16,29).

We identified *Candidatus* R. mendellii in 4 ticks. This novel organism was initially identified in the Czech Republic during 2016 (30). Extensive data on its geographic distribution are missing. We also detected a new *Rickettsia* sp. of the spotted fever group in a tick from Tyrol, Austria (17).

We did not exclude patients who had received previous antimicrobial drug treatment. A total of 23 of these patients received antimicrobial drugs that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>p value</th>
<th>OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>0.90 (0.38–2.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>1.01 (0.96–1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. ticks</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>1.18 (1.00–1.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tick PCR positive for <em>B. burgdorferi</em></td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>4.39 (1.78–10.84)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tick engorgement

- Fully: <0.001 9.52 (2.79–32.45)
- Slightly/partially: 0.229 2.09 (0.63–6.98)
- Not engorged: NA 1 (NA)

*NA: not applicable; OR: odds ratio.*

...
were active against tickborne pathogens starting 4 weeks before enrollment. Six participants were receiving antimicrobial drugs at study inclusion, and the time point for antimicrobial drug treatment was not known exactly for 7 participants. Two participants were receiving immunosuppressive treatment. For persons with multiple tick bites in the noninfected group, we randomly selected 1 tick for risk analysis because it would otherwise have been difficult to calculate a regression model. Finally, for some pathogens, we used PCR only to identify infections without additional serologic testing, including that for Babesia spp. and B. miyamotoi. Because of a low prevalence of these pathogens in ticks, it is unlikely that we would have found a substantial amount of infections by using serologic methods.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank Katharina Grabmeier-Pfistershammer and Julia Parzinger for their help in enrolling the study participants.

**About the Author**

Dr. Markowicz is a medical specialist in general medicine and hygiene and microbiology at the Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria. He is also an unpaid member of the Executive Committee of the European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases Study Group on Lyme Borreliosis. His primary research interests are Lyme borreliosis and other bacterial tickborne diseases.

**References**


Address for correspondence: Mateusz Markowicz, Institute for Hygiene and Applied Immunology, Center for Pathophysiology, Infectiology and Immunology, Medical University of Vienna, Kinderspitalgasse 15, Vienna A-1090, Austria, email: mateusz.markowicz@meduniwien.ac.at
Emergence of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* Sequence Type 562, Northern Australia


Since 2005, the range of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* sequence type 562 (ST562) has expanded in northern Australia. During 2005–2019, ST562 caused melioidosis in 61 humans and 3 animals. Cases initially occurred in suburbs surrounding a creek before spreading across urban Darwin, Australia and a nearby island community. In urban Darwin, ST562 caused 12% (53/440) of melioidosis cases, a proportion that increased during the study period. We analyzed 2 clusters of cases with epidemiologic links and used genomic analysis to identify previously unassociated cases. We found that ST562 isolates from Hainan Province, China, and Pingtung County, Taiwan, were distantly related to ST562 strains from Australia. Temporal genomic analysis suggested a single ST562 introduction into the Darwin region in ≈1988. The origin and transmission mode of ST562 into Australia remain uncertain.

The tropical disease melioidosis causes sepsis in persons with risk factors such as diabetes or hazardous alcohol consumption (1). The causative bacterium, *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, is found in soil and surface waters. Most reported cases of melioidosis occur in Southeast Asia and northern Australia during the monsoonal wet seasons (i.e., November–April in northern Australia and May–October in Southeast Asia) (1). Although melioidosis is increasingly found in China, the Pacific Islands, South Asia, Africa, and Central and South America (2), laboratory diagnostic constraints contribute to underreporting of cases. As a result, the true global distribution and prevalence of melioidosis remain uncertain (3).

Clinical manifestations are varied; however, pneumonia is the most common form, accounting for ≈50% of cases (4). The frequencies of these manifestations differ by region. For example, suppurative parotitis is common in children in Thailand and Cambodia but rare in Australia; manifestations such as prostate abscesses and brainstem encephalitis are reported rarely outside Australia (1,4). Death rates range from 9% in northern Australia to 40% in northeast Thailand (1,4). The extent to which transmission mode, host risk factors, access to diagnostic testing, appropriate antimicrobial drugs, and intensive care treatment account for differences in manifestations and outcomes remains uncertain. Clinical studies suggest that host risk factors are major contributors to disease severity and outcome (1).

Phylogeographic analyses suggest that *B. pseudomallei* emerged in ancient Australia and subsequently disseminated throughout Asia (2,5,6). Because of their ecologic niche, sensitivity to ultraviolet light, and rare transmission among humans, strains of *B. pseudomallei* in Australia have remained phylogenetically distinct from strains in Asia, Africa, and the Americas (2,6). Most reported instances of sequence type (ST) overlap between Asia and Australia are unrelated at the whole-genome level (7), with the exception of ST562 (8). Some STs in Southeast Asia occur over large geographic areas, such as along the Mekong and other rivers where erosion and washout from disturbed land might have contributed to *B. pseudomallei* dissemination (2,9,10).

Within Australia, most *B. pseudomallei* STs have a restricted geographic range (11). In the urban and rural areas of Darwin, STs have been found in the environment distributed across no more than 50 km (12). In Northern Australia, researchers have identified only 2 instances of long-range *B. pseudomallei* disper-
B. pseudomallei isolates in the Northern Territory of Australia are very diverse, belonging to at least 379 reported STs (12). In this region, strains found in clinical and environmental samples exhibit similar levels of diversity (11). High species diversity of B. pseudomallei exists in urban Darwin; however, several STs, including ST109, ST36, ST132, and in recent years, ST553, have dominated among clinical and environmental isolates (7,15).

The Darwin Prospective Melioidosis Study has documented every culture-confirmed melioidosis case in the Top End region of the Northern Territory since 1989. In 2005, we reported the emergence of B. pseudomallei ST562 in urban Darwin (8). Genomic analyses revealed limited diversity among isolates and a very narrow geographic range, suggesting a single, recent introduction event from Asia (8). We describe the clinical manifestations and genomic epidemiology of B. pseudomallei ST562, which is now well-established in urban Darwin and causes a large proportion of melioidosis cases in the region.

Methods

Melioidosis Cases
We conducted this study at Royal Darwin Hospital, the referral center for the Top End region. The Top End is in the wet-dry tropics, ≈245,000 km² in area, and sparsely populated. Darwin, the only city in the region, has a population of ≈122,000 persons; the remaining population lives in towns or remote communities separated by vast geographic distances. As part of the Darwin Prospective Melioidosis Study, we documented the demographic characteristics, risk factors, clinical features, and outcomes of 1,148 patients with culture-confirmed melioidosis during October 1, 1989–September 30, 2019 (1). We conducted multilocus sequence typing on isolates from 1,108 patients (https://pubmlst.org/organisms/burkholderia-pseudomallei) (16). Our study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the Northern Territory Department of Health and the Menzies School of Health Research, Darwin.

B. pseudomallei Isolates
We analyzed B. pseudomallei ST562 sequences from 61 humans (including 3 with recurrent infection), 3 animals, and 4 environmental samples in the Top End; 5 isolates from humans in Hainan Province, China; and 1 isolate from a human in Pingtung County, Taiwan. We conducted whole-genome sequencing using HiSeq 2000, HiSeq 2500, HiSeq 3000, MiSeq, or NovaSeq 6000 (Illumina, Inc., https://www.illumina.com). We also analyzed the genome of a ST562 strain isolated from a water source in Haikou city, Hainan Province, in 1975 (17). In addition, we conducted a global phylogenetic analysis using 281 non-ST562 B. pseudomallei genomes available in public sources (Appendix 1 Table, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-2716-App1.xlsx). We displayed the geographic distribution of Australia ST562 isolates using ArcGIS (https://www.arcgis.com/index.html) with shape files provided by the government of the Northern Territory.

Statistical Analyses
We conducted all analyses using R version 3.6.0 (http://www.r-project.org). We used a 2-tailed Fisher exact test to conduct a bivariate analysis of demographic characteristics, underlying conditions, clinical features, and outcomes of 53 patients with ST562 and 387 patients with non-ST562 B. pseudomallei infection during October 1, 2004–September 30, 2019 in urban Darwin. We considered significant characteristics (i.e., p<0.05 in bivariate analysis) in a binomial multivariable generalized linear model with ST562 infection as the outcome. Because of the strong temporal structure to the data, we also included year of diagnosis as a continuous variable (Appendix 2, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-2716-App2.pdf).

Bioinformatic Analyses
We conducted multiple sequence alignment and variant calling with Snippy version 4.3.6 (https://github.com/tseemann/snippy), using the closed ST562 MSHR5858 genome (18) (GenBank accession nos. CP008891–2) as the reference for ST562 phylogenetic analyses and the closed K96243 genome (19) (GenBank accession nos. BX571965–6) for the global analysis. We conducted maximum-likelihood phylogenetic analyses using IQ-TREE version 1.6.10 (20) and predicted regions of recombination using Gubbins version 2.3.4 (21). We used BEAST 2 (22) for temporal analysis of the core Australia ST562 alignment (Appendix 2).

Results

Australia B. pseudomallei ST562 Epidemiology
During 1989–2019, a total of 61 (5.5%) of 1,108 melioidosis cases were caused by B. pseudomallei ST562. After treatment completion, 3 (5%) patients had recurrent ST562 infection. Fifty-three (87%) ST562 patients resided in urban Darwin and 5 (8%) in an island community 81 km north of Darwin. In addition, 1 patient was...
evacuated from a remote community in East Arnhem Land, Northern Territory, Australia, 6 days after returning from a visit in Darwin; 1 patient lived in a rural community 37 km from Darwin; and 1 patient with an unknown travel history sought treatment at Katherine District Hospital (Katherine, Northern Territory, Australia), 317 km south of Darwin.

During 2005–2019, the proportion of human melioidosis cases caused by ST562 in urban Darwin gradually increased (Figure 1). These cases mostly occurred in 2 hotspot regions: suburbs surrounding a creek where 17 (30%) of 57 melioidosis cases were caused by ST562 and a lagoon where 11 (38%) of 29 cases were caused by ST562. The geographic distribution of cases changed over the 15-year period, moving initially from the creek hotspot to other regions in Darwin and to the island community (Figure 2). Records showed 2 case clusters with known epidemiologic links; the first cluster comprised 5 patients at a hostel in the lagoon hotspot during January 2014–March 2019 and the second comprised 2 persons from separate apartments in the same complex who were each found dead in their apartments on the same day in January 2014. *B. pseudomallei* ST562 was isolated from the autopsy samples of the 2 persons.

In addition, ST562 infections developed in 2 sheep at a veterinary facility in 2009 and 2014 (8) and in a meerkat at a wildlife park in 2015 (23). These cases occurred in the lagoon hotspot. Environmental sampling at these facilities did not reveal *B. pseudomallei* ST562. Furthermore, despite extensive systematic sampling across Darwin in 2017–2018, researchers found ST562 on only 2 occasions, both at the creek hotspot (15). During the investigation of a 2011 melioidosis case in a human, we isolated ST562 from air and soil samples from the lagoon hotspot (24).

**ST562 Risk Factors and Clinical Features**

Among 440 melioidosis patients in urban Darwin during October 1, 2004–September 30, 2019, a significantly higher proportion of patients with ST562 were Aboriginal or Torres Strait Islander (66% vs. 44%; p<0.01), lived in the suburbs surrounding the creek hotspot (33% vs. 11%; p<0.01) or the lagoon hotspot (21% vs. 5%; p<0.01), or reported hazardous alcohol consumption (59% vs. 40%; p = 0.02) (Table 1). In a generalized linear model that included these predictors, only residence in either of the 2 hotspot locations was a significant predictor of infection (Table 1). Pneumonia was the most common manifestation among patients with ST562 (76%) and non-ST562 infections (68%) (Table 2). Among male patients, 10 (32%) with ST562 had a prostate abscess, compared with 33 (15%; p = 0.02) men with non-ST562 infections. In total, 3 patients with ST562 infection died before hospitalization. The proportion of patients with bacteremia, septic shock, or death from melioidosis was not different among those with ST562 versus non-ST562 infection (Table 2).

**Australian *B. pseudomallei* ST562 Diversity**

The 71 ST562 isolates from Australia were closely related with 141 single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) over a core alignment length of 7,071,987 nucleotides. These isolates were substantially less diverse than isolates of ST109, ST36, and ST132, which also are found in Darwin (8). The median pairwise difference among ST562 genomes was 5 SNPs (range 0–16 SNPs). We found a limited phylogenetic structure among the ST562 genomes, with multiple polytomies on maximum-likelihood analysis (Figure 3); we did not identify any recombination. The soil isolate collected from the lagoon hotspot, MSHR4681, differed by only 5 SNPs from the first soil isolate from the creek hotspot, MSHR10541, despite being collected 6 years and 12 km apart. Isolates from 3 patients (MSHR8799, MSHR9707, and MSHR11750) had no known epidemiologic links but were separated by 0 SNPs.

**B. pseudomallei** ST562 Genomic Clusters

The ST562 isolates from cases associated with the hostel were phylogenetically clustered (Figure 3). The first 2 cases occurred 1 day apart in January 2014 and the third occurred in March 2014; isolates from these 3 cases differed by 0 SNPs. A fourth case in December 2014 differed from the first 3 isolates by 1 SNP and a fifth case in March 2019 differed by an additional SNP. This clade also included an ST562 isolate from a patient not initially known to have resided at the hostel; further investigation revealed that this patient had checked

![Figure 1. Distribution of melioidosis cases caused by various STs of *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, Darwin, Australia, 2004–2019. Twelve-month periods reflect the wet season then dry season and span October 1–September 30. ST, sequence type.](image-url)
out of the hostel 6 days before being evacuated from a remote community for melioidosis treatment. Environmental sampling at the hostel did not detect ST562. We advised hostel staff regarding melioidosis prevention, including the importance of protective footwear and remaining indoors during storms.

The isolates from the 2 deceased persons from the apartment complex differed by 7 SNPs and did not fall within the same clade on the phylogenetic tree (Figure 3). However, an isolate from 1 of these patients was separated by 0 SNPs from a clinical isolate collected 10 months earlier from a patient who lived in the adjacent unit. Soil and water sampling of the apartment complex and its surroundings did not identify any *B. pseudomallei* ST562 isolates.

ST562 isolates from air and soil samples at a residence in the lagoon hotspot were separated by 0 SNPs. These isolates differed by 3 SNPs from a clinical isolate from a resident with bacteremic mediastinal melioidosis (24). Thirteen other clinical isolates were more closely related to the air and soil isolates than the clinical isolate from the resident; 1 isolate from a patient 8 years later was identical to the soil and air isolates. That patient lived 3.4 km downwind from the environmental sampling site.

**Recurrent *B. pseudomallei* ST562 Infections**

Of the 3 recurrent ST562 cases, genomic analysis confirmed that 1 case was a new infection and 2 were relapses (Figure 3). The first patient was treated for melioidosis pneumonia in January 2009 and March 2016; isolates from these 2 episodes differed by 9 SNPs and belonged to different phylogenetic clades, suggesting that these illnesses were caused by independent infection events (25). The second patient had *B. pseudomallei* isolated from urine in December 2017. This patient was treated with intravenous therapy for 4 weeks with ceftazidime then meropenem, then for 12 weeks with oral doxycycline. In October 2018, *B. pseudomallei* was again isolated from this patient’s urine; the 2 isolates differed by 5 SNPs and belonged to the same clade, suggesting relapse. The third patient had acute pneumonia in January 2019 and was treated for 4 weeks with intravenous meropenem then ceftazidime, then for 12 weeks with oral trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. His symptoms improved and he returned to his community but was subsequently found dead in August 2019. His autopsy revealed pneumonia caused by an isolate differing from his original infection by only 1 SNP.

**B. pseudomallei** ST562 Origin and Dispersal

The mean estimated clock rate for the 71 Australia *B. pseudomallei* ST562 isolates was $4.11 \times 10^{-8}$ substitutions/site/year (95% highest posterior density [HPD] $2.0-6.2 \times 10^{-8}$ substitutions/site/year) and the median estimate for the time to the most recent
B. pseudomallei ST562, Northern Australia

common ancestor was 1988 (95% HPD 1961–2001) (Figure 4). Isolates from the creek hotspot predominated on the deepest branching clades and were distributed throughout the phylogeny, indicating initial establishment in and dispersal from the creek hotspot. Isolates from patients in the island community formed a clade estimated to have diverged from a common ancestor in 2010 (95% HPD 2004–2014). The 5 isolates from Hainan and the isolate from Taiwan were not included in the molecular dating analysis due to poor clock signal; these isolates were distantly related to ST562 isolates from Australia, differing by 6,252–7,786 SNPs (964–1,453 SNPs when excluding related to ST562 isolates from Australia, differing by 6,252–7,786 SNPs (964–1,453 SNPs when excluding

### Table 1. Demographic characteristics and risk factors for melioidosis caused by Burkholderia pseudomallei ST562, Darwin, Australia, October 1, 2004–September 30, 2019*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>ST, no. (%)</th>
<th>Bivariate model</th>
<th>Multivariable model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>562, n = 53</td>
<td>Other, n = 387</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median age, y (range)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>22 (42)</td>
<td>163 (42)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.99–1.02)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>31 (58)</td>
<td>224 (58)</td>
<td>0.98 (0.52–1.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethnicity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-Indigenous persons</td>
<td>18 (34)</td>
<td>216 (56)</td>
<td>Referent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aboriginal or Torres Strait</td>
<td>35 (66)</td>
<td>171 (44)</td>
<td>2.45 (1.30–4.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Islanders</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hotspot‡</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creek</td>
<td>17 (33)</td>
<td>40 (11)</td>
<td>3.83 (1.84–7.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagoon</td>
<td>11 (21)</td>
<td>18 (5)</td>
<td>5.02 (1.10–12.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying condition</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>28 (53)</td>
<td>177 (46)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.72–2.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hazardous alcohol consumption</td>
<td>31 (58)</td>
<td>156 (40)</td>
<td>2.08 (1.12–3.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic lung disease</td>
<td>18 (34)</td>
<td>104 (27)</td>
<td>1.40 (0.71–2.67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>9 (17)</td>
<td>53 (14)</td>
<td>1.29 (0.52–2.88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congestive cardiac failure or</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>34 (9)</td>
<td>0.82 (0.12–2.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rheumatic heart disease</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignancy</td>
<td>7 (13)</td>
<td>49 (13)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.39–2.52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*OR, odds ratio; ST, sequence type.
†Values are no. (%), except as indicated.
‡Values missing for 1 patient with ST562 and 30 patients with other STs.

Analysis, B. pseudomallei ST562 isolates from Australia were most closely related to isolates from East Asia (Figure 5). The ST562 clade belonged to the larger Asian clade in the global phylogeny (8).

### Discussion

B. pseudomallei ST562 emerged in northern Australia in 2005, fifteen years after the Darwin Prospective Melioidosis Study began genomic surveillance (1,8). Initially, cases of ST562 in northern Australia mostly occurred in a creek hotspot before spreading across Darwin and to an island community to the north. A La Niña period of heavy rainfall during 2010–2012 was associated with increased melioidosis case

### Table 2. Clinical features of melioidosis caused by Burkholderia pseudomallei ST562, Darwin, Australia, October 1, 2004–September 30, 2019*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>ST, no. (%)</th>
<th>Bivariate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>562, n = 53</td>
<td>Other, n = 387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms for &lt;2 months</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary</td>
<td>40 (75)</td>
<td>263 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abscess†</td>
<td>10 (32)</td>
<td>33 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prostatic</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
<td>13 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>25 (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>9 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>51 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin and/or soft tissue</td>
<td>3 (6)</td>
<td>28 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone or joint</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central nervous system</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Severity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteremia†</td>
<td>28 (55)</td>
<td>233 (61)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic shock</td>
<td>12 (23)</td>
<td>66 (17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
<td>7 (13)</td>
<td>35 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NA, not applicable; OR, odds ratio; ST, sequence type.
†Missing data for 1 patient with non-ST562 B. pseudomallei infection. Prostate abscess data is for 31 men with ST562 and 223 men with other STs.
‡Missing data for 2 patients with ST562 and 3 patients with other STs.
numbers in Darwin (26). After this period, the geographic distribution and proportion of cases attributable to ST562 rose. Increased connectivity of waterways and wet conditions might have contributed to ST562 spread in Darwin during this time.

The clinical manifestations, symptom duration, and severity of melioidosis caused by ST562 were similar to those caused by non-ST562 infections, suggesting that host risk factors and route of acquisition contributed to clinical features more than differences in virulence profiles (1,27). The only difference in clinical manifestations was a larger proportion of male ST562 patients with prostate abscesses. Compared with the rate in Asia, the greater melioidosis survival rates observed in Australia are probably improved by greater access to treatment, including intensive care (1,4).

Genomic analysis of ST562 strains from Australia demonstrated very little diversity, suggesting a single introduction event with a probable origin in Asia (8). The only other characterized ST562 isolates in this study are from Hainan Province, China, and from Pingtung County, Taiwan. Comparative genomic analysis showed that the strains from China and Taiwan strains belonged to the same clade but were distantly related to strains in Australia. Researchers have not identified any close relatives of ST562 strains in Australia; their precise origin within Asia remains uncertain.

We estimated that the most recent common ancestor of the ST562 strains in Australia, which indicates the possible time of introduction, occurred in 1988; however, the 95% HPD for this estimate was wide (1961–2001). The estimated clock rate of 4.11 ×
10^-8 substitutions/site/year was lower than previously reported. For example, previous reports estimated the rate for serial isolates in patients with cystic fibrosis as 4.9 × 10^-7 substitutions/site/year (28) and for isolates from a 16-year chronic lung infection as 1.7 × 10^-7 substitutions/site/year (29). For B. pseudomallei groups in Asia and the Americas, the estimated mutation rates range from 1.12 × 10^-6 to 9.22 × 10^-7 substitutions/site/year (2). The variation in these estimates might reflect the difficulty in identifying and excluding SNPs resulting from recombination, the different ecologic conditions and selective pressures of isolates, and inadequate sampling.

B. pseudomallei can exist in a viable but nonculturable state (30) and can persist in the environment in suboptimal conditions outside of regions to which it is endemic; the slow replication rate during these periods might contribute to its slow accumulation of mutations. Cases have sporadically occurred in temperate Western Australia, where 2 isolates from animals on different farms collected 17 years apart differed by just 1 SNP (31). In contrast, the bacteria can evolve rapidly during acute infection; in 1 patient, 8 SNPs and 5 small insertions/deletions developed in a 12-day period (32). We observed similar variability; for example, environmental and clinical

---

Figure 4. Maximum clade credibility tree of Burkholderia pseudomallei sequence type 562 isolates from northern Australia, 2004–2019. Labels indicate nodes with posterior support >0.8.
samples collected 8 years apart differed by 0 SNPs, whereas isolates collected 10 months apart from the same patient differed by 5 SNPs. *B. pseudomallei* replication is probably greater in vivo, with the human host milieu placing the bacterium under greater selective pressure than the natural environment.

**Figure 5.** Maximum-likelihood global phylogeny of *Burkholderia pseudomallei* sequence type 562 isolates from northern Australia, 2004–2019, and genomes available in public sources (Appendix 1 Table, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-2716-App1.xlsx). Strain MSHR5619 (GenBank accession no. ERR298346), which had the most divergent genome, was used as the outgroup. Black circles indicate nodes with approximate likelihood ratio >95 and ultrafast bootstrap >95. Colors indicate geographic origin of samples. Scale bar indicates substitutions per site. ST, sequence type.
Previous epidemiologic investigations of melioidosis clusters in humans and animals suggest differences of ≤1 SNP from an implicated infecting source (15,23,33,34). In the investigation of 2 cases of infection with B. pseudomallei ST325 on the same rural property in northern Australia, there was 1 SNP difference between the 2 clinical isolates and the suspected environmental source, an unchlorinated water tank (33). In a fatal outbreak in a remote island community in northern Australia, 4 B. pseudomallei ST126 clinical isolates differed by ≤1 SNPs from an isolate from the town water supply (34). We confirmed case clusters at a hostel and an apartment complex by combining epidemiologic information and phylogenetic analysis, enabling the identification of previously unassociated cases. There was little diversity among B. pseudomallei ST562 isolates in northern Australia; many epidemiologically unrelated isolates differed by ≤1 SNP. Phylogenetic analysis was required for cluster identification.

Intercontinental dispersal of B. pseudomallei is an extremely unusual event, as demonstrated by the strong phylogeographic signal in the global phylogeny (2,5–8). The mode of ST562 transmission into northern Australia and then to an offshore island is unclear but could have been through soil, plants, animals, or humans (8) or through air during a severe weather event. The bacterium does not survive prolonged exposure to ultraviolet light, which probably limits aerial dispersal (35); many researchers consider long-range intercontinental spread through the air unlikely. However, B. pseudomallei has been isolated from air samples (24,36), suggesting that this route of transmission might be possible across relatively short distances. The dissemination of B. pseudomallei across islands in the Caribbean might have been mediated by hurricanes (37), and the dispersal of B. pseudomallei across northern Australia might be associated with tropical cyclones (38). Tropical cyclones occur every year in northern Australia, and melioidosis clusters can occur after these events (31,39). Clusters also have been associated with typhoons in Taiwan, where studies using multilocus sequence typing have suggested airborne dissemination from soil and an increase in human cases depending on the wind direction (36,40). The distance that B. pseudomallei can travel in such events remains uncertain.

Although B. pseudomallei colonization of humans is rare (29,41), the bacterium has been found in the feces of domestic and wild animals including wallabies, horses, and chickens (42,43), and in the beak of a healthy native peaceful dove (Geopelia placida) (44). A strong association exists between B. pseudomallei presence in soil and disturbance by horses, chickens, and pigs (45). B. pseudomallei has been imported into areas to which it is not endemic and that are associated with exotic animals (46,47), the most dramatic example of which was an outbreak in a zoo in Paris that caused the deaths of ≥2 humans and animals belonging to ≥10 different species (43,48). The outbreak spread from the Paris Zoological Park to the menagerie at the Paris Botanical Gardens and equestrian clubs across France. B. pseudomallei was isolated from horse manure in multiple gardens and from petri dishes placed near manure, suggesting aerosolization. Movement of horses for races contributed to the outbreak.

Animal importation and migratory birds are possible modes by which ST562 could have arrived in Darwin. In the lagoon hotspot, 2 facilities that housed imported animals were the sites of 3 cases in animals of melioidosis caused by B. pseudomallei ST562. A horse racetrack and multiple equestrian clubs are also in the creek and lagoon hotspots. Both hotspots are habitats for water birds, many of which migrate to the region every year through Asia’s great flyways (49) and which could have carried B. pseudomallei ST562 to Darwin from Asia.

Although phylogenetic analysis confirms a single introduction event of Asian origin, how ST562 spread into northern Australia remains unknown. ST562 is now one of the most common B. pseudomallei STs in humans in urban Darwin. However, this ST rarely is isolated from the environment, including at sites associated with outbreaks. Further focused environmental sampling at key sites will help clarify ST562 epidemiology in northern Australia. The expanding capacity for genomic sequencing of B. pseudomallei will probably increase awareness of the ongoing global and regional dispersal of this bacterium and consequent melioidosis cases in humans and animals.
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Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) is an emerging tickborne infectious disease caused by SFTS virus (SFTSV). We report 7 cases of spontaneous fatal SFTS in felines. Necropsies revealed characteristic lesions, including necrotizing lymphadenitis in 5 cases and necrotizing splenitis and SFTSV-positive blastic lymphocytes in all cases. We detected hemorrhagic lesions in the gastrointestinal tract in 6 cases and lungs in 3 cases, suggesting a more severe clinical course of SFTS in felids than in humans. We noted necrotic or ulcerative foci in the gastrointestinal tract in 3 cases, the lung in 2 cases, and the liver in 4 cases. We clarified that blastic lymphocytes are predominant targets of SFTSV and involved in induction of necrotic foci. We also found that thymic epithelial cells were additional targets of SFTSV. These results provide insights for diagnosing feline SFTS during pathological examination and demonstrate the similarity of feline and human SFTS cases.

These data demonstrate the infectivity of SFTSV in these animal species and circulation of SFTSV between ticks and animals in nature. Reported cases of SFTS in cheetahs and domestic cats have shown that nonhuman mammalian species can develop fatal disease similar to human SFTS (11,12). Susceptibility of cats to SFTSV also was confirmed by experimental SFTSV infection in cats, which caused a high incidence of severe hemorrhagic fever (13). Analyses of these cases confirmed shedding of viral particles from saliva and feces (11,13), which can cause transmission of SFTSV from diseased animals to humans (14).

Analysis of animals with SFTSV infection can inform the pathogenesis of SFTS in humans. Experimental infection in wild type and α/β interferon receptor knockout mice, rhesus macaques (Macaca mulatta), and signal transducer and activator of transcription-2 knockout hamsters have been reported (15–19), but hepatitis and splenitis have been reproduced only in hamster models (19). However, hemorrhagic and necrotic lesions in the liver, spleen, intestines, and lymph nodes have been reported only in fatal SFTS cases in humans and experimentally infected felines (13,20–22). Investigations of disease in animals that mimics human SFTS is crucial for informing prevention of animal-to-human transmission and controlling virus transmission among animals and ticks. Analysis of fatal cases in felines can clarify the pathology of SFTS and inform STFS diagnosis in animals. We provide evidence of characteristic macroscopic and microscopic lesions collected from 7 cases of spontaneous fatal SFTS in felines.
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Materials and Methods

Histology
We performed necropsies on 7 cats with SFTS symptoms, such as acute onset of thrombocytopenia, leukocytopenia, and lethargy (Table 1). We confirmed SFTSV infection by conventional reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) using 2 primer pairs targeting the small segment of the SFTSV genome (23). We collected and fixed tissue samples in 10% neutral buffered formalin and then processed the samples to create paraffin-embedded tissue sections. We cut tissue into sections 4-μm thick and stained sections with hematoxylin and eosin for histopathologic examination.

Immunohistochemistry
We subjected the 4-μm thick tissue sections to immunohistochemical staining. After deparaffinization, we performed antigen retrieval by incubating sections in 0.1% trypsin at 37°C for 20 min to obtain immunoglobulin (Ig) lambda chain; or by heating at 121°C for 5 min in pH 6.0 citrate buffer for SFTSV and CD3 staining; or pH 9.0 Tris-EDTA buffer for CD79a and Ki67 staining. After washing with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), we inactivated endogenous peroxidase by immersion in 3% hydrogen peroxide in PBS. After treatment with 5% bovine serum albumin in PBS for 30 min, we incubated the sections with rabbit polyclonal anti-SFTSV antibody (diluted 1:1,000; gift from Shigeru Morikawa, Okayama University of Science, Okayama, Japan); FLEX Polyclonal Rabbit Anti-Human CD3 Ready-to-Use antibody (Dako, https://www.agilent.com); Monoclonal Mouse Anti-Human CD79a Antibody Clone HM57 (diluted 1:50; Dako); Mouse Monoclonal Anti-Ki67 Clone MIB-1 (diluted 1:1,000; eBioscience, https://www.thermofisher.com); or Polyclonal Rabbit Anti-Human Ig Lambda Light Chains (diluted 1:100; Dako). After washing with PBS, we incubated the sections with EnVision+/HRP Rabbit (Dako) horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled polymer anti-rabbit or EnVision+/HRP Mouse (Dako) HRP-labeled polymer anti-mouse. We then visualized positive signals by peroxidase-diaminobenzidine reaction, and counterstained sections with hematoxylin stain.

Immunofluorescence
We performed double immunofluorescence labeling with cytokeratin-SFTSV and CD204-SFTSV on 4-μm thick tissue sections. We performed heat-mediated antigen retrieval for cytokeratin-SFTSV in pH 6.0 citrate buffer and for CD204-SFTSV antigen pH 9.0 Tris-EDTA buffer. After washing with PBS and blocking with 5% bovine serum albumin, we incubated the sections for 1 h at room temperature with a mixture of rabbit polyclonal anti-SFTSV antibody (diluted 1:1,000; TransGenic Inc., https://www.transgenic.co.jp) and mouse monoclonal anti-CD204 (diluted 1:400; TransGenic Inc.) or mouse monoclonal anti-cytokeratin clone AE1/AE3 (diluted 1:200; Dako). After washing with PBS, we incubated the sections in a mixture of Alexa Fluor 488 anti-rabbit IgG (diluted 1:400; Abcam, https://www.abcam.com), Alexa Fluor 594 anti-mouse IgG (diluted 1:400; Abcam), and DAPI (Dojindo, https://www.dojindo.com). We then analyzed the tissue sections by using an LSM 710 (Leica, https://www.leicabiosystems.com) confocal microscope.

Results

Gross Findings
Among the 7 cats with SFTS, gross lesions typically were characterized by changes in the lymphoid organs and hemorrhage (Table 2). In all the cases, we

Table 1. Clinicopathological findings in 7 cats with fatal severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome, Japan*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical findings</th>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinical signs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lethargy</td>
<td></td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurologic signs</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body temperature, °C</td>
<td></td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>39.9</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocytes, 10^4 cells/μL</td>
<td></td>
<td>546</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>593</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytes, cells/μL</td>
<td></td>
<td>3,080</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>1,290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets, cells/μL</td>
<td></td>
<td>38,000</td>
<td>7,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;11,000</td>
<td>52,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT, IU/L</td>
<td></td>
<td>105</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>476</td>
<td>141</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST, IU/L</td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>&gt;1,000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,010</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP, IU/L</td>
<td></td>
<td>188</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin, mg/dL</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPK, IU/L</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>&gt;2,000</td>
<td>&gt;2,000</td>
<td>1,444</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ALP, alkaline phosphatase; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; CPK, creatine phosphokinase; NA, not available.
noted red enlarged lymph nodes from various regions. Although splenomegaly was unclear to mild, we noticed enlarged follicles appearing as multiple white spots in the spleen (Figure 1, panel A) in all cats. We detected hemorrhagic lesions in the gastrointestinal tract in 6 cats (Figure 1, panel B) and in the tracheal region of the lungs in 3 cats (Figure 1, panel C). In 3 cats, gastrointestinal lesions resulted in grossly obvious ulcers (Figure 1, panel D). Jaundice was detected in 5 cats.

**Lymphatic System Lesions**

Histologically, characteristic SFTS lesions were observed in the lymphoid organs, such as the lymph nodes, spleen, and Peyer’s patches. We noted lesions in the collected lymph nodes in all 7 cats (Table 3). In the cortex of SFTSV-affected lymph nodes, we observed an accumulation of the large blastic lymphocytes, as those described in a human case (21). The blastic lymphocyte cells were morphologically characterized by large, clear irregular-shaped nuclei with prominent central nucleoli and were similar to the morphology of the immature activated-B cells, called immunoblasts (Figure 2, panels A, B). Compatible with immunoblast-like cell morphology, these cells were considered cells of the B cell lineage because they were positive for CD79a expression (Figure 2, panel C). Immunohistochemistry also revealed that SFTSV antigens were exclusively detected in these blastic lymphocytes and SFTSV-positive blastic lymphocytes distributed in the cortex and paracortex area surrounding lymphoid follicles (Figure 2, panels D, E). Regardless of enlargement, some lymph nodes had neither SFTSV-positive cells nor necrotic lesions and were simply diagnosed as hyperplastic lymph nodes (Table 3; Figure 2, panel F). In some lymph nodes, the lesions proceeded to necrotizing lymphadenitis with SFTSV-positive blastic lymphocytes (Figure 2, panel G). In all cases, we detected SFTSV-positive blastic lymphocytes and necrotic foci in the spleen, mainly in the follicular area (Figure 2, panel H). We collected the thymus glands from 4 cats and observed infiltration of SFTSV-positive blastic lymphocytes mainly in the cortices of all specimens. Hemorrhagic and necrotic lesions also were observed in the thymus glands. As reported in humans (20–22), we observed numerous hemophagocytic macrophages in the lymphoid organs of all cases.

**Intestinal Tract Lesions**

We noted SFTSV-positive blastic lymphocytes in the intestinal tract in all cases (Figure 3), mainly in the Peyer’s patches, the localized lymphoid follicular

---

**Table 2. Gross lesions in 7 cats with fatal severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome, Japan**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesions</th>
<th>Cases, no. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enteric hemorrhage</td>
<td>6 (85.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal ulcer</td>
<td>3 (42.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulmonary hemorrhage</td>
<td>3 (42.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jaundice</td>
<td>5 (71.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1.** Gross pathology of lesions from cats with fatal severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome, Japan. A) Enlarged follicles (white spots) in the spleen. Ruler represents centimeters. B) Hemorrhage in the colon. Scale bar indicates 1 cm. C) Hemorrhage in the lung; white arrow indicates pulmonary hemorrhage around the trachea. Ruler represents centimeters. D) Gastrointestinal ulcers (black arrows) were also seen in some cases. Scale bar indicates 1 cm.
structure in the intestinal submucosa; some of these cells infiltrated the lamina propria (Figure 3, panels A, B). We observed infiltration of these cells in all hemorrhage lesions and ulcers (Figure 3, panels C, D), suggesting a relationship between blastic lymphocytes and lesions.

### Table 3. Lesions in the lymphatic system from 7 cats with fatal severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome, Japan*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesions</th>
<th>Case no., n = no. lymph nodes assessed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1, n = 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperplasia without SFTSV-positive cells</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SFTSV-positive blastic lymphocytes</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotizing lymphadenitis</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*SFTSV, severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus.

![Histopathological lesions in the lymphoid organs from fatal cases of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) in cats, Japan.](image)
Liver and Lung Lesions

In the livers from 3 cats, we noted formation of small necrotic foci (Figure 4, panel A). The necrotic lesions always were surrounded by SFTSV-positive blastic lymphocytes (Figure 4, panel B), but the cells usually were distributed in the portal area. We also found bile pigmentation and hemophagocytic macrophages in the liver.

Feline SFTS pulmonary lesions consisted of hemorrhage in 3 cases and formation of necrotic foci in the interstitial tissues surrounding the trachea in 2 cases (Figure 4, panel C). Like hepatic necrotic foci, the pulmonary necrotic foci we observed in these cases also showed SFTSV-positive blastic lymphocytes (Figure 4, panel D).

SFTSV-Positive Blastic Lymphocyte Characterization

Immunohistochemistry revealed that SFTSV-positive signals were limited to the blastic lymphocytes, and these were of B cell lineage and expressed CD79a (Figure 2, panel C). We performed further characterization by using immunohistochemical stains against Ig lambda chain and Ki67. The results demonstrated that SFTSV-positive atypical lymphocytes expressed Ig lambda chain and Ki67 (Figure 4, panels E, F). Hence, we considered these cells plasmablasts, which are immature plasma cells retaining proliferation activities.

The presence of SFTSV antigens in macrophages has been reported (15,24). To investigate whether macrophages in our cases consisted of SFTSV-positive cells along with B cells, we performed double immunofluorescence analysis of the macrophage markers CD204 and SFTSV. The results demonstrated that SFTSV-positive cells were not CD204-positive (Figure 5).

SFTSV-Positive Cells in the Thymus

In addition to blastic lymphocytes, we found SFTSV-positive cells with spindle-to-polygonal morphology...
in the thymus gland. Double immunofluorescence analysis of SFTSV and cytokeratin revealed that these cells were thymic epithelial cells (Figure 6).

**Discussion**

We analyzed the pathological changes in 7 fatal cases of SFTS in domestic felids. We detected characteristic lesions in the lymphoid organs, including the lymph nodes and spleen. We observed gross enlargement and hemorrhage of multiple lymph nodes and formation of white spots in the spleen in all 7 cases (Figure 1, panel D). Because these findings are highly suggestive of but not specific for feline SFTS, additional cases with these findings should be further examined to confirm viral infection by testing, such as RT-PCR.

Similar to human cases (20–22), we frequently observed necrotic lymphadenitis in this study and found accumulation of SFTSV-positive atypical lymphocytes in all 7 cases (Table 2). In addition, all 7 cats had necrotic splenitis, similar to human SFTS cases (20,21). Therefore, lesions in the lymphoid organs, or accumulation of atypical B cells can be considered characteristic and highly specific for lesions in SFTS for both humans and felines. Furthermore, these lesions can be useful indicators of whether experimental infection in laboratory animals appropriately reproduces spontaneous SFTS. In fact, feline cases of experimental infection showed necrotic lymphadenitis and splenitis (13).

Although some reports have detected SFTSV antigens in macrophages, our study demonstrated that SFTSV-positive cells mostly were blastic lymphocytes (Figure 2, panels B–D; Figure 4, panels E, F). Immunofluorescence revealed that only punctate signals were detected in the macrophages (Figure 5). This result indicates that macrophages can phagocytose SFTSV but do not support SFTSV replication. Our findings also showed that SFTSV targets the cells of B-cell lineage

---

**Figure 4.** Necrotic foci in the liver and lung from fatal cases of severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) in cats, Japan. A, B) Hematoxylin & eosin (HE)–stained (A) and immunohistochemistry-stained (B) liver sections demonstrating SFTS virus–positive blastic lymphocytes in the necrotic foci. Scale bars indicate 100 μm. C, D) HE-stained (C) and immunohistochemistry-stained (D) lung sections demonstrating lymphocytes in the necrotic foci from the lungs. Scale bars indicates 200 μm. E, F) Ki67 (E) and Ig lambda chain (F) immunohistochemistry positively staining blastic lymphocytes. Scale bars indicates 10 μm.
and that plasmablasts were the predominant site of viral replication. Such tropism of SFTSV to plasmablast also has been reported in human cases and in vitro analysis clarified SFTSV targeted plasmablastic cell line, not B cell lymphoma cell lines (25). However, why large numbers of plasmablasts appeared and accumulated in the lymph nodes remains unclear. Dysregulated immunological response of plasmablasts to SFTSV infection and viral modulation of host-plasmablast dynamics are 2 possible causes of plasmablast accumulation in the lymph nodes. Furthermore, in all cases, we found SFTSV-positive blastic lymphocytes in and around the intestinal ulcerative lesions (Figure 3, panel F) and necrotic foci in the liver and lungs (Figure 4, panels A–D), suggesting a role of blastic lymphocytes in the pathogenesis of ulcers and necrotic lesions. Depositing of Ig in the necrotic foci and expression of death ligands in SFTSV-positive cells should be analyzed in future cases. Expression of cell death-inducing factors on SFTSV-positive blastic lymphocytes, such as self-reactive Ig and death ligands, could indicate a relationship between these cells and necrotic foci; further study is warranted. Our study demonstrated that the thymic epithelial cells can be another target of SFTSV. However, the significance of viral infection in the thymic epithelium is unclear.

Gastrointestinal manifestation is one of the clinical features of human SFTS (1–4). A lethal case of
severe intestinal hemorrhage and another case of multiple gastrointestinal ulceration have been reported in humans (20,26). However, the incidence of these lesions is unclear because cases without obvious intestinal findings also have been reported (21). Experimental SFTSV infection in cats and our results have demonstrated a high rate of gastrointestinal hemorrhage (6/7 cases; Figure 1, panel A) and gastrointestinal ulcers (3/7 cases; Figure 3, panel E), which suggests that human and feline cases share a common pathogenesis and that feline cases show more severe gastrointestinal lesions than human cases (13). Pulmonary hemorrhage and necrotic foci also suggest the severity of feline SFTS. This severe pathogenesis in many tissues can cause high lethality, ≈70% (27), suggesting that feline SFTS is a typical lethal viral hemorrhagic fever.

Jaundice was frequently observed in our cases (Table 2) and in experimental infection in cats (13). Also, marked elevation of serum hepatic enzymes were detected in most cases (Table 1). However, in this study, morphologic lesions in the liver were only sporadic small necrotic foci, insufficient to cause systemic jaundice and marked elevation of serum hepatic enzymes. Microscopic lesions of the liver were also mild in human SFTS cases and in cats with experimental infection (13,21). These findings suggest microscopically undetectable hepatic damage or functional failure of the hepatobiliary system. Further analysis is needed to clarify the mechanism of liver damage in SFTS.

Other typical clinical manifestations of SFTS in humans include neurologic signs, thrombocytopenia, and leukocytopenia (1–4). Although neurologic signs were unclear in the cats in our study, thrombocytopenia and leukocytopenia were clinically detected. Analysis of the bone marrow and central nervous system in feline SFTS cases will help clarify pathogenesis.

Our study demonstrated typical lesions of spontaneous fatal cases of feline SFTS, consisting of similar pathological lesions and a more severe hemorrhagic tendency than in human SFTS cases. Information on disease in animals that mimics human SFTS can help prevent animal-to-human transmission. Thus, we believe feline models can be used to study the pathogenesis of SFTS.
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Pneumonia caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 emerged in China at the end of 2019. Because of the severe immunomodulation and lymphocyte depletion caused by this virus and the subsequent administration of drugs directed at the immune system, we anticipated that patients might experience fungal superinfection. We collected data from 186 patients who had coronavirus disease–associated pulmonary aspergillosis (CAPA) worldwide during March–August 2020. Overall, 182 patients were admitted to the ICU, including 180 with acute respiratory distress syndrome and 175 who received mechanical ventilation. CAPA was diagnosed a median of 10 days after coronavirus disease diagnosis. *Aspergillus fumigatus* was identified in 80.3% of patient cultures, 4 of which were azole-resistant. Most (52.7%) patients received voriconazole. In total, 52.2% of patients died; of the deaths, 33.0% were attributed to CAPA. We found that the cumulative incidence of CAPA in the ICU ranged from 1.0% to 39.1%.
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Cases of pneumonia caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) were first described in Wuhan, China, at the end of December 2019 (1). The infection rapidly spread, causing the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic (2). Because SARS-CoV-2 and treatments such as dexamethasone or tocilizumab can impair the immune system, some researchers anticipated the possibility of fungal superinfection among COVID-19 patients (3–6). As of August 2020, researchers have documented COVID-19–associated pulmonary aspergillosis (CAPA) (7–9), invasive candidiasis (10), coccidioidomycosis (11), fusariosis (12), histoplasmosis (13), mucormycosis (14), pneumocystosis (15), and saccharomyces (16). Varying cumulative rates of CAPA have been described, including rates of 0.7%–7.7% among COVID-19 patients (17, 18), 2.5%–39.1% among ICU patients with COVID-19 (19, 20), and 3.2%–29.6% among COVID-19 patients on mechanical ventilation.
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ventilation (7,17). Many of these patients lack the concurrent conditions usually associated with invasive pulmonary aspergillosis (IPA) such as malignancies, neutropenia, or history of allogeneic stem cell or solid organ transplantation (21). Admission to the ICU or severe influenza are also risk factors for IPA in non-neutropenic patients (22–25). Reports of CAPA have been mostly limited to a few single-center studies; therefore, a comprehensive analysis of international distribution currently is lacking (4).

We analyzed reports in the literature (26–50; references 51–54 in Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4895-App1.pdf) and the FungiScope registry (reference 55 in Appendix) to describe baseline conditions, clinical management, and associated deaths in CAPA patients. This analysis also contextualizes the available cumulative incidences.

**Methods**

We conducted a retrospective analysis using clinical data of patients worldwide who received a CAPA diagnosis during March 1–August 31, 2020. Our analysis comprised data from the FungiScope registry and academic literature (Figure 1).

FungiScope (https://www.clinicaltrials.gov; National Clinical Trials identifier NCT01731353) is a global registry for emerging invasive fungal infections. FungiScope was approved by the local ethics committee of the University of Cologne, Cologne, Germany (study ID 05-102). The registry includes patients with invasive aspergillosis since 2019. FungiScope’s methods have been described previously (reference 55 in Appendix).

In addition, we conducted a literature search using the PubMed database (https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) for suspected CAPA cases occurring in March–August 2020. We used the search string “(Aspergillus*) AND (invasive OR putative OR probable OR infection OR case OR patient OR report) AND (COVID* OR corona* OR SARS-CoV-2),” which identified 59 published articles. We reviewed and extracted relevant data from each of the publications. When necessary, we contacted authors for additional details (Appendix).

![Figure 1. Enrollment process in study of patients with CAPA, March–August 2020.](https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4895-App1.pdf)

128 COVID-19 patients collected from FungiScope registry suspected to have IA

59 publications identified by predefined search algorithm

36 publications excluded because of:
- 35 lack of CAPA patients
- 1 inaccessible patient-level data

23 publications with 153 COVID-19 patients suspected to have IA

4 extra publications from extracted literature with 7 COVID-19 patients suspected to have IA

27 publications with 160 COVID-19 patients suspected to have IA

288 COVID-19 patients suspected to have IA

59 duplicate cases collected both from FungiScope registry and the literature

221 COVID-19 patients suspected to have IA

186 CAPA patients

43 colonizations

We reviewed each patient report using multiple diagnostic definitions. First, we evaluated the patients according to the consensus definition of Koehler et al. (reference 56 in Appendix); we classified the patients as having proven, probable, or possible CAPA. We used alternative definitions to evaluate patients who were nonclassifiable because of lack of essential information, such as the volume of saline recovered by nondirected bronchial lavage (NBL) fluid applied. We categorized the nonclassifiable patients as proven or probable according to the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group criteria for invasive fungal infections (21) or as proven, putative, and colonized according to the AspICU algorithm for IPA in critically ill ICU patients by Blot et al. (23). We considered severe COVID-19 with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) to be a valid host criterion (i.e., acquired immunodeficiency) (8). We considered patients who met ≥1 definition to have CAPA; we categorized the rest as nonclassifiable.

We collected data on patients’ demographic characteristics and baseline conditions. We also collected data on abnormal radiographic images, mycologic evidence, signs and symptoms at CAPA diagnosis, site of infection, antifungal susceptibility testing, antifungal treatment, death at 6 and 12 weeks after CAPA diagnosis, and absolute death. In addition, we calculated the length of time between COVID-19 and CAPA diagnoses, CAPA diagnosis and most recent healthcare contact with the patient, ICU admission and CAPA diagnosis, and installation of mechanical ventilation and CAPA diagnosis. The contribution of CAPA to patient death (i.e., attributable mortality) was assessed by the treating medical team (Appendix Table 2). To determine the cumulative incidence of CAPA in the facilities included in the analysis, we asked each institution for 3 different denominators: the total number of COVID-19 patients, the number of COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU, and the number of COVID-19 patients admitted to the ICU who needed mechanical ventilation during March–August 2020.

Statistical Analysis
We did not calculate an a priori sample size for this exploratory study. To analyze the demographic and clinical characteristics of patients with CAPA, we describe categorical variables using frequencies and percentages; we describe continuous variables using medians and interquartile ranges (IQRs). We used SPSS Statistics 25.0 (IBM, https://www.ibm.com) for statistical analyses.

Results
We identified 186 CAPA cases during March 1–August 31, 2020, in 17 different countries, according to European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group criteria (21), Blot et al. algorithm (23), and Koehler et al. consensus definition (reference 56 in Appendix) (Figures 1, 2; Appendix Table 1). We identified 62 (33.3%) cases from literature, 45 (24.2%) from the FungiScope registry, and an additional 79 (42.5%) in both sources (Table 1). The median age among persons with CAPA was 68 years (IQR 59–73 years; range 15–87 years). Most (135; 72.6%) patients were men (Table 2).

Nearly all (182; 97.8%) patients were admitted to the ICU, most for ARDS (180; 96.8%) or mechanical ventilation (175; 94.1%). Other common baseline conditions and characteristics included corticosteroid administration (98; 52.7%), chronic cardiovascular disease (94; 50.5%), renal failure (74; 39.8%), diabetes mellitus (64; 34.4%), and obesity (47; 25.3%). Overall, 40 (21.5%) patients had chronic pulmonary disease (Table 2).

In total, 110 (59.1%) patients received either hydroxychloroquine (98; 52.7%) or chloroquine (12; 6.5%) for treatment of COVID-19. Sixty-eight (36.6%) patients received corticosteroids, mainly methylprednisolone monotherapy (26; 14.0%) or antivirals (67; 36.0%), especially lopinavir/ritonavir monotherapy (56; 30.1%). COVID-19 treatment had a median duration of 7 days before recovery or death (IQR 6–11 days; range 1–32 days) (Table 2; Appendix Table 3).

In 152 (81.7%) patients, CAPA was diagnosed a median of 10 days (IQR 5–16 days; range 0–51 days) after a positive respiratory sample for SARS-CoV-2 infection by reverse transcription PCR. Among all patients, *Aspergillus fumigatus* was the most frequently reported (122/152; 65.6%) pathogen. Six patients (3.2%) had cultures positive for >1 *Aspergil- lus* species. Samples mainly were from bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) (50; 26.9%), tracheal aspirates (48; 25.8%), or bronchial aspirates (34; 18.3%). In 55 (29.6%) patients, culture was the only diagnostic tool that produced a positive result. Galactomannan (GM) levels were positive (i.e., optical density index ≥1.0) in samples from 113 (60.8%) patients, including BAL samples from 63 (33.9%) patients, serum or plasma from 29 (15.6%), and NBL from 22 (11.8%). Histologic techniques were used for diagnosis in 7...
(3.8%) cases. Abnormal radiographic imaging was found in 182 (97.8%) patients, either in computed tomography scans (94; 50.5%), in chest radiographs (48; 25.8%), or both (40; 21.5%) (Table 2).

Overall, 30 (16.1%) patients provided samples for ≥1 antifungal susceptibility test, such as microdilution according to European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing guidelines (20; 10.8%) (reference 57 in Appendix), Etest (11; 5.9%), and Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute microdilution procedures (1; 0.5%) (reference 58 in Appendix). The tests were predominantly performed on A. fumigatus (29; 15.6%) isolates, 3 of which had the TR34L98H resistance mutation in the cyp51A gene. One (0.5%) patient had voriconazole-resistant A. lentulus (MIC 2 µg/mL by EUCAST guidelines) (Appendix Table 4).

Of 186 CAPA patients, 49 (26.3%) patients did not receive mold-active antifungal therapy. The most common treatments were triazoles (117; 62.9%), especially voriconazole (98; 52.7%, including 79 patients for whom voriconazole was a first-line treatment) and isavuconazole (23; 12.4%). In total, 34 (19.4%) patients received amphotericin B, especially liposomal amphotericin B (23; 12.4%). Of the patients who received amphotericin B, 15 (65.2%) received it as first-line treatment. Antifungal treatment was administered for a median of 16 days before recovery or death (IQR 10–33 days; range 1–92 days) (Table 2; Appendix Table 5).

In total, 97 (52.2%) patients died, most (89; 47.8%) ≤6 weeks after CAPA diagnosis. In 32 (17.2%) patients, death was attributed to Aspergillus; including 25 (13.4%) patients who died of aspergillosis and COVID-19 infection. Patients were observed for a median of 22 days (IQR 7–42 days; range 0–144 days) after CAPA diagnosis; survivors were treated for a median of 40 days (IQR 28–50 days; range 1–144 days) and patients who died for a median of 9 days (IQR 3–18 days; range 0–144 days) (Table 2).

In total, 19 of 39 institutions provided denominators for cumulative incidence over the duration of the study period. The CAPA incidence among all COVID-19 patients ranged from 0.1%–9.7%. Among COVID-19 patients admitted to ICU, cumulative incidences ranged from 1.0%–39.1%. Among COVID-19 patients admitted to ICU who needed mechanical ventilation, cumulative incidences ranged from 1.1%–47.4% (Table 3).

Discussion

We described 62 CAPA cases in the literature, 45 in the FungiScope registry, and 79 in both that were diagnosed during March 1–August 31, 2020. Men had a higher (2.6:1) prevalence of CAPA than women. This finding corresponds with a meta-analysis of >3 million COVID-19 patients that showed that men were at increased risk for severe COVID-19
and therefore complications such as CAPA (reference 59 in Appendix).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Pathogens of 186 patients with coronavirus disease—associated pulmonary aspergillosis, March–August 2020*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Characteristic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathogens†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus fumigatus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. niger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. flavus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. terreus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. calidoustus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. lentulus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. nidulans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. penicilliodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. versicolor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. tubingensis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus spp. (culture)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspergillus spp. (serologic techniques)§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other pathogens§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Case definition</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EORTC/MSG criteria (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonclassifiable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AspICU algorithm (23)¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colonization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonclassifiable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consensus definition (reference 57 in Appendix)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonclassifiable¶#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Myellic evidence</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microscopy†‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic techniques‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCRS§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galactomannan test¶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Some patients had ≥1 pathogen or form of mycologic evidence. BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; EORTC/MSG, European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Mycoses Study Group (21).
†A total of 2 patients had A. fumigatus and A. niger coinfection, 1 patient had A. flavus and A. fumigatus coinfection, 1 patient had A. flavus and A. niger coinfection, 1 patient had A. fumigatus and A. terreus coinfection, and 1 patient had A. fumigatus and A. versicolor coinfection.
‡One patient had an Aspergillus spp. infection diagnosed by culture. No species determination was provided. Other patient samples were diagnosed as Aspergillus spp, using serologic techniques.
§Small numbers of other pathogens were also retrieved from patient samples (Appendix Table 6, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-1695-App1.pdf).
¶AspICU method uses algorithm described by Blot et al. (23) for determining proven or putative aspergillosis in patients with influenza.
#Up to 78 cases (41.9%) were considered nonclassifiable according to the definition (reference 56 in Appendix) because of lack of specific details about the type of aspiration performed. Of these, 75 (96.2%) were classified as putative according to the Blot et al. algorithm (23) and 3 (3.8%) as probable according to EORTC/MSG criteria (21).
**Culture was used to analyze 50 BAL, 47 tracheal aspirate, 34 bronchial aspirate, 17 nondirected bronchial lavage, 3 sputum, 2 nonspecified lower respiratory tract, and 1 BAL and tracheal aspirate sample.
††Microscopy was used to analyze 1 BAL, 1 bronchial aspirate, and 1 tracheal aspirate sample.
¶¶Histologic techniques were used to analyze 7 lung tissue samples.
§§PCR was used to analyze 16 BAL, 12 tracheal aspirate, 10 nondirected bronchial lavage, 3 bronchial aspirate, 1 lung tissue, and 1 serum sample.
¶¶¶Galactomannan tests were used to analyze 63 BAL, 30 serum or plasma, 22 nondirected bronchial lavage, 8 tracheal aspirate, 3 bronchial aspirate, and 1 sputum sample.

Most (97.8%) patients were admitted to the ICU, mainly because of ARDS, need for mechanical ventilation, or both. We found that corticosteroid administration, chronic cardiovascular disease, renal failure, diabetes mellitus, and obesity were common characteristics among these patients. Approximately 1 in 5 patients had chronic pulmonary disease. Patients had many similarities to influenza-associated pulmonary aspergillosis (IAPA) patients from Schauwvliege et al. (22), including similar rates of mechanical ventilation (IAPA 90.0% vs. CAPA 94.1%), corticosteroid administration (IAPA 56.0% vs. CAPA 52.7%), baseline renal failure (IAPA 42.0% vs. CAPA 39.8%), obesity (IAPA 30.0% vs. CAPA 25.3%), and chronic pulmonary disease (IAPA 16.0% vs. CAPA 21.5%). IAPA patients had a higher proportion of malignancies (30.0% vs. 11.3%) and solid organ transplantation (13.0% vs. 2.7%); however, CAPA patients had a higher prevalence of diabetes mellitus (12.0% vs. 34.4%). In our study, 50.5% of patients had chronic cardiovascular disease. These differences in the distribution of baseline characteristics between IAPA and CAPA patients reflect the epidemiology of COVID-19, which is more common among those with chronic cardiovascular disease, whereas hematologic or oncologic malignancies (22) are more common among those with IAPA (reference 60 in Appendix). Only 2% of COVID-19 patients have cancer (reference 61 in Appendix).

Available guidelines for aspergillosis management recommend diagnostic procedures such as respiratory culture and galactomannan index of BAL samples (references 60,62 in Appendix). However, these procedures have a high risk for aerosolization; safety precautions should be used when handling samples from COVID-19 patients (references 63,64 in Appendix). The elevated risk for SARS-CoV-2 transmission and the initial recommendation against using bronchoscopy for COVID-19 diagnosis (references 63,64 in Appendix) might explain the low number of BAL tests used to diagnose CAPA in our study. Schauwvliege et al. (22) diagnosed IAPA by using BAL cultures in 63.0% of the patients and the galactomannan test in 88.0%. In the current study, BAL cultures tested positive for Aspergillus in 26.9% of COVID-19 patients; galactomannan tests were positive in 33.9% of patients. Alternative respiratory sample sources (e.g., bronchial aspirate, NBL, tracheal aspirate, and sputum) were used for cultures in 35.4% of IAPA patients (22) and 31.2% of CAPA patients. Alternative samples also were used for galactomannan tests in 17.2% of CAPA patients; if optical density index cutoff values were not standardized for alternative samples, clinicians used the values for BAL.
Table 2. Characteristics of 186 patients with coronavirus disease–associated pulmonary aspergillosis, March–August 2020*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient characteristic</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>51 (27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>135 (72.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median age, y (IQR)</strong></td>
<td>68 (58–73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>COVID-19†</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median length of treatment, d (IQR)</td>
<td>186 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median time from COVID-19 diagnosis to CAPA, d (IQR)</td>
<td>10 (5–16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intensive care unit stay</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median length of stay before CAPA diagnosis, d (IQR)</td>
<td>182 (97.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute respiratory distress syndrome</td>
<td>180 (96.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical ventilation</td>
<td>175 (94.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median time on ventilation before CAPA diagnosis, d (IQR)</td>
<td>7 (3–13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Corticosteroid use</strong></td>
<td>98 (52.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Concurrent conditions</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic cardiovascular disease</td>
<td>94 (50.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal failure‡</td>
<td>74 (39.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>64 (34.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>47 (25.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic pulmonary disease</td>
<td>40 (21.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematologic or oncologic disease§</td>
<td>21 (11.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematologic malignancy</td>
<td>10 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid tumor</td>
<td>9 (4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematologic disease</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid organ transplantation¶</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutropenia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other baseline conditions and characteristics#</td>
<td>70 (37.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung infection</td>
<td>186 (100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image abnormalities of the lungs</td>
<td>182 (97.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computed tomography scan</td>
<td>134 (72.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiograph</td>
<td>88 (47.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Antifungal treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median length of treatment, d (IQR)</td>
<td>137 (73.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amphotericin B</td>
<td>36 (19.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liposomal</td>
<td>23 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deoxycholate</td>
<td>11 (5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid complex</td>
<td>2 (1.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echinocandins</td>
<td>24 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anidulafungin</td>
<td>10 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspofungin</td>
<td>13 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micafungin</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivabradinogin</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triazoles</td>
<td>117 (62.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>98 (52.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isavuconazole</td>
<td>23 (12.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posaconazole</td>
<td>4 (2.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>1 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall mortality</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6 wks</td>
<td>97 (52.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤12 wks</td>
<td>89 (47.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median time to death, d (IQR)</strong></td>
<td>93 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cause of death</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAPA</td>
<td>32 (17.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19</td>
<td>51 (27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>36 (19.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median length of observation from CAPA diagnosis, d (IQR)</strong></td>
<td>22 (7–42)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no. (%), except as indicated. Some patients had >1 baseline condition or characteristic, image abnormality, or antifungal drug. CAPA, COVID-19–associated pulmonary aspergillosis; COVID-19, coronavirus disease.
‡In total, 9 patients had hematologic malignancy; 3 had chronic leukemia, 3 had lymphoma, 2 had myelodysplastic syndrome, and 1 had acute leukemia. Eight patients had a solid tumor: 1 had breast cancer, 1 had carcinoma, 1 had cervical/uterine cancer, 1 had lung cancer, 1 had esophageal carcinoma, 1 had prostate cancer, 1 had testicular cancer, and 1 had urothelial carcinoma. Two patients had hematologic disease: 1 had acquired hemophilia type A and 1 had hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis.
§In total, 3 patients had a kidney transplant, 1 had a liver transplant, and 1 had a lung transplant.
#Small numbers of patients had other concurrent conditions and characteristics (Appendix Table 7).
**In total, 32 patients died of CAPA or CAPA/COVID-19: 7 died of CAPA only; 25 died of CAPA and COVID-19. In addition, 26 died of COVID-19 only.
Almost all (97.8%) patients had imaging abnormalities; however, many had only marginally typical features of aspergillosis, hampering the differential diagnosis of CAPA according to radiologic criteria.

Positive isolates were recovered from 81.7% of CAPA patients. Similar to IAPA patients, the most common (80.3%) pathogen was *A. fumigatus* (22). In total, 5 patients had azole-resistant infections: 4 *A. fumigatus* and 1 *A. lentulus* infection. We noted 2 patients who had a possible previous exposure to triazoles. The professions of these 2 patients involved exposure to fungicides and manipulated organic matter containing triazole-resistant *A. fumigatus*. Therefore, the treating teams hypothesized that workplace exposure might have contributed to these patients’ illness. We found a similar proportion of patients with previous azole exposure as Verweij et al. (reference 65 in Appendix); however, the proportion found by Verweij et al. should be considered with caution because of small sample size.

Triazoles, especially voriconazole, were the most frequently administered antifungal drugs: 52.7% of the study cohort and 71.5% of the patients on antifungal treatment received voriconazole. We found that voriconazole use was associated with decreased death. The first-line use of voriconazole in 79 (80.6%) of 98 patients aligns with current recommendations (references 56,60,62 in Appendix).

We found a 50% mortality rate at 12 weeks after CAPA diagnosis. This finding is similar to the 51.0% mortality rate of IAPA patients in the same timeframe; however, these rates are almost 20 points higher than in other cohorts, such as aspergillosis patients with acute leukemia (33.8%) (reference 66 in Appendix). Nonetheless, in our study CAPA was attributed as the main reason for death in only 17.2% of the patients, whereas in Koehler et al. (reference 66 in Appendix), it was the main cause of death for 26.9% of patients with hematologic conditions.

We found an overall 6.9% cumulative incidence for CAPA among patients during the study period, although incidences varied by institution (1.0%–39.1% of CAPA patients admitted to ICU). In most facilities, the rates of CAPA were lower than those of IAPA (14%–19%) (reference 67 in Appendix). However, these ranges might vary according to diagnostic protocols in the different countries and healthcare facilities. Differences in screening practices for CAPA in COVID-19 patients might have affected detection rates and therefore our calculations of cumulative incidence (8). Further analyses are necessary to establish the geographic variance of this rate.

The first limitation of this study is that, because of the cross-sectional design of this study, we could not control for disease severity. Second, samples from the lower respiratory tract are the best way to differentiate between colonization and infection, but a low percentage of patients in this study had mycologic evidence from BAL culture or galactomannan tests. Third, we analyzed many cases from literature and could not contact certain authors for further details. In addition, institutions might not have documented all CAPA cases in the literature or FungiScope registry. Given the regional variability of the patient distribution,
longitudinal studies might be a more appropriate tool to determine rates. Finally, because of the retrospective nature of the study, we could not retrieve the necessary clinical and diagnostic details of all patients. As a result, many patients were not classifiable according to the definitions used in this article, possibly contributing to an underdiagnosis of CAPA.

In conclusion, we described a large cohort of CAPA patients using cases from the literature and the FungiScope registry. CAPA occurs mostly in ICU patients on mechanical ventilation. We found that CAPA patients had high rates of chronic cardiovascular disease, renal failure, diabetes mellitus, and corticosteroid use. We also found that CAPA substantially contributed to a high death rate in COVID-19 patients, although cumulative incidence varied by treatment site. We believe that improved screening can identify and enable early treatment of CAPA.
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Neisseria meningitidis, a gram-negative bacterium, is the causative agent for meningococcal meningitis and septicemia and has been associated with isolated cases, outbreaks, and epidemics worldwide (1). The rapid progression of invasive meningococcal disease (IMD) and its high incidence of severe illness and death make IMD a feared and closely monitored disease. N. meningitidis is classified into 12 groups on the basis of capsular polysaccharide structure, but 6 groups (A, B, C, W, X, and Y) cause most life-threatening IMD (2). Most meningococcal disease is caused by hyperinvasive lineages belonging to specific clonal complexes (CC) as defined by multilocus sequence typing (MLST) (3), including CC32, CC41/44, CC11, and CC5 (4). Obtaining complete genetic information and resolving the evolutionary relationships of invasive pathogens are vital for identifying the origins and expansion of new pathogenic strains.

Group W CC11 (W:CC11) meningococci emerged as a global cause of IMD after an outbreak in Mecca, Saudi Arabia, in 2000 (5). High levels of group W disease have recently occurred in many countries and regions, including the United Kingdom (6), Sweden (7), Australia (8), and North America (9,10), and whole-genome sequencing (WGS) has been used to investigate its spread. Core-genome MLST found that group W:CC11 isolates were distinct from groups B and C sequence type (ST) 11 isolates (11). Currently, 4 major W:CC11 strains belonging to 2 major lineages are circulating globally: the Hajj strain sublineage, including the Hajj strain (12), and the South America strain sublineage, which includes the South America strain that emerged in 2003 in southern Brazil (13); the UK strain, a variant of the South America strain; and the 2013 UK strain (14), which has expanded into several countries (8,9,15).

During 1991–2008, New Zealand experienced a prolonged epidemic of IMD; most cases were caused by a single N. meningitidis group B strain (NZMenB), defined by PorA type P1.7–2,4 and belonging to CC41/44 (16,17). A strain-specific vaccine, MeNZB, was introduced in 2004 (18) and withdrawn in 2008 after rates of IMD decreased (19). The NZMenB strain continues to cause about one third of meningococcal infections in New Zealand, but other group B, C, W, and Y strains are also circulating (20). Recently, incidence of IMD caused by W:CC11 has increased in New Zealand. To learn more about genomics surveillance is an essential part of effective disease control, enabling identification of emerging and expanding strains and monitoring of subsequent interventions. Whole-genome sequencing was used to analyze the genomic diversity of all Neisseria meningitidis isolates submitted to the New Zealand Meningococcal Reference Laboratory during 2013–2018. Of the 347 isolates submitted for whole-genome sequencing, we identified 68 sequence types belonging to 18 clonal complexes (CC). The predominant CC was CC41/44; next in predominance was CC11. Comparison of the 45 New Zealand group W CC11 isolates with worldwide representatives of group W CC11 isolates revealed that the original UK strain, the 2013 UK strain, and a distinctive variant (the 2015 strain) were causing invasive group W meningococcal disease in New Zealand. The 2015 strain also demonstrated increased resistance to penicillin and has been circulating in Canada and several countries in Europe, highlighting that close monitoring is needed to prevent future outbreaks around the world.
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the genetic diversity associated with IMD after the epidemic, we used WGS to analyze 347 isolates collected during 2013–2018. We determined their clonal relationship and compared the genomes of the New Zealand W:CC11 isolates with global representatives of W:CC11 lineages.

Methods

Surveillance and Epidemiologic Analysis
Meningococcal disease is a notifiable disease in New Zealand; all IMD cases are referred to the Meningococcal Reference Laboratory at the Institute of Environmental Science and Research (ESR) for routine grouping using slide agglutination or PCR (21). For this study, disease incidence and case demographics were derived from notification data extracted from the national notifiable disease surveillance database. Annual population denominators were taken from Statistics New Zealand. We used R version 3.4.4 (https://www.r-project.org) to perform all statistical tests. Shannon–Wiener diversity index was calculated by using vegan version 2.5.6 (22).

WGS
We analyzed all available meningococcal isolates from 2013–2018 in New Zealand by WGS (Appendix 1 Table 1, https://www.cdc.gov/eid/article/27/4/19-1716-App1.xlsx). Genomic DNA was purified by using the Gentra Puregene Yeast/Bact. Kit (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com) or High Pure PCR Template Preparation Kit (Roche, https://www.roche.com) according to the manufacturer’s protocols. A 10-µL loop of bacteria, grown overnight on Columbia blood agar plates (Fort Richard Laboratories, Auckland, NZ), was suspended in 300 µL of lysis buffer and heat-killed at 56°C for 1 h. We then quantified DNA by using the Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA assay kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, https://www.thermofisher.com) and constructed libraries by using Nextera-XT DNA Library Preparation Kit (Illumina, https://www.illumina.com). Paired-end sequencing of 2 × 150 bp was performed on the Illumina platform at ESR. Read data are available for download from the National Center for Biotechnology Information Sequence Read Archive (Bioproject accession no. PRJNA592848) and from PubMLST (https://pubmlst.org).

Genomic Analysis
Raw reads were quality trimmed by using Trimmomatic version 0.32 (23) to remove adapters, low quality bases (<Q20), and reads shorter than 60 bp. SPAdes version 3.10.1 (24) was used for assembly, and contigs >200 bp were kept. Assembled contigs were used for in silico MLST, capsular grouping, and antigen typing by using meningotype version 0.82-β (25). Single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were identified by aligning quality-trimmed paired-end reads to the *N. meningitidis* reference sequence FAM18 (GenBank accession no. AM421808.1) and using Bowtie2 version 2.2.5 (26). Alignments were processed with Picard-tools (27) to remove duplicated reads and assessed with Qualimap version 2.2.1 (28) (Appendix 1 Table 2). We used FreeBayes version 1.2.0–2-g29c4002 (E. Garrison, unpub. data, https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3907) to detect sequence variations among isolates with these settings: ploidy = 1, at 20× minimum depth and 70% minimum variant allele frequency. We filtered variants by using vcflib (29) and vcftools version 0.1.12b (30) and removed sites located in the tandem repeat regions by using the intersect function from BEDTools version 2.23.0 (31). For datasets that included only assembled genomes, we used Parsnp version 1.1.2 (32) to perform core-genome alignment and FAM18 (AM421808.1) as reference.

Phylogenetic Analysis
We constructed phylogenetic analyses from core SNP alignment by using the maximum-likelihood method under the general time reversible substitution model with RAxML version 8.2.12 (33) and estimated the relative robustness of the clades with 200 bootstrap replicates (34). We used ClonalFrameML version 1.25 (35) to construct recombination-corrected phylogeny on the basis of core SNP tree topology. For datasets that included only assembled genomes, we generated a maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree by using RAxML on the basis of the core-genome alignment with 200 bootstrap replicates. Phylogenies were annotated by using iTOL (36).

Selection of Global Group W:CC11 Isolates
We compared New Zealand group W:CC11 isolates with 153 short-read datasets and 30 draft assemblies. Short-read data in the European Nucleotide Archive were selected to represent all major lineages of group W:CC11. We followed studies by Lucidarme et al. (14) and Tsang et al. (9) and randomly chose 1–3 isolates per year per country. We selected 153 datasets including 151 group W isolates and 2 group C isolates (ERR557598 and ERR976806), which were used as an outgroup to study the genetic relationship within group W:CC11.

We used the PubMLST *Neisseria* database to identify other isolates closely related to the New Zealand
ST11 isolates (37). As of February 18, 2019, a total of 30 isolates were within the 50 mismatch thresholds to NZ17M10022 based on comparison of the *N. meningitidis* core-genome MLST (38). Draft assemblies of these 30 isolates were downloaded from PubMLST. Short-read data were available for 3 of these 30 isolates. We compiled detailed information on sequences used for phylogenetic analysis (Appendix 1 Table 3).

Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of New Zealand Group W:CC11 Isolates

Of the 45 New Zealand invasive group W:CC11 isolates, 42 were assessed for susceptibility to penicillin, ciprofloxacin, ceftriaxone, and rifampin. MICs were determined by gradient strip on Mueller-Hinton agar with 5% sheep blood and interpreted according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute breakpoints (39). Assembled contigs were used for in silico penA typing by using the PubMLST *Neisseria* database.

Results

Epidemiology of Meningococcal Disease in New Zealand, 2013–2018

During 2013–2018, a total of 484 cases of meningococcal disease were reported, including 456 confirmed and 28 probable cases, for a confirmation rate of 94.2% (Figure 1, panel A; Appendix 1 Table 4). Rates of IMD (Figure 1, panel B) continued to fall after the end of the group B epidemic in 2008 (coefficient = −2.619, $R^2 = 0.6947$; $p = 0.0245$) but increased during 2014–2018 (coefficient = 2.481, $R^2 = 0.9567$; $p = 0.0025$). Inflection point of the time series was identified by breakpoint analysis and using the R package strucchange (40) and tested by the Chow test in R by using monthly disease rates (cases/100,000) during January 2008–December 2018 (Appendix 1 Table 5). The test identified the inflection point as October 2013 (95% CI January–December 2013).

Group B meningococci continue to be responsible for most IMD cases in New Zealand. Among the 438 confirmed cases analyzed by ESR during 2013–2018 were 265 (54.7%) group B (100 NZMenB and 165 other group B) cases, 58 (13.2%) group C cases, 61 (13.9%) group W cases, and 47 (10.7%) group Y cases (Figure 1, panel C; Appendix 1 Table 6). To compare whether significant changes occurred during 2013–2018, we used a 2-proportion Z-test in R to compare the proportion of diseases caused by each group. We found that the proportion of group W disease in 2018 (10/133) is significantly less than that of 2013 (17/58, $\chi^2 = 10.578$; $p = 0.00057$).

The rate of IMD continues to be highest among patients <1 year of age (from 10.2/100,000 population in 2014 to 23.1/100,000 population in 2017); rates are second highest among children 1–4 years of age (from 5.2/100,000 population in 2014 to 9.8/100,000 population in 2017). Adults >60 years of age are more affected by group W and Y disease (Figure 2; Appendix 1 Table 7).

Clonal Distribution of Circulating Meningococci

We performed WGS and in silico typing on the 347 New Zealand meningococcal isolates (288 invasive and 59 noninvasive) collected during 2013–2018. The WGS dataset includes 175 group B isolates (65 NZMenB and 110 other group B), 48 group C isolates, 2 group E isolates, 64 group W isolates, 47 group Y isolates, 1 group X isolate, and 8 nongroupable isolates. MLST analysis showed that the 347 isolates contained 49 known STs. The 10 most common STs were ST11 (85), ST154 (43), ST23 (21), ST42 (17), ST32 (16), ST213 (15), ST1655 (15), ST1572 (11), ST6058 (8), and ST22 (7). There were 39 other STs with a single isolate and 19 unassigned STs (submitted through this project). The STs identified belonged to 18 clonal complexes. A total of 93 unique strains were defined by combination of group:PorA-variable region (VR)1, PorA-VR2:FetA:CC, with a Shannon–Wiener diversity index of 3.516. Bexsero antigen sequence types analysis showed 69 unique types with 23 isolates in which type could not be determined because of the absence of required loci (Appendix 1 Table 1).

Maximum-likelihood phylogeny constructed from 75,187 core SNP alignments (by using *N. meningitidis* FAM18 as the reference genome) showed 17 highly supported clades (with ≥90% bootstrap value), 15 of which were consistent with the CC definition (Figure 3). One clade of group B isolates could not be assigned to a CC because its ST had not been assigned a CC designation; it is noted as N1 on the phylogenetic tree. CC11 is the predominant CC consisting of 90 group B and 54 group W isolates; the second most common was CC41/44, consisting of 90 group B and 1 nongroupable isolates.

Since the NZMenB epidemic, New Zealand has used a combination of PorA subtype with group information to define *N. meningitidis* strains. We integrated the PorA and CC information to identify the strains currently circulating in New Zealand (Figure 4).
Rates of group W disease in 2018 were higher than in 2013. Most sequenced group W isolates in 2018 (30/35) belonged to CC11. To understand how New Zealand W:CC11 isolates relate to the global group W lineages, we analyzed New Zealand W:CC11 in the context of major W:CC11 lineages. We included 196 CC11 isolates in this analysis (151 downloaded from public databases and 45 invasive isolates from New Zealand). The mapping rate was 92.4%–99.3% when N. meningitidis FAM18 (AM421808.1), a representative of CC11, was used as a reference. The mean genome depth was 152X, with 84.8%–97.7% of loci covered at >20-fold (Appendix 1 Table 2).

We used core SNP (48,507 bps) alignment to construct the phylogenetic relationship of New Zealand
W:CC11 isolates within the global W:CC11 (Figure 5). Phylogeny was rooted with 2 group C CC11 isolates. An unrooted neighbor-net phylogeny from the same dataset is shown in Appendix 2 Figure 1 (https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/19-1716-App2.pdf). Recombination-corrected phylogeny from the same dataset is shown in Appendix 2 Figure 2. Excluding the basal older sublineages, all other isolates formed 2 strongly supported clades. Clade I corresponds to the previously identified Hajj sublineage, and clade II corresponds to the previously identified South America sublineage. All New Zealand CC11 isolates were located within clade II: 4 clustered with the original UK strains, 12 clustered with the 2013 UK strain, and the other 29 formed a separate cluster with the 2 isolates from the United Kingdom and 1 isolate from Ireland (Figure 5).

To determine whether other isolates are closely related to the new New Zealand cluster, we searched PubMLST and found 27 additional isolates within 50 allele (the cgMLST 0.1 scheme) differences to NZ17MI0022. Because only assembled contigs were available for these isolates, we used a core-genome alignment approach to analyze their relationship within clade II. All additional 27 W:CC11 isolates clustered with the New Zealand cluster with high bootstrap support (Appendix 2 Figure 3). The 27 isolates are from Canada and 6 countries in Europe (Appendix 1 Table 3).

Both phylogenetic analyses suggest that the new New Zealand cluster is part of the W:CC11 South America strain sublineage derived from the original UK strain. Because the earliest isolate of the new variant was identified in 2015, we named it the 2015 strain of the W:CC11 South America strain sublineage (the 2015 strain).

**Epidemiology of the 2015 Strain**

Similar to the 2013 UK strain, the 2015 strain is associated with higher death rates. During 2017–2018, the 2015 strain was associated with a death rate of 17.8% (6/34 cases) in New Zealand, higher than the rate of 5.9% (10/170 cases) for other groups in the same period (p = 0.03 by Fisher exact test). The 2015 strain also disproportionately affected older adults; 26% of total 2015-strain cases affected adults >60 years of age (9/34), whereas 5.8% (7/121) of total group B cases affected adults in that age group (p = 0.01466 by Fisher exact test).

**2015 Strain and Penicillin Susceptibility**

In 2016, Mowlaboccus et al. (41) described a W:CC11 variant circulating in Australia that demonstrated intermediate resistance or was resistant to penicillin and had penA allele 253. To examine whether the New Zealand 2015-strain isolates were also resistant to penicillin, we tested the antimicrobial susceptibility of 42 invasive New Zealand W:CC11 isolates in this study. All 42 isolates were susceptible to ciprofloxacin (MIC ≤0.03 mg/L), ceftriaxone (≤0.12 mg/L), and rifampin (≤0.5 mg/L) (Appendix 1 Table 8). We observed variation in penicillin susceptibility among the 42 isolates (Appendix 1 Table 8) by using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute breakpoints. Of the 15 isolates belonging to either the original UK strain or the 2013 UK strain, all were susceptible to penicillin (≤0.06 mg/L). Of the 27 isolates belonging to the new 2015 strain, 12 displayed intermediate...
resistance (0.12–0.25 mg/L) and 15 were resistant (≥0.5 mg/L). The 2015-strain isolates were significantly more resistant to penicillin (p<0.00001 by Fisher exact test). All 27 New Zealand 2015-strain isolates had penA allele 253, the same allele described in the Australia study (41). In the larger dataset that included 30 international 2015-strain isolates, all but 1 isolate had penA allele 253 (Appendix 1 Table 3).

**Discussion**

We comprehensively analyzed *N. meningitidis* in New Zealand during 2013–2018 to describe its population structure after the NZMenB epidemic. We examined the rate of IMD and clonal distribution of circulating isolates. We also offer evidence that a distinct variant of W:CC11 is circulating globally and has been causing IMD since 2015.

---

**Figure 3.** Phylogenetic analysis of New Zealand Neisseria meningitidis isolates, 2013–2018. Maximum-likelihood phylogeny was constructed by using a generalized time reversible substitution model and core single-nucleotide polymorphism alignments with RAxML version 8.2.12 (33). Branches with >90% bootstrap consensus (200 bootstrap replications) are highlighted with a red dot. Isolate names and clades are colored according to their clonal complex designation. The inner ring indicates the group and outer ring designates the year of isolation of the isolates. N1 lineage corresponds to sequence type that does not have clonal complex designation. NA corresponds to individual isolates where clonal complex is not assigned. Scale bar indicates average number of substitutions per site.
Meningococcal disease continues to substantially affect the health of persons in New Zealand. In comparison with other developed countries (such as the United States, the United Kingdom, and the Netherlands, which have reported ≤1 case/100,000 population) (42–45), New Zealand still has a high rate of IMD notification. Although the rate of meningococcal disease in 2018 (2.5 cases/100,000 population) was substantially lower than the peak rate observed during the epidemic (17.4 cases/100,000 population in 2001), rates of IMD in New Zealand have increased since 2014 (Appendix 2 Figure 1).

The distribution of group Y disease and group W disease has changed over the past decade. Incidence of group Y disease has been increasing since the late 1990s in the Americas and since 2010 in Europe (46,47). The number and proportion of group Y disease in New Zealand began to increase in 2013 (2/85 [2%] in 2012 to 4/68 [6%] in 2013). The increase in group W:CC11 disease here was not significant until 2017 and 2018, when the number of group W cases more than tripled, from 10 to 33. In the United Kingdom, the original UK strain emerged in 2009; the descendant 2013 strain emerged in 2013 and expanded to other countries thereafter (15). These data suggest that IMD trends in New Zealand follow global trends with some delay, possibly because of geographic isolation.

Therefore, for IMD monitoring in New Zealand, continued detailed typing of meningococcal isolates is critical for obtaining comparable data for participation in global meningococcal surveillance.

By using group and PorA type for strain definition, the diversity index for 2013–2018 period meningococci is slightly higher (Shannon–Wiener index 2.98) than that for 2008–2012, the 5-year period following the end of the epidemic (Shannon–Wiener index 2.81; data not shown). Since 2012, nonepidemic group B cases have regularly surpassed epidemic cases; 47% of group B cases were caused by nonepidemic strains during 2008–2011, and 63% were caused by nonepidemic strains during 2012–2018. The top 3 strains circulating within the nonepidemic group B cases were B:P1.22,14:F5–5:CC213 (13 isolates), B:P1.7,16–26:F3–3:CC32 (13 isolates), and B:P1.7–12,14:F1–7:CC1572 (12 isolates). All 3 strains are present in PubMLST. B:P1.22,14:CC213 is a common strain, however, only 2 isolates contain the Feta-VR:5–5 allele. PubMLST has 41 B: P1.7,16–26:F3–3:CC32 isolates and 6 B:P1.7–12,14:F1–7:CC1572 isolates (accessed November 3, 2020). Taken together, these data suggest that the meningococcal population in New Zealand has become more diverse after the group B epidemic. Comparison of New Zealand with other countries is challenging because no comprehensive public database exists that contains all
IMD cases with fine-typing information. The United Kingdom, however, has been depositing most of their IMD typing information into PubMLST since July 2010, and for 2013–2017, the dataset contains 2,790 records and 649 unique strains (group:PorA-VR1,PorA-VR2:FetA:CC, Shannon–Wiener index 4.45). This information suggests that the isolates that cause IMD are less diverse in New Zealand, which may reflect its smaller and more distributed population structure.

The clonal expansion of a new penicillin-resistant clade of W:CC11 was first identified in Australia in 2016 (41). Four isolates (PubMLST identification nos. 41966, 42206, 42409, 50313) that were found to be closely related to the Australia clade (cluster B) (42) are part of the 2015-strain cluster (Appendix 2 Figure 3), suggesting that the Western Australia clone belongs in the 2015-strain cluster. In our study, the New Zealand 2015-strain isolates were significantly less susceptible to penicillin compared with New Zealand isolates belonging to the original UK or the 2013 UK strain (Appendix 1 Table 8). The penA 253 allele was hypothesized to play a role in decreasing penicillin susceptibility in the new clade of W:CC11 found in Australia (41). All isolates in the 2015 variant cluster, except ERR1994517 from the United Kingdom, have the penA 253 allele (Appendix 1 Table 3), suggesting that penicillin resistance is a common characteristic of the 2015 strain. The presence of the penA 253 allele has consequences for the choice of antimicrobial drug to

---

**Figure 5.** Phylogenetic position of New Zealand group W clonal complex 11 (W:CC11) Neisseria meningitidis isolates within the global W:CC11 major lineages. Maximum-likelihood phylogeny was generated by RAxML version 8.2.12 (33) on the basis of the core single-nucleotide polymorphism alignment of 198 W:CC11 isolates. Branches with a bootstrap (200 replications) value >90% are indicated with a red dot. Excluding the basal older sublineages, all other isolates form 2 strongly supported clades marked as clade I and clade II, which correspond to the Hajj strain sublineage and the South America strain sublineage. All the major defined lineages of W:CC11 are marked and indicated by consistent background color of isolate’s identification number and branches. The inner ring and outer ring designate the region and year of isolation for each isolate. Scale bar indicates average number of substitutions per site.
treat IMD. At the end of 2018, a high rate of W:CC11 disease in the Northland area of New Zealand triggered a local vaccination campaign against the disease. Most of these cases were caused by the 2015 strain. Partly because of the increased penicillin resistance of the 2015 strain, in 2018 the New Zealand Ministry of Health recommended ceftriaxone as the first-choice antimicrobial drug for patients with suspected meningococcal disease (48).

W:CC11 meningococci are emerging as a diversifying lineage with several new strains occurring in different geographic locations (10,11,41). Phylogenetic analyses suggest that the 2015 strain is part of the major South America–UK (clade II) lineage (Figure 3; Appendix 2 Figure 3), most likely representing a clonal expansion from a single variant within the original UK strain. The increase in New Zealand group W cases is mainly attributable to the 2015 strain identified in this study. In contrast, the 2013 UK strain was largely responsible for the increases seen in Europe (15). We found the 2013 UK strain circulating in New Zealand but in low numbers (3/10 cases in 2017 and 6/33 cases in 2018). For reasons unknown, the 2015 strain, rather than the 2013 UK strain, is expanding in New Zealand. Invasive disease provides no selective advantage for meningococcal bacteria, and a strain must remain in carriage in order to expand in a population. The 2015 strain might have originated in the Australia or New Zealand region and is therefore better adapted to the population, either because of more favorable host immunity or climate and living conditions. Its carriage may have precluded expansion of the 2013 UK strain.

Genomic surveillance of N. meningitidis has revealed in great detail the genetic diversity and population structure of circulating meningococci in New Zealand; this more refined surveillance enables the tracking of specific strains that are identifiable only by high-resolution phylogenetic analysis. By using this approach, we identified a distinct globally circulating W:CC11 strain, which would not have been possible without genomic information. Our results emphasize the value of obtaining complete genetic information for invasive pathogens and resolving their global evolutionary relationship for identifying the origin and expansion of new pathogenic variants or strains. Such information will benefit surveillance and can be used to help prevent and control future epidemics.

Acknowledgments
We thank Yoryea Mantziou for her assistance in DNA sequencing; the staff of the Antibiotic Reference Laboratories, Institute of Environmental Science and Research, for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; and Kristin Dyet and Joanne Hewitt for their thoughtful comments and feedback.

This study was funded by Health Research Council grant no. 17-364, New Zealand.

This study used data from routine meningococcal surveillance activities conducted by the Institute of Environmental Science and Research under contract to the New Zealand Ministry of Health.

About the Author
Dr. Yang is a postdoctoral researcher at the Institute of Environmental Science and Research investigating the population genomics and evolution of the bacterial pathogen N. meningitidis. She is interested in using genome sequencing to address evolutionary questions such as tracing evolutionary history and population dynamics, as well as understanding genetic determinants of phenotypic variation.

References


Address for correspondence: Philip E. Carter, Invasive Pathogens Laboratory, Institute of Environmental Science and Research, 34 Kenepuru Dr, Porirua, New Zealand; email: Philip.Carter@esr.cri.nz
Dynamic Public Perceptions of the Coronavirus Disease Crisis, the Netherlands, 2020

Marion de Vries, Liesbeth Claassen, Margreet J.M. te Wierik, Susan van den Hof, Anne E.M. Brabers, Judith D. de Jong, Danielle R.M. Timmermans,1 Aura Timen1

A key component of outbreak control is monitoring public perceptions and public response. To determine public perceptions and public responses during the first 3 months of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak in the Netherlands, we conducted 6 repeated surveys of ≈3,000 persons. Generalized estimating equations analyses revealed changes over time as well as differences between groups at low and high risk. Overall, respondents perceived the risks associated with COVID-19 to be considerable, were positive about the mitigation measures, trusted the information and the measures from authorities, and adopted protective measures. Substantial increases were observed in risk perceptions and self-reported protective behavior in the first weeks of the outbreak. Individual differences were based mainly on participants’ age and health condition. We recommend that authorities constantly adjust their COVID-19 communication and mitigation strategies to fit public perceptions and public responses and that they tailor the information for different groups.

Since December 2019, the world has been facing a new and severe threat to public health. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), which causes mild to severe respiratory illness (coronavirus disease [COVID-19]), was first found in humans in Wuhan, China (1). The virus spread rapidly over the world, and on March 11, 2020, the World Health Organization declared a COVID-19 pandemic (2). Globally, by January 23, 2021, a total of 96,877,399 cases had been confirmed, including 2,098,879 deaths (3). The risks associated with COVID-19 are not equally distributed; some regions (within and between countries) are more strongly affected than others, health workers are at increased risk for infection, and elderly persons with certain chronic underlying conditions and men are at increased risk for severe COVID-19 illness and death (4).

During the COVID-19 pandemic, countries all over the world rapidly adopted various measures to counter the spread of the virus. In the initial (containment) stage, the measures were aimed at identifying and isolating new cases. As the number of cases started to rise quickly, countries announced additional social distancing measures. Many countries undertook stringent mitigation measures, such as closing schools and restaurants, restraining domestic and foreign travel, and, for some, implementing a total lockdown of the society (5). For these measures to be effective, governments rely strongly on the support and compliance of the general public.

To maintain support for the protective measures for a longer period, governments need insights into the dynamics of public perceptions (regarding the risks associated with COVID-19 and the recommended protective measures) and the trust in the authorities who imposed these measures. These perceptions and trust influence the public’s compliance with the measures (6) and are essential indicators for public sentiments and information needs. Such insights enable optimal adaptation and tailoring of risk and crisis communication (7–10). The first publications about public perceptions of and responses to the COVID-19 pandemic are, to our knowledge, all cross-sectional and do not provide insights into the dynamics (11–19). Previous studies about the 2009 influenza A(H1N1) pandemic showed considerable changes in, among other things, perceptions of risk, trust in authorities, and self-reported protective behavior over a longer crisis period (20–25).

1These authors contributed equally to this article.
Our study focused on public perceptions, trust, and behavior in the first 3 months of the COVID-19 crisis in the Netherlands. Our main research question asked about the evolution of public perceptions of COVID-19, perceptions of control measures, trust in authorities, and self-reported protective behavior between the onset of the outbreak and the first relaxations of government measures. We discuss these findings in light of the epidemiologic curve of COVID-19 in the Netherlands and the government outbreak response during February–May 2020 (Figure 1). In addition, we explored differences in perceptions, trust, and self-reported behavior between groups of persons at different levels of risk. Therefore, our second research question asked whether persons differ in their perceptions of COVID-19, perceptions of the control measures, trust in authorities, and self-reported protective behavior on the basis of their age, sex, region of residence, health condition, and health sector employment.

**Methods**

**Case Study**

The first COVID-19 case in the Netherlands was identified on February 27, 2020 (26). In the following weeks, the number of confirmed cases increased rapidly (27,28) and the number of cases between regions differed considerably. The 12 provinces in the Netherlands can be roughly divided into 4 regions: north, east, south, and west. Through May 17, the region most strongly affected by the COVID-19 outbreak was the southern region (58–63 deaths/100,000 residents), especially compared with the northern region (3–9 deaths/100,000 residents). The eastern region reported 19–31 deaths/100,000 residents and the western region 16–30 deaths/100,000 residents (29).

After the first case of COVID-19 was reported, the government issued various measures that increased in stringency through March 23, 2020. When a sustained
increase in the number of cases, hospitalizations, and deaths was reached (May 11, 2020), the government gradually relaxed measures (Figure 1).

**Study Population and Procedure**

We conducted 6 repeated online surveys among members of the Dutch Health Care Consumer Panel (30). The panel consists of ≈11,000 residents of the Netherlands (>18 years of age) who had been invited to participate on the panel on the basis of a random selection of name and address data or were invited to participate by their general practitioner. The panel population is regularly renewed, and persons cannot enroll themselves without an invitation. As a result of oversampling of persons >65 years of age for other research purposes and underparticipation of persons <30 years of age, the median age of the panel population is 65 years (range 19−101 years).

The 6 repeated surveys were added to a weekly online survey that monitors influenza-like symptoms. The invitations for the first survey (time 1 [T1]) of the weekly monitor was sent to all 10,993 active panel members, who could complete the survey from February 24 through March 9, 2020. In the first survey, respondents could indicate whether they wanted to be invited for the consequent weekly surveys; those who indicated “yes” received a weekly invitation for all follow-up surveys. Participation in each survey was voluntary and did not depend on participation in previous surveys. The follow-up surveys with the variables addressed in this study were completed during March 16−23 (T2), March 30−April 5 (T3), April 14−19 (T4), April 28−May 3 (T5), and May 11−17 (T6) (Figure 1).

Before joining the panel population, potential panel members actually consented to participation and data sharing; they were informed about the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic, variable</th>
<th>Survey question (answer category)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perceptions of COVID-19</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived probability COVID-19</td>
<td>In your opinion, how likely is it that you will become ill due to the new coronavirus in the next 12 months? (1. very unlikely—5. very likely)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceived severity of</td>
<td>How severe would it be to you if you develop one of the following diseases in the next 12 months? (1. Not severe at all—5. Very severe)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flu</td>
<td>Flu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19</td>
<td>Disease due to the novel coronavirus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ebola</td>
<td>Ebola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concerns about</td>
<td>Are you concerned due to the new coronavirus … (1. Not at all concerned—5. Very concerned)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Own health</td>
<td>About your own health?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health of family members</td>
<td>About the health of your family members?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perceptions of control measures</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perception that sufficient measures are taken</td>
<td>Do you think that the Netherlands is currently taking sufficient measures to control the spread of the new coronavirus? (1. Certainly not—5. Certainly yes)‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perceptions of the recommended measures§</td>
<td>Below there are several statements about the measures advised by the government to control the spread of the coronavirus. Please state what you think about these statements. (1. Certainly not—5. Certainly yes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measures are effective</td>
<td>I think the recommended measures help to control the spread of the coronavirus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most others adhere to measures</td>
<td>Most people close to me adhere to the recommended measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difficult to adhere to measures</td>
<td>I find it difficult to adhere to the recommended measures.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trust in authorities</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust in information from the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM)§</td>
<td>How much trust do you have in the information from the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM) about the new coronavirus? (1. No trust—5. A lot of trust)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trust in government measures§</td>
<td>How much trust do you have in the measures that the government is taking to control the spread of the new coronavirus? (1. No trust—5. A lot of trust)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-reported protective behavior</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adopted protective measures</td>
<td>Have you taken measures to protect yourself or your family members from the new coronavirus? (1. No / 2. Yes, namely…</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adherence to recommended measures§</td>
<td>Do you adhere to the guidelines advised by the government to control the spread of the new coronavirus? (1. Yes / 2. Partly / 3. No / 4. Don’t know)¶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Adapted from previous studies on public responses to influenza A(H1N1) (25) and Ebola (32) to allow for comparison with previous crises and to place the perceived severity of COVID-19 into context with other diseases. These are, from an expert’s perspective, less severe (flu) and more severe (Ebola) infectious diseases than COVID-19.

‡Formulated in T1 and T2 as “Do you think that the Netherlands is currently taking sufficient measures to prevent the spread of the new coronavirus?”

¶Not assessed at T1 and T2.

The answer categories “partly,” “no,” and “don’t know” were merged into 1 value next to the value “yes” because of low response frequencies to the categories “no” and “don’t know.”
purpose and content of the survey and that they could skip questions or stop participating at any time. Completing the survey, including answering questions about influenza-like symptoms, took an average of 8 minutes. The Clinical Expertise Centre at the National Institute for Public Health and the Environment (RIVM; Bilthoven, the Netherlands) determined that this research was exempt from needing further approval from an ethics research committee (reference no. LCI-451). The gathered data were analyzed and processed according the General Data Protection Regulation. More elaborate descriptions of the data collection are published elsewhere (31) and provided in the Appendix (https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-3328-App1.pdf).

Variables
The survey questions addressed public perceptions of COVID-19, perceptions of control measures, trust in authorities, and self-reported protective behavior. The T3 survey and subsequent surveys were supplemented with extra questions about control measures and about trust in authorities (Table 1).

The factors that put persons at increased risk for COVID-19 were operationalized as sex (male/female), age group (<50, 50–69, or ≥70 years of age), region of residence (north, east, west, south; variable determined on the basis of postal codes), employment in healthcare (assessed at T1 with the question “Do you currently work in the healthcare sector?: no/yes”), and underlying health condition (assessed at T1 with the question “Please mark the disease(s) or condition(s) you have below. (Multiple answers possible): A) chronic respiratory disease; B) serious heart disease or myocardial infarction; C) diabetes; D) an allergy such as hay fever, dust mite allergy, or pet allergy; E) other long-term or chronic condition, namely: … F). I don’t have any diseases or conditions.”). The last variable was recoded as “underlying health condition” if respondents answered A, B, C, or E; all others were coded as “no underlying health condition.”

Analyses
We computed descriptive statistics for each variable in T1–T6 (Table 1). To study changes over time and differences between persons in these variables, we performed generalized estimating equation (GEE) analyses (with exchangeable correlation matrix). We performed linear (for dependent variables with a 5-point Likert scale) and logistic (for dependent variables with binary outcomes) GEE analyses. The independent variables were time (T1–T6), sex, age group, region of residence, underlying health condition, and employment in healthcare. All GEE analyses were controlled for education level and income. To observe all changes between the subsequent waves, we repeated all GEE analyses with different reference groups for time (T1, T3, and T5). We excluded from analysis respondents who participated in T1 but did not consent to be invited to participate in the follow-up surveys.

Results

Study Population
Of the 10,993 persons invited to participate, 4,325 (39%) completed the first survey. Of note, 2,052 respondents completed the first survey before February 27, 2020 (when the first COVID-19 case in the Netherlands was confirmed). A total of 3,268 (30%) consented to be invited for the follow-up surveys, of which 2,592 participated in T2 (79%), 2,710 in T3 (83%), 2,726 in T4 (83%), 2,654 in T5 (81%), and 2,705 in T6 (83%) (33) (Table 2; Appendix).

Table 2. Characteristics of respondents to the first survey who consented to participation and were invited to participate in successive surveys used to assess dynamic public perceptions of the coronavirus disease crisis, the Netherlands, 2020

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1,644 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>1,624 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>24 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–49</td>
<td>530 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–69</td>
<td>1,220 (37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70</td>
<td>1,494 (46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education level*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>336 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>1,528 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>1,352 (41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>52 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly household income, €</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1,750</td>
<td>661 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,750–2,700</td>
<td>1,078 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2,700</td>
<td>1,399 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>130 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region of residence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North</td>
<td>539 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>738 (23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>655 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>1,320 (40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>16 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underlying health condition</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>1,567 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>1,649 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>52 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work in healthcare</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>359 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>2,886 (88)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>23 (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>3,268 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Operationalization (33).
Perceptions of COVID-19

Overall, respondents perceived acquiring COVID-19 as probable and considerably severe (Figure 2). The perceived severity of COVID-19 was more similar to that of Ebola than that of influenza. Concerns about their own health were substantial, and concerns about the health of family members were even more so.

The most considerable change in the perceptions of COVID-19 was seen between T1 and T2; the mean perceived probability of COVID-19, concerns about one’s own health, and concerns about family members increased considerably. Perceived severity of COVID-19 increased (significantly) only between T2 and T3. Between T3 and T6, perceptions of COVID-19 were largely stable, except for a slight but significant decrease in concerns.

Perceptions of Control Measures

Overall, respondents thought that the Netherlands undertook sufficient measures to control the spread of COVID-19, perceived the recommended measures as effective, thought that most others adhered to the measures, and did not perceive adhering to the measures as difficult (Figure 3). The perception that the Netherlands was taking sufficient measures changed nonlinearly between T1 and T6. Perception of measure effectiveness followed a pattern similar to the perception that sufficient measures were taken; it slightly increased between T3 and T4 and slightly decreased between T5 and T6. The perception that most others adhere to the measures decreased gradually between T3 and T6, and the perceived difficulty of adhering to the measures increased slightly between T3 and T5.

Trust in Authorities

Overall, trust in the information from RIVM and in the measures taken by the government was fairly high (Figure 4). A slight decrease in trust in the information from RIVM was observed between T4 and T5. Trust in the measures from the government slightly increased between T3 and T4 and slightly decreased between T5 and T6.

Figure 2. Perceptions of COVID-19 in the Netherlands. A) Perceived probability of COVID-19; B) perceived severity of influenza, coronavirus disease, Ebola; C) concerns about own health; D) concerns about health of family members. Mean values per survey are shown above the graph line. Note that the 95% CIs around the mean estimates could not be shown on the figure because the 95% CIs are very close to the mean estimates (upper values of <mean + 0.1 and lower values of >mean – 0.1). All 95% CIs around the mean estimates are shown in Appendix Table 2 (https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-3328-App1.pdf). Changes between subsequent surveys, based on generalized estimating equation analyses, are shown below the baselines as $\beta$ and 95% CIs. The coefficients and 95% CIs shown in Figure 3, panel B, are generalized estimating equation results with perceived severity of coronavirus disease as the dependent variable. COVID-19, coronavirus disease.
Public Perceptions of Coronavirus Disease Crisis

From T1 through T2, the proportion of respondents who indicated that they took measures to protect themselves or their family members against SARS-CoV-2 increased drastically, from 17% to 79% (Figure 5). From T2 through T3, this percentage increased further, to 88%, and consequently decreased to 80% at T6. Likewise, from T3 through T6, the proportion of respondents who indicated that they (fully) adhered to the recommended guidelines declined gradually from 94% to 85%.

**Differences Based on Risk Factors**

The most notable differences between persons in terms of perceptions (Tables 3, 4), trust in authorities (Table 5), and self-reported protective behavior (Table 6) were based on age. Compared with persons <50 years of age, those 50–69 and >70 years of age perceived acquisition of COVID-19 as being more probable and COVID-19 as more severe and were more concerned about their own health. In addition, respondents >70 years of age were also more likely to perceive the government’s measures as sufficient, effective, and adhered to by most others and were less likely to perceive adhering to the measures as difficult. That difference in perceived difficulty was also observed for those 50–69 compared with those <50 years of age. Participants >70 years of age also experienced more trust in authorities and were more likely to adhere to the guidelines.

We observed several differences between respondents with and without an underlying health condition. Respondents with an underlying health condition perceived acquisition of COVID-19 as being more probable and COVID-19 as being more severe, and they were more concerned about their own health and that of family members. These respondents also perceived that measures taken were less sufficient, had less trust in authorities, and were slightly more likely to have adopted protective measures.

Some additional small differences were observed on the basis of sex, region, and employment.
Women perceived the probability of acquiring and severity of COVID-19 as being somewhat greater than did men and were slightly more concerned about family members. In addition, women were somewhat more positive about the measures (sufficient, effective, and adhered to by others) and were more likely to have adopted protective measures. Compared with residents from the northern region of the Netherlands, residents from the southern region were slightly more concerned about their own health, and residents from the eastern region had somewhat more trust in authorities. The only difference based on employment in the healthcare sector was seen in perceived probability of a SARS-CoV-2 infection (slightly higher among healthcare workers).

Discussion

Our results suggest that during the first wave of COVID-19, persons in the Netherlands generally perceived the risks posed by COVID-19 as considerable, were positive about the measures taken by the government to control the spread of COVID-19, trusted the information and the measures from the authorities in charge of the control policy, and adopted protective behavior. Public perceptions and behavior changed between the onset of the crisis and the initial relaxation of measures, particularly in the first phase of the outbreak. Differences between persons were mostly seen on the basis of age and underlying health conditions.

The changes in public perceptions, trust, and behavior need to be interpreted in light of the rapid
developments in the epidemiologic curve of COVID-19 and the outbreak response during this study (Figure 1). After the first confirmed COVID-19 case (February 27, 2020), the outbreak unfolded rapidly and stringent control measures were issued during live press conferences on national television (March 12 and 15). These developments are probably reflected in the observed increases in the respondents’ perceived probability of acquiring COVID-19, concerns, and self-reported protective behavior in this period. Up to the end of March/beginning of April, the number of COVID-19 cases rose rapidly, as did the number of hospitalizations, intensive care unit admissions, and deaths. The increased visibility of severe COVID-19 illness and death during this period might have increased perceptions of severity (which had remained stable in the first weeks).

As the number of cases, hospitalizations, intensive care unit admissions, and deaths gradually declined at the beginning of May, the government announced gradual relaxations of the control measures. During this period, the number of respondents who reported having taken protective measures and adhered to the recommended guidelines declined. This change in protective behavior is not likely to be explained by a change in risk perception, perception of the efficacy of the measures, or trust in authorities (factors shown to influence behavior during disease outbreaks [6,9,13]) because these factors were stable during this period. This change in behavior might be partly explained by a decrease in the public’s perceived self-efficacy (6,34) because during this period we observed an increase in the public’s perceived difficulty of adhering to the measures.

More recent research in the Netherlands has shown that in the months after our study, persons perceived it to be increasingly difficult to adhere to several of the control measures (35). Although the perceived difficulty of not shaking hands and practicing proper handwashing remained relatively stable, the perceived difficulty of maintaining a 1.5-m distance from others increased considerably from mid-April through mid-July 2020. Another study also found fairly high compliance with hygiene measures during the COVID-19 pandemic, along with limited compliance on social distancing measures (36). This finding might be explained by the assumed negative effect of social distancing on mental health and loneliness

Table 3. Differences in perceptions of COVID-19 based on sex, age, region of residence, health condition, and healthcare employment determined in assessment of dynamic public perceptions of the coronavirus disease crisis, the Netherlands, 2020*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Perceived probability of COVID-19, β (95% CI)</th>
<th>Perceived severity of COVID-19, β (95% CI)</th>
<th>Concerns about own health, β (95% CI)</th>
<th>Concerns about health of family members, β (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female vs. male</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1 to 0.2)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1 to 0.2)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1 to 0.2)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1 to 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70 vs. &lt;50</td>
<td>−0.3 (−0.4 to −0.3)</td>
<td>0.6 (0.5 to 0.7)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.3 to 0.4)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–69 vs. &lt;50</td>
<td>−0.2 (−0.3 to −0.2)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.3 to 0.4)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1 to 0.2)</td>
<td>−0.1 (−0.2 to 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern vs. northern</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0.1 (0 to 0.2)</td>
<td>0.1 (0 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0.1 (0 to 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western vs. northern</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0.1 (0 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern vs. northern</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health condition vs. no health condition</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1 to 0.2)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1 to 0.2)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.3 to 0.4)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2 to 0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work in healthcare vs. not in healthcare</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1 to 0.2)</td>
<td>−0.1 (−0.2 to 0)</td>
<td>−0.1 (−0.2 to 0)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Survey questions shown in Table 1. Boldface indicates 95% CIs that do not include 0. COVID-19, coronavirus disease.

Table 4. Differences in perceptions of control measures based on sex, age, region of residence, health condition, and healthcare employment determined in assessment of dynamic public perceptions of the coronavirus disease crisis, the Netherlands, 2020*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent variable</th>
<th>Sufficient measures are taken, β (95% CI)</th>
<th>Measures are effective, β (95% CI)</th>
<th>Most others adhere to measures, β (95% CI)</th>
<th>Difficult to adhere to measures, β (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female vs. male</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1 to 0.2)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.1 to 0.2)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2 to 0.2)</td>
<td>−0.1 (−0.1 to 0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70 vs. &lt;50</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2 to 0.3)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1 to 0.2)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.2 to 0.3)</td>
<td>−0.2 (−0.3 to −0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–69 vs. &lt;50</td>
<td>0.1 (0 to 0.2)</td>
<td>0.1 (0 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0.1 (0 to 0.2)</td>
<td>−0.3 (−0.4 to −0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern vs. northern</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>−0.1 (−0.1 to 0)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western vs. northern</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>−0.1 (−0.1 to 0)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eastern vs. northern</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0 (0 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health condition vs. no health condition</td>
<td>−0.2 (−0.2 to −0.1)</td>
<td>−0.1 (−0.1 to 0)</td>
<td>−0.1 (−0.1 to 0)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work in healthcare vs. not in healthcare</td>
<td>0.1 (0 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0 (−0.1 to 0.1)</td>
<td>0.1 (0 to 0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Actual survey questions shown in Table 1. Boldface indicates 95% CIs that do not include 0. COVID-19, coronavirus disease.
(37–39). It is understandable that persons find it (increasingly) hard to be apart from others, specifically from their loved ones. Other factors, such as more practical barriers (e.g., difficult to keep distance in small corridors in the supermarket) (40) and perceived social norms (41), might also play a role.

Trust in the information and the measures from authorities was relatively high and stable throughout the first wave of the COVID-19 crisis. Other studies from New Zealand (42) and South Korea (43) have shown increased trust in government during the spring of 2020 compared with earlier years, which the authors attributed to the decisive and rapid governmental crisis response. A study in the United Kingdom suggests that trust can also rapidly decline, which was observed after government announcements to relax lockdown measures and news of misconduct by a high government official (44). Of note, recent research has also shown a decrease in public trust in the government’s approach to the COVID-19 crisis in the Netherlands from the end of May through the beginning of October (45). Whether this decreased trust is explained by relaxations of measures or other events/processes needs further investigation.

In our study, the differences in perceptions, trust, and self-reported behavior between subgroups were rather small. Overall, the largest observed differences were based on age and health condition. Older persons perceived COVID-19 as more severe and had more concerns about their own health than did younger persons. At the same time, older persons perceived the probability of their getting infected with the virus to be lower. A similar result was found in an earlier study on COVID-19 risk perceptions, which showed increased perceived risk for death among elderly persons but lower perceived risk for infection (14). An explanation for the lower perceived risk is that older persons might have adopted more stringent social distancing measures than younger persons and therefore perceived their risk for infection as being smaller. In formal communications, maintaining strict social distancing was recommended for persons >70 years of age, and it was recommended that everyone avoid visiting elderly persons (46). Respondents with a chronic health condition also perceived their risk of becoming infected to be more probable and the infection to be more severe, and they were more concerned than those with no underlying health condition.

In line with risk-perception literature and previous research on behavior during disease outbreaks (6), we also found small differences on the basis of sex. Although the risk for severe COVID-19 illness is higher for men (4), women in our study indicated slightly...
higher risk perceptions and were more likely to adopt measures to protect themselves and their family. Despite the considerable differences in infection rates between the different regions in the Netherlands and the increased risk to healthcare workers (4) and in contrast to previous perception study findings (15,19), we found few differences between persons on the basis of region of residence and healthcare employment.

One study limitation is that the study sample is not perfectly representative of the population of the Netherlands at large; specifically, our study included few respondents <30 years of age. Another limitation is that our operationalization of the variable “underlying health condition” includes all self-reported chronic or long-term conditions (except for allergies). At the start of this study, little was known about the specific underlying health conditions associated with increased risk for COVID-19, and these underlying conditions have therefore not been separately added to the survey as answer categories. In addition, the behaviors reported in our study are self-reported and might be subject to social desirability bias.

Our findings emphasize the need to monitor public perceptions and public responses among different groups during crises because these perceptions can change considerably over time and can differ among persons. Such insights are needed to be able to respond to changes in public perceptions and public responses with timely and accurate risk and crisis communication. To maintain public compliance with protective measures during the COVID-19 crisis, we also need to understand why persons struggle with adhering to these measures and what they need to help them overcome these difficulties. Consulting and collaborating with communities to understand their difficulties and needs during this unprecedented crisis is pivotal. When differences between perceptions, responses, and needs in certain groups are large (e.g., between younger and older persons), targeting or tailoring information to specific groups is advisable. Such group-targeted information should be well-adapted to common views in a specific group and should reach the group through various accessible channels (e.g., social media or postal mail) or intermediaries (e.g., schoolteachers, general practitioners).
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etymologia revisited

Zika [zēkə] Virus

Zika virus is a mosquito-borne positive-sense, single-stranded RNA virus in the family Flaviviridae, genus Flavivirus that causes a mild, acute febrile illness similar to dengue. In 1947, scientists researching yellow fever placed a rhesus macaque in a cage in the Zika Forest (zika meaning “overgrown” in the Luganda language), near the East African Virus Research Institute in Entebbe, Uganda. A fever developed in the monkey, and researchers isolated from its serum a transmissible agent that was first described as Zika virus in 1952. It was subsequently isolated from a human in Nigeria in 1954. From its discovery until 2007, confirmed cases of Zika virus infection from Africa and Southeast Asia were rare. In 2007, however, a major epidemic occurred in Yap Island, Micronesia. More recently, epidemics have occurred in Polynesia, Easter Island, the Cook Islands, and New Caledonia.
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**Evolution of Sequence Type 4821 Clonal Complex Hyperinvasive and Quinolone-Resistant Meningococci**

Mingliang Chen, Odile B. Harrison, Holly B. Bratcher, Zhiyan Bo, Keith A. Jolley, Charlene M.C. Rodrigues, James E. Bray, Qinglan Guo, Xi Zhang, Min Chen, Martin C.J. Maiden

Expansion of quinolone-resistant *Neisseria meningitidis* clone ChinaCC4821-R1-C/B from sequence type (ST) 4821 clonal complex (CC4821) caused a serogroup shift from serogroup A to serogroup C invasive meningococcal disease (IMD) in China. To determine the relationship among globally distributed CC4821 meningococci, we analyzed whole-genome sequence data from 173 CC4821 meningococci isolated from 4 continents during 1972–2019. These meningococci clustered into 4 sublineages (1–4); sublineage 1 primarily comprised of IMD isolates (41/50, 82%). Most isolates from outside China (40/49, 81.6%) formed a distinct sublineage, the Europe–USA cluster, with the typical strain designation B:P1.17-6,23:F3-36:ST3200(CC4821), harboring mutations in penicillin-binding protein 2. These data show that the quinolone-resistant clone ChinaCC4821-R1-C/B has expanded to other countries. The increasing distribution worldwide of serogroup B CC4821 raises the concern that CC4821 has the potential to cause a pandemic that would be challenging to control, despite indirect evidence that the Trumenba vaccine might afford some protection.

*Neisseria meningitidis*, a leading cause of bacterial meningitis and septicemia globally, causes ≈1.2 million invasive meningococcal disease (IMD) cases annually and a case-fatality rate of 11% (1). Meningococci are classified into 12 serogroups based on capsular polysaccharides (1); genetic relationships among isolates are defined by clonal complexes (CCs) identified by multilocus sequence typing (MLST), which are surrogates for lineages (2). The relationship among serogroups, CCs (lineages), and IMD fluctuates over time and by location, but IMD isolates are dominated by CCs known as hyperinvasive lineages, usually associated with one of the 6 disease-causing serogroups (MenA, MenB, MenC, MenW, MenX, and MenY).

In China, the national dissemination of hyperinvasive sequence type (ST) 4821 clonal complex (CC4821) meningococci led to a shift in IMD epidemiology from mostly MenA to predominantly MenC (3,4). Although no quinolone resistance was identified in CC4821 in China during 1965–1985, high-frequency resistance (79%) occurred from 2005 onward due to expansion of the quinolone-resistant clone ChinaCC4821-R1-C/B (5). Previous studies discovered that CC4821 can be divided into 2 groups, with group 1 associated with IMD (6,7). Peng et al. identified 6 strain-specific genome regions resulting from horizontal gene transfer (HGT) in isolate 053442 (8); this finding was consistent with the emergence of the ChinaCC4821-R1-C/B clone associated with multiple HGT events within genes encoding surface antigens (6), although the donors of these events were not identified.

Globally, the number of CC4821 IMD isolates has increased. At the time CC4821 was identified, isolates were confined to China (4,9); however, by June 2020, a total of 59 CC4821 isolates had been identified in 19 countries worldwide (Figure 1). Moreover, 3 IMD cases caused by quinolone-resistant CC4821 isolates were reported in Canada (n = 2) and Japan (n = 1) after 2013 (10,11); 3 other CC4821 isolates were found to colonize the anorectal tract of men who have sex with men (MSM) (12). We investigated the genomic events leading to the emergence and expansion of hyperinvasive CC4821 meningococci by describing the phylogenetic relationships among meningococci with different serogroups (MenC, MenB, MenW, and nongroupable), sources (IMD, carriage, and MSM), locations (China or other countries),

1These authors contributed equally to this article.
and dates of isolation (1972–1978 vs. 2004–2019). We assessed genes encoding key antigens and antimicrobial resistance phenotypes, identified putative donors of HGT events unique to the epidemic and quinolone-resistant clone ChinaCC4821-R1-C/B, and characterized isolates outside of China.

Materials and Methods

Isolate Collection and Whole-Genome Sequencing
A total of 173 CC4821 genomes were collected dating from 1972–1978 (n = 19) and 2004–2019 (n = 154), including isolates from IMD (66/173, 38.2%), genitourinary sites (6/173, 3.5%), asymptomatic carriage (86/173, 49.7%), and unknown sources (15/173, 8.7%) (Appendix 1 Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-3612-App1.xlsx). Shanghai CDC sequenced 76 CC4821 isolates with Illumina HiSeq (Illumina, https://www.illumina.com) using paired-end 150 base reads as previously described (13). An additional 97 publicly available CC4821 genomes consisted of 48 genomes from 14 provinces of China, including the reference strain 053442 (6–8) and 49 genomes from countries outside of China, including the United Kingdom (n = 20), United States (n = 8), and 11 other countries (n = 21) (Figure 1; Appendix 1 Table 1) (10,12,14–17). The completeness and contamination of the genomes were evaluated using CheckM (18).

Antigenic and Antimicrobial Resistance Characteristics of CC4821 Genomes
To describe the antigenic and antimicrobial resistance characteristics of CC4821 genomes, we extracted from genomes nucleotides of 9 antigen coding genes (porA, fHbp, nhba, porB, fetA, opcA, nspA, tbpA, and NMB0315) (19–22) and 5 resistance-associated genes (gyrA, parC, penA, ponA, and rpoB) (23,24) for analysis. We annotated and analyzed deduced
encoding factor H–binding protein (fHbp), Neisseria heparin-binding antigen (NHBA), Neisseria adhesion antigen (NadA), and outer membrane protein (PorA) peptides and deduced meningococcal vaccine antigen reactivity (MenDeVAR) index from the PubMLST Neisseria database (25).

Identifying CC4821 (L44) Sublineages
In the Neisseria PubMLST database, a lineage-specific core genome MLST typing scheme containing loci found in 95% of CC4821 isolates was established and designated L44 cgMLST consistent with the previously described CC4821 lineage 44 (26). We compared the 173 CC4821 genomes using Genome Comparator (27) and the L44 cgMLST scheme, identifying distinct sublineages. To characterize each sublineage, we visualized a FASTA output from the Genome Comparator Tool using all 2,860 defined loci (NEIS0001–NEIS3173, not contiguous) using MEGA version 5 (28). We used Z2491 (GenBank accession no. NC_003116) as outgroup in accordance with previous studies (6,8). Assembled contigs and annotation information of 173 genomes in this study can be accessed at https://pubMLST.org/neisseria (Appendix 1 Table 1).

Identifying and Characterizing Unique Alleles in Sublineages
We determined shared and unique alleles using outputs from Genome Comparator. An allele was defined as unique to a sublineage if it was present in >90% of the genomes in that sublineage but absent in other sublineages. Genes with unique alleles were functionally characterized according to the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes Orthology groupings of its database (29).

Identifying HGT Events and Putative Donors
Inputting the aligned sequences generated from Parsnp (30), we predicted putative HGT events using Gubbins (31). To search for potential donors, we blasted alleles and sequences of contiguous loci that were predicted to originate from HGT against the PubMLST database. We identified potential donors as previously described (32). We labeled recombination areas with unique loci on the circular genome map of genome 053442 by BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) comparisons to strains of other sublineages, as generated using BRIG (33).

Screening Molecular Markers of MSM Infection Strains from Europe
In addition to the lineage of 11.2 possessing PorA P1.5–1,10–8, 3 other molecular features have been identified in meningococci causing infections among MSM in Europe during 2012–2014; these features were functional nitrite reductase (AniA); frameshifted fHbp allele found mostly in urethritis and proctitis isolates; and penA327 that had reduced susceptibility to penicillin and third-generation cephalosporins (34). These 3 molecular markers were screened among all the 173 CC4821 genomes.

Results
Isolate Characterization
The 173 CC4821 isolates represented 46 different STs; ST4821 (n = 41, 23.7%) and ST3200 (n = 30, 17.3%) were the most prevalent. We identified 43 PorA subtypes, of which P1.7-2,14 (n = 25, 14.5%) and P1.17-6,23 (n = 18, 10.4%) were the most frequent. We identified 27 FetA variants; F3-3 (n = 47, 27.2%) and F3-36 (n = 37, 21.4%) were the most prevalent (Appendix 1 Table 1).

Identifying 4 Sublineages
We identified 2,161 loci in reference genome 053442, including 1,699 core genes. Most (1,527/1,699, 89.9%) of the core loci had p-distance values of 0–0.1; 0.8% (14/1,699) showed high p-distance values of 0.50–0.68. On the basis of the L44 cgMLST scheme, we divided the CC4821 isolates into 4 sublineages (Figure 2): L44.1, identical to the China CC4821-R1-C/B clone (n = 50, 28.9%), composed of isolates from China (n = 44) and other countries (n = 6) during 2004–2019 that were very closely related (Figure 3); L44.2 (n = 29, 16.8%), composed of isolates from China (n = 28) and the United Kingdom (n = 1) during 2005–2019; L44.3 (n = 58, 33.5%), composed of isolates from China (n = 18) and countries outside China (n = 40) during 1977–2019; and L44.4 (n = 32, 18.5%), composed of isolates from China (n = 30) and India (n = 2) during 1972–2017. Four additional isolates from China were not assigned to any sublineages.

Features of the 4 Sublineages
The percentage of IMD isolates was significantly higher in L44.1 (41/50, 82%) than the other 3 sublineages (17.2%–22.4%; p<0.001) (Figure 2). L44.1, containing the reference strain 053442, was mainly composed of MenC isolates (44/50, 88%) and had ST4821 as its central ST. L44.2, was mainly composed of MenB isolates (27/29, 93.1%) and its central ST was ST5664. L44.3 was mainly composed of MenB isolates (32/30, 93.1%) and its central ST was ST5664. L44.4 was mainly composed of MenC (14/32, 43.8%) and MenW (11/32, 34.4%) with its central ST3436.
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Analysis of the 5 antimicrobial resistance genes revealed that both gyrA-71 (with T91I) and parC-12 were specific to L44.1; parC-275 and penA-9 (with 5 mutations) were both specific to L44.3, and gyrA-294 (with T91I) was discovered only in L44.4 (Table 1; Appendix 2 Figures 2–6). In L44.1, all of the isolates possessed the quinolone resistance–associated mutation T91I in GyrA (Figure 4). In L44.3, 40/58 (69.0%) harbored PBP2 mutations, almost always from countries outside of China (38/40, 95%) (Figures 5, 6).

Vaccine Antigens among the 4 Sublineages

Analysis of 9 antigenic genes identified several alleles unique to a certain sublineage (Table 2; Appendix 2 Figures 7–17). For example, FetA-VR F3-3 was found in L44.1, F1-91 in L44.2, F3-36 and F3-9 in L44.3, and F1-7 in L44.4 isolates (Appendix 2 Figure 11). In L44.1, most isolates had the same antigenic gene profile (nhba-124, porB-29, fetA-64, opcA-4, nspA-4, tbpA-7, and NMB0315-21) (Figure 4), and 25/50 (50%) had the PorA subtype of P1.7-2,14 (Figure 3). In L44.3, most had the same gene profile (fhbp-16, nhba-553, porB-265, fetA-1069, opcA-100, nspA-26, and NMB0315-194), with porA and tbpA showing high genetic diversity (Figure 5).

We analyzed deduced peptide sequences for vaccine antigen constituents among MenB isolates (n = 97). We identified 16 fhbp peptides, of which peptide 16 (variant 2/subfamily A) was present in 70/97 (72.2%) isolates, including 31/70 isolates from China. There were 20 NHBA peptides, of which alleles 669 (46/95, 48.4%), 901 (11/95, 11.6%), and 668 (10/95, 10.5%) occurred most frequently. The nadA gene was absent in all isolates (including other serogroups). Of 31 PorA VR1/VR2 combinations, the most frequently occurring was P1.20,23 (11/97, 11.3%).

MenDeVAR Index values were assigned for MenB disease isolates (n = 29, including the 6 isolates from genitourinary sites), but 27/29 (93.1%) isolates had insufficient data from experimental studies to estimate the coverage of the MenB vaccine Bexsero (Appendix 2 Table 2). We predicted cross-reactivity to the MenB vaccine Trumenba for 18/29 (62.1%) isolates. For the MenB disease isolates from China, 7/17 (41.2%) were deemed cross-reactive with Trumenba;

Figure 2. Allele-based sublineages of clonal complex 4821 Neisseria meningitidis identified using lineage 44 core genome multilocus sequence typing scheme. The inset shows the country distribution of the 40 genomes constituting the Europe–USA cluster. IMD, invasive meningococcal disease; MSM, men who have sex with men.
**Molecular Markers of Strains from Europe Infecting MSM**

None of the CC4821 isolates harbored frameshifted \( fhlbp \) allele or \( penA327 \), but the distribution of putatively functional AniA proteins was diverse. The \( aniA \) gene was absent in all L44.1 isolates (Figure 3) but was present in all of the other 123 CC4821 isolates, of which 96.7% (119/123) isolates harbored putatively functional AniA proteins (Figure 5; Appendix 2 Figures 16–17).

**Evolution of Sublineage L44.1 (China\(^{68} \)CC4821-R1-C/B Clone)**

Five specific loci were present in >90% of L44.1 but in <10% of other sublineages. These loci were involved in signaling and cellular processes (\( n = 2 \)), metabolism (\( n = 1 \)), and genetic information processing (\( n = 1 \)) (Table 3). No loci were specific to any of other 3 sublineages.

Prediction of HGT events contributing to the emergence of L44.1 using Gubbins discovered 126 events involving 686 loci shared by the 50 L44.1 isolates (Appendix 2 Figure 18). These events included 216 loci with alleles specific to L44.1. We discovered an additional 83 unique loci based on analysis of the accessory genome. Therefore, a total of 299 unique loci were identified in L44.1; of those, 139 (46.5%) were involved in metabolic function (Appendix 1 Table 3). These 299 unique loci were distributed across the chromosome; we observed 44 areas (216 loci) harboring contiguous loci with unique alleles (Figure 7), among which the exact donors of 36 areas across 149
looci were identified in 46 putative HGT events. The total length of these putative recombination fragments was \( \approx 225 \) kb, including 87 kb (38.7%) originating from the C:ST-9514 cluster isolates in China during 1966–1977, followed by 25 kb (11.1%) from MenA isolates (CC5 and CC1) in China during 1966–1984 (Table 4, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-3612-T4.htm).

Evolution of CC4821 Isolates from Outside China

We identified 49 CC4821 isolates from countries outside of China, and most (40/49, 81.6%) were assigned to L44.3, of which there were 39 MenB and 1 MenC, constituting the distinct Europe–USA cluster (Figures 2, 5). The representative molecular characteristics of the Europe–USA cluster was B:P1.17-6,23: F3-36:ST-3200(CC4821); its antigen gene profile was porA-423, fHbp-16, nhba-553, porB-265, fetA-1069, opca-100, nspa-26, tbaA-1333, and NMB0315-194 and antimicrobial resistance profile gyrA-12, parC-275, penA-9 with PBP2 mutations, porA-7, and rpoB-85 (Figure 6). In Gubbins analysis, 33 events involving 193 loci were shared by all the Europe–USA cluster isolates (Appendix 2 Figure 18); we discovered 60 unique loci for which we could not identify their potential donors. These unique loci were involved in functions mainly associated with metabolism (23/60, 38.3%) and genetic information processing (18/60, 30%) (Appendix 1 Table 4).

In addition to the 40 Europe–USA cluster isolates, there were 6 MenC invasive isolates from India (n = 4, identified 2014–2016), Japan (n = 1, identified in 2017),
Figure 5. Phylogenetic tree and data of clonal complex 4821 Neisseria meningitidis sublineage L44.3 isolates. Red text indicates the oldest isolates of the sublineage; blue text, the isolates from countries outside of China and the isolates from genitourinary swabs from MSM; and green text, the dominant type or allele. The Europe–USA cluster can be further divided into 3 subclusters: subcluster L44.3.1, composed of 3 ST6595 isolates from the United States, all of which contained putatively nonfunctional AniA; L44.3.2, composed of 7 ST3200 isolates from the United Kingdom (n = 6) and Brazil (n = 1); and L44.3.3, composed of 30 isolates with multiple geographic locations. All the isolates from urethral (n = 2) and rectal (n = 4) swabs were assigned to L44.3.2 and L44.3.3, both of which comprised isolates with putatively functional AniA. Scale bar indicates substitutions per site. IMD, invasive meningococcal disease; MSM, men who have sex with men; NG, nongroupable; SG, serogroup; ST, sequence type; VR, variable region.
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genotypes belonging to hyperinvasive lineages, in combination with the disease-associated capsular serogroups, can cause elevated levels of disease; some of which also possess epidemic and pandemic potential. In the past 100 years, notable epidemics and pandemics have included meningococci such as A:CC1, A:CC5, B:CC41/44, C:CC11, and W:CC11 (35). Here, we employed a genomic analysis of MenB, MenC, and MenW CC4821 isolates dating from 1972–2019 to assess their epidemic and pandemic potential. Of special concern are the expansion of the quinolone-resistant clone ChinaCC4821-R1-C/B from China to other countries; the potential possession of universal resistance to penicillin in Europe–USA cluster isolates; and the uncertainty over the potential efficacy of existing vaccines to prevent B:CC4821 diseases.

CC4821, which corresponds to lineage 44, shares several properties in common with the hyperinvasive CC11 meningococci (lineage 11): its ability to express several serogroups, global distribution, colonization of urogenital and anorectal tracts, and separation into distinct sublineages. CC11 has caused well-documented epidemics and pandemics on several occasions, including US military outbreaks in the 1960s; Hajj-associated outbreaks in 2000s; and the global epidemics from 2010, especially outbreaks among MSM (34–38). These similar characteristics raise the concern that the CC4821 may have the potential to cause similar global pandemics.

Consistent with the presence of the epidemic CC4821 clone in countries outside of China, 6 CC4821 IMD meningococci from India, Japan, and New Zealand, isolated during 2014–2018, clustered with ChinaCC4821-R1-C/B meningococci in L44.1 (Figure 3). IMD cases caused by these 6 isolates were all found in native inhabitants (10,15,39); all 6 isolates shared

Figure 6. Genomic diversity of clonal complex 4821 Neisseria meningitidis sublineage L44.3 isolates. The numbers underneath the antigen genes and AMR genes are the dominant alleles for that particular gene, and the color blocks for SNPs/1,000 bp were determined using the allele number labeled above each column as the reference allele. The Europe–USA cluster can be further divided into 3 subclusters: subcluster L44.3.1, composed of 3 ST6595 isolates from the United States, all of which contained putatively nonfunctional AniA; L44.3.2, composed of 7 ST3200 isolates from the United Kingdom (n = 6) and Brazil (n = 1); and L44.3.3, composed of 30 isolates with multiple geographic locations. All the isolates from urethral (n = 2) and rectal (n = 4) swabs were assigned to L44.3.2 and L44.3.3, both of which comprised isolates with putatively functional AniA. Scale bar indicates substitutions per site. AMR, antimicrobial resistance; SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism.
similar serogroup, antibiotic, and antigen (except porA and fHbp) gene characteristics with isolates from China in this sublineage. In particular, all 6 isolates harbored the T91I mutation in GyrA, the molecular marker of quinolone resistance, compatible with their quinolone-resistant phenotype (10,15,39). These isolates also had strain-specific features, which suggested that they resulted from transmission from the China CC4821-R1-C/B clone. The isolate from Japan, which had the typical molecular features of the Anhui outbreak strain (C:P1.7-2.14:F3-3-ST-4821[CC4821]) (4), became the earliest-reported quinolone-resistant meningococcus harboring ParC mutation (S87I, allele 1038) to cause IMD worldwide (10). In contrast, none of the China CC4821-R1-C/B clone isolates from China had ParC mutations conferring antimicrobial resistance. The isolate from Japan was more closely related to the reference strain 053442 than were the 4 India and 1 New Zealand isolates, which had different STs, porA, fHbp, and tbpA alleles (Figure 4).

Although we did not identify a putative ancestor of the quinolone-resistant clone China CC4821-R1-C/B in this study, we found 299 loci with alleles unique to this sublineage. Approximately half of these loci were associated with metabolic pathways, suggesting that divergence in metabolic genes may play a role in the emergence of epidemic meningococci. Several studies have indicated that metabolic genes can influence the pathogenesis and virulence of the meningococcus, for example by allowing alternative host resources to be exploited in invasive disseminated infections (40). Changes in the hyperinvasive A:CC5 meningococci circulating in Africa have been associated with HGT of core genes involved in metabolic processes (41). The putative donors of these unique alleles included lineages from different serogroups and dates of isolation, such as C:ST-9514 cluster, 1960s–1970s; A:CC5 and A:CC1, 1960s–1980s; B:CC32, 1960s; B:CC41/44, 1970s; and E:CC178, 1980s (Table 4). The C:ST-9514 cluster, STs that do not presently form part of a clonal complex documented in PubMLST, has ST9514 as the central ST and was predominant in MenC carriage isolates during 1965-1980 in Shanghai, China (42). Therefore, the emergence of China CC4821-R1-C/B clone was perhaps associated with accumulation of these unique alleles, which accounted for the separation from other sublineages in the allele-based phylogeny (Figure 2).

In the PubMLST database, >60% of the CC4821 isolates from outside China were MenB. Of these, 49 genomes were available in this study, including isolates from IMD (n = 15) and urogenital and rectal tracts (n = 6). Most of these genomes clustered in sublineage L44.3 and constituted a distinct cluster, the Europe–USA cluster, showing the typical strain designation: B:P1.17-6,23-x:F3-36-ST-3200(CC4821), wherein 23-x refers to 23, 23-2, and 23-6. The PorA and FetA types P1.17-6,23-x and F3-36 were only found in this cluster. The Neisseria PubMLST database had no genome data for 24 CC4821 isolates from other countries (United States, Brazil, France, Czech Republic, Spain, Italy, Australia, and Vietnam), but included PorA or FetA variants for the 24 isolates (Appendix 1 Table 5). Of these, 19 (79.2%) exhibited P1.17-6,23-x or F3-36, suggesting they might belong to the Europe–USA cluster. This cluster was distinct from the epidemic clone China CC4821-R1-C/B. For example, the antigen profile characteristic of the Europe–USA cluster was P1.17-6,23-x, F3-36, PorB-3-229, fHbp -16, nhba-553, opcA-100, nspA-26, and tbpA-1333, compared with P1.7-2,14, F3-3, PorB-3-489, fHbp -16, nhba-553, opcA-100, nspA-26, and tbpA-14 in the China CC4821-R1-C/B clone. In addition, all the China CC4821-R1-C/B isolates harbored the mutation T91I in GyrA, whereas almost all of the Europe–USA cluster isolates possessed mutations in PBPs (E504L, A510V, I515V, H541N, and I566V). This may reflect different antibiotic selective pressures experienced by the Europe–USA and the China CC4821-R1-C/B meningococci. Penicillins were the most-used antimicrobial drugs in outpatients in Europe, whereas China has the second largest global increases of fluoroquinolone consumption (43,44). A high frequency (>70%) of quinolone resistance has been reported in China since 2005 (5), whereas 65% of meningococci

### Table 2. Specific alleles of antigenic genes in 4 sublineages of clonal complex 4821 of *Neisseria meningitidis*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sublineage</th>
<th>Antigen allele no. (n. isolates)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L44.1, n = 50</td>
<td>PorA: P1.7–2.14 (25) 12. P1.12–1.16–8 (9) 22 (12) 489 (13) 124 (48) 3–48 (47) F3–3 (45) None 4 (49) 7 (36) None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L44.2, n = 29</td>
<td>None None 965 (10) 967 (12) 3–81 (15) F1–91 (20) None None None 335 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L44.3, n = 58</td>
<td>P1.17–6,23-x* (23) None None 3–229 (35) F3–3 (8) F3–36 (37) 100 (40) 26 (39) 1,333 (31) 194 (49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L44.4, n = 32</td>
<td>P1.5–3,10–2 (8) None None 3–460 (7) F1–7 (11) None 117 (20) None None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*23-x refers to 23, 23–2, and 23–6.*
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in Europe showed reduced susceptibility to penicillin G during 1945–2006 (45). In the 2 oldest isolates of the sublineage L44.3, Nm282 (B:P1.20,23:F3-36:ST-3200[CC4812]) was much closer to the Europe–USA cluster isolates than Nm323 (B:P1.20,23:F3-36:ST-5798[CC4821]) (Figure 5), and it seemed more likely to be the ancestor of the Europe–USA cluster isolates.

Urogenital and rectal meningococci have raised increasing public health concerns (34). In 2017, CC4821 anorectal isolates were identified in the United Kingdom (12). In this study, we identified CC4821 isolates from urethral and rectal tracts that clustered with isolates from IMD specimens and oropharyngeal carriage (Figure 5). With the exception of L44.1 isolates, most of the CC4821 isolates contained a putatively functional nitrite reductase (AniA), required for growth in anaerobic environments. The CC4821 isolates acquired quinolone resistance alleles from N. lactamica and N. subflava (46); the ability to grow in anaerobic environments will facilitate acquisition of gonococcal alleles, including antimicrobial resistance alleles. Such events seem to have already occurred in a sublineage of CC11, which was responsible for several IMD outbreaks and urethritis among MSM (34). They shared the same penA allele (penA327/penA XXX IV) with gonococcal bacteria and showed decreased susceptibility to third-generation cephalosporins (47). Although PubMLST is the largest global repository of meningococcal genomes (>22,000), a paucity of genomic data were available from isolates originating from the genitourinary or respiratory tract, suggesting an underestimation of the global dissemination of CC4821. Therefore, we recommend WGS for urogenital-, rectal-, and respiratory-derived meningococci if they are exhibiting antimicrobial resistance.

CC4821 lineage 44 includes isolates from different serogroups, including MenB, MenC, and MenW. In China, MenC and MenW isolates can be prevented

Figure 7. Circular genome map of CC4821 Neisseria meningitidis genome 053442 with BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) comparisons to the genomes of other sublineages. The innermost rings show guanine and cytosine (G+C) content (black) and G+C skew (negative in purple, positive in green) of genome 053442. The 4 outer rings show BLAST comparisons (using BLASTn and an E-value cutoff of 10.0) to the complete genome sequence of 053442 (red), Nm449 (green), Nm205 (pale blue), and Nm323 (blue); shading on rings indicates percentage identity as indicated in the key. Labels around the outer ring refer to the 46 HGT events involving 149 unique loci that are labeled with their possible donor strain. Red text indicates loci related to most common donors; blue text indicates those with serogroup A hyperinvasive lineage donors. CC, clonal complex; HGT, horizontal gene transfer; Nm, N. meningitidis; ST, sequence type.
by vaccines, such as group A and C meningococcal polysaccharide vaccine (MPV-AC) and MPV-ACYW, but no routinely administered vaccine is available to prevent MenB IMD (48). Two protein-based vaccines, targeting MenB meningococci 4CMenB (Bexsero) and rLP2086 (Trumenba), have been licensed in several countries (49–50; reference 51 in Appendix 2), but limited data are available on the bacterial coverage of these vaccines to CC4821 isolates directly from serum bactericidal activity assays, the Meningococcal Anti-gen Typing System (MATS) for Bexsero, or meningococcal antigen surface expression for Trumenba. One B:CC4821 isolate (M14-240580, UK) was reported to be tested using the MATS assay and showed no potential protection (reference 52 in Appendix 2). Using systems to index complex genotypic and phenotypic data, such as the MenDeVAR Index, we predicted that ≥60% of B:CC4821 disease-causing isolates might be prevented through vaccination with Trumenba; data are insufficient to infer Bexsero reactivity. Further testing of globally diverse meningococci is needed with these experimental assays to analyze potential vaccine impact in settings outside Europe.

In summary, we have undertaken a comprehensive genomic analysis of a hyperinvasive meningococcal CC4821 expressing MenB, MenC, and MenW with expansion from China to other global geographic locations with currently available genomic data. We identified key genomic factors and putative evolutionary changes that might have led to the emergence and persistence of the epidemic quinolone-resistant clone in China. Vaccine coverage for MenB CC4821 isolates needs further evaluation. Enhanced laboratory surveillance for CC4821 isolates from IMD cases and from oropharyngeal, urethral, and rectal carriage is needed to monitor global trends of expansion, which will be essential for local immunization policies.
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Yaws, caused by the bacterium *Treponema pallidum* subspecies *pertenue* (TPE), is an infection of skin and soft tissues, affecting primarily children, with transmission driven by direct human-to-human contact (1). TPE disseminates through the bloodstream and lymphatic system and can lead to extensive ulcerative or papillomatous lesions and progressive damage to cartilage or bone. In eradication campaigns that ran during 1948–1964, >300 million persons were assessed for yaws and >50 million were treated with injectable benzathine penicillin, reducing global prevalence by as much as 95% (2). Despite this achievement, interest in yaws eradication waned and the disease resurfaced in several countries in Africa, the Pacific, and Southeast Asia by the 1970s. In 2012 the World Health Organization (WHO) relaunched eradication efforts based on total community and targeted treatment with single-dose azithromycin, termed the Morges strategy (3).

Historically, 103 countries have reported cases of yaws, but as of 2018 only 14 continued to report confirmed cases to WHO (4). It remains unclear whether this reflects true absence of disease or rather inadequate surveillance and loss of disease-specific expertise (5). A recent modeling study suggested that more than two thirds of countries without recent data would be highly unlikely to report yaws without dedicated active surveillance (4). Furthermore, since the launch of the Morges strategy, the Philippines remains the only country that previously reported cases to subsequently confirm autochthonous transmission (6). Surveillance activities are challenging because of low population-level prevalence with cases clustered (7,8) among poor rural populations with low accessibility (9), although there is a lack of objective data on yaws-endemic communities. Consequently, no standardized approaches exist to efficiently identify cases in areas of unknown burden (10,11). One approach proposed by WHO is to integrate active surveillance for multiple neglected tropical diseases (NTDs) that affect the skin (skin NTDs) (12), including yaws, an approach recently adopted by several countries in West Africa (13).

For *T. pallidum* subspecies *pallidum*, genetic epidemiology has informed understanding on global transmission patterns and macrolide resistance (14,15). Despite their close genetic relationship, few whole-genome sequences are currently available for TPE.

We confirmed endemicity and autochthonous transmission of yaws in Liberia after a population-based, community-led burden estimation (56,825 participants). Serologically confirmed yaws was rare and focal at population level (24 cases; 2.6 [95% CI 1.4–3.9] cases/10,000 population) with similar clinical epidemiology to other endemic countries in West Africa. Unsupervised classification of spatially referenced case finding data indicated that yaws was more likely to occur in hard-to-reach communities; healthcare-seeking was low among communities, and clinical awareness of yaws was low among healthcare workers. We recovered whole bacterial genomes from 12 cases and describe a monophyletic clade of *Treponema pallidum* subspecies *pertenue*, phylogenetically distinct from known TPE lineages, including those affecting neighboring nonhuman primate populations (Taï Forest, Côte d’Ivoire). Yaws is endemic in Liberia but exhibits low focal population prevalence with evidence of a historical genetic bottleneck and subsequent local expansion. Reporting gaps appear attributable to challenging epidemiology and low disease awareness.

We confirmed endemicity and autochthonous transmission of yaws in Liberia after a population-based, community-led burden estimation (56,825 participants). Serologically confirmed yaws was rare and focal at population level (24 cases; 2.6 [95% CI 1.4–3.9] cases/10,000 population) with similar clinical epidemiology to other endemic countries in West Africa. Unsupervised classification of spatially referenced case finding data indicated that yaws was more likely to occur in hard-to-reach communities; healthcare-seeking was low among communities, and clinical awareness of yaws was low among healthcare workers. We recovered whole bacterial genomes from 12 cases and describe a monophyletic clade of *Treponema pallidum* subspecies *pertenue*, phylogenetically distinct from known TPE lineages, including those affecting neighboring nonhuman primate populations (Taï Forest, Côte d’Ivoire). Yaws is endemic in Liberia but exhibits low focal population prevalence with evidence of a historical genetic bottleneck and subsequent local expansion. Reporting gaps appear attributable to challenging epidemiology and low disease awareness.
Furthermore, yaws-like disease caused by TPE has now been detected in nonhuman primate species in several countries in sub-Saharan Africa, including Côte d’Ivoire (16,17). The direct role of nonhuman primate species as a potential reservoir for zoonotic infection is currently unknown, but it may prove critical to guiding eradication strategies (16). Yaws eradication efforts will be supported through improved genomic analyses of human and nonhuman primate TPE at different spatiotemporal scales to inform understanding of transmission and antimicrobial resistance.

Yaws was previously highly endemic to Liberia; active clinical prevalence was estimated to be as high as 30% during the first eradication era (18). National surveillance systems, however, ceased reporting cases by the mid-1970s, and no yaws cases have been confirmed in subsequent decades (5,19). Several countries in the region do continue to report high numbers of yaws cases (5), including neighboring Côte d’Ivoire, and there have been anecdotal reports of unconfirmed cases in Liberia in recent years. As part of an integrated project on skin NTDs, we undertook an exhaustive population-based burden estimation in Maryland County, Liberia, and identified 24 cases of serologically confirmed yaws. We present detailed epidemiologic and whole-genome characterization of these cases and their affected communities.

Methods

Setting and Survey Design
We conducted a population-based cross-sectional integrated survey for skin NTDs (Buruli ulcer, leprosy, lymphatic filariasis–associated morbidity, and yaws) during June–October 2018 in Maryland County, Liberia (census population 165,456) (Appendix 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4442-App1.pdf). Maryland County is in the far southeast part of Liberia and borders known yaws-endemic regions in Côte d’Ivoire. All communities and residents were eligible for inclusion. We defined community health worker catchment areas as primary sampling units (PSUs), stratified them across all 24 health facilities, and systematically selected them using probability proportional to size. The study protocol was approved by the University of Liberia (PIRE) Institutional Review Board (no. 18-02-088) and the Ethics Committee of the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine (no. 14698).

Procedures
Community health workers undertook exhaustive screening for skin NTDs by visiting all households, door to door, in their selected PSUs. The community health workers showed photographs of common skin NTD lesions to household members who verbally reported whether they, or other household members, currently exhibited skin lesions similar to those in the photographs. Suspected skin lesions identified by community health workers were subsequently verified by specially trained nonphysician healthcare workers during follow-up surveys. For the purpose of this survey, we focused on the typical lesions of primary yaws, namely ulcerative lesions and papilomas. All persons with lesions compatible with yaws or tropical ulcer, and any child (<18 years of age) with any form of ulcer were tested using a rapid treponemal test (SD Bioline, https://www.globalpointofcare.abbott); if that result was positive, then they were tested with the syphilis dual path platform (DPP) lateral flow assay (ChemBio, https://chembio.com). During clinical diagnosis, details of healthcare-seeking behaviors and previous diagnoses were recorded, then confirmed at health facilities. For clinically suspicious cases of yaws or any other ulcers, swab specimens were collected from the largest lesion. Lesion samples were shipped to the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, where a multiplex quantitative PCR (qPCR) assay for both TPE (targeting polA) and Haemophilus ducreyi (hhdA), and a separate qPCR for Mycobacterium ulcerans, the causative agent of Buruli ulcer (IS2404), were performed. All serologically confirmed yaws cases (DPP positive) were referred for immediate treatment with azithromycin.

Outcome
We defined yaws infection as being positive for both treponemal and nontreponemal antibodies on testing with the DPP assay. We defined active yaws as a clinically suspicious lesion in which TPE was detected by qPCR from a lesion sample. Survey activities also included measurement of other skin NTDs (Appendix).

Data Analysis
We estimated prevalence through design-based inference as a stratified 1-stage cluster design with variance estimated using jackknife repeated replication (R version 4.0.1, survey version 3.36; https://www.r-project.org). The intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) of PSUs was estimated from intercept-only binomial mixed effects models (lme4 version 1.1–23) (20). We extracted community accessibility data from all household GPS point locations (n = 9,375) collected by community health workers during screening from open-source GIS layers (raster version 3.1–5). Locations with missing data (n = 489) following extraction
were removed and median values aggregated by PSU for analysis. We used unsupervised classification to objectively define subpopulations of PSUs with lowest accessibility in Maryland County. We classified PSUs using nested (K-means) or partitioning (hierarchical agglomerative and divisive) clustering methods (cluster version 2.1.0). We used Euclidean distance as a standard measure and limited cluster number between 2 and 5. We applied Ward’s method for hierarchical agglomerative classification. We chose the optimal approach using weighted rank aggregation across 3 measures of internal validity: Dunn index, silhouette width, and adjusted connectivity (optCluster version 1.3.0) (21) leading to selection of divisive hierarchical classification for final analysis.

**Whole-Genome Sequencing**

From all TPE PCR-positive swabs in the study, we submitted samples with a qPCR threshold of <Cq 32 for whole-genome sequencing using the pooled sequence capture method described previously (14,22). We mapped *Treponema*-specific sequences to the Samoa D reference genome (bwa mem version 0.7.15), as previously described (15), to infer a whole genome multiple sequence alignment (samtools version 1.6, bcftools version 1.6, http://www.htslib.org), contextualized by 33 high-quality publicly available TPE genomes (and 1 *T. pallidum* subsp. *endemicum* as outgroup) from around the world. We used Gubbins version 2.4.1 (https://github.com/sanger-pathogens/gubbins) to mask recombination and generated a maximum likelihood phylogeny (IQ-Tree version 1.6.10, http://www.iqtree.org). Macrolide resistance-associated alleles were inferred as previously described (15). We inferred pairwise single nucleotide polymorphism distances between samples using pairsnp (https://github.com/gtonkinhill/pairsnp). We performed joint ancestral reconstruction using pyjar (https://github.com/simonrharris/pyjar).

**Results**

After exhaustive screening of 56,825 persons from 92 clusters in Maryland County, we assessed 81 persons with ulcerative or papillomatous lesions meeting testing criteria for yaws, using an SD Bioline rapid treponemal test. We identified 28 treponemal seropositive persons who were subsequently tested using the ChemBio DPP. Among this group, we identified 24 cases of serologically confirmed yaws infection; of these, 17 were PCR-confirmed active yaws lesions. We estimated the design-adjusted population prevalence of serologically-confirmed yaws infection as 2.6 (95% CI 1.4–3.9) cases/10,000 population (Table). Of note, the first case of yaws was not confirmed until 36,621 persons had been screened (Figure 1), emphasizing the sampling effort in confirmation of the first case. The spatial distribution of cases was highly focal, with occurrence in only 8/92 (8.7%) survey clusters and an intraclass correlation coefficient estimated at 0.93 (Figure 2). Maryland County is divided into 6 health districts; confirmed cases were identified in 3 districts, including a single, isolated case within the most populated district, Pleebo (Figure 2). Spatial clustering was also evident from the concentration of 15 cases (62.5%) among clusters served by a single health facility, where the maximum cluster-level prevalence of 2.0% was observed.

Aside from 1 case in a 32-year-old person, all confirmed cases were in persons ≤18 years of age, most of whom were male (Table). The most common clinical presentation was a solitary skin lesion. The morphology of the skin lesions was either papillomatous (12/24; 50.0%) or ulcerated (12/24; 50.0%). A total of 11/12 (91.7%) papillomas had a positive PCR for TPE (a specimen was missing for 1 sample), compared with 6/12 (50%) yaws-like ulcers that had a positive PCR for TPE. In addition, 12 persons identified with clinically suspicious yaws lesions tested negative by yaws serology. Among this group, we detected *H. ducreyi* in 3 persons and *IS2404* from *M. ulcerans* in 2 persons. Most persons with yaws cases (15/24; 62.5%) reported having symptoms for <6 months, although 3 reported persistence of symptoms for ≥3 years.

Active healthcare seeking for treatment of yaws among users of the Maryland health system appeared low, as did clinical awareness among providers. Fewer than half of persons with confirmed cases reported seeking formal healthcare for lesions before survey activities (Table). Among those seeking care (n = 11), only 1 person received any formal diagnosis (tropical ulcer) although 6 (54.5%) received prescription pharmaceuticals. Use of traditional medicine providers was rarely reported for persons with confirmed yaws (2/24, 8.3% of cases) despite the common use of these pathways for other skin NTDs reported in our project (data not shown). Only 1/7 (14.3%) nonphysician healthcare workers recruited for validation survey activities reported prior awareness of the clinical diagnosis of yaws during presurvey knowledge assessments. Despite this lack of awareness, the positive predictive value (PPV) of confirmed yaws among clinically suspicious cases was promising after a tailored training program for healthcare workers (64.5%, 95% CI 46.5%–80.3%). When broken down by major clinical forms, yaws papillomas demonstrated notably higher clinical PPV than ulcers (Table). Clinical teams...
were also trained to differentiate between multiple forms of ulcers. The negative predictive value (NPV) among all ulcers clinically diagnosed with non-yaws etiology was high (98.0%, 95% CI 93.1%–99.8%). NPV remained high (94.4%, 95% CI 81.3%–99.3%) when limited to only tropical ulcers, the major differential diagnosis of yaws ulcers.

To objectively classify the accessibility of survey communities in Maryland County, we used a divisive hierarchical classification algorithm that defined 3 distinct population groups, which we described as high accessible (65 clusters), low accessible (26 clusters), and very low accessible (1 cluster; Appendix 1). Yaws cases were identified in all 3 population groups (Figure 2); the proportion of yaws-endemic communities was inversely correlated with accessibility (high access: 3/65, 4.6%; low access: 3/26, 11.5%; very low access: 1/1, 100%; p = 0.041). Four cases of active yaws were identified in the single very-low-access cluster (Figure 3, cluster A, prevalence 1.3%). This community exhibited similar population density to low-access clusters, but estimated travel times to cities, calculated using friction surface data, were 2.6 times higher and estimated travel times to health facilities were 3.6 times higher. More than half the confirmed cases (14/24; 58.3%) were identified in high-access clusters, including 1 community with 10 cases and prevalence of 2.0% (Figure 3, cluster B). Among the high-access communities, no cases were identified in clusters a priori defined as urban or periurban.

Table. Descriptive epidemiological characteristics of serologically confirmed yaws cases, Liberia*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total serologically confirmed cases</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PCR-positive lesions</td>
<td>17 (70.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole genome recovered</td>
<td>12 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevalence of serologically confirmed yaws, cases/10,000 population (95% CI)</td>
<td>4.2 (2.5–5.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude prevalence in survey population</td>
<td>2.6 (1.4–3.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical diagnostic accuracy, % (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value of all suspected yaws</td>
<td>64.7 (46.5–80.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value of yaws ulcers</td>
<td>47.6 (25.7–70.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative predictive value of tropical ulcers</td>
<td>94.4 (81.3–99.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value of yaws papilloma</td>
<td>92.3 (64.0–99.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case demographics</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age, y (interquartile range)†</td>
<td>10 (8.2–12.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>17 (73.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>6 (26.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcer</td>
<td>12 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Papilloma</td>
<td>12 (50.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. active lesions‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17 (73.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3 (13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (8.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 (4.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient-reported duration of lesion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;8 wk</td>
<td>9 (37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8–26 wk</td>
<td>6 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 wk–1 y</td>
<td>5 (20.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–3 y</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3 y</td>
<td>3 (12.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>1 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sought formal healthcare for lesion(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11 (45.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Provided with any diagnosis from health provider</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1 (4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treated lesion(s) with prescription pharmaceuticals</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 (25.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sought traditional medicine for lesion(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2 (8.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no. (%) except as indicated.
†Missing data for 2 cases.
‡Missing data for 1 case.
We conducted a sensitivity analysis using alternative clustering algorithms (k-means and agglomerative hierarchical). Both outputs failed to define a very-low-access group, yet delineated low-access populations with strong concordance to the original grouping. Yaws cases were similarly distributed across these 2 groups but lacked statistical evidence of a difference (agglomerative; high, 4/66, 6.1%; low, 4/26, 15.4%; p = 0.22). We also repeated classification analysis with leprosy-endemic communities (Appendix 1). This showed that leprosy did not follow the same patterns as yaws, with cases most frequent in high-access clusters (22/65, 33.8%; low access, 5/26, 19.2%; very low access, 0/1; p = 0.38).

We were able to recover whole genomes from 12 of the 17 PCR-positive lesion samples (Appendix 1 Table 1). We identified a novel monophyletic TPE clade in Maryland County (Figure 3) in which all sequences were phylogenetically distinct relative to publicly available TPE genomes isolated from humans and nonhuman primates (Figure 4). This distinction includes clear separation from the geographically related TPE genomes isolated in nonhuman primates from nearby Tâ National Park (Côte d’Ivoire). All Liberia genomes were extremely closely related; 10 variable genome positions described the entire Liberia subtree, and a maximum of 7 pairwise single-nucleotide polymorphisms exist between any 2 TPE genomes. Ancestral reconstruction on the phylogeny inferred that the maximum number of substitutions from the common ancestor of all genomes sequenced from Maryland County was 4. Contextualized by previous estimates that the molecular clock rate of T. pallidum is 4–9 years/substitutions/genome (14,23), which suggests a recent common ancestor within the past 16–36 years. Despite low overall diversity, there remained evidence of local geographic separation within Maryland County genomes, particularly among 2 northernmost communities (Figure 3, clusters A, B). All sequences were predicted to be azithromycin sensitive based on in silico analysis of the 2 known azithromycin resistance loci (A2058G, A2059G) in the 23S ribosomal regions previously reported for syphilis or yaws.

Discussion

We have demonstrated through deployment of comprehensive serologic and molecular tools that yaws remains endemic to Liberia and have provided detailed epidemiologic description of the cases and the affected communities. Our results also provide novel insight into the genetic epidemiology of yaws in West Africa and the operational challenges of identifying yaws cases in countries with unknown disease distribution.

The clinical profile of yaws in Liberia is similar to those from other endemic countries in West Africa: the disease is predominantly detected in male children who have a high proportion of papillomatous lesions (24). We showed that TPE genomes in Maryland County form a monophyletic clade that is clearly distinct from other publicly available TPE genomes. The
low genomic diversity within samples from Liberia is consistent with previous observations regarding low mutation rates of TPE and TPA (14,23) yet contrasts the genetic and geographic structuring observed in the broader global phylogeny. This finding suggests evolution and expansion of local TPE strains from a common ancestor subsequent to the benzathine penicillin-based eradication campaigns conducted until the 1960s, rather than recent importation from elsewhere. This information contrasts with a recent study on highly endemic Lihir Island, Papua New Guinea, where TPE was polyphyletic and exhibited ≥3 distinct phylogenetic clades (15). These observations were made despite a smaller geographic area and population and may reflect both higher TPE transmission rates and mobility among island inhabitants. Repeated events similar to those observed in Liberia occurring across the West Africa region may also explain the broader geographic structuring (Figure 4). Under this scenario, circulating TPE populations may have undergone historic collapse as a consequence of previous eradication efforts, leading to genetic bottlenecks and subsequent expansion of isolated residual cases. Characterization of genomes from other spatially contiguous locations

Figure 2. Spatial occurrence and accessibility of yaws endemic communities in Maryland County, Liberia. A) All survey cluster centroids (n = 92). Yaws endemic clusters are shown by large gray circles. All survey clusters were classified based on accessibility criteria into high access (pink), low access (blue), and very low access (yellow) using open-source GIS datasets. Black features are OpenStreetMap defined buildings (©OSM Contributors) to provide indication of structural density. B, C) Main urban centers of Maryland County: Pleebo (B) and Harper (C). Inset: Results of divisive hierarchical classification. The axes of this plot show the principal components and proportion of variance explained by each component.
will, however, be required to better understand finescale transmission in this region.

The bacterial genomes we describe were recovered from human patients with yaws who were <200 km from Taï National Park and represent the closest geospatial overlap with nonhuman primate TPE genomes currently available (16). These human-derived TPE genomes appearing completely unrelated to those from nonhuman primates, coupled with our detection of only a single monophyletic clade, highlight the importance of geography on TPE population structure. This finding is also inconsistent with recent zoonotic transmission between humans and the nonhuman primates in this area (17), although more intensive, localized sampling is needed to affirm our understanding of TPE as a potential zoonosis.

Recent implementation of azithromycin mass drug administration (MDA) in Papua New Guinea led to the emergence of azithromycin-resistant strains of TPE (25). We found no evidence of azithromycin-resistant alleles in any TPE genomes in Liberia. To our knowledge, these genomes represent the western limit of human TPE genomes described in Africa and suggest low prevalence or selection pressure for resistance alleles in Maryland. The absence of azithromycin MDA programs across Liberia (26) for either yaws or trachoma is a key consideration for low selection pressure.

Given the sampling methods used during this study, exhaustive screening among a large population fraction, our findings provide useful insight into the process of confirming yaws cases in areas of unknown distribution. In light of the extensive screening, the low observed prevalence and highly focal nature of the disease were particularly striking, reinforcing the limited feasibility of stand-alone survey-based approaches for detecting yaws. Surveys and case-finding activities for yaws should instead be

---

**Figure 3.** Spatial and phylogenetic distribution of 12 whole genome TPE sequences isolated from serologically confirmed yaws cases in Maryland County, Liberia. Genomes are extremely closely related but show evidence of geographical separation. A) Regional map with study area highlighted in red. B) Maryland County, indicating sampling location of Treponema genome (colored by survey cluster). C) Maximum-likelihood whole genome phylogeny of Liberia genomes, scaled by substitutions per site, showing phylogenetic relationships of patient samples. Ultra-fast bootstrap values >95% are indicated on the tree. Map tiles by Stamen Design (CC-BY 3.0), map data by OpenStreetMap (ODbl). TPE, Treponema pallidum subspecies pertenue.
considered when integrated alongside those for other skin NTDs to maximize efficiency (12,27). Our findings also support the use of larger implementation units for MDA at the county level, equivalent to the WHO definition of districts, following confirmation of an active yaws case, given the evident difficulty in excluding occurrence within smaller implementation units. We also sought only cases with active clinical symptoms of yaws disease, which means that our estimate of yaws infection is likely a substantial underestimate, given that the ratio of active to latent infection can be as high as 1:6 (28).

Although standalone surveys for yaws may be unsuitable, our results suggest that community-led case finding activities should be considered, including photo-based screening. Our accessibility analysis also provides some support for WHO guidance of purposively selecting remote communities for these activities (11). Our data suggested that the proportion of communities with yaws cases was greater among those classified as low or very low access. Our approach identified a single very-low-access cluster with high prevalence of confirmed yaws, thus supporting the idea that extremely remote settings may be at highest risk. The need for targeted case finding in areas of unknown distribution was further emphasized by the large sampling effort before identification of the first case in our survey process. Of note,

Figure 4. Global context of whole Treponema pallidum subspecies pertenue (TPE) genomes from Liberia. Liberia genomes form a monophyletic clade, genetically distant from publicly available genomes including 3 isolated from nonhuman primates in nearby Taï National Park (Côte d’Ivoire). Plot shows a maximum-likelihood phylogeny of 12 Liberia genomes contextualized with 34 published global genomes, scaled by substitutions per site. Ultra-fast bootstrap values >95% are indicated on the tree. Colored tracks show country of sampling and original host organism. Novel Liberia genomes from this study are indicated with blue labels.
however, half of all yaws-endemic communities in Maryland were defined as high access. Even though no cases were found in periurban or urban settings, these data show that if activities were focused solely on the most remote communities, most cases would be missed, a crucial point in light of eradication goals.

A recent modeling analysis indicated that, on the basis of several epidemiologic and structural factors, Liberia was unlikely to report yaws cases, even if present (4). Our findings indicate that knowledge and healthcare seeking for yaws among both healthcare workers and communities appeared low. Coupled with both our epidemiologic and accessibility findings, this contextual information highlights the challenges of yaws surveillance. We did, however, demonstrate that nonphysician healthcare workers could be trained to provide reliable clinical diagnoses of suspicious lesions despite limited prior awareness. These results are promising, given that the PPV and NPV of yaws can be low because of differential diagnoses (29,30). The high PPV attributable to papillomatous lesions also highlights that a clinical case definition of a yaws-like papilloma may be an effective tool in settings where serologic or molecular diagnostics are not available.

Our study’s limitations include the potential for missed yaws infections or cases of active disease. We did not screen every community in Maryland County, and community healthcare workers may have missed cases during case finding, nor did we train community healthcare workers to screen for the less common clinical manifestations of yaws. To reduce selection and classification bias, we administered rigorous training, real-time data monitoring, and quality control surveys in all community healthcare worker–surveyed clusters. Despite these efforts, both the prevalence of active yaws and genomic diversity of TPE in Maryland County could be greater than we report. In addition, we did not characterize latent yaws through widespread serologic testing; therefore, we cannot provide indications of the prevalence of latent infection. The inherent limitations of high host and bacterial contamination, combined with low Treponema load in swab specimens, permitted us to recover genomes from only 12 of 17 PCR-confirmed cases, meaning that we may have missed unsampled diversity.

Our use of exhaustive, rigorously validated sampling methods provides an unusual level of insight into the epidemiology of yaws and the public health challenges associated with confirming cases in areas of unknown burden. Coupled with the genomic characterization of TPE, we provide key details of TPE diversity in this region, which expands and reinforces understanding of TPE spatiotemporal diversity in West Africa. Other previously yaws-endemic countries can use our approaches and findings to inform surveillance activities and to support global yaws eradication efforts.
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Foodborne diseases are a global cause of illness and death, imposing an economic burden on not only the food industry but also the public health sector. Campylobacter is the most frequently reported gastrointestinal bacterial pathogen in high-income countries (1), responsible for an estimated 166 million diarrheal illnesses worldwide and 3.7 million disability-adjusted life years (2). The disease is usually self-limiting, with symptoms manifesting as acute watery or bloody diarrhea; treatment is only required for severe cases. Campylobacter infection is a causal factor for Guillain-Barré syndrome, a peripheral nerve disorder, which can potentially cause paralysis. Incidence of Campylobacter infection is higher in men and boys than in women and girls, and several countries report high incidence in children <5 years of age and in young adults (1,3). In most high-income countries, infection with Campylobacter is notifiable as part of national surveillance programs for infectious diseases.

Campylobacteriosis is a zoonotic disease; poultry, wild birds, pets, and farm animals are the main reservoirs. Transmission to humans occurs primarily through unsafe handling or consumption of raw or undercooked chicken, consumption of raw milk, or contact with domestic animals (4–6). However, a large proportion of cases cannot be easily explained by these factors and it has been suggested that other infection routes (e.g., the environment) are equally important in explaining transmission of this disease (4,7). Some zoonotic pathogens such as Shigella spp., Giardia lamblia, and Entamoeba histolytica have been associated with high risk for infection among men who have sex with men (MSM) because of anal–oral contact (8–12). Even though several outbreaks have been reported and observational studies have described a high incidence of Campylobacter infection among MSM (8,13,14,15–21), sexual contact is not officially considered among its risk factors for MSM or heterosexual partners in general. However, the transmission potential and incidence of gastrointestinal illnesses among MSM and heterosexual partners engaging in anal–oral sexual contact is difficult to evaluate based on laboratory data only, which does not contain sexual exposure information.

In Denmark, some infectious diseases, including all foodborne and most sexually transmitted infections, must be reported to Statens Serum Institut (SSI; https://en.ssi.dk), the national institute for infectious diseases of Denmark, through the national surveillance and notification system. The surveillance system comprises 2 parts: clinical notifications and laboratory notifications. Clinical notifications cover diseases that must be reported (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-2337-App1.pdf), including serious infectious diseases (e.g.,
meningitis and tuberculosis), sexually transmitted diseases, and *Shigella* spp. infections. These notifications include relevant patient information, primarily the unique individual Civil Registration System (CPR) number (22) and circumstances possibly affecting transmission of the infection, such as sexual contact, foreign travel, and contact with hospitals. General practitioners and hospital physicians fill out details on paper forms that are sent to SSI for manual entry into the database of the national clinical reporting system for infectious diseases. While MSM sexual contact is listed as a possible factor related to transmission, notifications do not include information on anal-oral contact between heterosexual partners.

The laboratory notification system receives reports of all gastrointestinal infections from certain microorganisms, including campylobacteriosis, salmonellosis, and shigellosis, for which clinical microbiological laboratories are obliged to report findings; reports also include the patient’s CPR number. Laboratory notifications can include information on travel but this is not mandatory. Notifications of gastrointestinal infections are registered and stored in the Denmark Register of Enteric Pathogens. By using each patient’s unique CPR number, duplication of patient records can be avoided and multiple reports for individual patients from the clinical infectious disease and enteric infections databases can be coupled. These data can then be used to generate linked datasets of notifiable diseases and possible explanatory or risk factors. We used these high-quality national surveillance data in an individually matched case–control study undertaken to investigate the frequency of *Campylobacter* infections among MSM in Denmark.

### Methods

#### Study Design and Participants

We undertook a national retrospective, individually matched case-control study, with a 9-year study period, 2010–2018, among men ≥18 years of age residing in Denmark. Using an inverted case-control design, we considered MSM as case-patients and infections with *Campylobacter* spp., *Shigella* spp., or *Salmonella* spp. as exposures (Figure 1). We defined an MSM case-patient as a man ≥18 years of age, with ≥1 notification of any infectious disease (Appendix) acquired through MSM contact reported to SSI in a clinical notification during the study period. However, for men identified by CPR number in >1 notification during the study period, we included data from only 1 report. We excluded those <18 years of age at the time of the notification or with an incomplete CPR number. For the control group, we randomly selected men ≥18 years of age from CPR digital records. We individually matched each MSM case-patient to (ideally) 3–5 controls by age (by year and month of birth) and municipality of residence. To determine exposures, we drew information on laboratory-confirmed infections with *Campylobacter* spp., nontyphoidal *Salmonella* spp., and *Shigella* spp. from the enteric infections database.

#### Extraction of Data

From the clinical infectious disease database, we extracted clinical notifications of men with an infectious disease acquired through MSM contact during 2010–2018, and from the enteric infections database, laboratory notifications of *Campylobacter* spp., *Salmonella* spp., and *Shigella* spp. infections over the same period.

---

**Figure 1.** Inverted case-control study design in study of sexual contact as risk factor for *Campylobacter* infection, Denmark, 2010–2018. mOR adjusted for foreign travel, year of notification, infection with any of the other pathogens, and recurrent infections. mOR, matched odds ratio; MSM, men who have sex with men.
period. For each disease notification, we obtained the CPR number of the MSM case-patient, year of notification, and whether the infection was assumed to have been acquired in Denmark or abroad. We included notifications for all species of the 3 pathogens. Data on campylobacteriosis, salmonellosis, and shigellosis were extracted for both sexes and all age groups but only reports for men ≥18 years of age at the time of notification were included in the study. For calculation of age-specific incidence of the pathogens, we used national population data available from Statistics Denmark (https://www.statistikbanken.dk).

Calculations and Statistical Analysis
To illustrate the age distribution of infection, we divided case-patients and controls into 2 groups: those ≤40 years of age and those ≥40 years of age. We analyzed the difference between the frequency of travel-acquired and recurrent infections in the MSM and control groups, age distributions of infections, and the geographic distribution of MSM case-patients in comparison to the general population residing in Denmark, but a significantly larger proportion of the study population than the general population resided in the Capital Region ($\chi^2 = 1,400; p<0.0001$).

From the Register of Enteric Pathogens, we extracted 49,321 notifications of infections with ≥1 of the 3 bacterial pathogens (exposures); 748 patients had registrations for ≥2 recurrent infections with the same pathogen during the study period (Table 1). Most (76%) notifications were for Campylobacter infections: 55% C. jejuni, 4% C. coli, and 40% other Campylobacter spp. with no species reported. In the MSM group, a total of 132 Campylobacter, 3 Salmonella, and Shigella infections (both MSMs and controls).

This study was approved under the general agreement for noninterventional database studies between the Danish Data Protection Agency and Statens Serum Institut (reference number 2008-54-0474). According to regulations in Denmark, ethics committee approval is not required for studies that do not involve analysis of biologic material from human subjects.

Results
From the clinical infectious disease database, we extracted 4,269 individual reports (only 1 per person) of men who had acquired a notifiable disease through MSM contact during January 1, 2010–December 31, 2018. Of these, 83 (1.9%) men were excluded because they did not have either a valid CPR number or an address in Denmark. For the control group, we extracted 15,250 randomly selected matched male controls from the CPR registry. The mean age was 41 years (median 40 years, range 18–88 years) for both the case-patients and the controls. Case-patients and controls were geographically distributed throughout Denmark.

From the clinical infectious disease database, we extracted 49,321 notifications of infections with ≥1 of the 3 bacterial pathogens (exposures); 748 patients had registrations for ≥2 recurrent infections with the same pathogen during the study period (Table 1). Most (76%) notifications were for Campylobacter infections: 55% C. jejuni, 4% C. coli, and 40% other Campylobacter spp. with no species reported. In the MSM group, a total of 132 Campylobacter, 3 Salmonella, and Shigella infections (both MSMs and controls).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>Patients with enteric infection exposures, n = 49,321</th>
<th>MSM, n = 4,186</th>
<th>Controls, n = 15,250</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Campylobacter</td>
<td>37,602</td>
<td>132 (3)</td>
<td>74 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual incidence</td>
<td>7.4†</td>
<td>35§</td>
<td>5.4§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent infections</td>
<td>524 (1.4)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign travel†</td>
<td>7,252 (19)</td>
<td>15 (11)</td>
<td>24 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt;40 y</td>
<td>19,930 (53)</td>
<td>79 (60)</td>
<td>35 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella</td>
<td>10,450</td>
<td>3 (0.1)</td>
<td>44 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual incidence</td>
<td>2.1†</td>
<td>7.2§</td>
<td>3.2§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent infections</td>
<td>109 (1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign travel†</td>
<td>3,916 (37)</td>
<td>1 (33)</td>
<td>9 (20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt;40 y</td>
<td>3,380 (32)</td>
<td>1 (33)</td>
<td>15 (34)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigella</td>
<td>1,269</td>
<td>64 (1.5)</td>
<td>4 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual incidence</td>
<td>0.2†</td>
<td>17§</td>
<td>0.3§</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recurrent infections</td>
<td>15 (1.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign travel†</td>
<td>527 (42)</td>
<td>7 (11)</td>
<td>1 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt;40 y</td>
<td>838 (66)</td>
<td>39 (61)</td>
<td>3 (75)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no. () except as indicated, except incidence, which is given as cases/10,000 population. MSM, men who have sex with men.
†Travel information was unknown for 57 of patients with Campylobacter infections, 25 of patients with Salmonella infections, and 55 of patients with Shigella infections (both MSMs and controls).
‡Annual incidence refers to incidence in the population of Denmark.
§Annual incidence refers to incidence in the sample population.
64 Shigella infections were reported during the study period (Table 1). In the control group, we observed 74 Campylobacter, 44 Salmonella, and 4 Shigella infections (Table 1). Compared with controls, a higher proportion of MSM case-patients <40 years of age had Campylobacter infections (Table 1), although this difference was not statistically significant ($\chi^2 = 3; p = 0.08$).

Overall, we found that the odds of a Campylobacter infection were 14 times higher among MSM than controls (Table 2). MSM case-patients also had 74 times higher odds than controls of being infected with Shigella, a pathogen known to be transmitted by sexual contact (Table 2). However, we found no significant difference between Salmonella infection rates in MSM case-patients and controls (Table 2). MSM case-patients who were infected with Campylobacter were significantly less likely to have acquired their infection abroad compared with controls ($\chi^2 = 21; p<0.001$), which was not the case for Salmonella or Shigella. Over the study period, there were 4 (3%) recurrent Campylobacter infections in the MSM group compared with none in the control group (statistical analysis not possible); there were no recurrent Salmonella or Shigella infections in the MSM or control groups. During the study period, we observed an increase among MSM case-patients in clinical infections acquired through MSM contact and Campylobacter or Shigella infections (Figure 2, $t = 5–11; p<0.001$). We did not observe any change in the proportion of Salmonella among MSM case-patients ($t = −2; p = 0.1$) or for any of the 3 pathogens in the control group (Figure 2, $t = −1$ to 2; $p = 0.1–0.4$).

**Discussion**

We present surveillance data-driven evidence that campylobacteriosis can be transmitted through sexual contact. Among our study group of MSM case-patients, the odds of being infected with Campylobacter was 14 times higher than among controls. We observed a similar pattern for shigellosis, which is known to be transmitted by sexual contact, but not for Salmonella infection. In Denmark, Salmonella infections are considered almost exclusively foodborne whereas domestic Shigella infections are regarded as primarily sexually transmitted and secondarily as foodborne or transmitted through general person-to-person contact. Campylobacter infection is linked to several different transmission routes (4), handling or consuming of poultry long considered the most notable.

However, our results reinforce information from many reports suggesting Campylobacter can be transmitted through sexual contact; these reports provide explanations for unique aspects of Campylobacter epidemiology supported by biologic facts from other foodborne bacteria. Reported outbreaks among MSM in Canada (14,19,23,24) and previous observations of higher infection rates in homosexual men and HIV-positive patients (8,13,17,20,21,25,26) indicate the likelihood that Campylobacter can be transmitted through sexual contact. In spite of this, sexual contact has not traditionally been considered a possible transmission route in sporadic campylobacteriosis cases and therefore has been excluded as a possible risk factor in published case-control studies (4). We were unable to assess the risk of Campylobacter transmission through anal–oral contact between heterosexual partners because only MSM sexual contact is reported on notifications. However, it is highly likely that the risk of infection through this type of sexual contact is equally relevant for heterosexual and MSM partners.

Several aspects of Campylobacter epidemiology remain to be clarified, such as why the disease is more common among men (3,13,27–29). Many explanations have been proposed, including differences in food handling and preparation, healthcare-seeking behaviors, and physiologies. We provide an additional explanation: adult men practicing sex with other men are at significantly higher risk ($p<0.001$) of campylobacteriosis. In this study, Campylobacter incidence in the MSM group was almost 5 times higher than in the general population, which could be a partial driver for higher incidence in men.

Another feature observed in surveillance statistics from several countries was the biphasic age distribution of Campylobacter infections, showing pronounced peaks in children <5 years of age and young adults 20–40 years of age (3,30–33). In the 20–40-year age group, these peaks have also been observed for Shigella (34,35), but not for other foodborne bacteria.

---

**Table 2. Matched odds ratios by gastrointestinal infection among MSM and controls in study of sexual contact as risk factor for Campylobacter infection, Denmark, 2010–2018***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pathogen</th>
<th>MSM, no. (%), n = 4,186</th>
<th>Controls, no. (%), n = 15,250</th>
<th>Unadjusted comparison</th>
<th>Adjusted comparison†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mOR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p value</td>
<td>mOR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campylobacter</td>
<td>132 (3)</td>
<td>74 (0.5)</td>
<td>16 (11–23)</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3 (0.07)</td>
<td>5 (0.03)</td>
<td>3 (0.7–13)</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shigella</td>
<td>64 (1.5)</td>
<td>4 (0.03)</td>
<td>105 (37–207)</td>
<td>$&lt;0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salmonella</td>
<td>3 (0.07)</td>
<td>5 (0.03)</td>
<td>3 (0.7–13)</td>
<td>0.132</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2 (0.02–1.3)</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* mOR, matched odds ratio; MSM, men who have sex with men.
† Adjusted for foreign travel, year of notification/infection, infection with any of the other pathogens and recurrent infections.

---
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such as *Salmonella*. These findings have been explained by the secondary weaning phase, meaning that young adults away from home are less aware of proper hygiene practices, secondary infections from caring for young children at home, or hormonal and behavioral factors. When comparing different age groups, we saw higher *Campylobacter* infection rates in MSM <40 years of age compared with controls, although this difference was not statistically significant because of the small sample size. On the basis of our results, we suggest that, in countries with a clear biphasic *Campylobacter* age distribution, some of the high infection frequency among young adults might be explained by sexual transmission among persons in this very sexually active age group.

Bacterial pathogens can be transmitted through fecal–oral contact during sex, either directly, through anal–oral contact or anal–penile–oral contact, or indirectly, such as through the use of sex toys or fingers. In 1974, sexual contact was recognized as a risk factor for infection with *Shigella* spp. (11), and such contact is now widely acknowledged as one of the most important transmission routes for shigellosis. The probability of infection with a foodborne bacterium from fecal–oral contact is directly related to the infectious dose. For *Shigella* spp. this dose can be as low as 10–1,000 organisms, and for *Campylobacter*, 500–10,000 organisms (36). The infectious dose for *Salmonella* spp. varies (37) but might be as high as 1 million bacterial cells. Pathogens with a low infectious dose are easier to transmit from person to person, including through sexual contact; on the basis of our findings, we tentatively propose that this might be the case for *Shigella* and *Campylobacter*, but not *Salmonella*.

In Denmark and the rest of Europe, campylobacteriosis and shigellosis are often acquired abroad; 30%–50% of infections are reported as travel related (3,33). This possibility was reflected in our control group, but *Campylobacter* infections among MSM were significantly less likely to have been travel related (p<0.001). Assuming that many *Campylobacter* and *Shigella* cases in the MSM group have been acquired by sexual contact, this domestic pattern is not surprising because MSM contact is most commonly not a holiday experience and infection is more likely to happen at home.

During the study period, there was a significant (p<0.001) increase in the proportion of MSM case-patients infected with *Shigella* or *Campylobacter*, but not with *Salmonella*; we found no increase in the control group. Incidence of campylobacteriosis in Denmark has increased recently (3), reflecting a combination of actual increases in cases of the disease, changes in diagnostic techniques, and improved electronic notification. During the study period, laboratory diagnosis of gastrointestinal pathogens has transitioned from culturing to more sensitive PCR testing of feces. This change could explain some of the increase in recorded *Shigella* and *Campylobacter* infections; however, similar increases would be expected for *Salmonella* and for the number of infections in the control group. An increasing trend over time would also be observed if there had been larger outbreaks of *Shigella* and *Campylobacter* among MSM case-patients later in the study period, but only 1 outbreak of shigellosis was reported, and none of campylobacteriosis among MSM during this time (38). The most likely explanation for the observed increase in *Shigella* and *Campy-
Campylobacter among MSM case-patients is the concurrent increase in the proportion of infections transmitted through MSM contact reported to SSI. More extensive reporting has increased the amount of data available in the electronic databases, in turn increasing the likelihood of discovering infections in the MSM group.

Our study had 2 major strengths: use of routinely collected high-quality national surveillance data for our analyses and results supported by biologic evidence and demographic information, such as the infectious doses and the low level of travel-related infections in the MSM group that explain some of the unique characteristics of Campylobacter transmission. The first limitation is that, although clinical notifications of diseases in Denmark can include MSM contact as possibly associated with the circumstances of the infection, such inclusions are based on patient statements, and only HIV, syphilis, gonorrhea, and hepatitis infections must be reported. Therefore, the MSM population group probably did not include all men with an infection acquired through MSM contact during the study period. It is also very likely that the control group included some MSM and that some re-

fectations of diseases in Denmark can include MSM contact associated with several fecal–oral transmission routes. Given previous reports of outbreaks and high incidence of Campylobacter among MSM, this is not surprising. Combining this theory with high-quality national surveillance data, our results offer additional reasonable explanations for why surveillance statistics from some countries show that adult men are more frequently infected with Campylobacter than are women and why Campylobacter incidence peaks among young adults. Overall, our findings not only

frequency of known risk factors, such as use of proton pump inhibitors, certain occupations, or increased contact with animals, that we could not account for in this study.

Another limitation of the data used in our study was the incomplete travel information for persons with reported infections. Travel history was unknown for patients with 25% of Salmonella, 55% of Shigella, and 57% of Campylobacter infections because of incomplete registration and lack of follow-up interviews. This proportion was similar between case-patients and controls. In spite of this, our results still indicated that MSM are less likely to have acquired Campylobacter or Shigella infections abroad. Also, although our data covered all infections reported as acquired through MSM contact and all confirmed cases of campylobacteriosis, salmonellosis, and shigellosis, these do not account for all cases. Notifications are based on passive surveillance and underestimate the true incidence because persons with infections who do not seek medical attention are not captured (39).

However, because our system enabled linkage of individual data collected, we believe that these results are as accurate as possible and could be generalized to similar settings. Lack of association between MSM contact and Salmonella infection might reflect the true situation or that a larger sample size is needed.

The cycle of transmission through sexual contact among adults contributes substantially to the burden of disease in highly industrialized countries, but likely not in low-middle income settings where limited hygiene and sanitation constitute greater risk factors. Therefore, the external validity of the results in some locations should be considered and we encourage public health agencies in other countries to replicate this study to corroborate the findings. Finally, as we did not directly measure Campylobacter infection transmitted through anal-oral contact, the association is speculative and our conclusions are derived from surveillance data analyses in combination with knowledge gained from studies of other bacteria.

Our findings indicate a strong likelihood that Campylobacter can be transmitted during sexual contact. Given previous reports of outbreaks and high incidence of Campylobacter among MSM, this is not surprising. Combining this theory with high-quality national surveillance data, our results offer additional reasonable explanations for why surveillance statistics from some countries show that adult men are more frequently infected with Campylobacter than are women and why Campylobacter incidence peaks among young adults. Overall, our findings not only
address epidemiologic questions but also highlight a need to inform the public about the risk of infection through sexual activity in regions where *Campylobacter* incidence exhibits patterns similar to those in Denmark. These results are primarily applicable to adults in high-income settings in some countries; further studies are warranted among the general MSM population rather than only those with a reported notifiable disease. However, considering the high burden of campylobacteriosis in Europe, the United States, and Australia, incorporating knowledge from these findings into general information campaigns might encourage the use of precautionary measures during sexual contact, which could, in turn, lead to lower infection rates and reduced overall costs to society.
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Although essential for control strategies, knowledge about transmission cycles is limited for Venezuelan equine encephalitis complex alphaviruses (VEEVs). After testing 1,398 bats from French Guiana for alphaviruses, we identified and isolated a new strain of the encephalitogenic VEEV species Tonate virus (TONV). Bats may contribute to TONV spread in Latin America.

Venezuelan equine encephalitis complex alphaviruses (VEEVs) are arthropod-borne viruses (arboviruses) (1,2). Most VEE complex viruses can infect livestock and humans, causing predominantly acute febrile illness; some VEE complex viruses, including Tonate virus (TONV), which is the predominant VEE complex virus in French Guiana, also cause lethal encephalitis in humans (3). Clarifying the enzootic transmission cycles of VEEVs is essential for developing control strategies. Some VEEVs infect a broad range of invertebrate and vertebrate hosts, including horses, birds, rodents, and bats (4). Other than humans, only birds have been identified as naturally infected vertebrate hosts for TONV by direct virus detection and characterization (5,6). Bats are particularly relevant hosts of zoonotic viruses (7) and are potential hosts of selected VEEV subtypes in Mexico and Trinidad (4,8). To gain more insights into the ecology of VEEVs in French Guiana, we sampled bats and tested them for alphavirus infections using molecular, serologic, and cell-culture–based tools.

The Study

We screened serum samples from 1,398 individual animals representing 25 different bat species collected during 2010–2018 in French Guiana using a broadly reactive alphavirus–specific reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) for viral RNA (Table 1) (9). All animals were released unharmed after sampling.

The overall TONV detection rate among all tested animals was 0.07% (95% CI −0.07% to 0.21%). Only 1 apparently healthy fringe-lipped bat (Trachops cirrhosus) sampled in 2011 was PCR-positive; we classified the virus as TONV (also known as VEEV subtype IIIB) upon amplicon sequencing (6). Among 11 individual fringe-lipped bats, the detection rate was 9.1% (95% CI −11.2% to 29.3%) (Figure 1, panel A). The TONV-positive sample was quantified by real-time RT-PCR using strain-specific oligonucleotides and an in vitro transcribed RNA standard (Table 2). Although the concentration of viral RNA in this sample was low, 78.5 genome copies/µL of blood, the virus was isolated on Vero E6 cells, suggesting potential to infect cells of primate origin. Successful isolation was consistent with highly efficient replication in cell culture, reaching 10⁷ copies/µL of supernatant within 24 hours at different multiplicities of infection (Figure 2, panel A).

The complete viral genome was generated from the original isolate by high-throughput sequencing (MiSeq V3 chemistry; Illumina, https://www.illumina.com). In a complete genome–based maximum likelihood phylogeny, the bat-associated TONV clustered with the only available TONV strain, which was isolated in 1973 from a bird (Figure 2, panel B). Despite ≈40 years between the 2 TONV isolations and despite the divergent vertebrate hosts, the nucleotide identity between the bat-associated and the bird-associated TONVs was 98.1%, averaged over the whole genome. The high rate of genomic conservation is
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Table 1. Bats tested for Tonate virus infection by PCR and PRNT, French Guiana*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Animals screened by RT-PCR</th>
<th>PRNT screening, positive/tested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anoura geoffroyi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artibeus lituratus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. obscurus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. planirostris</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carollia perspicillata</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynomops planirostris</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermanura cinerea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmodus rotundus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lonchorhina inusitata</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Molossus molossus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. rufus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noctilio albiventris</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N. leporinus</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phyllostomus latifolius</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. hastatus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platyrrhinus brachycephalus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. fusconietris</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. incarum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pteronotos gymnomonotus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pteronoto sp.</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sturnura lilium</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. tildae</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonatia saurophila</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trachops cirrhosus</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uroderma bilobatum</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PCR-positive bat species and sample are highlighted in bold. PRNT screening was conducted using a screening dilution of 1:50 only because sample volumes were limited. PRNT, plaque reduction neutralization test; RT-PCR, reverse transcription PCR.

Figure 1. Tonate virus hosts and cycles for study of Venezuelan equine encephalitis complex alphavirus in bats, French Guiana. A) Geographic location of French Guiana in South America and distribution of fringe-lipped bats according to the International Union for Conservation of Nature Red List (https://www.iucnredlist.org/). B) Schematic transmission cycles of TONV according to data from this study and preliminary studies (5,6).
probably a consequence of purifying selection that is a predominant evolutionary force acting on arboviruses because of their need to infect both vertebrate and arthropod cells (10). Nucleotide identity was <90% only within the hypervariable region (HVR) located in the alphaviral genomic region encoding the nonstructural protein 3 (nsP3) (Figure 2, panel C). In total, 31 aa substitutions or deletions were present in the bat-associated TONV compared with the bird-associated TONV, of which 14 were located within the nsP3 HVR (p<0.0001 by χ² test comparing the HVR to other genomic regions) (Figure 2, panel C). At the 5′ end of the HVR, the bat-associated TONV showed a larger in-frame deletion of 9 nt compared with the bird-associated TONV.

**Table 2. Oligonucleotides for quantification of TONV, French Guiana**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence, 5′ → 3′</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forward primer</td>
<td>CATTGTCAATGGCATGCAAGTCT</td>
<td>400 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reverse primer</td>
<td>GACTTGATACCTTTGACGATGTTGTC</td>
<td>400 nM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probe (FAM-labeled)</td>
<td>CGCGAAGCTCTGACCAACTCCCT</td>
<td>200 nM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*We carried out 25 μL real-time RT-PCR reactions using the Superscript III one-step RT-PCR system with Platinum Taq polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, https://www.thermofisher.com). Reactions were set up with 5 μL extracted RNA; 12.5 μL of 2× reaction buffer; 0.4 μL of a 50 mM magnesium sulfate solution; 1 μg of nonacetylated bovine serum albumin; and 1 μL enzyme. Amplification was conducted at 50°C for 15 min, followed by 95°C for 3 min and 45 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 58°C for 30 s with fluorescence, read at the 58°C annealing/extension step on a LightCycler 480 thermocycler (Roche, https://www.roche.com). FAM, fluorescein amidite; RT-PCR, reverse transcription PCR.*

Figure 2. Characterization of bat TONV in study of VEE complex alphavirus in bats, French Guiana. (For additional discussion of Methods, see Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-2676-App1.pdf.) A) Growth kinetics of the new bat TONV on Vero E6 cells in 24-well plates. B) Maximum-likelihood phylogeny of TONV and members of the VEEV antigenic complex based on full genome nucleotide sequences. Eastern equine encephalitis virus (NC_003899) was included as an outgroup. Viruses are named according to the VEEV subtype classification: IAB/IC/ID/IE/IIC, Venezuelan equine encephalitis virus; IF, Mosso das Pedras virus; II, Everglades virus; IIIA, Mucambo virus; IIIB, Tonate virus; IV, Pixuna virus; V, Cabassou virus; VI, Rio Negro virus. Bootstrap support above 90% is highlighted by filled circles. C) Percentage nucleotide sequence identity between TONV isolates and other viruses of the VEE antigenic complex. The median coverage for consensus preparation was 5,504 (range 5–11,605). TONV, Tonate virus; VEE, Venezuelan equine encephalitis.
adaptation to different invertebrate, and potentially also vertebrate, hosts. Cell culture–based experiments including mosquito, bat, and bird cell lines, as well as in vivo infections, comparing the growth of both TONV isolates and chimeric viruses will be needed to yield definite assessments on the potential effect of the observed HVR deletion on the viral phenotype.

Detection of acute TONV infection in only 1 fringe-lipped bat was not surprising because alphaviral viremia is typically short-lived (13). To examine the frequency of past TONV infections in bats, we tested 167 bat serum samples for TONV-specific neutralizing antibodies by 50% plaque reduction neutralization test (PRNT50). We selected the sample set on the basis of availability of sufficient sample volumes; a preference for fringe-lipped bats, the attempt to represent the most abundant bat species investigated in this study; and a focus on bat genera in which VEEV-specific neutralizing antibodies had been detected previously in other countries (4,8). Four bats were seropositive, resulting in an overall TONV seroprevalence of 2.4% (95% CI 0.1%–4.7%) among tested samples. Limited reduction of PFUs at a serum dilution of 1:50 spoke against high antibody titers in those 4 animals and, indeed, no neutralization was observed when those 4 serum samples were tested at a dilution of 1:500. The 4 seropositive bats belonged to the species Anoura geoffroyi (1/13 animals, 7.7%; 95% CI –9.1% to 24.5%), Artibeus planirostris (1/10 animals, 10%; 95% CI –12.6% to 32.6%), Carollia perspicillata (1/17 animals, 5.9%; 95% CI –6.6% to 18.4%), and Desmodus rotundus (1/13 animals, 7.7%; 95% CI –9.1% to 24.5%). All 11 fringe-lipped bats, including the acutely infected PCR-positive animal, showed no detectable neutralization of TONV.

Our serologic data are limited by testing only 1 relatively high serum dilution, and by the inability to differentiate between the neutralization of TONV and of other VEEVs such as Cabassou or Mucambo virus, which occur in geographic proximity to TONV (14). The serologic data therefore support low-level circulation of TONV or of antigenically related VEEVs in different bat species. Low prevalence of antibodies neutralizing TONV is consistent with the detection of VEEV antibodies in Desmodus rotundus (4.9%), Carollia perspicillata (6.9%), Artibeus spp. (4.4%), and Noctilio leporinus (7.1%) bats in Trinidad by epitope-blocking ELISA and hemagglutination inhibition tests (8).

Conclusion
The breadth of the VEEV host range remains unknown for most VEEV species or subtypes (1). This lack of information is particularly true for TONV, which has been found only in birds and humans so far. Identifying bats as naturally infected TONV hosts is thus a key finding, indicating a broad vertebrate host range for TONV. The TONV host range may hypothetically include other vertebrates, such as rodents, that are naturally infected by other VEEVs closely related to TONV (4) and by preliminary data on TONV infections in sentinel mice in the 1970s (5) (Figure 1, panel B). In French Guiana, 12% of the overall human population shows serologic evidence for prior TONV infection, but the regions of highest risk for TONV infection remained unclear. One serosurvey reported highest seroprevalence in the coastal regions (35%) (5), whereas another serosurvey reported highest seroprevalence in inland savannah areas (53%) (15). The broad distribution of TONV might be explained by a broad vertebrate host range adding to the previously known broad invertebrate host range (2,5). Bats are extraordinary species and hosts for many zoonotic viruses and may thus also play a major role in TONV maintenance (7). Future research addressing TONV transmission cycles should include sampling of a broad range of vertebrate animals in ecologically different habitats, ideally including bats and analyses of TONV-competent mosquito bloodmeals.
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Despite increased diagnostic testing capacity for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), testing in many countries, including the United States, is still inadequate for slowing the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. Many persons still do not have access to SARS-CoV-2 testing, and for some that do, an imbalance between supply and demand at large testing centers leads to long delays before results are received. The demand for testing will only increase as many schools, colleges, and workplaces reopen. Ideally, specialized population surveillance–oriented testing would require minimal diversion of resources from clinical diagnostic testing, be affordable and scalable, and enable rapid and reliable virus identification for persons with asymptomatic or subclinical infections. Thus, simplifying the sample collection and testing workflow is critical.

A simple solution is saliva collection. Saliva is a sensitive source for SARS-CoV-2 detection (1–3) and an alternative sample type for antigen and antibody testing (4,5). In addition, saliva collection is noninvasive, can be reliably performed without trained health professionals, and does not rely on a sometimes-limited swab supply. However, almost all saliva-based tests approved by the US Food and Drug Administration require specialized collection tubes containing stabilization or inactivation buffers that are costly and not always available. Moreover, as saliva continues to gain popularity as a potential specimen to aid testing demands, standardized collection methods have not been defined for saliva collection as they have for swab-based specimen collection. When true saliva is not collected (e.g., if it contains sputum), which can happen with COVID-19 inpatients when saliva is difficult to produce, specimens can be difficult to pipette (6). Combined with untested concerns regarding SARS-CoV-2 RNA stability in saliva, using supplements to reduce degradation and improve sample processing has become common. Previous work with saliva samples, however, has indicated that some buffers optimized for host nucleic acid stabilization may actually inhibit viral RNA detection (7) (S.B. Griesemer et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.16.20133041), particularly in extraction-free PCRs (D.R.E. Ranoa et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.18.159434). Thus, if true saliva (relatively easy to pipette) is being tested, the utility of collecting saliva in expensive tubes containing purported stabilization buffers comes into question. To explore the viability of broadly deploying affordable saliva-based surveillance approaches (8), we characterized SARS-CoV-2 RNA stability and virus infectivity in saliva samples stored in widely

The expense of saliva collection devices designed to stabilize severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 RNA is prohibitive to mass testing. However, virus RNA in nonsupplemented saliva is stable for extended periods and at elevated temperatures. Simple plastic tubes for saliva collection will make large-scale testing and continued surveillance easier.

Stability of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in Nonsupplemented Saliva
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available, sterile, nuclease-free laboratory plastic (polypropylene) tubes.

The Study

We used saliva collected from COVID-19 inpatients and at-risk healthcare workers into sterile wide-mouth containers to evaluate the temporal stability of SARS-CoV-2 RNA at different holding temperatures (−80°C, 4°C, ≈19°C, 30°C) (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-04199-App1.pdf). SARS-CoV-2 RNA from saliva was consistently detected at similar levels regardless of the holding time and temperatures tested. After RNA extraction and quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) testing for SARS-CoV-2 on the day of saliva collection, we aliquoted and stored the remaining 20 sample volumes at −80°C, room temperature (≈19°C), and 30°C. Whether stored at −80°C, room temperature (5 days), or 30°C (3 days), the qRT-PCR cycle threshold (Ct) values for the N1 region of the nucleocapsid protein did not differ significantly from those for samples tested on the day of collection (Figure 1, panel A). After the freeze/thaw cycle or storage at room temperature, we observed Ct decreases of 1.058 (95% CI 2.289 to 0.141) for freeze/thaw and 0.960 (95% CI −2.219 to 0.266) for room temperature; however, the strength of this effect was low. We saw a similar effect after incubation at 30°C, with a Ct increase of 0.973 (95% CI −0.252 to 2.197). Moreover, SARS-CoV-2 RNA remained relatively stable in saliva samples left at room temperature for up to 25 days (Ct 0.027, 95% CI −0.019 to 0.071; Figure 1, panel B). Regardless of starting Ct value (viral load), this prolonged stability of SARS-CoV-2 RNA was also observed when samples were stored for longer periods at −80°C (maximum 92 days), 4°C (maximum 21 days), and 30°C (maximum 16 days) (Appendix Figure 1).

Although SARS-CoV-2 RNA from saliva remained stable over time, we observed a decrease in human ribonuclease P at higher temperatures (room temperature, Ct 1.837, 95% CI 0.468 to 3.188; 30°C, Ct 3.526, 95% CI 1.750 to 5.349; Appendix Figure 2); the change in concentration was greater than that observed for SARS-CoV-2 RNA (Appendix Figure 3). Thus, although human RNA from saliva degrades without stabilization buffers, SARS-CoV-2 RNA remains protected even at warm temperatures suitable for nuclease activity.

Because saliva has antiviral properties, we explored the infectiousness of SARS-CoV-2 in saliva samples. We inoculated Vero-E6 cells with 49 saliva samples with higher virus RNA titers (Ct range 13.57–35.32, median 26.01; Appendix Figure 4) because others have shown that SARS-CoV-2 isolation is uncommon from samples with low virus RNA titers.
By 72 hours after inoculation, Ct values were reduced in 9 (18.7%) of the 49 cultured saliva samples tested by qRT-PCR (−12.90, −11.53, −4.30, −3.68, −3.49, −2.88, −2.81, −2.66, −2.40). Although these findings suggest an increased number of SARS-CoV-2 RNA copies by 72 hours, they may not definitively demonstrate active virus replication. For instance, Ct reductions could also result from sampling artifacts or assay variations (disparities in inoculation, RNA extraction, and qRT-PCR). To determine whether this amplification resulted from detectable, active virus replication, we performed plaque assays in triplicate with cellular lysate from 72 hours after inoculation. Only 1 of these 9 samples produced plaque-forming units; titer increased $3.79 \times 10^4$ PFU/mL at 1 hour and at 72 hours after inoculation (Figure 2). This finding suggests that increased SARS-CoV-2 genome copies identified by qRT-PCR may fall below the limit of detection in plaque assay sensitivity (100 PFU/mL) until a certain reduction in Ct is reached (e.g., Ct reduction ≤12.90) or that components of saliva possibly inhibit active viral particle production and release in vitro. A similar result has been observed when attempting to perform plaque assays of virus from the colon (13), despite studies showing that SARS-CoV-2 infects gut enterocytes (14).

Conclusions
The cost of commercial tubes specialized for saliva collection and SARS-CoV-2 RNA stabilization (>$7/tube) (Table) can be prohibitive for mass testing. Inexpensive saliva-based testing methods are urgently needed to help reach the capacity required to safely reopen schools and workplaces. We demonstrate the stability of SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection in saliva stored for prolonged periods in a variety of settings, which indicates that saliva can be simply collected without the need for additives.

![Figure 2. Detection of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in saliva samples tested for infectious SARS-CoV-2. SARS-CoV-2 N1 detection (Ct values) measured by quantitative reverse transcription PCR for each saliva sample incubated with Vero-E6 cells for 72 hours. The orange diamond depicts the only sample that produced plaque-forming units (titer increase of $3.79 \times 10^4$ PFU/mL); purple circles indicate samples that did not produce plaque-forming units by 72 h after inoculation; dashed lines indicate Ct 38 (the cutoff for sample positivity); gray shading indicates Cts below the limit of detection. Ct, cycle threshold.](image)

Previous studies have demonstrated the ease with which saliva can be collected into simple, wide-mouth containers (3,15) and that buffers marketed for RNA stabilization may be detrimental to SARS-CoV-2 detection (S.B. Griesemer et al., unpub data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.16.20133041). Although some of these buffers are also marketed for virus inactivation, SARS-CoV-2 is still considered a Biosafety Level 2 hazard, meaning that with or without buffer, any saliva sample should still be handled with care. Without the need for RNA stabilization and given the limited evidence of virus replication in saliva samples, affordable alternatives to making testing accessible throughout the country are simple, sterile, nuclease-free plastic containers.

SARS-CoV-2 stability at room temperature and at 30°C permits more affordable collection and transport strategies without the need for expensive cooling strategies. Absence of the requirement for cold chain handling also makes saliva testing easier in regions with limited resources. Thus, one key for meeting mass testing demands is collection of saliva in simple, sterile, nuclease-free tubes, negating the high costs associated with specialized collection devices.
Table. Possible saliva collection devices for severe acute respiratory virus coronavirus 2 RNA testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tube type</th>
<th>Collection</th>
<th>Buffer type</th>
<th>Cost per sample, USD</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oragene•Dx collection device (OGD-510)*</td>
<td>Funnel</td>
<td>Ethanol &lt;24%; Tris 1%–5% (host DNA stabilization)</td>
<td>28.00</td>
<td>Genotek, <a href="https://www.dnagenotek.com">https://www.dnagenotek.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampify SD-3000</td>
<td>Funnel</td>
<td>Dry preservative; sodium dodecyl sulfate &lt;1%</td>
<td>24.00</td>
<td>Sampify (URL not available)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDNA-1000 small tubes*</td>
<td>Wide-mouth tube</td>
<td>Ethanol 10%–25%; Tris 1%–5%; thiocyanic acid/guandine (1:1)</td>
<td>17.99</td>
<td>Spectrum Solutions, <a href="https://spectrumsolution.com">https://spectrumsolution.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva RNA Collection and Preservation Device</td>
<td>Wide-mouth tube</td>
<td>Unknown liquid, colorless, odorless</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Norgen (Biotek), <a href="https://norgenbiotek.com">https://norgenbiotek.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liquid biopsy/spit devices</td>
<td>Complicated unit (various)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>9–12 each</td>
<td>Oasis Diagnostics, <a href="https://4saliva.com">https://4saliva.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OMNigene•ORAL saliva collection device (OM-505)*</td>
<td>Funnel</td>
<td>Sodium dodecyl sulfate 1%–5%; glycine,N,N' -trans-1,2-cyclohexanediylbis[N-(carboxymethyl)-,hydrate 1%–5%; lithium chloride 0.5%–1.5%</td>
<td>9.50</td>
<td>Genotek</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GeneFix Saliva DNA/RNA Collection</td>
<td>Funnel</td>
<td>Unknown liquid, colorless</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Isohelix, <a href="https://isohelix.com">https://isohelix.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva collection system</td>
<td>Small beaker</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
<td>Greiner Bio-One, <a href="https://www.gbo.com">https://www.gbo.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pedia•SAL Infant/Toddler Salivary Collection</td>
<td>Soother + collector</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Unavailable</td>
<td>Oasis Diagnostics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral swab</td>
<td>Swab</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>Salimetrics, <a href="https://salimetrics.com">https://salimetrics.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saliva collection aid + cryovial</td>
<td>Straw + 2 mL collection vial</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>1.36/straw, 0.76/vial</td>
<td>Salimetrics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urine collection cups</td>
<td>Wide-mouth cup</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>ThermoFisher, <a href="https://www.thermofisher.com">https://www.thermofisher.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterile tube, large volume</td>
<td>Wide-mouth tube</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.46 (25 mL), 0.38 (5 mL)</td>
<td>Eppendorf, <a href="https://www.eppendorf.com">https://www.eppendorf.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sterile tube, small volume</td>
<td>Narrow-mouth tube</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>0.16 (2 mL)</td>
<td>ThermoFisher</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Approved by US Food and Drug Administration Emergency Use Authorization for saliva-based diagnostics.
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Norovirus is the leading cause of epidemic and endemic acute gastroenteritis globally. The virus can be transmitted through person-to-person contact, consumption of fecally contaminated food or water, or self-contamination after touching contaminated environmental surfaces (1,2). Noroviruses are divided into at least 10 genogroups (G), and viruses in GI, GII, GIV, GVIII, and GIX cause illness in humans (3). More than 99% of all norovirus outbreaks are caused by GI and GII viruses in the United States (4). GVIII includes 2 strains that have been detected in Japan during 2004 and 2011 (3), and GIX has caused 11 reported outbreaks in the United States since 2013 (https://www.cdc.gov/norovirus/reporting/calicinet/data.html).

GIV is divided into 2 recognized genotypes: GIV.1, which infects humans (5), and GIV.2, which infects canines and felines (6). GIV viruses were reported in humans in the Netherlands during 1998 and the United States during 1999 (7,8) and have since been sporadically reported in clinical and environmental samples (5,9–11). An outbreak linked to a GIV norovirus in the United States has not been reported since 2001 (4,8). In this article, we describe a 2016 foodborne norovirus outbreak associated with a novel GIV strain (tentatively GIV.NA).

The Study
On May 6, 2016, the Wisconsin Department of Public Health was notified of a possible norovirus outbreak. The outbreak occurred at a breakfast event held at a restaurant on May 3, 2016, for local business owners (Figure 1). According to interviews of the affected group, 49 attendees and 4 food handlers reported being ill, and the first case was reported on May 4, 2016. The peak of illness occurred 48 hours after the breakfast event. Symptoms included diarrhea, vomiting, and nausea (Table 1). Duration of illness was 1–5 days (median 2 days), and incubation time range was 15–57 hours (median 38 hours).

On the basis of the epidemiologic investigation, pathogen transmission occurred through foodborne exposure, and the highest risk ratio was linked to individually eaten fruit from a fruit salad served at the breakfast (risk ratio 2.17–3.29) (Table 2). The epidemiologic curve and risk ratios were calculated by using Microsoft Excel (https://www.microsoft.com) and R software (http://www.r-project.org). The food handlers prepared the fruit, which was served as a fruit salad during the meal on May 2, the day before the breakfast. Reportedly, the strawberries and grapes were washed, whereas the melons were not. There was no leftover fruit available for laboratory testing.

Nucleic acid was extracted from stool samples collected from 6 ill persons and tested for norovirus GI/GII by real-time quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR), and 5 GII-positive samples (from 3 attendees and 2 food handlers) with high cycle threshold values (range 28–37) were amplified by conventional RT-PCR targeting a partial region of the 5′ end of open reading frame 2 (4). The sequences did not cluster with any GI or GII norovirus reference sequences and closely matched GIV viruses (4).

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) was performed on 3 samples and verification of final consensus sequences was performed by using Geneious version 11.1.2 (Biomatters Inc., https://www.newjerseybids.us) (4). Sequences for all 3 near complete genomes (≈7,490 nt) were identical (GenBank accession no. NC_044855). The closest polymerase gene sequence in GenBank had a 79% nt similarity (Figure 2, panel A), and the capsid sequence matched partial
capsid sequences derived from wastewater in Brazil, Japan, and the United States with a 98% nt identity. The closest complete major capsid sequence in GenBank (accession no. AF414426) had a 76% aa identity (Figure 2, panel B).

Conclusions
We report a novel norovirus GIV genotype as the causative agent of a foodborne norovirus outbreak. Norovirus GIV outbreaks are rare and were reported in the Netherlands during 1998, the United States during 1999, and in Australia during 2010 (5,7,8) and have since been detected sporadically in clinical samples (9–11). However, seroprevalence studies in Italy, the Netherlands, and the United States have shown that 19%–31% of these populations have antibodies against GIV (12–14). Possible explanations include that most laboratories do not test for norovirus GIV or most infections are asymptomatic or do not lead to a visit to a physician. However, 3 young children who were positive for GIV in a study in Italy had severe endemic acute gastroenteritis symptoms (10).

Several studies have detected norovirus GIV in rivers and wastewater (9–11,15); the number of positive samples ranged from 8.2% to 34%, further supporting that norovirus GIV is circulating in the general population. Several short sequences detected in wastewater collected in Brazil, Japan, and the United States match the capsid sequence in our study (9,15). However, new norovirus genotypes require ≥2 non-identical complete capsid sequences from different geographic locations that form a separate phylogenetic cluster (3) Therefore, the virus detected in this outbreak cannot officially be assigned as GIV.3 yet but is assigned GIV.NA1[PNA1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Clinical and demographic characteristics of attendees associated with a norovirus GIV foodborne outbreak, Wisconsin, USA*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Characteristic</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onset of illness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. ill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalized</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Died</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of illness, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary case-patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary case-patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laboratory confirmed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age range, y (median)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group, y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5–9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10–19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-patients with known illness duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration range, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration median, d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-patients with known incubation period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation range, h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incubation median, h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body ache</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no. or no. (%) except as indicated. Clinical and demographic characteristics for the 4 food handlers associated with this outbreak are not available.
Table 2. Analysis of implicated food and drink in a norovirus GIV outbreak, Wisconsin, USA*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food and drink items implicated</th>
<th>Persons who ate food or drink</th>
<th>Persons who did not eat food or drink</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>% Ill</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>% Ill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any quiche</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ham and cheese quiche</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable quiche</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any fruit</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cantaloupe</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honeydew melon</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grapes</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strawberries</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato pancakes</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Applesauce</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Muffins</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butter</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orange juice</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coffee</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creamer</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*RR, relative risk.

Figure 2. Maximum-likelihood phylogenetic analysis of rare norovirus GIV isolated during foodborne outbreak, Wisconsin, USA (red text), and reference strains. A) Partial polymerase gene (762 nt); B) complete capsid (VP1) gene (554 aa). Bootstrap support for 500 replicates is indicated on branches. For polymerase analysis, evolutionary distances were inferred by the Tamura-Nei model. For VP1 analysis, evolutionary distances were inferred by using the Jones-Taylor-Thornton matrix-based model. Reference strains are represented by type and GenBank accession number. Scale bar in panel A indicates nucleotide substitutions per site, and scale bar in panel B indicates amino acid substitutions per site.
Detection of a norovirus GIV strain associated with a foodborne outbreak shows that despite the absence of reported GIV norovirus outbreaks over the past 15 years in the United States, these viruses continue to circulate in the human population. Because samples from endemic acute gastroenteritis outbreaks are typically tested only for noroviruses GI and GII, including testing of norovirus-negative samples for GIV might improve determining endemic acute gastroenteritis outbreaks of unknown etiology.
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Prospective serosurveillance of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 in 1,069 healthcare workers in London, UK, demonstrated that nucleocapsid antibody titers were stable and sustained for <12 weeks in 312 seropositive participants. This finding was consistent across demographic and clinical variables and contrasts with reports of short-term antibody waning.

The Study

We conducted prospective serosurveillance of healthcare professionals in secondary care settings across London beginning March 30, 2020. Healthcare workers were recruited by hospital research teams and provided written informed consent. Demographic, occupational, and clinical data were collected at baseline, including self-reported previous laboratory-confirmed COVID-19. Participants provided blood samples and completed symptom surveys at baseline and 2-weekly intervals until July 21, 2020, reporting any new illness or COVID-19 diagnosis. Blood samples were centrifuged and frozen locally; PHE then tested serum samples by using the Elecsys Anti-SARS-CoV-2 total antibody assay (Roche, https://www.roche.com), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. This test is an electrochemiluminescence immunoassay for antibodies targeting the N protein (IgG, IgM, or IgA) and produces a numeric cutoff index derived from comparison of the sample and calibrator signals (3). The surveillance protocol was approved by the PHE Research Ethics Governance Group (R&D REGG Ref: NR0192, March 31, 2020).

We compared differences in seropositivity between groups by using χ² tests and multivariable logistic regression to provide adjusted odds ratios (aORs). We estimated biweekly seroconversion and seroreversion rates and binomial 95% CIs. We analyzed trends in individual-level antibody responses beginning 4 weeks after the first positive antibody test, which allowed time for responses to stabilize. We used mixed effects regression to analyze trends in log antibody titers and assessed fixed effects for differences in antibody response through likelihood ratio tests.

Surveillance involved 1,069 participants from 4 hospitals: Charing Cross (n = 192), Northwick Park (n = 217), Royal Free (n = 126), and St. George’s (n = 534).


DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2704.204554
Of these, 850 participants had ≥4 sampling visits and 395 ≥6 sampling visits (over 10–12 weeks of follow-up). Overall, 312 (29%) participants had ≥1 positive antibody test (95% CI 26%–32%); of those, 181 (58%) had ≥8 weeks and 42 (13%) 12 weeks of follow-up after the first positive test (Appendix Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4554-App1.pdf). Sero-positivity varied between hospitals (p = 0.042), from 25% to 35%. In total, 109 (10.2%) participants self-reported laboratory-confirmed COVID-19, 407 (32%) reported respiratory illness, 5 (0.47%) reported hospitalization, and 794 (61%) did not report illness.

We observed no difference in seropositivity by sex, profession, performance of aerosol-generating procedures, employment in the emergency department, or immunocompromised status (Appendix

**Figure.** log antibody titers over time in participants with ≥1 positive test result by subgroups in study of nucleocapsid-antibody response in healthcare workers, London, UK. Subgroups are as follows: A) no self-reported illness (n = 99), B) coronavirus disease (COVID-19) diagnosis (n = 94), C) respiratory illness (n = 175), D) other illness (n = 43), E) immunocompromised (n = 6), F) general hospital employee (n = 204), G) emergency department employee (n = 71), H) intensive care unit employee (n = 38), I) age <40 years (n = 185), J) age ≥40 years (n = 127), K) male sex (n = 95), L) female sex (n = 217). Times are with respect to the date of the first positive test (week 0), and week 4 is indicated by dashed lines; previous negative results are also included. Individual responses are indicated by blue lines; mean titers with 95% CI for the mean are shown in red.
Participants 25–34 years of age had higher odds of seropositivity than those 35–44 years of age (aOR 1.57, 95% CI 1.09–2.26), but little difference was seen among older age groups. Those working in intensive care units had lower odds of seropositivity than participants from other hospital departments (aOR 0.58, 95% CI 0.38–0.91).

Most seropositive participants tested positive at baseline (279/312, 89%). Only 33 participants seroconverted during follow-up, corresponding to a biweekly rate of 1.2% (95% CI 0.8%–1.7%). We observed 4 seroreversions, corresponding to a biweekly rate of 0.4% (95% CI 0.1%–0.9%).

Log antibody titers remained stable over time in seropositive participants, and little within-individual variability was observed (Figure). The general trend across all subgroups was a slight increase over time, although data are sparse for some groups.

We modeled trends beginning 4 weeks after the first positive antibody test. The mean weekly change was a 3.9% increase (95% CI 3.2%–4.6%). The model enables individual variability and thus estimates a distribution in trends, which ranged from a 0.5% decrease to an 8.5% increase per week, at 1 SD below/above the mean.

Baseline response or subsequent trend did not differ by work setting, clinical symptoms, or laboratory-confirmed COVID-19; minimum likelihood ratio p value was 0.46. Participants ≥40 years of age had 30% higher antibody titers at baseline (p = 0.08) but less increase over time; weekly increase was 2.9% (95% CI 1.8%–4.0%) compared with 4.5% (95% CI 3.6%–5.4%) in those <40 years of age (p = 0.028). We observed similar baseline titers between women and men (p = 0.61) but different trends; women demonstrated a weekly increase of 3.4% (95% CI 2.6%–4.2%) compared with 5.2% (95% CI 3.8%–6.6%) in men (p = 0.035).

**Conclusions**

In this study, N-antibody seropositivity was 29% among healthcare workers, and a small, sustained rise in antibody titers occurred over 12 weeks. The increase could be explained by the natural boosting of antibodies through repeated SARS-CoV-2 exposure; however, we saw no evidence of sporadic, sharp increases in antibodies in seropositive participants, and we observed little deviation from an overall linear trend. High initial seroprevalence and low subsequent seroconversion rates (Appendix Figures 1, 2) indicate that most exposures occurred before surveillance began. The low seroincidence after April might be attributable to changes in hospital infection control practices and national lockdown.

These findings demonstrate the short-term stability of N-antibody titers in healthcare staff, regardless of demographic or clinical differences. Seropositive participants not reporting any COVID-19 diagnosis or previous illness (even mild or atypical symptoms) demonstrated the same antibody trends as those who reported symptoms or laboratory-confirmed COVID-19, thereby supporting N-antibody testing as a reliable surveillance indicator. Although seroreversion was uncommon, such rates, if sustained, might be concerning in the long term.

Although cross-reactivity against the N protein has been observed and appears more prevalent than cross-reactivity against the spike (S) protein (E.M. Anderson, unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.1.06.20227215; C.F. Houlihan, unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.08.20120584), the risk for false positives because of preexisting human coronavirus antibodies seems low on the basis of available data. The Elecsys assay demonstrated >99.5% specificity in 2 independent evaluations using large numbers of prepandemic control samples (3,4) and demonstrated high positive predictive value at an estimated 10% seroprevalence. Nonetheless, this study is limited by use of a single immunoassay, by self-reported data on COVID-19 diagnosis, and by limited testing early in the pandemic.

Several studies have demonstrated substantial declines in antibody titers over 3–5 months by using anti-S or anti–receptor-binding domain immunoassays (5–9). Although findings are not consistent across all reports (6,10), disparities could be explained by shorter follow-up periods that missed later decline. In contrast, the few studies conducting serial testing for ≥3 months by using N-antibody assays, particularly the Elecsys assay, report that titers remained steady (9) or increased (11; F. Mueck, unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.05.20169128). These studies were limited by small sample sizes, single-site recruitment, and few time points with long sampling intervals. Our study replicates these findings in a large, multicenter cohort with frequent sampling and focuses on healthcare workers with mostly asymptomatic or mild disease, with robust statistical analysis to demonstrate consistent findings across all groups. These data can usefully inform serosurveillance strategies during the second wave.

For unknown reasons, N-antibodies appear highly stable in the short term, despite demonstrating no functional role; whether this stability would persist over longer follow-up periods remains to be answered. Although less useful as correlates of
immunity, N-antibodies could serve a critical role in serosurveillance as S-based vaccines are deployed, helping to distinguish infection-induced seroconversion from vaccine-induced seroconversion.
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During the ongoing coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic, worldwide, >85 million severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infections had been reported as of January 7, 2021 (https://covid19.who.int). Although it was clear from the beginning of the pandemic that symptomatic transmission of SARS-CoV-2 occurs, presymptomatic transmission has also been described (1–6). Furthermore, transmission from asymptomatic cases was deemed possible on the basis of findings that viral load of asymptomatic cases was similar to that of symptomatic cases (7). Understanding how transmission occurs from asymptomatic cases and from symptomatic cases in their presymptomatic and symptomatic phase, as well as the frequency of transmission, is essential for public health management. We assessed asymptomatic, presymptomatic, and symptomatic transmission during an outbreak investigation of 59 COVID-19 cases by determining secondary attack rates (SAR) according to the respective exposure periods. In addition, we estimated key parameters such as serial interval and incubation period.

The Study

On February 29, 2020, a COVID-19 case was notified to the local public health authority (LPHA) of a rural district in southern Germany without previously observed community transmission. During the infectious period, the case-patient had attended several carnival events in the district. The LPHA immediately initiated contact tracing, identifying all close contacts; they were quarantined and tested irrespective of symptoms. By the end of March 2020, a cluster of 59 cases had been identified through successive contact tracing activities.

We interviewed the case-patients of the cluster by phone regarding symptoms developed during SARS-CoV-2 infection; potential source cases or events; and household contacts (HCs) and close nonhousehold or other contacts (OCs) in their infectious period (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4576-App1.pdf). We obtained an empirical distribution of the serial interval from the average over all possible transmission trees of the cluster. We obtained generation time and incubation period by averaging over the estimates as described by Reich et al. (8) (Appendix).

To estimate SAR and relative risks (RRs) we conducted a retrospective cohort study, including all HCs and OCs as recalled by the case-patients that met inclusion criteria (Appendix). We calculated pooled SAR of HCs and OCs for 2 outcomes, laboratory confirmation (SAR_{lab}) and development of respiratory symptoms (SAR_{res}) in the following groups: HCs and OCs of asymptomatic case-patients who never experienced symptoms; HCs and OCs of asymptomatic case-patients who never experienced symptoms.

![Image](https://example.com/image.png)
symptomatic case-patients in which the phase with contact could not be specified by the case-patient or with contact in both phases; OCs of symptomatic case-patients with contact only in the presymptomatic phase; and OCs of symptomatic case-patients with contact only in the symptomatic phase.

We were able to contact 53/59 (90%) case-patients. Three case-patients were children <15 years of age (Table 1). Forty-six (87%) were symptomatic, and 7 (13%) were asymptomatic (Appendix Figure 1). The cluster resulted in 144 possible transmission trees, which span over 5 generations (Figure). No secondary transmission resulted from asymptomatic cases. We determined a median serial interval of 3.0 (IQR 1.0–6.0) days and a median incubation period of 4.3 (IQR 2.5–6.5) days (Appendix Table 1).

In total, 42 HCs and 212 OCs were included in the cohort study (Table 1). The overall SARlab was 13% (4/32) for HCs and 14% (20/148) for OCs. The overall SARres was 29% (12/42) for HCs and 17% (29/170) of 53 cases were children <15 y of age.

Figure. Transmission tree of the investigated cluster of coronavirus disease that evolved in a district in southern Germany. Cases 39, 40, and 60 participated in the survey but were not included in the analysis because we had no information on source case. Cases 7 and 27 did not participate in the survey and thus, no information on source case was available. Dashed lines represent source case–infectee pairs in which the infectee reported >1 possible source case; solid lines represent source case–infectee pairs in which only 1 source case was mapped to the infectee. Asterisks (*) indicate asymptomatic cases. Implausible transmissions (e.g., ID 6) were omitted.

Table 1. Demographics of coronavirus disease case-patients and their contacts in a district in southern Germany*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case type</th>
<th>No. (%) asymptomatic</th>
<th>Phase not specified or both†</th>
<th>Presymptomatic phase only</th>
<th>Symptomatic phase only</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case-patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>7 (13.2)</td>
<td>46 (86.8)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>53 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>3 (11.5)</td>
<td>23 (85.2)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>26 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>4 (14.8)</td>
<td>40 (81.6)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>27 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age</td>
<td>36 (IQR 6–68)</td>
<td>40 (IQR 29–50)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>39.5 (IQR 29–50)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact persons by type of exposure</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC</td>
<td>7 (16.7)</td>
<td>35 (83.3)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>42 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td>52 (24.5)</td>
<td>48 (22.6)</td>
<td>81 (38.2)</td>
<td>31 (14.6)</td>
<td>212 (100)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HC, household contact; IQR, interquartile range; NA, not applicable; OC, nonhousehold or other contact.
†The phase in which the contact occurred was not specified, or contact occurred in both phases.
‡Three of 53 cases were children <15 y of age.
for OCs (Table 2). We did not identify any HC who tested positive or experienced respiratory symptoms after contact with asymptomatic case-patients. Neither SARlab nor SARres of HCs of symptomatic case-patients were significantly higher compared with SARlab nor SARres of HCs of asymptomatic case-patients. We did not identify any HC who tested positive or experienced respiratory symptoms after contact with asymptomatic case-patients. We observed no laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 transmission from asymptomatic case-patients to any of the 22 OCs (Table 2; Appendix Figure 2). Presymptomatic transmission was more frequent than symptomatic transmission. The serial interval was short; very short intervals occurred.

The fact that we did not detect any laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 transmission from asymptomatic case-patients is in line with multiple studies (9–11). However, Oran et al. have speculated that asymptomatic cases contribute to the rapid progression of the pandemic (12). Some studies may be prone to misclassify presymptomatic cases as asymptomatic, leading to heterogeneous reporting of SAR of asymptomatic cases, because of different case definitions or differential duration of follow-up. In our study we used a very sensitive case definition for symptomatic cases that did not require specific symptoms (e.g. fever) to be present. Also, timing of our study would have enabled detection of late onset of symptoms, which gives us confidence in our classification of exposure groups.

The 75% of SARS-CoV-2 transmissions in our cohort from case-patients in their presymptomatic phase exceeds reported transmission rates from other investigations (1,13,14). Possible reasons are the prior evidence that infectiousness peaks around the date of symptom onset, declining thereafter (15), and that case-patients probably reduced social contacts themselves once they experienced symptoms or when ordered to self-isolate. A large proportion of cases with presymptomatic transmission in our cluster is further supported by the median serial interval of 3 days.

Of note are the consequences for public health management: first, the need for early detection of COVID-19 cases and for initiation of contact tracing as soon as possible to quarantine close contacts, particularly because short serial intervals may lead to further transmission chains. Second, suspect case-patients or persons with any respiratory illness should immediately self-isolate and inform their contacts met in the past. Also, timing of our study would have enabled detection of late onset of symptoms, which gives us confidence in our classification of exposure groups.

Conclusions

In this cluster of COVID-19 cases, little to no transmission occurred from asymptomatic case-patients. Presymptomatic transmission was more frequent than symptomatic transmission. The serial interval was short; very short intervals occurred.

The fact that we did not detect any laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 transmission from asymptomatic case-patients is in line with multiple studies (9–11). However, Oran et al. have speculated that asymptomatic cases contribute to the rapid progression of the pandemic (12). Some studies may be prone to misclassify presymptomatic cases as asymptomatic, leading to heterogeneous reporting of SAR of asymptomatic cases, because of different case definitions or differential duration of follow-up. In our study we used a very sensitive case definition for symptomatic cases that did not require specific symptoms (e.g. fever) to be present. Also, timing of our study would have enabled detection of late onset of symptoms, which gives us confidence in our classification of exposure groups.

The 75% of SARS-CoV-2 transmissions in our cohort from case-patients in their presymptomatic phase exceeds reported transmission rates from other investigations (1,13,14). Possible reasons are the prior evidence that infectiousness peaks around the date of symptom onset, declining thereafter (15), and that case-patients probably reduced social contacts themselves once they experienced symptoms or when ordered to self-isolate. A large proportion of cases with presymptomatic transmission in our cluster is further supported by the median serial interval of 3 days.

Of note are the consequences for public health management: first, the need for early detection of COVID-19 cases and for initiation of contact tracing as soon as possible to quarantine close contacts, particularly because short serial intervals may lead to further transmission chains. Second, suspect case-patients or persons with any respiratory illness should immediately self-isolate and inform their contacts met in the past.

A limitation of our study is that evidence was obtained from a single outbreak and might not be

### Table 2. Secondary attack rates among contacts of coronavirus disease case-patients in a district in southern Germany*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical symptoms of source case</th>
<th>No. contacts tested positive or experienced respiratory symptoms</th>
<th>Total no. contacts</th>
<th>SAR, %</th>
<th>RR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Household contacts SAR&lt;sub&gt;lab&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symptomatic, phase not specified or both†</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Household contacts SAR&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symptomatic, phase not specified or both</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contacts SAR&lt;sub&gt;lab&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Asymptomatic cases</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symptomatic, phase not specified or both</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>12.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symptomatic, presumptive phase only</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symptomatic, symptomatic phase only</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>13.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other contacts SAR&lt;sub&gt;res&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Asymptomatic cases</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symptomatic, phase not specified or both</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symptomatic, presumptive phase only</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>32.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Symptomatic, symptomatic phase only</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*RR relative risk; SAR, secondary attack rate; SAR<sub>lab</sub>, secondary attack rate for laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2-positive contact persons; SAR<sub>res</sub>, secondary attack rate for contact persons who experienced respiratory symptoms after contact.
†The phase in which the contact occurred was not specified, or contact occurred in both phases.
applicable to other settings. We used only information as recalled by the case-patients, which is imperfect and may introduce errors or bias. Because we used development of respiratory symptoms as a proxy for possible SARS-CoV-2 infections among contacts, and because incidence of respiratory illnesses was still high in this winter timeframe, SAR\(_{\text{est}}\) may be overestimated. However, this possible source of misclassification should be nondifferential between groups. We excluded many HCs because of uncertainties about the potential simultaneous introduction of SARS-CoV-2 in the household, which may have led to an underestimation of SAR among HCs. In the transmission tree, we had to omit various source case–infectee pairs because case-patients’ recalled symptom onset differed substantially from surveillance data and was not plausible (Appendix). Finally, although community transmission of SARS-CoV-2 was deemed unlikely in the affected district at the time, we cannot rule out that some cases acquired infections from other sources.

In conclusion, our study suggests that asymptomatic cases are unlikely to contribute substantially to the spread of SARS-CoV-2. COVID-19 cases should be detected and managed early to quarantine close contacts immediately and prevent presymptomatic transmissions.
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Information about care-seeking behavior, symptom duration, and risk factors for progression to severe illness in nonhospitalized patients with coronavirus disease (COVID-19) aids in resource planning, disease identification, risk stratification, and clinical management of nonhospitalized patients (1–6). We built on a previous analysis comparing hospitalized and nonhospitalized COVID-19 patients, which found that hospitalized patients were more likely to be ≥65 years of age, men, Black, diabetic, or obese (7). We describe symptom patterns, duration of illness, and care-seeking behavior among nonhospitalized patients and explore the relationships between hospitalization and the number, control, and interaction of concurrent medical conditions and age. We defined control as how well a disease is managed in the patient, as measured by hemoglobin A1c levels in diabetics, number of classes of hypertension medication being taken by patients with hypertension, and BMI among patients with obesity.

The Study
We enrolled hospitalized and nonhospitalized patients ≥18 years of age with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 (defined as a positive real-time reverse transcription PCR result for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2) treated at 6 acute care hospitals and outpatient clinics affiliated with a single academic hospital system in the Atlanta, Georgia, USA, metropolitan area during March 1–April 7, 2020, as previously described (7). In this investigation, we compared characteristics and symptoms of hospitalized and nonhospitalized persons using \( \chi^2 \), Fisher exact, or \( t \)-test as appropriate.

We conducted univariable and multivariable logistic regression analyses to explore the associations between age group and number of underlying conditions on risk for hospitalization. We conducted separate analyses to model the associations and interactions of diabetes, hypertension, and obesity...
with hospitalization for COVID-19. We then used univariable and multivariable logistic regression to investigate whether the severity or control of concurrent conditions was associated with increased risk for hospitalization. We modeled the association between body mass index (BMI) and hospitalization. Further, we investigated whether use of multiple classes of hypertension medication was associated with hospitalization among patients with hypertension and the association of elevated levels (>7%) of hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) and hospitalization among patients with diabetes. This level was chosen because a value <7% is considered an indicator of adequate blood glucose control in patients with diabetes (8). Multivariable models were adjusted for characteristics previously associated with hospitalization in these populations (7) (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4709-App1.pdf).

We enrolled 311 nonhospitalized and 220 hospitalized patients in this study (Appendix Tables 1–3). We reviewed patient medical records and found that upper respiratory system symptoms including rhinorrhea, nasal congestion, and pharyngitis were more common among nonhospitalized patients (74% vs. 17%; p = 0.01). In contrast, hospitalized patients had dyspnea more frequently than did nonhospitalized patients (68% vs. 43%; p = 0.01) (Figure 1). Of 147 nonhospitalized patients with available information on symptom duration, 67 (46%) reported symptoms lasting ≥21 days.

Of 311 nonhospitalized patients, 135 (43%) had their first contact with the healthcare system for their COVID-19 illness on a telephone triage line, 23 (7%) at the emergency department, and 141 (45%) at an ambulatory care clinic (Table 1). Of nonhospitalized patients, 85% sought in-person care (i.e., ambulatory care, emergency department, or urgent care) a single time for their COVID-19 illness. A subset of 188 non-

Figure. Symptoms of coronavirus disease among hospitalized and nonhospitalized patients, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 2020. Gastrointestinal symptoms include vomiting, nausea, diarrhea, and abdominal pain. Upper respiratory symptoms include sore throat, rhinorrhea, and nasal congestion. *p<0.01.

Table 1. Treatment settings of 311 nonhospitalized patients with coronavirus disease, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, 2020*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment setting</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First interaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory care</td>
<td>141 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone triage line</td>
<td>135 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency department</td>
<td>23 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other†</td>
<td>12 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All interactions</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory care</td>
<td>269 (87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone triage line</td>
<td>210 (68)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency department</td>
<td>45 (15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other†</td>
<td>22 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median no. visits (IQR)</td>
<td>1 (1–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory care</td>
<td>1 (1–1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone triage line</td>
<td>1 (1–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency department</td>
<td>1 (1–1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no. (%) patients except as indicated. IQR, interquartile range. †Includes retail health, telehealth, and urgent care.
hospitalized patients had information in their medical records about all their COVID-19 healthcare visits. These 188 patients had 400 documented healthcare visits: 188 (47%) ambulatory care, 167 (42%) telehealth, 39 (10%) in-person emergency department, and 6 (2%) urgent care visits. Within this subset, 57% of visits among those patients with symptoms lasting \( \geq 21 \) days were telehealth appointments; 56% of visits among those with symptoms \(< 21 \) days were in-person primary care visits.

Odds of hospitalization increased with advancing age (50–59 years of age, adjusted odds ratio [aOR] 2.1, 95% CI 0.7–6.6; 60–69 years, aOR 4.1, 95% CI 1.3–13.3; \( \geq 70 \) years, aOR 9.2, 95% CI 2.7–31.0). The aOR of hospitalization demonstrated a dose-dependent relationship with number of concurrent conditions (1 condition, aOR 1.8, 95% CI 0.8–3.7; 2 conditions, aOR 2.3, 95% CI 1.1–4.8; \( \geq 3 \) conditions, aOR 4.2, 95% CI 1.9–9.1) (Table 2).

Among patients with hypertension, the odds of hospitalization demonstrated a possible dose-dependent increase among patients taking multiple classes of hypertension medications; however, precision of estimates was limited by small sample size (Table 2). Among patients with diabetes, those with a recent HbA1c score \( \geq 7\% \) had an increased risk for hospitalization (aOR 4.1, 95% CI 0.9–19.1); however, precision of estimates was limited by small sample size. Among obese patients (BMI \( \geq 30 \)), BMI was not associated with increasing odds of hospitalization (Table 2). In the multivariable analyses, we did not detect significant additive or multiplicative interaction between diabetes and obesity, hypertension and obesity, or hypertension and diabetes (Appendix Table 4).

### Conclusions

Symptoms lasting \( \geq 21 \) days were common among nonhospitalized patients in this investigation; however, \(< 20\% \) of these patients had \( > 1 \) in-person healthcare visit for COVID-19 during acute illness. These extended symptom durations, in conjunction with limited care-seeking behavior, suggest that many mildly ill COVID-19 patients can self-manage.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Hospitalized, no. (%)</th>
<th>Nonhospitalized, no. (%)</th>
<th>Crude OR (85% CI)</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>220 (100)</td>
<td>311 (100)</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18–29</td>
<td>5 (2)</td>
<td>52 (17)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.1–8.2)</td>
<td>1.4 (0.4–4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–39</td>
<td>24 (11)</td>
<td>79 (25)</td>
<td>6.3 (2.3–16.8)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.9–9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–49</td>
<td>36 (16)</td>
<td>54 (17)</td>
<td>6.4 (2.4–16.9)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.7–6.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–59</td>
<td>41 (19)</td>
<td>63 (20)</td>
<td>13.9 (5.2–37.2)</td>
<td>4.1 (1.3–13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60–69</td>
<td>56 (26)</td>
<td>41 (13)</td>
<td>25.7 (9.2–71.4)</td>
<td>9.2 (2.7–31.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>58 (26)</td>
<td>22 (7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. concurrent conditions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>220 (100)</td>
<td>311 (100)</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>21 (10)</td>
<td>122 (39)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.9–6.3)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.8–3.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>48 (22)</td>
<td>80 (26)</td>
<td>6.0 (3.4–10.6)</td>
<td>2.3 (1.1–4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>71 (32)</td>
<td>68 (22)</td>
<td>12.2 (6.6–22.4)</td>
<td>4.2 (1.9–9.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>80 (36)</td>
<td>41 (13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin A1c§</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>81 (100)</td>
<td>30 (100)</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent¶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;7%</td>
<td>17 (21)</td>
<td>17 (57)</td>
<td>3.3 (1.2–9.4)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.9–19.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;7%</td>
<td>38 (47)</td>
<td>7 (23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>26 (32)</td>
<td>6 (20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>220 (100)</td>
<td>311 (100)</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent∥</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;30</td>
<td>86 (39)</td>
<td>123 (40)</td>
<td>1.8 (1.2–3.0)</td>
<td>2.6 (1.3–5.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>65 (30)</td>
<td>52 (17)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.0–3.5)</td>
<td>2.2 (1.0–4.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;40</td>
<td>34 (16)</td>
<td>26 (8)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.8–2.9)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.7–4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data</td>
<td>25 (11)</td>
<td>26 (8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. classes of hypertension medications**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>142 (100)</td>
<td>101 (100)</td>
<td>Referent</td>
<td>Referent‡</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>20 (14)</td>
<td>13 (13)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.3–1.6)</td>
<td>0.7 (0.3–2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>38 (27)</td>
<td>42 (42)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.5–2.4)</td>
<td>1.6 (0.6–4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>48 (34)</td>
<td>33 (33)</td>
<td>1.9 (0.7–5.0)</td>
<td>1.8 (0.5–6.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3</td>
<td>36 (25)</td>
<td>13 (13)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*OR, odds ratio.
†Adjusted for number of underlying conditions, race, sex, insurance, and smoking (including current or former smoking).
‡Adjusted for race, sex, insurance, and smoking (including current or former smoking).
§Among patients with diabetes.
¶Adjusted for age, race, sex, healthcare personnel status, and hypertension.
∥Adjusted for age, race, sex, healthcare personnel status, and diabetes.
**Among patients with hypertension.
their symptoms. Because telemedicine was the second most common healthcare delivery method in our investigation, we hypothesize that it might have provided ongoing patient support and decreased the need for in-person healthcare visits (9). These findings can assist healthcare providers with anticipatory guidance for patients and caregivers and can inform decisions about allocation of resources for healthcare delivery.

We found that age and number of underlying conditions were associated with a dose-dependent increase in likelihood of hospitalization. Elderly COVID-19 patients frequently have multiple conditions that increase risk for hospitalization and serious infection (10). However, we did not find a significant additive or multiplicative interaction between the 3 most common underlying conditions among study participants: hypertension, diabetes, and obesity.

We hypothesized that degree of control of underlying conditions would affect risk for hospitalization. We found that the aORs for hospitalization were higher among patients with diabetes who had elevated mean levels of HbA1c and among patients with hypertension taking an increasing number of hypertension medications. Although not statistically significant, these findings may suggest an association between the management of concurrent conditions and COVID-19 disease severity. Despite obesity’s association with increased risk for severe illness and death from COVID-19 (11,12), we did not find an increasing risk for hospitalization with increasing BMI among persons with obesity.

A limitation of our study is that, because of small sample sizes, our analyses might have lacked power to detect a significant association between degree of control of underlying conditions and hospitalization. In addition, our sample comprised patients at a single hospital system during a limited timeframe, and thus our results might not be generalizable to other populations. Because this hospital system prioritized certain persons (e.g., older patients, patients with underlying conditions, and healthcare personnel) for outpatient SARS-COV-2 testing, these persons might be overrepresented among the nonhospitalized patients in our sample. We were also not able to assess symptom resolution among all patients during the timeframe of this investigation and therefore might not have accounted for all follow-up healthcare visits for COVID-19.

In conclusion, although many nonhospitalized patients in this study reported symptoms lasting ≥21 days, most cases of COVID-19 among nonhospitalized patients were managed with a single ambulatory care visit and telehealth follow-up appointments. Patients of increasing age, with a greater number of underlying conditions, and with poor management of those conditions might be at higher risk for hospitalization and severe disease from COVID-19.
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Melioidosis, an infection caused by *Burkholderia pseudomallei*, remains an underrecognized disease, especially in children, in many locations to which it is endemic (1,2). Diverse clinical manifestations and intrinsic resistance to many antimicrobial drugs used for empirical treatment of sepsis contribute to high mortality rates (2–4).

Conventionally, antimicrobial drug therapy for melioidosis comprises 2 phases: intravenous treatment for ≥10 days, followed by a prolonged, oral, eradication phase for a minimum of 12 weeks (5,6). Localized cutaneous disease might be treatable with oral agents alone, but adherence with eradication therapy is often difficult to achieve (4,5,7–9). We report trends in management and outcomes of melioidosis over 10 years at a nongovernmental pediatric hospital in northern Cambodia.

The Study
This study was approved by the hospital institutional review board (AHC IRB 979-14; 1044-15) and the Oxford Tropical Medicine Research Ethics Committee (OxTREC 550-14). Data on all culture-confirmed case-patients who had *B. pseudomallei* infection during January 1, 2009–December 31, 2018, were collected retrospectively (2009–2013) and prospectively as part of an invasive bacterial infection surveillance study. Retrospective case-patients (the first 173 case-patients) have previously been described and are included to illustrate trends over the decade (2).

Retrospective case-patients were identified by searching laboratory logbooks and databases, which were cross-checked against the hospital electronic patient information system. Data were extracted onto a standardized case report form, which was also adapted for contemporaneous capture of prospective case-patients. Repeat searches of the databases were conducted at the end of the study (Appendix Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-1683-App1.pdf). The study site, microbiology specimen processing, and case definitions have been described elsewhere (2,8). We provide the statistical methods used (Appendix). Severe undernutrition was defined as a weight-for-age z-score ≤–3.

Approximately half (57.5%, 255/355) the children with melioidosis were male, and most (82.8%, 294/355) were brought for treatment during the wet season (Appendix Figure 2). Median age was 5.7 years (interquartile range 3.1–9.5 years). Concurrent conditions were infrequent (14/355, 3.9%). Parotitis was the most common manifestation (27.3%, 97/355) (Table 1).

Hospital guidelines (introduced in 2012) recommend obtaining blood, throat swab and urine specimens for culture for all patients who have suspected melioidosis. However, blood was collected for culture for only 157 (44.2%) of 355 case-patients, a throat swab specimen for 31 (8.7%) of 355, and a urine sample for 16 (4.5%) of 355. Use of microbiological testing improved over time (Appendix Table 5, Figure 3). Of those who had blood cultured, 46.5% (73/157) were
The proportion of bacteremic children remained consistent over the study period (Appendix Figure 4). For 12 children who were evaluated during 2017–2018, the only positive microbiological specimen was a throat swab specimen.

Treatment data were available for 344 (96.9%) of 355 children. Of these, 140 were admitted when care was initially sought; 89 (63.6%) received an intravenous antimicrobial drug (ceftazidime, meropenem, or imipenem) that had activity against *B. pseudomallei* within 48 hours (Table 1). Eleven children did not receive an effective intravenous drug; 9 died within 24 hours (before culture results were available), and 2 were switched directly to oral treatment. The time to effective antimicrobial drug therapy did not change over the study period.

The in-hospital case-fatality rate (CFR) was 11.5% (41/355). Median time to death was 2.5 days (interquartile range 1–8 days). Two deaths occurred after discharge for children who had completed 14 days of intensive therapy.

Pneumonia, female sex, and age <5 years were risk factors for death. Among children who had a blood culture, bacteremia was strongly associated with death, as was severe undernutrition in children <10 years of age. Adjusted analyses, including only children <10 years of age who had a blood culture (n=128), confirmed that bacteremia (odds ratio 57.09, 95% CI 10.80–1,063.54; p<0.001) and pneumonia (odds ratio 3.95, 95% CI 1.22–14.43; p = 0.027) were independently associated with death (Table 2). The annual CFR remained stable, although a nonsignificant decrease was observed for bacteremic children (Appendix Figure 5). This decrease occurred in the context of major reductions in the prevalence of undernutrition (Figure 1).

Of 312 surviving case-patients, postdischarge information was available for 306 (98.1%), of whom 102 (33.3%) completed ≥12 weeks of eradication therapy. The proportion of children completing eradication therapy increased substantially during the study period (Figure 2), and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median age, y (IQR)</td>
<td>5.7 (3.1–9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>255 (57.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>100 (42.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concurrent condition, n = 355</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalassemia</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic lupus erythematosus</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspected underlying immunodeficiency</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epilepsy</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute lymphoblastic leukemia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Congenital heart disease</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic kidney disease</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure red cell aplasia</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical manifestations, n = 355</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parotitis</td>
<td>97 (27.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin or soft tissue infection</td>
<td>96 (27.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>69 (19.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphadenitis</td>
<td>58 (16.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meningitis</td>
<td>1 (0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multifocal infection</td>
<td>12 (3.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other†</td>
<td>8 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown‡</td>
<td>15 (4.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Management strategy, n = 355</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted case-patients</td>
<td>212 (59.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-patients admitted at first presentation</td>
<td>145 (40.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empiric treatment with effective intensive-phase therapy</td>
<td>51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with effective intensive-phase therapy within 48 h</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment with effective intensive-phase therapy after 48 h</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No effective intensive-phase therapy received§</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Treatment information not available</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Admitted to intensive care unit, n = 212</td>
<td>52 (24.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surviving patients completing 12 weeks of eradication therapy, n = 306</td>
<td>102 (33.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. patients treated successfully with only oral antimicrobial drugs</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no. (%) except as indicated. IQR, interquartile range.
†Clinical manifestations for patients classified as Other included mandibular osteomyelitis (2), diarrheal disease (2), vaginitis (2), mastoiditis (1), and septic arthritis (1).
‡Clinical manifestations were unknown for 15 patients: 10 were bacteremic and 5 had *Burkholderia pseudomallei* isolated from pus swabs.
§A total of 9 children died within 24 h (before culture results were available), and 2 were switched directly to oral treatment.

Table 1. Characteristics for 355 children who had culture-confirmed melioidosis, northern Cambodia, 2009–2018*
Table 2. Risk factors for death of children who had culture-confirmed *Burkholderia pseudomallei* infection, northern Cambodia, 2009–2018*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Survivors</th>
<th>Nonsurvivors</th>
<th>Unadjusted OR (95% CI); p value</th>
<th>Adjusted OR (95% CI); p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole population, n = 355</td>
<td>n = 312</td>
<td>n = 43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>126/312 (40.4)</td>
<td>25/43 (58.1)</td>
<td>2.05 (1.07–3.91); 0.03</td>
<td>1.58 (0.71–3.53); 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt;5 y</td>
<td>126/312 (40.4)</td>
<td>25/43 (58.1)</td>
<td>2.05 (1.07–3.91); 0.03</td>
<td>0.69 (0.28–1.60); 0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia†</td>
<td>34/312 (10.9)</td>
<td>35/43 (81.4)</td>
<td>35.77 (15.34–83.41); &lt;0.001</td>
<td>38.99 (16.46–104.01); &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteremia‡</td>
<td>31/114 (27.2)</td>
<td>42/43 (97.7)</td>
<td>112.45 (14.83–852.47); &lt;0.001</td>
<td>70.24 (13.73–1,289.14); &lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe undernutrition§</td>
<td>32/225 (14.2)</td>
<td>12/37 (32.4)</td>
<td>2.90 (1.32–6.34); 0.008</td>
<td>1.36 (0.51–3.52); 0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children &lt;10 y of age who had blood culture, n = 128</td>
<td>n = 91</td>
<td>n = 37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex</td>
<td>39/91 (42.9)</td>
<td>20/37 (54.1)</td>
<td>1.57 (0.73–3.38); 0.25</td>
<td>1.24 (0.44–3.46); 0.679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &lt;5 y</td>
<td>54/91 (59.3)</td>
<td>23/37 (62.2)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.51–2.47); 0.77</td>
<td>0.70 (0.21–2.18); 0.542</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumonia†</td>
<td>31/91 (34.1)</td>
<td>30/37 (81.1)</td>
<td>8.29 (3.27–21.02); &lt;0.001</td>
<td>3.97 (1.22–14.43); 0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteremia</td>
<td>29/91 (31.9)</td>
<td>36/37 (97.3)</td>
<td>76.97 (10.05–589.16);</td>
<td>57.09 (10.80–1,063.54);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe undernutrition</td>
<td>17/91 (18.7)</td>
<td>12/37 (32.4)</td>
<td>2.09 (0.88–4.97); 0.09</td>
<td>2.08 (0.62–7.72); 0.247</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no. positive/no. tested (%) except as indicated. OR, odds ratio.
†Pneumonia was defined according to the working diagnosis of the treating clinical team, taking into consideration clinical, laboratory, and radiologic information. A total of 89.9% (62/69) of children who were given a diagnosis of pneumonia had a chest radiograph.
‡Risk for bacteremia assessed in children who had a blood culture collected (n = 157).
§Risk for severe undernutrition (weight-for-age z-score <–3) assessed in children <10 y of age (n = 262; a weight measurement was available for 95.6% of children who had bacteremia assessed in children who had a blood culture collected (n = 157).

Conclusions

Our study illustrates the challenges associated with providing care for children who have melioidosis at a pediatric hospital in northern Cambodia. Unlike many hospitals in the region, there was access to level 3 care in a pediatric intensive care unit and an on-site diagnostic microbiology laboratory supported by an active clinical microbiology liaison service (10). Nevertheless, 2/10 children admitted because they had melioidosis did not survive to leave the hospital, and only one third of those discharged alive were confirmed as having completed eradication therapy.

Few easily identifiable features exist to alert clinicians to a possible diagnosis of melioidosis. Given the necessity of early and appropriate antimicrobial drug therapy and the intrinsic resistance of *B. pseudomallei* to many first-line antimicrobial drugs, clinicians have the difficult task of maintaining a high index of suspicion while balancing the need for effective antimicrobial stewardship.

Our study might have underestimated the burden associated with melioidosis due to suboptimal...
diagnostic testing, particularly at the beginning of the study period. The hospital’s clinical microbiology liaison service has expanded over time and now includes 3 weekly infection rounds, invasive bacterial and hospital-acquired infection surveillance, and antimicrobial drug and diagnostic stewardship training as part of the hospital induction program. During 2015, the antimicrobial treatment guidelines became accessible by a mobile application (10). In the final 2 years of the study period, 12 children received a confirmed diagnosis only because the clinical team sent a throat swab specimen specifically for \textit{B. pseudomallei} culture.

We observed a slight decrease in the CFR for bacteremic children. Although not significant, this trend cannot be explained by changes in case-mix severity (proportion of bacteremic children) or time to effective antimicrobial therapy. However, it did occur in the context of substantial gains in the nutritional status of the population. Malnutrition might potentiate severity of melioidosis in children and is a well-recognized risk factor in other pediatric infections (4,11).

The fact that only one third of children surviving to hospital discharge went on to successfully complete 12 weeks of eradication therapy illustrates both the challenge associated with ambulatory care in resource-constrained settings and the gains that can be achieved over time. During 2009, only 5% of case-patients completed 12 weeks of eradication therapy, compared with 52% during 2018. Furthermore, by the end of the study period, amoxicillin/clavulanic acid had been replaced by trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole acid as the eradication drug of choice, consistent with current recommendations (5,6).

This study lends support to the idea that certain \textit{B. pseudomallei} infections might be treatable with oral agents alone (5). The 39 cases described herein add to ≥26 previously reported case-patients for whom successful outcomes have been achieved in the absence of parenteral therapy (4,8,9).

In summary, melioidosis remains a major disease in children in Cambodia. Proactive microbiological specimen collection is critical to confirming the diagnosis. Although adherence with prolonged eradication therapy is challenging, over time, improvements can be realized. Adherence will become increasingly essential if outpatient oral treatment regimens are to be considered in resource-limited settings, as they are in some high-income, disease-endemic locations (4).

The Cambodia Oxford Medical Research Unit is part of the Wellcome Trust Thailand Africa and Asia Programme and receives core support (grant 106698/Z/14/Z) from the UK Wellcome Trust.

About the Author
Dr. Chandna is a Wellcome Trust Doctoral Training Fellow at the Cambodia Oxford Medical Research Unit, Angkor Hospital for Children, Siem Reap, Cambodia. His primary research interest is the syndromic management of febrile illness and sepsis in resource-limited settings.

References

Address for correspondence: Arjun Chandna, Cambodia Oxford Medical Research Unit, Angkor Hospital for Children, Tep Vong Rd and Oum Chhay St, Svay Dangkum, PO Box 50, Siem Reap, Cambodia; email: arjun@tropmedres.ac
In North America, Eastern equine encephalitis virus (EEEV; family Togaviridae, genus Alphavirus) occurs as an enzootic cycle between mosquitoes (primarily *Culiseta melanura*) and passerine birds within freshwater hardwood swamps (1–3). When favorable ecological conditions occur, EEEV prevalence increases via amplification until spillover transmission occurs into humans and equids, and less commonly other species (1). Other mosquito species, such as *Coquillettidia perturbans* and *Aedes vexans*, act as bridge vectors by preferentially feeding on mammals; they may be responsible for the epizootic cases among mammalian hosts (2). Outbreaks of EEEV in the northern United States occur intermittently between years but during a predictable time of the year, late summer through early fall (3).

Among the naturally occurring encephalitic alphaviruses, EEEV has the highest mortality rate in humans (50%–75%) and equids (70%–90%) (4). Additional reports exist of clinical disease in a wide variety of mammalian and avian species, including swine, cattle, white-tailed deer, alpacas, seals, domestic canids, pheasants, emus, penguins, and cassowary birds (5–10). Clinical signs of EEEV range from asymptomatic infection to severe and often fatal neurologic disease; signs may include pyrexia, anorexia, recumbency, diarrhea, ataxia, seizures, nystagmus, and head pressing. Previous reports of EEEV in domestic canids have been rare and have mainly been in young puppies <6 months of age (9,10). Serologic evidence suggests that exposure to EEEV in free-ranging gray wolves (*Canis lupus*) is low (0% of pups and 3% of adults) in Minnesota (11), where EEEV is uncommon.

The Study

In 2019, the southwest region of Michigan experienced high incidence of EEEV exposure in humans and nonhuman animals, including wild deer and domestic horses (7,8,12). Clinical human cases were reported during June 18–September 20, 2019 (12). Binder Park Zoo (BPZ) (Battle Creek, Michigan, USA) is on a 400-acre property, half maintained as natural wetlands with a large population of native waterfowl and passerine avian species and half as a developed zoo. The Mexican wolf exhibit is located ≈30 m from the natural wetlands. In the midst of the outbreak, EEEV was diagnosed in two 2-month old Mexican wolf pups (*Canis lupus baileyi*) at BPZ. We assumed that transmission of EEEV to the wolf pups was from a mosquito bite that occurred at their exhibit location.

Pup 1 was a 2-month-old male pup that was brought for care on September 1, 2019, after a brief entanglement with the exhibit’s electric fence. The pup was noted to be increasingly ataxic, which progressed quickly to an obtunded recumbent state. On examination, the pup had decreased responsiveness to handling, increased respiratory effort and crackles, anisocoria, and pyrexia (temperature of 40°C; in adult domestic canids, pyrexia is >40°C) (9). Initial supportive care was unsuccessful; the pup died shortly thereafter. Necropsy revealed a focal area of hemorrhage along the junction of the basilar and posterior cerebral arteries. We submitted formalin-fixed tissues to Michigan State University Veterinary Diagnostic Lab.
oratory (MSU VDL; Lansing, Michigan, USA) for histopathology. Throughout the cerebrum we observed expansion of the Virchow-Robin space by large numbers of lymphocytes and histocytes with extension of the inflammatory cells into the surrounding neuropil. Gray and white matter had randomly scattered foci of rarefaction and necrosis with low numbers of associated infiltrating neutrophils (Figure 1). Inflammatory cells often surrounded and occasionally phagocytize necrotic neurons in the process of neuronophagia. The meninges were expanded by edema and moderate numbers of lymphocytes and histiocytes. The cerebellum and brain stem had similar lesions. The cerebrum was positive for EEEV on SYBR green–based real-time reverse transcription PCR (rRT-PCR); EEEV nucleic acid was detected within neurons via in situ hybridization (Figure 2) (13,14). We noted a mild eosinophilic pneumonia.

Pup 2 was found deceased in the underground den the following day. This male pup had a history of healing traumatic rib fractures and delayed growth rate; he was in treatment for pneumonia and a suspected hepatic abscess and had been improving. Necropsy revealed healed rib fractures and consolidated left cranial lung lobes; the liver was firm, with a pronounced lobular pattern and prominent white interlobular septae. We sent formalin-fixed tissues for histopathology at MSU VDL. The lesions within the brain were similar to those described for pup 1. In addition, there was a moderate lymphoplasmacytic bronchointerstitial pneumonia and severe chronic fibrosing periportal hepatitis, moderate bile duct hyperplasia, moderate arteriole proliferation, and intermittent absence of periportal veins (portal vein hypoplasia), most consistent with a congenital vascular anomaly. Culture of the lung was positive for rare Mycoplasma canis and moderate Escherichia coli (negative for virulence factor genes cnf1 and cnf2). The brain was positive by rRT-PCR for EEEV; in situ hybridization detected EEEV nucleic acid (13,14).

The surviving female pup (pup 3) and the dam and sire showed no clinical signs. Banked serum samples frozen at −30°C were sent to National Veterinary Services Laboratories (Ames, Iowa, USA) for plaque reduction neutralization test to evaluate timelines of exposure for pups 1, 2, and 3. Samples from pups 2 and 3 were negative, whereas serum from pup 1 tested positive for neutralizing antibodies at 1:10 dilution on the day of death (Table 1). Frozen cerebrum from the fourth littermate (pup 4) that had died a month earlier at 4 weeks of age tested negative by rRT-PCR for EEEV (13,14). Necropsy findings from

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal ID</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pup 1</td>
<td>2019 Aug 14</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Sep 1</td>
<td>Positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pup 2</td>
<td>2019 Aug 12</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Aug 22</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pup 3</td>
<td>2019 Aug 14</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2019 Sep 20</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Test result interpreted at 1:10. Pups were tested before (all pups), during (pup 2), and after (pup 3 only) an outbreak of eastern equine encephalitis.
pup 4 included thoracic rib fractures and moderate, acute, diffuse, fibrinosuppurative bacterial alveolitis and pleuritis.

We conducted mosquito surveillance throughout the zoo’s property using dry ice–baited, CDC miniature light traps (John W. Hock Company, https://www.johnwhock.com) on 3 different dates (September 25 and 27, October 9). Mosquitoes were identified to species, and pools of <25 individuals were tested for EEEV RNA by rRT-PCR (15). Both the enzootic vector, Culiseta melanura (n = 6/378), and possible bridge vector mosquito species such as Coquillettidia perturbans (n = 15/378) were present (Table 2). After risk assessment, with local and state agencies, mosquito management was implemented on the property, including targeted barrier and state conducted adulticide spray over the area. All pools were negative for EEEV RNA.

Conclusions
Zoonotic disease detection, especially for reportable diseases, may have implications to a zoo beyond animal health and may require a substantial amount of time and resources. After diagnosis of EEEV cases, the zoo provided educational material on EEEV and complimentary DEET mosquito spray for staff and zoo patrons. In addition, all overnight camping safaris, evening events, and school field trip groups were canceled. Zoos can act as sentinels for disease detection in an area because of the wide variety of resident species and thorough necropsies. Since the diagnosis of EEEV, BPZ’s preventative medicine measures to decrease the risk for nondomestic canids to contract EEEV include the use of a monthly topical pyrethrin-based product on all Mexican wolves starting at 8 weeks of age.

Because of the natural distribution of the Mexican wolf population in Mexico and the southwestern United States, EEEV probably has little effect on the free-ranging population. However, the translocation of animals to zoos outside of their natural range may expose them to novel diseases such as EEEV. The cases in our study provide evidence for clinicians to include EEEV as a differential for acute neurologic signs or death in young canids, both domestic and nondomestic, especially during outbreaks of EEEV.
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Table 2. Results from 3 rounds of mosquito trapping at Binder Park Zoo, Michigan, USA*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aedes cinereus</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aedes japonicus</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aedes trivittatus</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aedes vexans</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anopheles punctipennis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anopheles quadrimaculatus</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anopheles walkeri</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coquillettidia perturbans</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culex erraticus</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culex pipiens</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culex territans</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culex tibialis</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthopodomyia signifera</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psorophora ferox</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uranotaenia sapphirina</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. mosquitoes</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total species</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total no. traps</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overnight temperature, °C</td>
<td>16–22</td>
<td>19–21</td>
<td>11–18</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*After detection of eastern equine encephalitis virus, mosquitoes were trapped with CDC miniature light traps baited with dry ice. Adulticide spray was applied between the second and third round. Data are numbers of mosquitoes except where otherwise noted.
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Poxviruses are among the best known and most feared viruses (1); the Poxviridae family includes several viruses of veterinary and medical relevance, some of them zoonotic. Emergence and reemergence of poxviruses is frequently observed (2–4). We report a systemic and lethal poxvirus infection in a wild porcupine and further characterize the virus through genomic analysis.

The Study

In March 2019, a free-ranging adult male Brazilian porcupine (Coendou prehensilis) in good bodily condition was captured near a park in the urban area of Uberlândia in the state of Minas Gerais in southeastern Brazil and was then referred for veterinary clinical care at the Federal University of Uberlândia. The animal had multifocal skin edema and erythema, especially on the eyelid and muzzle (Figure 1, panel A), extremity of limbs (Figure 1, panel B), and genital areas, and a penetrating skin lesion on the lateral face of the right limb near the elbow joint. We observed purulent nasal and ocular secretion. After 4 days of supportive treatment, the porcupine died and was subjected to a full necropsy for histopathologic evaluation and to collect samples for molecular investigation.

We obtained the complete sequence of a novel poxvirus, tentatively named Brazilian porcupinepox virus, from a wild porcupine (Coendou prehensilis) in Brazil that had skin and internal lesions characteristic of poxvirus infection. The impact of this lethal poxvirus on the survival of this species and its potential zoonotic importance remain to be investigated.

On macroscopic examination, the spleen was enlarged, lungs were turgid, and the liver was pale and greyish. Standard histopathologic sections were cut from formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded skin and organ samples and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Histologic examination of the skin revealed several common alterations, irrespective of the location of the sample (Figure 1, panels C and D). Epidermis exhibited marked hyperplasia, parakeratotic hyperkeratosis, and moderate acantholysis. Epidermal cells were swollen, with foci of ballooning degeneration, and the cytoplasm of scattered epithelial cells contained round eosinophilic inclusions of varying size. No intranuclear inclusions were found. We also observed ulcerated epidermis with eosinophilic, amorphous keratinaceous crusts, necrosis, and numerous degenerated granulocytes. Dermal lesions included hemorrhage at the dermal–epidermal junction, severe edema, necrotic areas, and mixed inflammatory infiltrate that extended into the deep dermis. Venous blood congestion was observed in the kidneys, liver, spleen, and lungs. Hepatocytes evidenced moderate degeneration, whereas emphysema and pneumonitis were observed in the lungs.

We extracted total DNA from lesioned eyelid skin, spleen, and liver samples and subjected to a pan-pox universal PCR assay (5). All samples resulted in amplicons with low–GC content poxvirus primers targeting a region of the putative metalloproteinase gene. The amplicon from lesioned eyelid skin was submitted for Sanger sequencing (GenBank accession no. MK944278), and total DNA from this lesion was submitted to full-genome sequencing using the Illumina NextSeq platform (Illumina, https://www.illumina.com). We performed viral particle enrichment and next-generation sequencing (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-3818-App1.pdf). A total of 71,507,840 pairs of 151-bp reads were obtained after...
raw data quality control using CLC Genomics Workbench 11 (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com). The resulting paired-end reads were de novo assembled in CLC Genomics Workbench 11 (QIAGEN) with default parameters, resulting in a 144,504-nt genome (GenBank accession no. MN692191) with average coverage of 230.41x.

We annotated the genome (Appendix). Of 133 open reading frames (ORFs) found, only 2 (117 and 129) have no equivalents in other poxviruses, ORFs situated in the middle region of the genome encode proteins related to virion morphogenesis, the structure of virus particles, and viral DNA and RNA metabolism. We also identified the minimum essential chordopoxvirus genome, 49 genes conserved between highly diverged poxvirus families, and 41 genes conserved in chordopoxviruses (6). ORFs related to host range, immunomodulation, and virulence were observed in the extremities of the genome.

Alignments of 9 amino acid sequences corresponding to 9 conserved genes located in the central region were concatenated (7,956 aa) compiled from this genome with homologous sequences from different genera of chordopoxviruses with low GC content. Thus, we constructed a phylogenetic tree by using the maximum-likelihood method and Jones–Taylor–Thornton model (7) in MEGA X software (8) with the frequency matrix model (Figure 2). A nucleotide tree with complete genomes was also constructed (Appendix Figure 1).

Using the 9 concatenated sequences corresponding to the conserved central region of MN692191 and other known chordopoxviruses, we obtained nucleotide identities ranging from 69.9% to 85.2% (Appendix Figure 2) and amino acid identities ranging from 57.7% to 78.8% (Appendix Figure 3). The highest identity was observed between MN692191 and Cotia virus (CoTV) in clusters in the phylogenetic trees. In the 1960s, CoTV was isolated in Brazil from sentinel suckling mice (9); the natural host remains unknown and CoTV remains unclassified despite attempts to place it in a new genus of Poxviridae (10). According to the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (11), isolates within a species exhibit >98% nucleotide identity. CoTV and MN692191 exhibit 85.2% of nucleotide identity and thus are distinct species.

We estimated maximum-likelihood distances for this region of conserved nucleotides; the distance between CoTV and MN692191 was 0.156. MN692191 belongs to a main clade that includes Capripoxvirus, Suipoxvirus, Leporipoxvirus, Cervidpoxvirus, and Yatapoxvirus (clade CSLCY). Distances ranging from 0.177 to 0.210 were observed between MN692191 and species from different genera of the CSLCY clade. In species from the same genus of this clade, distance ranged from 0.006 to 0.052, which suggests that MN692191 and CoTV (maximum-likelihood distance 0.156) do not belong to the same genus.
Conclusions

This comprehensive phylogenetic analysis supports the classification of MN692191 into a new genus in the family Poxviridae, subfamily Chordopoxvirinae. Because the virus described in this study is distinct from previously identified viruses, we propose the tentative species name Brazilian porcupinepox virus (BPoPV), according to recommendations for nomenclature of poxvirus species of the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (11).

Wildlife veterinarians in Brazil have observed free-ranging porcupines exhibiting clinical signs compatible with those described in this study (B.S.S. Petri, CRAS Parque Ecológico do Tietê–São Paulo, pers. comm., 2019 Sep 16; I.S. Barbosa, CETAS–Goiânia, pers. comm., 2020 Jan 6) have been observed. In 2019, of 13 of these porcupine specimens reported, only 3 had fully recovered from clinical symptoms, demonstrating that this virus might be a common pathogen for this species and could have consequences for its conservation.

Brazilian porcupines have a greater distribution in Brazil but are found in 10 other countries in Latin America (12). This species is found mainly in forest environments (12) and can be observed in forest fragments in urban areas, as was the case for the specimen in this study. Housing construction nearer to forested areas has led to this porcupine sometimes being hunted for meat (13), which leads to human exposure to the pathogens hosted by this species.

Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree constructed in genomic analysis of novel poxvirus Brazilian porcupinepox virus, Brazil, 2019 (boldface). Tree constructed by using the maximum-likelihood method and Jones–Taylor–Thornton model (7) with frequency model for amino acid sequence alignments of the RNA polymerase subunit RPO147, RNA polymerase subunit RPO132, RNA polymerase–associated RAP94, mRNA capping enzyme large subunit, virion major core protein P4a, early transcription factor VETF1, nucleoside-triphosphatase, DNA polymerase, and DNA topoisomerase I genes of selected strains representing different genera of chordopoxvirus with low GC contents and their respective genera. The numbers next to each node represent the values of 1,000 bootstrap repetitions, and only those >50% are shown. Evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X (8). GenBank accession numbers are as follows: Brazilian porcupinepox virus, MK944278.1; camelpox virus, AY009089.1; canarypox virus, NC005309.1; Cotia virus, KM595078.1; cowpox virus, DQ437593.1; deerpox virus, AY689437.1; ectromelia virus, NC004105.1; fowlpox virus, NC002188.1; goatpox virus, MH381810.1; lumpy skin disease virus, NC003027.1; monkeypox virus, DQ011157.1; myxoma virus, NC001132.2; rabbit fibroma virus, NC001266.1; sheeppox virus, NC004002.1; swinepox virus, NC003389.1; vaccinia virus, M35027.1; variola major virus, L22579.1; Yaba monkey tumor virus, NC005179.1; Yaba-like disease virus, NC002642.1. Scale bar represents number of substitutions per site.
The genus *Orthopoxvirus* includes the best-known zoonotic poxvirus species, such as cowpox, monkeypox, and vaccinia viruses (14); however, the zoonotic poxvirus is not restricted to this genus. The genera *Parapoxvirus* and *Yatapoxvirus* also include viral species of zoonotic importance (15). Furthermore, chordopoxviruses are often described as emerging zoonoses. Contact between Brazilian porcupines and humans, because of anthropized forested areas and the porcupines’ broad geographic distribution and presence in urban areas, raises concerns about the zoonotic potential of BPoPV, which remains to be investigated.

In summary, our description of this novel poxvirus contributes to knowledge of viral diversity and pathogenicity of poxviruses. Some chordopoxviruses are capable of infecting multiple animal species, whereas others have a restricted host spectrum (14,15). The infection capability of BPoPV is a crucial aspect for further study.
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Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza Clade 2.3.4.4 Subtype H5N6 Viruses Isolated from Wild Whooper Swans, Mongolia, 2020

Sol Jeong,1 Nyamsuren Otgontogtokh,1 Dong-Hun Lee, Bayarmagnai Davganyam, Sun-Hak Lee, Andrew Y. Cho, Erdene-Ochir Tseren-Ochir, Chang-Seon Song

We identified clade 2.3.4.4 highly pathogenic avian influenza A(H5N6) viruses from whooper swans (Cygnus cygnus) found dead in Mongolia. The identification of these infections in wild birds in this area is of concern because of the potential for virus dissemination during fall migration.

Highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI) H5Nx viruses have been continuous threat to poultry and public health since the detection of A/Goose/Guangdong/1/1996(H5N1) (Gs/GD) in 1996 in Guangdong Province, China. The Gs/GD-lineage has evolved into 10 genetically distinct clades (0–9) and subclades (1). A novel clade 2.3.4.4 of H5Nx viruses bearing multiple neuraminidase subtypes, including N2, N5, N6, and N8, has been identified in China since 2008 (2), and the H5 genes have been phylogenetically differentiated into 4 subgroups (A–D) (3). Clade 2.3.4.4 H5N6 viruses have been causing worldwide epizootics in poultry and wild birds, and human cases have also been reported since 2014 (4).

In this study, we report the identification and genetic analysis of 2 HPAI clade 2.3.4.4 H5N6 viruses isolated from whooper swan carcasses in central Mongolia during April 2020.

Wild whooper swan (Cygnus cygnus) carcasses were found in April 2020 on the banks of 2 small ponds (48°25′39.8″N, 102°36′09.6″E, and 47°56′22.0″N, 102°32′54.0″E) around the Orkhon River located nearby Khunt Lake (Bulgan Province, 48°25′59.8″N, 102°34′51.1″E) and Doitiin Tsagaan Lake (Arkhangal Province, 47°34′20.1″N, 102°31′45.4″E) (Appendix 1 Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-3859-App1.pdf). These lakes are a major stopover site of migratory wild birds in central Mongolia between their breeding sites in the north and the wintering sites in the south and are also major breeding and molting habitats of wild bird species, including whooper swan. The lakes were the outbreak sites of HPAI H5N1 in wild birds in 2005, 2006, and 2009 (5). Two HPAI viruses, A/Whooper swan/Mongolia/24/2020(H5N6) and A/Whooper swan/Mongolia/25/2020(H5N6), referred to as MN-H5N6/2020 viruses in this article, were isolated from 2 brain tissue samples of whooper swan carcasses. We conducted whole-genome sequencing (6) and phylogenetic analysis on the isolates (Appendix). The nucleotide sequences have been deposited in GenBank (accession nos. MT872354–69).

The 2 MN-H5N6/2020 viruses shared high nucleotide similarity (99.65%–100%) across all 8 gene segments. Polybasic amino acid motif on the cleavage site of hemagglutinin (HA) genes (PLRERRRKR/G) suggested that the MN-H5N6/2020 viruses are HPAI. BLAST (https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi) and GISAID (https://platform.gisaid.org) searches showed that the MN-H5N6/2020 viruses share high nucleotide identity (99.4%–99.9%) across all 8 gene segments with the HPAI H5N6 viruses, referred to as Xinjiang-H5N6/2020 viruses in this article, isolated from wild swans (mute swans [Cygnus olor] and whooper swans) during January 2020 in Xinjiang Province, China (Table 1) (7), which is located ≈4,800 km southwest of the isolation sites of the MN-H5N6/2020 viruses. These results suggested that the
MN-H5N6/2020 viruses might have been introduced through the Central Asian flyway to central Mongolia most likely during early spring migration in 2020.

In the maximum-likelihood phylogenetic trees, all 8 gene segments of the MN-H5N6/2020 were closely clustered with the sequences of the Xinjiang-H5N6/2020 viruses and the H5N6 viruses of clade 2.3.4.4 group C isolated during 2016–2019 in China, Vietnam, and Russia, including human isolates (Appendix 1 Figure 2). The phylogenetic relationship and high nucleotide identity indicated that the MN-H5N6/2020 viruses possess the identical genome constellation with the Xinjiang-H5N6/2020 viruses (7). The time of most recent common ancestor for each gene of the MN-H5N6/2020 viruses and the Xinjiang-H5N6/2020 viruses ranged from May to December 2019, suggesting that the MN-H5N6/2020 viruses and the Xinjiang-H5N6/2020 viruses had diverged from a common ancestor most likely during the second half of the previous year (Table 2; Appendix 1 Figure 3). The time of most recent common ancestor for each gene of the MN-H5N6/2020 viruses ranged from January through March 2020. These data and understanding of waterfowl migration patterns suggest that H5N6 viruses were maintained among wild birds during fall and winter 2019 and reached Mongolia by late winter, most likely carried by long-distance flights of infected migrating wild birds during spring migration. A previous satellite-tracking study of whooper swans between northern China and Mongolia showed that the most stable period for the wintering population of whooper swans in the Sanmenxia Reservoir area was from late December to early January (8). For spring migration, departure dates of the wintering population of whooper swans ranged from February 17 to March 27, and arrival dates at the breeding sites in Mongolia ranged from February 27 to May 23. This spring bird migration pattern coincided with the timing and direction of H5N6 virus transmission between Xinjiang and Mongolia.

The MN-H5N6/2020 and the Xinjiang-H5N6/2020 viruses had mutations associated with increased HA receptor binding affinity to human-like receptor (α-2,6 sialic acid), including D94N, S133A, S154N, and T156A (H5 numbering) (9) (Appendix 2 Table 2, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-0389-App2.xls), although they maintained the amino acids related to the binding tropism to avian-like (α-2,3 sialic acid) receptors (222Q and 224G). Unlike 7 H5N6 human isolates of clade 2.3.4.4 group C, the MN-H5N6/2020 and the Xinjiang-H5N6/2020 viruses had amino acid substitution at HA position 188 (H5 numbering), from threonin to isoleucine, which is known to enhance receptor binding affinity to human-like receptor (10). In the maximum-likelihood phylogenetic tree and maximum clade credibility tree of polymerase basic 2 gene, the closest isolates of the MN-H5N6/2020 and Xinjiang-H5N6/2020 viruses were human H5N6 isolates from China (Table 2; Appendix 1 Figure 2, 3).

### Table 1. Nucleotide sequence identities between each gene segment of MN-H5N6/2020 highly pathogenic avian influenza virus isolates from Mongolia, 2020, and the isolates with the highest homology in the GISAID and GenBank databases*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Accession no.</th>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>% Identity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PB2</td>
<td>EPI1718955</td>
<td>A/Whooper swan/Xinjiang/3/2020(H5N6)</td>
<td>99.74–99.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB1</td>
<td>EPI1718956</td>
<td>A/Whooper swan/Xinjiang/3/2020(H5N6)</td>
<td>99.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PA</td>
<td>EPI1719034</td>
<td>A/Whooper swan/Xinjiang/13/2020(H5N6)</td>
<td>99.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>EPI1718990</td>
<td>A/Whooper swan/Xinjiang/7/2020(H5N6)</td>
<td>99.60–99.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NP</td>
<td>EPI1718951</td>
<td>A/Whooper swan/Xinjiang/3/2020(H5N6)</td>
<td>99.74–99.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA</td>
<td>EPI1719037</td>
<td>A/Whooper swan/Xinjiang/13/2020(H5N6)</td>
<td>99.65–99.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP</td>
<td>EPI1719033</td>
<td>A/Whooper swan/Xinjiang/13/2020(H5N6)</td>
<td>99.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NS</td>
<td>EPI1719032</td>
<td>A/Whooper swan/Xinjiang/13/2020(H5N6)</td>
<td>99.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*As of 2020 Jul 16, GISAID, https://platform.gisaid.org. HA, hemagglutinin; MP, matrix protein; NP, nucleoprotein; NS, nonstructural protein PA, acidic polymerase; PB1, basic polymerase 1; PB2, basic polymerase 2.

### Table 2. tMRCA of each gene segment of H5N6 highly pathogenic avian influenza viruses from Mongolia, 2020*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 95% HPD range</td>
<td>Mean 95% HPD range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB2</td>
<td>Feb 2020 Jan–Mar 2020</td>
<td>Dec 2019 Dec 2019</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*HA, hemagglutinin; HPD, highest posterior density; MP, matrix protein; NP, nucleoprotein; NS, nonstructural protein PA, acidic polymerase; PB1, basic polymerase 1; PB2, basic polymerase 2; tMRCA, time to the most recent common ancestor.

tMRCA estimated by using Bayesian molecular clock analysis. It represents the potential existing timing of a common ancestral node.
Wild migratory birds have played an important role in disseminating and maintaining of Gs/GD lineage HPAI H5Nx viruses, as observed in the epizootics of H5N1 clade 2.2 during 2005–2006 (11), H5N1 clade 2.3.2 in 2009 (12), clade 2.3.2.1c in 2015 (13), and clade 2.3.4.4 since 2014 (14). During widespread dissemination of the HPAIV clade 2.2 during 2005–2006 and clade 2.3.2 in 2009, these viruses were also detected from wild birds at Doitiiin Tsgaan Lake and Khunt Lake, highlighting that these areas are useful locations for monitoring of HPAI in wild birds as a pathway for the spread of HPAI during migration of waterfowl. Identifying 2 H5N6 HPAI viruses in wild waterfowl in this area signifies the potential for wide spread of this clade 2.3.4.4 H5N6 viruses during the 2020 fall migration.

Since the first report of a human infection with HPAI clade 2.3.4.4 H5N6 virus in Sichuan Province, China, in April 2014 (4), a total of 24 cases had been reported from China as of August 2020 (15). The mammalian host-specific markers found in the MN-H5N6/2020 viruses suggest that these viruses are potentially infectious for mammals. Considering the possibility of future dispersal of the H5N6 HPAI viruses through wild birds and the presence of mammalian host-specific genetic markers, enhanced active surveillance in wild birds, poultry, and mammals is needed to monitor spread and understand the potential for zoonotic infection.
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Limited laboratory capacity in the United States has hindered access to testing for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and has delayed results. To control outbreaks of coronavirus disease (COVID-19), testing capacity must be increased and maintained for the foreseeable future. One resource-saving, capacity-increasing approach is pooling samples, thereby testing multiple persons simultaneously. A negative result for the pool indicates that all samples were below the limit of detection, and a positive result for the pool requires individual retesting of all samples. Pooled testing has been widely proposed as a way to expand capacity for large-scale screening (1,2; C.M. Verdun, unpub data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.30.20085290), a proactive strategy for early pathogen detection, primarily for persons who are not yet symptomatic.

Saliva is being used as a noninvasive source for SARS-CoV-2 testing (3,4) yet can be more difficult to process than traditional swab-based samples (5). Given limited empirical evidence to properly inform projections of feasibility and cost-effectiveness of pooling, we explored the potential of pooling saliva to increase SARS-CoV-2 testing capacity.

The Study

Using saliva collected from COVID-19 inpatients and at-risk healthcare workers (5), we combined 1 SARS-CoV-2–positive sample (<38 PCR cycle threshold [Cₜ]) with SARS-CoV-2–negative saliva (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4200-App1.pdf) before RNA extraction in total pool sizes of 5 samples/pool (n = 23 pools), 10 (n = 23), and 20 (n = 31). As pool size increased, detection sensitivity decreased independent of starting viral load (pool of 5, +2.2 cycle threshold [Cₜ], 95% CI 1.4–3.0 Cₜ; 10, +3.1 Cₜ, 95% CI 2.3–4.0 Cₜ; 20, +3.6 Cₜ, 95% CI 2.7–4.4 Cₜ) (Figure 1; Appendix).

By applying the regression coefficients (Cₜ increase) to the Cₜ values from all SARS-CoV-2–positive saliva samples detected during our studies (6), we estimate that pool sizes will lead to detection sensitivities of 92.59% (95% CI 88.89%–95.56%) for pools of 5 samples, 88.89% (95% CI 80.00%–91.85%) for pools of 10, and 85.19% (95% CI 75.56%–91.11%) for pools of 20, relative to sensitivity of unpooled samples (Appendix Figure 1). This loss in sensitivity could be minimized through protocol modifications: increasing the volume of pooled samples tested (400 μL, n = 20 pools of each size; Appendix Figure 2) and decreasing the elution volume.

On the basis of the calculated relative sensitivity loss resulting from pooling, we modeled the number of tests required (total of pooled and individual samples from positive pools tested) for a population of 10,000 with increasing SARS-CoV-2 prevalence.
(Figure 2, panel A). We estimate that for populations with prevalence <0.6%, pools of 20 require the fewest tests. However, for populations with prevalence >2.6%, our analyses suggest that pooling of 5 samples leads to the fewest tests. For populations with prevalence >28.1%, testing individual samples is more efficient than testing pools of any size. Thus, we suggest using an adaptive pooling strategy that accounts for SARS-CoV-2 prevalence for the population tested: as virus prevalence decreases, pool size can be increased, but as prevalence rises, pool size should be decreased.

Because sensitivity varies by pooling design (Figure 1), a different number of positive results will be detected for a given population with a given SARS-CoV-2 prevalence. As virus prevalence decreases, we estimate that cost savings of pooled testing will increase (Figure 2, panel B). For example, if SARS-CoV-2 prevalence for a 10,000-person population was 0.5%, then pooling by 20 would require only 1,318 tests, including retesting of all persons from test-positive pools. If tests cost US$30 each, the savings would be $260,453 relative to individual testing while still identifying ≈43 of 50 infected persons. The savings will vary on a scale relative to test prices. Ultimately, the net benefits of pooled testing can continue to increase even as virus prevalence decreases with increased pool sizes, which is essential for ongoing screening.

Conclusions

The cost of SARS-CoV-2 testing can be prohibitive when positive samples are rarely found, presenting a major barrier to prolonged screening strategies. Pooling of samples can help overcome this barrier. Our model demonstrates that as local outbreaks fluctuate, adapting pool sizes will have resource-savings benefits.

The benefits of pooled testing will always be accompanied by decreased detection sensitivity. However, the lower overall number of tests required and the lower associated costs expands testing capacity, permitting more frequent testing, and testing persons more often mitigates the loss of sensitivity (7). By enabling broader testing, pooling has the potential to identify more infected persons than more limited (or no) individual testing. Infected persons can then be isolated from the population, thus reducing the probability of contact between a susceptible and an infectious person, ultimately reducing transmission. Given our findings, we urge the US Food and Drug Administration to develop new guidelines for pooled-testing approaches. Although the first Emergency Use

Figure 1. Effect of pooling on detection of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, by pool size and between samples tested. A) As the pool size increased, so did the C value (dotted lines connect pools comprising the same positive sample). C for positivity is set to 38. Samples falling on the x-axis indicated samples from which signal was not detected by reverse transcription quantitative PCR. B) As the pool size increased, so did the C. We equated this change by using linear regression (pool of 5 samples, dark blue, +2.2 C; 95% CI 1.4–3.0 C; pool of 10, light blue, +3.1 C; 95% CI 2.3–4.0 C; pool of 20, green, +3.6, 95% CI 2.7–4.4 C). Dashed lines indicate C 38 (cutoff for sample positivity). 1/5, pool of 5; 1/10, pool of 10; 1/20, pool of 20. C, cycle threshold.
Authorization for SARS-CoV-2 pooled testing (<4 swab samples in 1 test) (8) will be most useful in high-prevalence settings, the ~12%-15% losses in sensitivity when pooling 10–20 samples would probably not pass current authorization criteria (>95% sensitivity).

Going forward, screening strategies need to be reviewed separately from traditional diagnostic testing, taking into consideration the repeated testing of individuals performed during screening. For strategies considering twice-weekly sampling, such as in the reopening plans for many US colleges, even if larger pools have lower sensitivity per test, the probability of 2 repeated false-negative results for any person will often be less than the probability of a false-negative result for a single test from a small pool. For example, a small pool (or individual test) may have the probability of a false-negative result of 2% but cost may limit testing to once per week. Conversely, the lower per-person cost of a large pool with a per-test probability of a false-negative result of 14% is more likely to allow for testing twice per week. Therefore, persons tested twice in larger pools have a per-week false-negative probability of only 1.96%. In the context of prolonged community screening, sensitivity should be thought of as per unit time, and the testing regimen should be taken into account.

Our estimates are conservative; the number of tests required is most likely lower than predicted, especially if behavioral or geographic information can be used to stratify the population so that the adaptive pooling strategy can be applied differentially to different sampled subpopulations. However, this approach needs to be balanced with feasibility in the laboratory because pooled testing adds additional steps and complexity to the system, all of which must be reliably implemented. Furthermore, pooled approaches could incorporate retesting individual samples from pools generating any SARS-CoV-2–specific signal in quantitative reverse transcription PCR regardless of C<sub>t</sub> (in place of those pools with the <38 C<sub>t</sub> cutoff applied here) (9). Although pooling has traditionally focused on extracted nucleic acid before quantitative reverse transcription PCR (10–12), because of the expense of RNA extraction and a comparable effect on detection sensitivity (Appendix), we recommend pooling before RNA extraction. Validation of our work in additional settings and on a larger scale will help better inform our models.

The cost-savings benefits of adaptive pooling saliva for community screening for SARS-CoV-2 provides a mechanism to maintain testing as virus spread
is brought under control and to avoid resurgence. Even if prevalence is very low, it is probably desirable to increase pool sizes before stopping testing altogether. Together with the ease of saliva collection, pooling samples should be considered as an effective testing strategy for expanding the breadth of testing and continued screening during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic.
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Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) cases are increasing in young adults (1). In some instances, prevalence among younger adults exceeds that of older adults (2). Younger adults often have a paucisymptomatic or asymptomatic response to infection (3). The potential for rapid spread exists within this age group (4). Without active serologic surveillance, cases among young adults might not be identified and the cumulative incidence underestimated. Well-defined cohorts are needed to assess the proportion of young adults who have severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) antibodies (5). We studied the seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG among US Marine recruits preparing for basic training at Marine Corps Recruit Depot Parris Island, South Carolina.

The Study
Before beginning basic training, recruits quarantined for 2 weeks at a hotel or college campus as previously described (6). Within 48 hours of arriving at the quarantine location, ≈350–500 recruits per week were offered the opportunity to volunteer for the COVID-19 Health Action Response for Marines Study, which included collecting baseline SARS-CoV-2 serologic test results.

We collected paper questionnaires and assayed serum samples for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG upon participants’ arrival at the quarantine location. We tested serum specimens for SARS-CoV-2 IgG by ELISA (6) (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4732-App1.pdf). The association between demographics, risk factors, and IgG-positivity variables were analyzed with logistic regression to determine the p value and odds ratio (OR).

The study protocol was approved by the Naval Medical Research Center Institutional Review Board in compliance with all applicable Federal regulations governing the protection of human subjects. All participants provided written informed consent for participation.

During May 11–September 7, 2020, we enrolled 3,249 (69.8%) volunteers out of 4,657 eligible recruits; because the minimum age was 18, 530/5,187 (10.2%) persons who were 17 years of age were ineligible. Valid IgG data were obtained for 3,196/3,249 (98.4%) participants. Most participants were from the Eastern United States or states with larger populations (Figure 1). Study participants had a median age of 19.1 (range 18–31) years, and 257 (8.0%) were women (Table 1). Participants 18–20 years of age (2,748 [86.0%]) were overrepresented in our cohort compared with 3.9% in the general US population according to 2020 Census data. When compared with 2020 Census data for persons 18–20 years of age, our cohort had a similar percentage of Hispanic participants (23.9% compared with 23.9%) and non-Hispanic Black participants (12.04% compared with 15.04%) (7).
Upon arrival at quarantine, 28/3,196 (0.9%) participants were SARS-CoV-2–positive by PCR and 289/3,196 (9.0%) were ELISA-positive for SARS-CoV-2 IgG targeting the receptor-binding domain of the spike protein. A total of 135/768 (17.6%) participants who identified as Hispanic were positive for SARS-CoV-2 IgG (Table 2), higher than the percentage of non-Hispanic White participants (80/1,817 [4.4%]) (OR 3.80, 95% CI 2.82–5.14; p<0.001). Hispanic participants also had higher rates of IgG seropositivity among weekly cohorts throughout the study period, and those rates increased with time (trend p<0.00017); seropositivity rates rose from 12.1% in May and June to 22.3% in July and August. Similarly, non-Hispanic Black participants had higher prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 IgG (62/414 [15.0%]) than non-Hispanic White participants (OR 3.54, 95% CI 2.47–5.05; p<0.001). Seropositivity was also greater in women (32/257, 12.5%) than men (257/2,939, 8.7%) (OR 1.57, 95% CI 1.02–2.33; p = 0.033).

Because participants came from states that were affected by COVID-19 at different times and in variable intensity, we grouped participants’ states of origin into 3 categories on the basis of when confirmed COVID-19 cases began to increase in each state (Appendix) (8). The groups were early spring, for states in which the outbreak began in March; late spring, for states in which the outbreak began in early June; and summer, for states in which the outbreak began in late June–July (Figure 2, panel A). We plotted weekly IgG-positivity rates during the 17-week study period (Figure 2, panel B) and found that participants from the early spring states had higher IgG seropositivity compared with late spring and summer and maintained a similar rate for the duration of the study. Overall, SARS-CoV-2 IgG seropositivity among participants from summer states (43/994 [4.3%]) and late spring states (126/1,389 [9.1%]) was much lower than in participants from early spring states (110/701 [15.7%]); OR was 0.35 (0.23–0.50; p<0.001) for summer and late spring states and 0.61 (0.46–0.81; p = 0.001) for early spring states. Figure 2, panel C, shows the weekly IgG-positive rate by race and ethnicity.

Conclusions

By using a cross-sectional study design during a 17-week period, the baseline seroprevalence of IgG against SARS-CoV-2 in US Marine recruits primarily from the eastern United States was 9.0%. In the United States, young adults have demonstrated higher levels of SARS-CoV-2–specific antibodies than persons of other ages (9). Among persons 18–20 years of age, low adherence to recommendations for social distancing, wearing of masks, and other public health
measures might increase their level of exposure compared with older persons (10). The high rate of asymptomatic infection in this age group (6) likely leads to underestimates of the cumulative incidence. Subsequent spread could contribute to infections among more vulnerable populations (11). Therefore, this age group represents an at-risk population that should be considered for COVID-19 monitoring and other targeted public health measures.

The participants in our study did not come from a cohort of convenience, a group at high risk, or a group receiving medical care; rather, they were selected from a group of young adults for the primary purpose of assessing baseline seropositivity. This process minimized selection bias (12), excluding the self-selection that occurred because participants chose to join the US Marine Corps and enroll in our study. Enrollment rate (70%) was high, which increased the likelihood that we studied a representative sample of recruits.

Consistent with other reports (13), Hispanic participants had higher IgG seroprevalence (OR 3.80) than non-Hispanic White participants in a multivariable logistic regression. This trend was similar for non-Hispanic Black participants and participants residing in states affected earlier in the pandemic. Our cohort was primarily young adults, many of whom had never held full-time jobs and might not represent essential workers, who have been associated with higher rates of infection among minority groups (14). It has been proposed that the higher incidence of SARS-CoV-2 in minority communities is associated with lower socioeconomic status and the associated inability to telecommute, leading to increased workplace exposure (C.T. Rentsch, unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.11

| Table 1. Demographics of 3,196 COVID-19 Health Action Response for Marines study participants with valid IgG data, United States, May 11–September 7, 2020* |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Characteristic | Total | Age, y, mean (SD) | | |
| Sex | | M | 2,939 (92.0) | | |
| | | F | 257 (8.0) | | |
| Race or ethnicity | | Non-Hispanic White | 1,817 (56.9) | | |
| | | Non-Hispanic Black | 414 (13.0) | | |
| | | Non-Hispanic other† | 197 (6.2) | | |
| | | Hispanic | 768 (24.0) | | |
| IgG | | Negative | 2,907 (91.0) | | |
| | | Positive | 289 (9.0) | | |
| COVID-19 by PCR | | Negative | 3,054 (95.6) | | |
| | | Positive | 28 (0.9) | | |
| | | Other† | 114 (3.6) | | |
| State group§ | | Early spring | 701 (21.9) | | |
| | | Late spring | 1,389 (43.5) | | |
| | | Summer | 994 (31.1) | | |
| | | Other† | 112 (3.5) | | |
| Resides in a country other than the United States | | No | 3,084 (96.5) | | |
| | | Yes | 23 (0.7) | | |
| | | Other† | 89 (2.8) | | |
| Born in a country other than the United States | | No | 2,928 (91.6) | | |
| | | Yes | 231 (7.2) | | |
| | | Other† | 37 (1.2) | | |

*Values are no. (%) except as indicated. COVID-19, coronavirus disease. †Non-Hispanic other also includes participants with missing values. §Defined in Figure 2, panel A, and the Appendix (https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4732-App1.pdf).

01/2020.05.12.20099135). Instead, these data could demonstrate the downstream effects of residing with an essential worker or could reflect intrinsic risk within a community.

| Table 2. Association between demographic variables and SARS-CoV-2 IgG results in study of seroprevalence in US Marine recruits, United States, May 11–September 7, 2020* |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Characteristic | IgG result | Univariable analysis | Multivariable analysis | |
| | | | OR (95% CI) | p value | OR (95% CI) | p value |
| Age, y, mean (SD) | | 19.1 (1.9) | 19.0 (1.7) | 0.99 (0.92–1.05) | 0.672 | 0.96 (0.89–1.02) | 0.212 |
| Sex | | M | 2682 (91.3) | 257 (8.7) | 1.48 (0.99–2.17) | 0.048 | 1.57 (1.02–2.33) | 0.033 |
| | | F | 225 (87.5) | 32 (12.5) | | | |
| Race or ethnicity | | Non-Hispanic White | 1737 (95.6) | 80 (4.4) | | | |
| | | Non-Hispanic Black | 352 (85.0) | 62 (15.0) | 3.82 (2.69–5.42) | <0.001 | 3.54 (2.47–5.05) | <0.001 |
| | | Non-Hispanic Other | 185 (93.9) | 12 (6.1) | 1.41 (0.72–2.54) | 0.283 | 1.32 (0.67–2.39) | 0.388 |
| | | Hispanic | 633 (82.4) | 135 (17.6) | 4.63 (3.47–6.22) | 0.001 | 3.80 (2.82–5.14) | <0.001 |
| State group | | Early spring | 591 (84.3) | 110 (15.7) | | | |
| | | Late spring | 1263 (90.9) | 126 (9.1) | 0.54 (0.41–0.71) | <0.001 | 0.61 (0.46–0.81) | 0.001 |
| | | Summer | 951 (95.7) | 43 (4.3) | 0.24 (0.17–0.35) | <0.001 | 0.35 (0.23–0.50) | <0.001 |
| | | Other† | 102 (91.1) | 10 (8.9) | 0.53 (0.25–0.99) | 0.065 | 0.54 (0.25–1.04) | 0.085 |

*Values are no. (%) except as indicated. Univariable odds ratio and p value were computed on the basis of the logistic regression with a single variable only. Multivariable odds ratio and p value were computed by using all 4 variables in the model. OR, odds ratio; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. †Inconclusive assay (not residing in the United States) or participants left residence question blank or answered by marking unknown.
Our study incorporates participants from multiple states and represents a diverse mix of race, ethnicity, and backgrounds, providing a unique assessment relevant to public health concerns among persons 18–20 years of age. Conversely, the study results are not representative of the population as a whole, especially children and older adults. Even among young adults, the results are specific to persons who chose to join the US Marine Corps. Additional limitations include a lack of information regarding exposure, participant risk-taking behavior before enrollment, and lack of confirmation of COVID-19 by PCR before study enrollment.

In our study, the seroprevalence of SARS CoV-2 IgG among a cohort of predominately young men

Figure 2. Confirmed COVID-19 and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) IgG-positivity by state, race, and ethnicity, in a study of US Marine recruits, May 11–September 7, 2020. A) Heatmap of cumulated confirmed COVID-19 cases normalized by each state’s population. Each row represents 1 state, and number in parentheses indicates number of participants. Color reflects cumulative PCR-confirmed cases per 1 million state population (data obtained from COVID-19 Data Repository by the Center for Systems Science and Engineering at Johns Hopkins University, https://github.com/CSSEGISandData/COVID-19). Each column indicates 1 day during May 1–September 7, 2020. The US in aggregate is shown in the black box. B) SARS-CoV-2 IgG-seropositivity rate by week of enrollment on the basis of state groupings. Colored dots indicate the weekly IgG-positivity rate for study participants grouped by state; colored solid lines show 3-week running means. Dotted lines indicate cumulative PCR-confirmed COVID-19 cases in each state grouping obtained from COVID-19 Data Repository, including data before the study commenced. C) SARS-CoV-2 IgG-positivity by race and ethnicity. Colored dots indicate weekly IgG-positivity rate for study participants; colored solid lines indicate 3-week running means. Because of the relatively small number of participants in the first study week (May 11), they are merged into May 18 data. COVID-19, coronavirus disease; NH, Non-Hispanic.
was 9.0%. Multivariable analysis showed incidence rates were significantly higher in women, Hispanic participants, Non-Hispanic Black participants, and participants from states that were affected earlier in the pandemic. These data can help inform surveillance and management strategies, as well as targeted public health interventions, for this age group.
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Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) led to a global pandemic in the human population within months after its first reporting (1). Potential wildlife reservoirs of SARS-CoV-2 remain unknown; susceptibility of various animal species has been described (2,3). Among rodent species, the Syrian hamster (Mesocricetus auratus) (4) and the North American deer mouse (Peromyscus maniculatus) (A. Fagre et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.07.241810; B.D. Griffin et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.25.221291), both Cricetidae species, have proved to be highly susceptible. These rodents transmit SARS-CoV-2 to co-housed contact animals and therefore are likely to develop effective infection chains in nature.

We intranasally inoculated 9 bank voles with SARS-CoV-2 strain Muc-IMB-1 and, 24 hours later, co-housed 1 contact animal with each of 3 groups of 3 inoculated animals (donor–recipient ratio [d:r] 3:1). We took swab samples regularly from all animals (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4945-App1.pdf); we euthanized 1 or 2 animals at predefined times (Appendix). One bank vole did not survive initial anesthesia for inoculation.

Neither inoculated nor contact animals showed clinical signs during the study. We detected seroconversion for all directly inoculated animals euthanized 8, 12, and 21 days postinfection (dpi), whereas the animals euthanized 4 dpi and the contact animals were all clearly seronegative for SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in an already validated indirect multispecies ELISA based on the receptor-binding domain (6).

All directly inoculated bank voles tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR) by oral and rhinarium swab specimens at 2 dpi. At 4 dpi, 5 of these 8 animals were positive by oral swab specimen; 2 were also positive by rhinarium swab specimen. On both sampling days, rectal swab specimens of 2 animals tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by qRT-PCR. Groupwise collected fecal samples also tested positive by qRT-PCR at 2 and 4 dpi. All swabs collected 8, 12, and 16 dpi from directly inoculated animals and every swab from the co-housed contact animals tested negative by qRT-PCR (Table; Figure).

Two animals were euthanized at 4 dpi; nasal conchae, trachea, lung, and olfactory bulb samples tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA by qRT-PCR (quantification cycle [Cq] 25.45–37.15). One animal showed viral genome in cerebrum and cerebellum samples, whereas the spleen sample from the other animal was positive for the viral genome. At 8 dpi another 2 animals were euthanized; both exhibited viral RNA only within the nasal conchae. The animal euthanized at 12 dpi was negative in all collected tissue samples. Nasal conchae of 3 inoculated animals euthanized at 21 dpi tested positive by qRT-PCR (Cq values 34.78, 34.97, 36.25), whereas all 3 contact animals euthanized at the same time tested negative in the nasal conchae.

Reisolation of viable virus from tissue materials in cell culture (Vero E6) was successful for 1 nasal concha sample taken at 4 dpi. However, isolation...
from samples with Cq >28 failed, in line with findings of other groups (3,7).

Overall, bank voles proved to be susceptible to infection with SARS-CoV-2 but did not transmit the virus to co-housed direct contact animals (initial d:r 3:1), in contrast to highly susceptible hamsters or deer mice, which transmit SARS-CoV-2 to each contact animal (d:r 1:1) within 5 days (4; B.D. Griffin et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.07.241810; B.D. Griffin et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.25.221291). Our results suggest a tissue tropism for SARS-CoV-2 replication in bank voles to the upper respiratory tract, as seen for other species, such as ferrets, fruit bats, and raccoon dogs (3,7). The persistence of viral genome for at least 3 weeks in nasal tissue of directly inoculated animals was unexpected, especially because the last positive sample was retrieved 4 dpi from the respective bank voles (Table). This finding is most likely the result of the suspected clustering of SARS-CoV-2 infection foci in narrow areas of the upper respiratory tract (L.M. Zaeck et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.17.339051). Considering that virus isolation from these 21 dpi samples was not successful, the persistence of SARS-CoV-2 is unlikely to lead to the same shedding of infectious virus as it was shown previously for deer mice (A. Fagre et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.07.25.221291). Deer mice also seem to shed virus through the rectum. However, in bank voles, the SARS-CoV-2 genome could not be detected in the intestines. Although rectal swabs and fecal samples were qRT-PCR positive, the detected Cq values were high, indicating low viral RNA levels.

### Table. Quantitative reverse transcription PCR results of swab sampling for all inoculated and contact bank voles in experimental study of SARS-CoV-2 transmission

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Swab</th>
<th>-1 dpi</th>
<th>2 dpi</th>
<th>4 dpi</th>
<th>8 dpi</th>
<th>12 dpi</th>
<th>16 dpi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Inoculated</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>32.45</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>32.29</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inoculated</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inoculated</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>32.09</td>
<td>28.16</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>31.72</td>
<td>34.03</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>36.54</td>
<td>36.39</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Collected feces</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>36.58</td>
<td>37.66</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Inoculated</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>32.41</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>32.68</td>
<td>34.72</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inoculated</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>30.46</td>
<td>32.54</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>32.30</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>36.67</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inoculated</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>32.72</td>
<td>37.07</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>34.74</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Collected feces</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>36.06</td>
<td>36.65</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Inoculated</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>30.98</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>31.63</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inoculated</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>30.66</td>
<td>34.32</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>34.52</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>34.89</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inoculated</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>32.64</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>35.46</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contact</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Collected feces</td>
<td>Oral</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>36.62</td>
<td>37.02</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rectal</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Positive results are given as quantification cycle values. dpi, days postinoculation; NA, not applicable; Neg, negative; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2."
This study proves a general susceptibility of bank voles toward SARS-CoV-2 infection. However, bank voles did not transmit SARS-CoV-2 to contact animals, making them unlikely to maintain sustainable infection chains in nature. Therefore, the risk of bank voles becoming a reservoir for SARS-CoV-2 in nature (for example, after contact with infected cats) is low.

Acknowledgments

We thank Mareen Lange, Anke Eggert, Bianka Hillmann, Frank Klipp, Doreen Fiedler, and Harald Manthei for their excellent assistance in the lab and dedicated animal care. We are very grateful to Markus Keller for managing the bank vole colony at the Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut and to Roman Wölfel (German Armed Forces Institute of Microbiology) for providing the SARS-CoV-2 isolate used in this study.

This research was supported by intramural funding of the German Federal Ministry of Food and Agriculture provided to the Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut and partial funding from the European Union Horizon 2020 project (Versatile Emerging Infectious Disease Observatory, grant no. 874735).

The experimental protocol was assessed and approved by the ethics committee of the State Office of Agriculture, Food Safety, and Fisheries in Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania (permission no. MV/TSD/7221.3-2-010/18).

About the Author

Mr. Ulrich and Dr. Michelitsch are veterinarians and Mr. Halwe is a biologist at the Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Greifswald–Insel Riems, Germany. Their research interests include pathogenesis and prevention of zoonotic viruses.

References


Address for correspondence: Martin Beer, Institute of Diagnostic Virology, Friedrich-Loeffler-Institut, Südufer 10, 17493 Greifswald–Insel Riems, Germany; email: martin.beer@fli.de
A concerning development during the coronavirus disease pandemic has been multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children. Reports of this condition in East Asia have been limited. In South Korea, 3 cases were reported to the national surveillance system for multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children. All case-patients were hospitalized and survived with no major disease sequelae.

Amid the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic, multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children (MIS-C) has emerged as a major concern globally (1). MIS-C features clinical characteristics that overlap with Kawasaki disease, including high fever, mucocutaneous involvement, and affecting of coronary arteries. Yet, reports of MIS-C have been limited in East Asia countries, where the incidence of Kawasaki disease is high (2).

Although South Korea was one of the countries struck early in the COVID-19 pandemic, spread of the virus there has been relatively contained. However, reports on MIS-C from other countries has necessitated the monitoring of COVID-19–associated MIS-C at the national level. In May 2020, the Korean Society of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Korean Society of Kawasaki Disease, and Korean Society of Pediatric Critical Care Medicine, with support from the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency, created a strategic framework for prospective surveillance of MIS-C in South Korea. In this study, we describe the development of the MIS-C surveillance system and report the clinical characteristics of children meeting the case definition of MIS-C in South Korea.

The Study
First, the Case Assessment Committee (CAC) was established, consisting of 4 pediatric infectious disease specialists, 3 pediatric cardiologists, 3 pediatric intensivists, 1 clinical microbiologist, and 1 epidemiologist. A case reporting form was created, and members of the Korean Pediatric Society (n = 5,891) were contacted to provide assistance with data collection and reporting.

Once a suspected MIS-C case was reported, CAC members quickly assessed whether the case met the clinical criteria for MIS-C case definition. In accordance with the Infectious Disease Control and Prevention Act (chapter 4, article 18), the public health officers then conducted an epidemiologic investigation of all suspected MIS-C cases. For all reported cases, the Korea Disease Control and Prevention Agency performed serologic assays for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), including neutralizing antibody tests and the Anti-SARS-CoV-2 ELISA Assay for detection of IgG (EUROIMMUN, https://www.euroimmun.com). CAC meetings were held on an ad hoc basis for case ascertainment,
treatment consultation, and exchange of knowledge. The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Hospital (approval no. 2012–136–118).

During May–November 2020, a total of 2,287 COVID-19 cases in persons 0–19 years of age were reported (Figure). During the surveillance period, 9 suspected cases of MIS-C were reported to the surveillance system. Of the reported cases, 3 (33%) case-patients had evidence of COVID-19 exposure (positive for SARS-CoV-2 by PCR, SARS-CoV-2 antibody detection, or exposure history), and their illness was assessed as COVID-19–associated MIS-C, which likely occurred 3–4 weeks after the diagnosis of COVID-19 (Table).

The age of case-patients ranged from 11 to 14 years, 2 were boys, and none had preexisting conditions. All case-patients had fever and abdominal symptoms (abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, or diarrhea) at admission. Mucocutaneous symptoms and signs (mucosal changes, skin rash, extremity changes) occurred in 2 patients, and all patients had documented hypotension (<50th percentile, adjusted for age, sex, and height). All case-patients had marked leukocytosis or elevated inflammatory markers. Echocardiography showed coronary artery dilatation (z-scores 1.64–3.98 mm for left coronary arteries), mitral regurgitation, or left ventricular dysfunction. Chest radiography or computed tomography showed pulmonary edema or pleural effusion. Abdominal ultrasound or computed tomography showed mesenteric lymphadenopathies, hyperechoic liver, or hypertrophic gall bladder. All 3 case-patients received intravenous immunoglobulin (IVIg); 1 patient (case 3) received methylprednisolone pulse therapy and immunomodulatory agent (Anakinra) because of persistent hypotension after initial IVIg treatment. Two patients received inotropic agents and required transfer to the intensive care unit (ICU), but no patients required mechanical ventilation. The duration of hospitalization was 10–19 days, and duration of ICU admission was 6–7 days. All 3 patients received aspirin and have survived to date with no major disease sequelae.

Conclusions
We describe MIS-C surveillance results from South Korea, an East Asia country with high incidence of Kawasaki disease. As of December 15, 2020, COVID-19 had been diagnosed in 4,107 children and adolescents 0–19 years of age in South Korea, which translates roughly to 0.07% of all childhood COVID-19 cases reported in South Korea (3). Concern about MIS-C was raised after episodes of increased incidence of Kawasaki-like disease were noted in children after COVID-19 diagnosis in Europe and the United States (4,5). In South Korea, there was no substantial increase in Kawasaki disease–related hospitalizations in 2020 compared with 2016–2019 (6). There might be ethnic differences in susceptibility; only 5% of MIS-C cases in New York (USA) occurred in Asian persons (7). Reports from India (8), Pakistan (9), and Iran (10) underscore the importance of monitoring MIS-C cases; however, surveillance data have not yet been reported for East Asia countries. Alongside genetic susceptibility, the

Figure. Daily number (bars) and cumulative number (line) of COVID-19 cases among children 0–19 years of age, South Korea, May–November 2020. The occurrences of the 3 cases of multisystem inflammatory syndrome are indicated. COVID-19, coronavirus disease; MIS-C, multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children.
background incidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection might play a critical role in the occurrence of MIS-C.

Although estimates of risk for MIS-C after SARS-CoV-2 infection are not yet available, we report a rough estimate in South Korea, where COVID-19 testing is widely accessible (11). Our findings suggest that the incidence of MIS-C is low among children with COVID-19 in this country. However, COVID-19-associated MIS-C might cause serious clinical outcomes requiring ICU care and might require immunomodulatory agents.

All 3 MIS-C case-patients experienced gastrointestinal symptoms, which is consistent with reports from Italy (5), the United States (12), and the United Kingdom (13) that indicate gastrointestinal symptoms appear to be the most prominent

Table. Demographics, clinical features, treatments, and outcomes of the 3 COVID-19–associated MIS-C case-patients, South Korea, May–November 2020*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age, y</strong></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>Boy</td>
<td>Girl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Underlying disease</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical signs and symptoms</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial symptoms</strong></td>
<td>Fever, abdominal pain</td>
<td>Fever, abdominal pain, headache, nausea, vomiting</td>
<td>Fever, abdominal pain, diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conjunctival injection</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucosal change</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin rash</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremity changes</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphadenopathy</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal symptoms</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
<td>Present</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inflammatory markers (peak)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocyte (neutrophil %), 10^9/μL</td>
<td>7.55 (87)</td>
<td>9.55 (82.8)</td>
<td>26.56 (93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ESR, mm/h</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP, mg/L</td>
<td>18.95</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>&gt;30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen, mg/dL</td>
<td>633</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procalcitonin, ng/mL</td>
<td>14.55</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>9.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-dimer, μg/mL</td>
<td>894</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferritin, μg/mL</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>2485</td>
<td>663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-6, pg/mL</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>2410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Abnormal imaging studies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Echocardiography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary dilatation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitral regurgitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary dilatation, left ventricle dysfunction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest radiography or CT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral pleural effusion, pneumonia infiltration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspected pulmonary edema</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal ultrasound or CT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal lymphadenopathy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesenteric lymphadenopathy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Treatment</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVIg</td>
<td>Provided</td>
<td>Provided</td>
<td>Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASA</td>
<td>Provided</td>
<td>Provided</td>
<td>Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steroids</td>
<td>Not provided</td>
<td>Not provided</td>
<td>Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunomodulatory</td>
<td>Not provided</td>
<td>Not provided</td>
<td>Provided (Anakinra)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inotropic agent</td>
<td>Provided</td>
<td>Not provided</td>
<td>Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU care</td>
<td>Provided</td>
<td>Not provided</td>
<td>Provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mechanical ventilator</td>
<td>Not provided</td>
<td>Not provided</td>
<td>Not provided</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcome</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization, d</td>
<td>12 d</td>
<td>10 d</td>
<td>19 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admission, d</td>
<td>6 d</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7 d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prognosis</td>
<td>Improved, discharged</td>
<td>Improved, discharged</td>
<td>Improved, discharged</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MIS-C clinical case definition is as follows: age <19 y, fever ≥38.0°C for ≥24 h, laboratory evidence of inflammation (i.e., elevation of ESR, CRP, fibrinogen, procalcitonin, d-dimer, ferritin, LDH, IL-6, neutrophilia, lymphopenia, hypoalbuminemia), multisystem involvement (≥2 organ systems involved), severe illness requiring hospitalization, and no other plausible microbial cause of inflammation (i.e., bacterial sepsis, staphylococcal/streptococcal toxic shock syndromes, enteroviral myocarditis). Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 exposure history defined as positive SARS-CoV-2 by RT-PCR, positive serology (neutralizing antibody or anti-SARS-CoV-2 IgG), or exposure to individual with COVID-19 ≤4 weeks before onset of symptoms (epidemiologic linkage with individual or cluster). ASA, acetylsalicylic acid; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2; CRP, c-reactive protein; CT, computed tomography; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; ICU, intensive care unit; IL-6, interleukin 6; IVIg, intravenous immunoglobulin; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MIS-C, multisystem inflammatory syndrome in children; NA, not applicable; NT, not tested; RT-PCR, reverse transcription PCR; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2.
clinical manifestation of MIS-C. Gastrointestinal involvement might also be a predictor of severe COVID-19. A systematic review of 83 studies showed that diarrhea (odds ratio 1.50, 95% CI 1.10–2.03; p = 0.01) was observed more often in patients with severe COVID-19 compared with patients with non-severe COVID-19 (14). Previously, syndromic involvement of the gastrointestinal system has been associated with higher risk for IVIg resistance and coronary aneurysms in patients with Kawasaki disease (15). These features indicate the possibility of a mechanism linking gastrointestinal involvement and syndromic features for MIS-C and Kawasaki-like illness, which needs further elucidation.

The first limitation of this study is that, given the intrinsic properties of a passive surveillance system, only a fraction of actual MIS-C cases might have been reported. Pediatricians are more likely to report cases that result in serious conditions; nonetheless, the case definition included hospitalization. Second, a large proportion of SARS-CoV-2 infections in children are asymptomatic; therefore, passive surveillance that relies on the presence of symptoms might underestimate the actual incidence of MIS-C. Despite these limitations, this study suggests that enhanced passive surveillance, including frequent outreach to pediatricians through academic societies, was a manageable scheme to monitor MIS-C in South Korea. Given that the level of SARS-CoV-2 community transmission was low during the surveillance period, passive surveillance was considered a robust plan to capture MIS-C cases at a national level.

Despite the introduction of vaccines, the global COVID-19 pandemic could continue for months. Therefore, surveillance is a critical tool for the detection and evaluation of serious complications in vulnerable population. Our experience offers a possible surveillance model for other countries concerned about COVID-19-associated multisystem inflammatory syndrome. MIS-C surveillance data in South Korea call for enhanced monitoring through syndromic and laboratory-based combination surveillance approaches.
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Since the first human case of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV) was identified in 2012, the World Health Organization has reported 2,494 infections and 858 deaths (case-fatality ratio 34.4%) in persons across 27 countries in the Middle East, Europe, Asia, and North America (1). Dromedary camels (Camelus dromedarius) are the known reservoirs of the virus (2,3). Most human cases result from direct or indirect transmission of virus from camels or human-to-human transmission in healthcare settings; researchers have also documented limited secondary transmission to household contacts (4). Occupational direct contact with camels is a risk factor for primary MERS-CoV infection (5). Camel workers and herders have a 0%-50% seroprevalence of MERS-CoV, generally higher than that of the general population in Saudi Arabia (4,6).

Although infection is widespread among dromedary camels, zoonotic transmission from camels to humans is not directly proportional to potential exposure to infected camels (4,5,7). Although >65% of the world’s dromedary camels live in Africa, on that continent MERS-CoV seroprevalence in humans is low (0.2%), with no documented cases of acute human infection (8,9). Furthermore, studies in the Africa region have identified MERS-CoV RNA in 11%-16% of camels and in 80%-95% of seropositive camels (9-11). To determine whether MERS-CoV infections occur in humans in a region with high seroprevalence among camels, we studied a cohort of 262 camel handlers in Kenya.

The Study
During April 2018–March 2020, we enrolled participants on a rolling basis from 32 camel-owning households in Marsabit County, northern Kenya (Figure 1). We defined a camel handler as any person in the household who had contact with camels (Figure 2). This study was approved by the Scientific and Ethical Review Committee of Kenya Medical Research Institute (approval no. SSC3472), the Institutional Review Board of Washington State University (approval no. 16245), and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (approval no. 7065). We obtained written informed consent from all participants.

We conducted monthly visits with the participants. At each visit, we collected nasopharyngeal and oropharyngeal swab samples from each participant. We also administered a questionnaire to each participating camel handler to identify signs and symptoms of possible respiratory illness during the previous 30 days. In addition, we recorded occurrences of respiratory illness among their household members. Participants belonged to 32 households with a median of 6

Author affiliations: US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Nairobi, Kenya (P.M. Munyua, E. Hunsperger, M.A. Widdowson); University of Nairobi, Nairobi (I. Ngere); Washington State University, Nairobi (I. Ngere, M.K. Njenga); County Government of Marsabit, Marsabit, Kenya (A. Kochi); Kenya Ministry of Health, Nairobi (P. Amoth, A. Mwatondo); Kenya Medical Research Institute, Nairobi (L. Mwasi); US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA (S. Tong, N. Thornburg)

DOI: https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2704.204458

1These senior authors contributed equally to this article.
2Current affiliation: Institute of Tropical Medicine, Antwerp, Belgium.
persons (interquartile range [IQR] 1–8 persons) and 32 camels (IQR 2–48 camels) at enrollment. The median age of these participants was 19 years (IQR 11–38 years). Most (67.2%) participants were male, of whom 39.3% were employed as camel workers and 38.2% were school going household members (Table 1). All participants handled camels. The most frequent interactions were herding (74.4%), cleaning barns (67.9%), feeding (67.6%), and milking (63.7%) (Figure 2).

We stored the swab samples in virus transport media and tested them for MERS-CoV by reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) at the Kenya Medical Research Institute (Nairobi, Kenya) as described previously (12). We conducted real-time RT-PCR selective for the upstream region envelope and 2 distinct regions of nucleocapsid genes on total nucleic acid extracted from 200 µL of sample. We defined a positive sample by positivity of all 3 PCRs.

We tested 1,369 samples from 262 camel handlers during the 2-year follow-up period. Participants had a median of 43.6% of monthly follow-up visits (IQR 8%–75%). Three (1.1%) participants (cases 1–3) tested positive for MERS-CoV by RT-PCR. The cycle threshold (Ct) values for case 1 were 38.9 for the upstream envelope, 37.7 for the nucleocapsid 2, and 39.3 for the nucleocapsid 3 genes; for case 2, the values were 39.7 for the upstream envelope, 36.9 for the nucleocapsid 2, and 39.8 for the nucleocapsid 3 genes; for case 3,
the values were 35.6 for the upstream envelope, 36.0 for the nucleocapsid 2, and 36.8 for the nucleocapsid 3 genes. We detected all 3 cases during July–September 2019 (Table 2).

Case 1 was in a woman 20 years of age who enrolled in June 2019 and had 9 monthly follow-up visits. She participated in the study with 11 other members of the household, all of whom tested negative for MERS-CoV throughout the follow-up period. Case 2 was in a man 49 years of age who enrolled in May 2019 and had 7 monthly follow-up visits. He participated in the study with 6 of his 10 household members; all the participants in his household tested negative for MERS-CoV. Case 3 was in a man 22 years of age who enrolled in May 2018 and had 12 monthly follow-up visits. He participated in the study with 3 of his 9 household members; the participants in his household tested negative for MERS-CoV. None of the 3 with positive results tested positive for MERS-CoV in the subsequent months.

All of the 3 with positive results were asymptomatic at diagnosis and had no concurrent conditions or history of travel outside of the county or country in the previous month. None of them or their household members had respiratory illness before or after diagnosis.

**Conclusions**

We report 3 PCR-confirmed cases of MERS-CoV in humans in Kenya; these cases met the World Health Organization case definition of MERS-CoV infection (13). All 3 persons were asymptomatic before and after diagnosis; this finding supports previous data suggesting that the virus causes no or mild disease in Africa compared with the Middle East and Asia, perhaps because of the younger age of most camel herders in Africa (4,8,9). Our findings are limited by the high Ct values (>35) of all

---

**Table 1.** Characteristics of camel handlers enrolled in a study on Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus, Marsabit, Kenya, 2018–2020*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value, n = 262</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>176 (67.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>86 (32.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;19</td>
<td>131 (50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–39</td>
<td>73 (27.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–59</td>
<td>41 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>17 (6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median participant age, y (IQR)</td>
<td>19 (11–38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work engagement in the previous 30 d</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camel farm worker</td>
<td>103 (39.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary/secondary school student</td>
<td>100 (38.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Housewife</td>
<td>28 (10.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Farm owner</td>
<td>22 (8.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pastoralist</td>
<td>6 (2.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not currently engaged</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retiree</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median household size (IQR)</td>
<td>6 (1–8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median camel herd size (IQR)</td>
<td>32 (2–48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of chronic respiratory symptoms†</td>
<td>5 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of other chronic conditions‡</td>
<td>1 (0.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>History of travel in the previous 5 y</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Past tobacco use</td>
<td>72 (27.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current tobacco use</td>
<td>72 (27.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory symptoms during the 2-year follow-up period</td>
<td>25 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fever</td>
<td>5 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Running nose</td>
<td>39 (14.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cough</td>
<td>25 (9.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal stuffiness</td>
<td>23 (8.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sore throat</td>
<td>16 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>2 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values are no. (%) except as indicated.
†Respiratory symptoms include cough, sore throat, running nose, nasal stuffiness, chest pain.
‡Other chronic medical conditions include diabetes, cancer, liver disease, kidney disease, and tuberculosis.
In conclusion, we confirmed zoonotic transmission of MERS-CoV from camels to handlers in Kenya. Focused surveillance is needed to detect these rare infections when they occur.
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Table 2. Characteristics of persons with Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus, Kenya, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age, y/sex</th>
<th>Occupation</th>
<th>No. household members in study</th>
<th>No. of camels owned by household</th>
<th>Enrollment date</th>
<th>Diagnosis date</th>
<th>Type of camel contact at time of positive sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>20/F</td>
<td>Spouse to herder</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>2019 Jun 23</td>
<td>2019 Jul 31</td>
<td>Herding, milking, feeding, petting/playing, grooming, cleaning barn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>49/M</td>
<td>Herder</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2019 May 21</td>
<td>2019 Aug 13</td>
<td>Herding, milking, feeding, grooming, cleaning barn, administering medications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>22/M</td>
<td>Herder</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2018 May 2</td>
<td>2019 Sep 12</td>
<td>Herding, milking, feeding, grooming, cleaning barn, assisting in birth</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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cases, a level which some experts might not consider to be positive. However, because these cases had Ct values <40 for 3 distinct MERS-CoV genes, we feel confident that these are unlikely to be false positive results. Researchers have observed low upper respiratory tract RNA concentrations in asymptomatic patients and contacts of MERS-CoV patients (14). In contrast to studies conducted in the Middle East, we found no evidence of human-to-human transmission; a total of 20 household members of the 3 patients tested negative for MERS-CoV before and after their household member’s diagnosis. However, we might have missed some infections that occurred between follow-up visits. Furthermore, not all household members were enrolled in the study. In addition, serologic assessment of MERS-CoV T-cell responses might detect mild and asymptomatic MERS-CoV cases (15). Finally, the low (0.2%) seroprevalence among participants who had high exposure to camel herds with MERS-CoV circulation suggest a low level of zoonotic camel-to-human transmission. We previously found no antibodies against MERS-CoV in camel herders despite high seroprevalence among camels in this community (9).
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Emergence and Polyclonal Dissemination of OXA-244–Producing *Escherichia coli*, France

Cécile Emeraud, Delphine Girlich, Rémy A. Bonnin, Agnès B. Jousset, Thierry Naas, Laurent Dortet

Since 2016, OXA-244–producing *Escherichia coli* has been increasingly isolated in France. We sequenced 97 OXA-244–producing *E. coli* isolates and found a wide diversity of sequence types and a high prevalence of sequence type 38. Long-read sequencing demonstrated the chromosomal location of bla\textsubscript{OXA-244} inside the entire or truncated Tn51098.

Carbapenems are the last line antimicrobial drugs for treating infections caused by multidrug-resistant *Enterobacterales*. The global dissemination of carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacterales* (CPE; formerly known as carbapenemase-producing *Enterobacteriaceae*) pose a serious threat to public health (1). Oxacillin (OXA) 244, a single amino-acid variant of OXA-48 (Arg-222-Gly) (2), is an emerging carbapenemase variant in several countries in Europe (3–7).

During 2013–2019, the French National Reference Center received a continuously increasing number of OXA-244–producing isolates for antimicrobial resistance (AMR) testing. OXA-244–producing isolates increased from 0 in 2012 to 72 in 2019. In France, OXA-244–producing *Enterobacteriaceae* represent 2.4% of all CPE and represented 3.4% of OXA-48–like producing CPE in 2019 (8). In addition, this tendency might represent only a fraction of OXA-244–producing *Enterobacteriaceae* because this variant is difficult to detect on CPE screening media due to the low hydrolytic activity of this carbapenemase (8). OXA-244 is found mainly in *Escherichia coli* isolates (6).

The **Study**

During 2016–2019, the French National Reference Center identified 97 OXA-244–producing *E. coli* isolates. We performed WGS on all isolates by using the HiSeq (Illumina Inc., https://www.illumina.com) sequencing platform (GenBank accession nos. in Appendix 1 Table, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4459-App1.xlsx). We performed in silico multilocus sequence typing (MLST) by using the MLST 2.0 server (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/MLST). We identified 12 different sequence types (STs); the 5 most prevalent were ST38 (n = 37), ST361 (n = 17), ST69 (n = 12), ST167 (n = 11), and ST10 (n = 8) (Figure 1). Among OXA-244–producing *E. coli* isolates, the prevalence of ST38 rose from 12% in 2016 and to 47% in 2019.

On all 97 genomes of OXA-244–producing *E. coli*, we used a core genome single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP)–based approach to create a phylogenetic tree by using CSPhylogeny (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/CSPhylogeny). To identify clades within STs, we performed a nested phylogenetic analysis with isolates of each ST to construct a SNP matrix. Isolates within the same clade would be highly suggestive of patient-to-patient cross-transmission of the same strain. We considered 2 strains to be part of the same clade if they were separated by <100 SNPs along their common genome
Figure 1. Phylogenetic relationship and geographic distribution of the 97 OXA-244–producing *Escherichia coli* isolates recovered in France, 2016–2019. Inset map shows regions of France; colors correspond to areas from which OXA-244–producing *E. coli* isolates were collected. The phylogenetic tree was constructed using C5IPhylogeny version 1.4 (https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/C5IPhylogeny). Scale bar indicates nucleotide substitutions per site. ND, not detected; OXA, oxacillin; ST, sequence type.
We identified large clades corresponding to clonal dissemination of a single strain in the same area, including 5/12 isolates of ST167 and 15/17 isolates of ST361. We identified ≤7 different clades of ST38, and most (30/37) isolates belonged to the same clade (Appendix 2 Figure). However, these 30 ST38 isolates were collected in 9 different areas of France and 25 were isolated during 2018–2019 (Figure 1).

Because assembly of regions with repeated sequences was difficult with Illumina WGS data, we sequenced some isolates by using long read nanopore technology by using a MinIon (Oxford Nanopore, https://nanoporetech.com) sequencer (10). We performed WGS on 3 isolates belonging to the most prevalent STs: isolate

---

**Figure 2.** Genetic relationship and environment of \( \text{bla}_{\text{OXA-244}} \) genes identified in *Escherichia coli* isolates collected during 2016–2019, France. A) Schematic representation of the close genetic context of \( \text{bla}_{\text{OXA-244}} \) genes (A–H) identified in *E. coli*. Arrows indicate direction of the genes. Yellow indicates chromosomal genes; purple indicates mobile elements; red indicates \( \beta \)-lactamase genes; green indicates toxin–antitoxin genes; blue indicates genes with known function; and gray indicates hypothetical proteins. B) The relationship between the close genetic environment of \( \text{bla}_{\text{OXA-244}} \) gene and the year of isolation. OXA, oxacillin.
We constructed the different genetic environments of blaOXA-244 gene in the 3 isolates by combining data obtained by both WGS technologies, we reconstructed the different genetic environments of blaOXA-244 gene and annotated the assembled sequences by using CLC Genomics Workbench version 12.0 software (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com).

We detected 8 different genetic environments in our collection (Figure 2, panel A). Among the 97 E. coli isolates, 37 (38.1%) possessed the blaOXA-244 gene in Tn51098, a previously described transposon (2,11) (Figure 2, panel A). Among the other 60 (61.9%) isolates, we found blaOXA-244 in the shorter form of Tn51098 (2,933–20,012 bp) (Figure 2, panel A). The blaOXA-244 gene still was systematically included in a truncated Tn1999.2 (ΔTn1999.2), as described in E. coli VAL (2). For 44.3% of isolates, the remnant Tn51098 was reduced in size (42 isolates with genetic environment G and 1 with genetic environment H) (Figure 2, panel A). We noted, the blaOXA-244 gene was included in a ΔTn1999.2 where the lysR gene was truncated by the IS1IR element. Of the 42 isolates sharing the genetic environment G, 32 (76.1%) belonged to ST38. By separating the type of genetic environment according to the date of isolation, we noticed that the short forms were isolated during 2018–2019 (38/44 strains, 86%) (Figure 2, panel B).

**Discussion**

Dissemination of ST38 OXA-244–producing E. coli has been observed in many countries in Europe (3–7) and a few other countries around the world (12,13). However, most of these studies focused on ST38. Our results confirm the phenomenon of OXA-244–producing E. coli isolates in France because 38% of isolates in our study belonged to ST38. In addition, we observed an increased number of ST38 isolates during 2018–2019. Phylogenetic analysis identified a substantial clade inside ST38 (Appendix 2 Figure), but massive dissemination of this clone in France likely does not correspond to cross-transmission of a single strain in different areas. The few SNP differences identified among ST38 isolates suggest this clade emerged recently. Accordingly, inside this compact ST38, the <100 SNP cutoff used to discriminate between 2 clades might be lowered because it was recently described for another high-risk clone, Klebsiella pneumoniae carbapenemase–producing K. pneumoniae ST258 (14).

The other common STs noted in our study are ST361, ST167, ST69 and ST10. In Europe, OXA-244–producing E. coli of ST69, ST167, and ST361 have been reported in Denmark (6), and ST69 and ST10 in Switzerland. Unlike what we observed with ST38, clones observed inside ST167 and ST361 mostly correlate with the same geographic area suggesting patient-to-patient cross-transmission.

As previously described for ST38 E. coli VAL (2), we demonstrated the chromosomal location of the blaOXA-244 gene in 3 isolates belonging to the 3 main STs, ST38, ST361, and ST69. The chromosomal localization of blaOXA-244 together with the intrinsic lower hydrolytic activity of OXA-244, compared with OXA-48, contribute to the difficulties in accurately detecting OXA-244–producing E. coli using classical screening media (8,15), suggesting a large underestimation of the real spread of OXA-244 producers.

In 2013, the blaOXA-244 gene initially was reported to be embedded in a 21,852-bp transposon Tn51098, which contains ΔTn1999.2 (2). This structure still is present in 38.1% of OXA-244–producing E. coli. To our knowledge, Tn51098 is the sole genetic structure reported for blaOXA-244. In our collection, blaOXA-244 was embedded in truncated forms of Tn51098 in most isolates. Of note, in most (86.5%) ST38 OXA-244–producing E. coli the close genetic context of the blaOXA-244 gene was reduced to a small 3,310-bp fragment matching Tn51098 and corresponding to a truncated form of the Tn1999.2. In addition, the most recently collected isolates possess short versions of the Tn19998 compared with the isolates collected earlier (Figure 2, panel B). The effect on the clonal dissemination of this genome reduction around blaOXA-244 gene (e.g., better fitness) remains undetermined. Further analysis on the blaOXA-244 close genetic environment could elucidate the effects of this genome reduction.
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Ticks

Ticks transmit a variety of different pathogens including bacteria, protozoa, and viruses which can produce serious and even fatal disease in humans and animals. Tens of thousands of cases of tickborne disease are reported each year, including Lyme disease. See the EID Lyme Disease Spotlight. Lyme disease is the most well-known tickborne disease. However, other tickborne illnesses such as Rocky Mountain spotted fever, tularemia, babesiosis, and ehrlichiosis also contribute to severe morbidity and more mortality each year.

Symptoms of tickborne disease are highly variable, but most include sudden onset of fever, headache, malaise, and sometimes rash. If left untreated, some of these diseases can be rapidly fatal.
A fatal case of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever (CCHF) detected in Spain in 2018 was caused by a different genotype, a reassortant virus, than the genotype of a previous case detected in 2016. This unexpected variability contrasts with the situation in other CCHF-endemic countries. Because CCHF is a zoonotic disease and animal migratory routes between Europe and Africa usually pass through Spain, data about genetic sequences are crucial for monitoring infections in humans, developing suitable detection tools, and providing information about the dynamics of virus circulation and spread.

The Case
On July 31, 2018, a 74-year-old man sought care at Nuestra Señora de Sonsoles Hospital (Ávila, Spain) with fever (39.2°C), pain in the sacroiliac area, chills, shivering, and a feeling of dizziness without loss of consciousness. No relevant physical findings or analytical parameters were detected (Table 1). While in the hospital, the patient remained stable and in good general condition. He was discharged for observation at home, afebrile, with a diagnosis of febrile syndrome with bacteremia and a prescription of amoxicillin/clavulanic acid and instructions to take acetaminophen if fever redeveloped.

On August 4, the man returned to the hospital with general discomfort and no improvement. He reported increased stools that he (a retired physician) assumed were associated with the antimicrobial drug and reported probably having been bitten by a tick while participating in boar hunting on July 24 in Helchosa de los Montes (Badajoz, Spain) (Figure 1). The patient had skinned the boar and came into close contact with abundant blood. Physical examination on his return to the hospital was unremarkable, but because of persistent symptoms and the appearance of petechiae and thrombocytopenia, the man was hospitalized for laboratory testing (Table 1) and imaging.

On August 7, infection with CCHF virus (CCHFV) was considered. The patient progressively worsened and died at the end of the day. On August 8, a blood sample was collected into an EDTA tube and sent to the National Center for Microbiology (Madrid, Spain) for CCHFV diagnostic testing. The sample was inactivated in the Biosafety Level 3 facility by using a QIAamp viral RNA kit (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com) and after addition of ethanol was sent to the Biosafety Level 2 facility. Diagnostic testing was performed by using a RealStar CCHFV RT-PCR Kit 1.0 (Altona Diagnostics, https://altona-diagnostics.com), and CCHFV infection was confirmed by 2 methods: reverse transcription PCR (1) (slightly modified to incorporate an internal control for amplification) and a nested reverse transcription PCR (2). Results were further confirmed by a World Health Organization Collaborating Center (Public Health England, London, UK).

Molecular and serologic virus detection testing was also performed on additional serum samples. At
6 days after symptom onset, the patient’s viral load (standards kindly provided by Altona Diagnostics) was >10^8 copies/mL. Specific IgG and IgM against CCHFV were detected by using a commercial indirect immunofluorescence assay (Crimean-Congo Fever Virus Mosaic 2 IFA; Euroimmun, https://www.euroimmun.com), according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Table 2). IgM was detected on day 6 and IgG on day 7, both at very low titters.

Sequencing of small (S), medium (M), and large (L) segments was performed by using primers previously described (3). Complete genome sequences were obtained (GenBank accession nos. MN689738 [S segment], MN689740 [M segment], and MN689741 [L segment]).

Phylogenetic analyses with neighbor-joining and Bayesian (Figure 2) approaches that used MEGA7 (https://www.megasoftware.net) and the Beauti/Beast 1.75 package (https://beast.community) programs show similar results. The strain, Badajoz 2018, belongs to genotype III if the L and M segments are analyzed; however, the S segment

### Table 1. Serial hematologic and biochemical parameters, vital signs, and treatments administered for Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever patient, Spain, 2018*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Jul 31</th>
<th>Aug 4</th>
<th>Aug 5</th>
<th>Aug 6</th>
<th>Aug 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hematologic parameters</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin, g/dL</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit, %</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytes, × 10^3 cells/mm^3</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils, × 10^3 cells/mm^3</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytes, × 10^3 cells/mm^3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets, × 10^3/mm^3</td>
<td>229</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal normalized ratio</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin time, s</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prothrombin activity, %</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial thromboplastin time, s</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>Not coagulable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional fibrinogen, mg/dL</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-dimer, ng/mL</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>1123</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>1781</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Biochemical parameters** | | | | | |
| Aspartate aminotransferase, U/L | 20 | 197 | 527 | 961 | 3,129 |
| Alanine aminotransferase, U/L | 9 | 52 | 155 | 269 | 755 |
| Bilirubin, mg/dL | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.9 |
| Gamma-glutamyl transferase, U/L | 22 | 229 | 303 | 388 | 545 |
| Alkaline phosphatase, U/L | 43 | 187 | 289 | 456 | 679 |
| Lactate dehydrogenase, U/L | 172 | 1,017 | 1,188 | 1,801 | 3,864 |
| Creatinine, mg/dL | 0.83 | 0.6 | 0.96 | 0.8 | 0.77 |
| Sodium, mmol/L | 138 | 136 | 134 | 134 | 137 |
| Potassium, mmol/L | 4.3 | 5.4 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.9 |
| Ionic calcium, mmol/L | 1.1 | NT | 1.15 | 1.06 | 1.06 |
| Albumin, g/dL | 3.9 | NT | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 |
| Glucose, mg/dL | 83 | 87 | 97 | 100 | 117 |
| Uric acid, mg/dL | NT | NT | NT | 3.7 | NT |
| C-reactive protein, mg/dL | 0.51 | 1.77 | NT | 3.77 | NT |
| Procalcitonin, ng/L | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.63 | NT | NT |
| Bicarbonate, mmol/L | 23.2 | NT | 21.6 | 20.8 | 20 |
| Lactate, mmol/L | 1.1 | 3 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 2.5 |
| Ferritin, ng/dL | NT | NT | NT | >40,000 | NT |
| Ammonia, μmol/L | NT | NT | NT | NT | 60 |
| Spontaneous urine protein, g/L | Neg | 1.4 | NT | NT | NT |
| Erythrocytes in urine, cells/μL | Neg | 50 | NT | NT | NT |

| **Vital signs** | | | | | |
| Temperature, °C | 39.2 | 38.3 | 37.1 | 37.2 | 37.1 |
| Blood pressure, mm Hg | 122/59 | 116/66 | 110/65 | 100/60 | 90/45 |
| Heart rate, beats/min | 110 | 87 | 70 | 76 | 89 |

| **Treatments received, IV** | | | | | |
| Physiologic serum | N | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Doxycycline | N | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Piperacillin–tazobactam | N | Y | Y | Y | Y |
| Levofloxacin | N | Y | N | N | Y |
| Platelets, 1 pool | N | Y | N | N | Y |
| Vitamin K | N | N | N | N | Y |
| Tranexamic acid | N | Y | N | N | Y |
| Fresh frozen plasma | N | N | N | N | Y |
| Methylprednisolone | N | Y | Y | Y | Y |

*IV, intravenous; neg, negative; N, not collected/administered that day; NT, not tested, Y, yes, collected/administered that day.
is closely related to sequences of genotype IV and shares the highest identity with the strains BT 958 (92.62%) from Central African Republic and IbAn7620 (92.58%) from Nigeria.

Conclusions
Phylogenetic analysis of the virus responsible for a fatal case of CCHF in 2018 showed reassortment, indicating a new CCHFV circulating in Spain. The patient was probably infected by a tick bite obtained while hunting. The incubation period for this patient was longer (7 days) than that typical after a tick bite (1-3 days) (6); however, the patient also participated in skinning the boar. The geographic location of the hunting site is very close to a natural park, bordering regions where the CCHFV genome has been detected in ticks (7) (Figure 1). After returning home, the patient felt ill, but CCHFV was not suspected until 7 days after symptoms appeared, just before he died. No specific treatment was administered. Despite the hospital being located within the region where the first case of CCHFV in Spain was detected, clinician awareness was not high enough to suspect CCHFV infection, partially because the patient diverted attention away from his possible contact with ticks or infected animals. The analytical parameters and the microbiological data are in accordance with described parameters for CCHFV in patients who have died (8), although partial thromboplastin time (and not prothrombin time) was altered in the final hemorrhagic phase, in contrast with parameters for the 2016 CCHF patient in Spain (2).

Figure 1. Regions where human infections with Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus (CCHFV) or infected ticks have been found in Spain. 1, CCHFV hyperendemic focus; 2, human infected by a tick bite in 2016 (Ávila); 3, human infected by a tick bite in 2018 (Badajoz). Red circle indicates area where infected ticks were detected during a surveillance study in 2016.

Table 2. Microbiological test results for Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever patient, Spain, August 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Result</th>
<th>Days after symptom onset</th>
<th>Viral load, copies/mL</th>
<th>C&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>GPC C</th>
<th>IgG</th>
<th>GPC N</th>
<th>IgM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.82 × 10&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.54 × 10&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Pos (1/40)</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Pos (1/10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood in EDTA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.58 × 10&lt;sup&gt;7&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>NT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*C<sub>t</sub>, cycle threshold; GPC, glycoprotein C; N, nucleoprotein; neg, negative; NT, not tested; pos, positive.
Sequence analysis revealed circulation of a CCHFV very different genetically than the one previously described in Spain in humans or ticks (2,3,9,10). Complete sequences of viruses detected in humans (2016) and in ticks (2014) have indicated circulation of genotype III viruses, but the virus detected in 2018 is a reassortant in the S segment. Badajoz 2018 L and M segments group within genotype III (with sequences quite different than other sequences from Spain) and the S segment is similar to genotype IV. This S segment is close to the IbAn 7620 strain, isolated from the serum of a goat in 1965 in Nigeria, and the BT 958 strain from the Central African Republic, detected in 1975 and considered by Lukashev et al. (11) as an outlier of genotype IV. Genotype IV is formed by 2 genetic lineages, Asia 1 and Asia 2. Because the differences of Badajoz 2018 and related sequences with the Asia strains of genotype IV are remarkable, obtaining more related sequences from Spain or Africa may enable us to split this genotype into other genetic lineages by defining new genetic groups, probably with an origin in Africa. To date, this new genetic lineage contains sequences from 3 geographic regions detected 3 times.

The sequencing results showing 2 virus genetic lineages circulating in Spain indicate that at least 2 introductions have occurred. This situation seems to be distinct from that of the Balkans region, where only 1 virus was introduced from Asia and the virus causing human cases has remained genetically stable for decades (11). In fact, circulation of different variants of 1 virus in a small region where it is hyperendemic (Figure 1) in Spain in different years has been described (10), showing that the variability of CCHFV in Spain deserves special attention and efforts to get more sequence information.

Because of the high pathogenicity of CCHFV, a detailed medical history of the patient, including travel history and possible risk factors, is crucial for prompt diagnosis to ensure that appropriate infection control measures can be implemented in a timely manner. For the patient that we report, lack of immediate information regarding the tick bite in combination with the nonspecific initial symptoms meant...
that CCHF was not suspected until day 8 of illness. The public health services performed contact tracing to identify all persons exposed, and none contracted symptomatic CCHF. This case and the description of a new virus do not modify the risk for infection by CCHFV in Spain. Risk is still considered low, although clinicians at hospitals and general practitioners need to be alert to the possibility of new cases.
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High Case-Fatality Rate for Human Anthrax, Northern Ghana, 2005–2016

Jason K. Blackburn, Ernest Kenu, Franklin Asiedu-Bekoe, Badu Sarkodie, Ian T. Kracalik,1 William A. Bower, Robyn A. Stoddard, Rita M. Traxler

The human cutaneous anthrax case-fatality rate is ≈1% when treated, 5%–20% when untreated. We report high case-fatality rates (median 35.0%; 95% CI 21.1%–66.7%) during 2005–2016 linked to livestock handling in northern Ghana, where veterinary resources are limited. Livestock vaccination and access to human treatment should be evaluated.

Despite being one of the earliest diseases described, anthrax, caused by infection with the bacterium Bacillus anthracis, remains a global public health concern, especially in resource-limited, rural agricultural areas, including West Africa (1). Cutaneous anthrax, the most common human form, is readily treatable with antimicrobials and has a case-fatality rate (CFR; annual anthrax deaths/annual anthrax cases) ≈1%. Left untreated, CFR increases to 5%–20% for cutaneous anthrax and 25%–60% for gastrointestinal anthrax (1,2). However, Ghana has a history of human anthrax associated with high CFRs. One study reported that nearly 1,000 persons died from anthrax in Ghana during 1980–2000 (3). Most cases occurred in northern Ghana and were attributed to spillover from infected livestock. A 2017 study modeling the geographic distribution of anthrax risk in Ghana corroborated those findings and identified Northern, Savannah, Upper West, North East, and Upper East regions (using the current nomenclature for regions) as the areas of greatest risk for anthrax persistence in livestock and associated risk to humans (4). A 2000 study (3) focusing on Tamale, a major livestock production and trading center in northern Ghana, identified behaviors that increased risk for acquiring anthrax infection. These behaviors included neglecting livestock vaccination despite local vaccine production and availability, slaughtering, butchering, and distributing the meat from livestock that were sick or had died from anthrax, and believing that cooking the meat with specific herbs makes it safe to consume. In addition, the use of untested herbal or holistic treatments to cure anthrax has increased CFR; use of plants to treat anthrax has also been described in Nigeria (5).

Whereas human and livestock anthrax outbreaks are reported nearly annually in Ghana (3,4), most available studies are dated or limited to the distribution of human cases within specific communities or over short time periods (6). Here we describe human anthrax cases and resulting deaths reported across northern Ghana during 2005–2016.

The Study

We obtained data for the study through a One Health collaboration of the Ghanaian Ministries of Statistics, Health and Veterinary Services, and Agriculture, as part of anthrax surveillance capacity building aimed at integrating livestock and human reporting (4). Data included field reports not previously aggregated in national reports, reviews of national reports filed with the appropriate ministries, and outbreak investigations captured in gray literature or peer-reviewed articles. We used livestock data published elsewhere (4,7). Ethics approval was received by the Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research in Accra.

Human anthrax cases were reported by district in Upper East region and a single district in Northern region. Data from Upper East were limited to aggregated annual counts per district for 2005–2015; limited line list data were available for 2016. Case-patient age and sex were available in Upper East for a subset of years, 2008–2014; other regions included...
human anthrax fatalities by month and year during 2005–2016. Deaths were aggregated by month and by year for comparison to livestock reports. No information was available on the form of infection (e.g., cutaneous, gastrointestinal).

We calculated CFRs and exact binomial CIs using the epitools package in the R software package (The R Project for Statistical Computing, https://www.r-project.org). We calculated median CFRs with bias-corrected and accelerated CIs with 10,000 replicates using the boot package in R. Because Upper East data were more complete, we calculated CFRs by district and year.

During 2005–2016, a total of 38 human deaths from anthrax were reported in Ghana, 30 from Upper East and 8 from Northern regions. For 8 cases with month of occurrence documented, deaths were reported in March, April, June, and December. Four deaths occurred during March and April, and in the Upper East, corresponding to seasonal peaks and geographic concentrations of livestock anthrax (4,7).

District-level data reported 30 (36.1%) deaths from 83 human anthrax cases in Upper East, including 1 district, West Mamprusi (now part of North East; Figure). During 2005–2016, Bawku West reported outbreaks in 4 years, Talensi Nabdam in 3 years, and other districts in 1 year. Cases of human anthrax peaked in 2006, 2008, and 2014 (Table). One study reported 43 livestock anthrax outbreaks in Northern region during 2005–2012; in 6 (14.0%) of those outbreaks, human cases were reported (8). From the 28 cases of cutaneous human anthrax in those 6 outbreaks, 6 (22.2%) persons died. However, neither case counts nor mortality rates could be directly associated with other reported livestock outbreaks. This disconnection of data on human anthrax cases from livestock outbreaks has been documented elsewhere (9). In data from Upper East with information on the age and sex of case-patients, 75.6% (31/41) of patients were men, consistent with rates in a previous report (6). Of the 31 men, 48.4% (15/31) died compared with 40.0% (4/10) of women. For all cases with age data, median age was 38 years (range 7–81 years) for men and 39.5 years (range 4–61 years) for women.

**Conclusions**

We found CFRs from recurrent anthrax outbreaks associated with human deaths in northern Ghana exceeded expected global CFRs for treated and untreated cutaneous anthrax and were likely untreated gastrointestinal anthrax. Evidence of the consumption of anthrax-contaminated meat in the region...

**Table.** Annual human mortality by district for Upper East region and a single district from what is now North East region (formerly part of Northern region), Ghana, 2006–2016

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region and district</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Deaths</th>
<th>Case-fatality rate (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Upper East</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bawku West</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22.2 (8.6–42.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>66.7 (9.4–99.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>85.7 (42.1–99.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100.0 (2.5–100.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garu-Tempane</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30.0 (6.7–65.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talensi Nabdam</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16.7 (0.4–64.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22.2 (2.8–60.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>60.0 (26.2–87.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bongo</td>
<td>2012</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>40.0 (5.3–85.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North East*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West Mamprusi</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20.0 (0.5–71.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Current region for this district.
suggests gastrointestinal anthrax is probably under-recognized in Ghana (1,2,10). The very high death rates may indicate that gastrointestinal anthrax is frequent in Ghana (1), which is supported by findings regarding beliefs in the same area about what meat is safe to consume (3). A study in Tamale, Ghana, found that livestock dying from anthrax are considered a ready source of meat for local community members, potentially leading to high human case numbers from relatively few animals (3). More education about the risks of consuming meat from animals that die suddenly is needed to counteract this belief.

The form of anthrax in cases identified here was undocumented. However, CFRs for human anthrax in Ghana were higher than for outbreaks in Thailand (CFR = 4%) and Zambia (CFR = 19%) involving gastrointestinal anthrax (10,11). High anthrax CFRs highlight 2 challenges. First, access to treatment may be limited, requiring long-distance travel in some settings (11). Second, anthrax underreporting is common, particularly for gastrointestinal anthrax because symptoms are atypical. There is insufficient awareness among healthcare providers and limited diagnostic capacity in rural endemic areas; anthrax should be included in differential diagnoses.

Although not captured in the data here, 2 deaths in March 2016 in Ghana were reported as suspected anthrax in the human health reporting system but could not be laboratory confirmed (data not shown). Both were reported as anthrax based on clinical signs and an epidemiologic link to livestock clinically diagnosed by the veterinary reporting system. This incident, coupled with findings from our analyses, illustrates the need for joint evaluation of records and uniformity in case definitions between human and animal reporting systems.

Early treatment is crucial for recovery from anthrax; prophylactic antimicrobial drugs are recommended for persons with known or suspected exposure to anthrax-contaminated meat or livestock. Livestock vaccination remains the most effective control method for reducing anthrax burden in livestock and humans (12,13); however, minimal vaccination uptake persists because of beliefs about its effectiveness and limited veterinary services and because underreporting associated with diagnostic capacity hinders vaccination campaigns. Recent models prioritized geographic areas for prevention and control (1,4).

Our findings highlight the need for education about the risks of consuming meat from sick or dead animals and the benefits of livestock vaccination, increased healthcare provider awareness, and evaluation of accessibility to anthrax treatment. These findings support ongoing efforts in Ghana to coordinate human and livestock anthrax reporting and to improve and expand diagnostic capacity.
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Large-scale vaccination of risk groups and later the general population is the single most effective public health measure for mitigation of the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. National COVID-19 vaccination programs started during December 2020 in several countries and prioritized healthcare workers (HCWs) (1). In some countries the vaccination programs coincided with a surge in detected COVID-19 cases and increased burden on the healthcare system (2).


Sheba Medical Center is a large hospital with 9,069 staff members in Ramat-Gan, Israel. The hospital started its personnel vaccination program on December 20, 2020, and excluded workers who had recovered from COVID-19. During the first week of the campaign, 4,081 (45%) eligible staff members received the first dose of BNT162b2. Concurrently, the national COVID-19 positivity rate rapidly increased to >6% on January 3, 2021 (2).

The Study
The hospital’s Infection Prevention and Control Unit conducted active and passive surveillance of vaccinated staff by using daily health questionnaires, hotlines, on-call infectious disease unit staff, and post-vaccination web-based questionnaires to identify and test symptomatic HCWs. Among 4,081 HCWs vaccinated in the first week of the campaign, 22 (0.54%) later had laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 (Table). The average age among COVID-19–positive vaccinated HCWs was 45.3 years (±9.85 years), and they belonged to different healthcare sectors and worked on various wards.

Among the 22 vaccinated HCWs who tested positive for COVID-19, 13 were tested because they had symptoms, most commonly an influenza-like illness that included fever, chills, cough, headache, myalgia, and sore throat. Two vaccinated HCWs were tested because of exposure to confirmed or suspected COVID-19 cases yet reported symptoms upon questioning. Asymptomatic COVID-19 cases were identified among HCWs as part of postexposure screening. Among the 22 COVID-19–positive HCWs, 11 had presumable community-related exposures, 4 of whom reported exposure incidents that occurred before or on the date of vaccination. An investigation conducted by the hospital’s Infection Control and Prevention Unit identified 10 healthcare-related secondary exposures. However, we did not identify any point-source exposures or COVID-19 clusters linked to the immunization process.

Among the 11 vaccinated HCWs who reported COVID-19 symptoms, the median time between the first dose of BNT162b2 immunization and symptom onset was 3.5 (range 0–10) days; we excluded 1 vaccinee from our calculation and analysis because the HCW had symptoms before immunization (Table). The median time between the onset of symptoms and testing was 1 day, demonstrating the high level of suspicion for COVID-19 during the vaccination campaign.

Of note, apart from the need for early detection, persons who test positive for COVID-19 after receiving the first vaccine dose (whether asymptomatic and tested following exposure or tested because they are symptomatic) are not eligible to receive the second dose, according to Ministry of Health policy. However, depending on availability of vaccines, this policy might change when further data are collected.

These authors equally contributed to the study.
Conclusions
COVID-19 in HCWs is a major concern for health authorities worldwide. HCWs, especially acute and chronic care facility personnel, are at high risk for contracting symptomatic and asymptomatic COVID-19 and might become infected at home or nosocomially while caring for patients or interacting with other staff members (5–7). Infections among HCWs have an immediate effect on their close occupational environment and the overall healthcare system. Secondary exposures, isolation, and infections of staff can substantially impair the capacity of a single ward to care for patients, creating a snowball effect with collateral damage to both the functional resilience of the facility and morale of staff. Consequently, as soon as COVID-19 vaccines were deployed in Israel, HCWs were the first group to receive it.

We report 22 cases of early, postimmunization, laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 among HCWs during the launch of the vaccination campaign in a large hospital in Israel. BNT162b2 is not likely to exert protection against clinical disease during the first days after receipt of the first dose. Efficacy of the BNT162b was 52% a week after the first dose, and positive COVID-19 cases were described among vaccinees even early after the second dose (8). Thus, during a large-scale immunization campaign coinciding with rapid national increase in COVID-19 cases, some immunized persons likely will develop clinical disease.

The co-occurrence of vaccination deployment with the rapidly climbing COVID-19 spread in many parts of the world is a confusing period in which hope is mixed with great vulnerability. The phenomenon of pandemic fatigue, in which the population tires of constant safety precautions, testing, isolation, and restrictions, could lead to less social distancing and personal protection. Pandemic fatigue coupled with the availability of a vaccine, might give the population a false sense of reassurance and consequently lead to a brisk increase in COVID-19 cases. Thus, almost every physical complaint after vaccination poses a true diagnostic dilemma as to whether an adverse reaction or a new COVID-19 infection is the cause. Undetected COVID-19 cases among HCWs could be hazardous for patients and other staff.

Table. Coronavirus disease cases among healthcare workers in the early postvaccination period, Israel, December 20, 2020–January 2, 2021*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case no.</th>
<th>Age, y/sex</th>
<th>Ward</th>
<th>Healthcare sector</th>
<th>Indication for testing</th>
<th>Presumed exposure source</th>
<th>Exposure day</th>
<th>Day of symptom onset†</th>
<th>Day tested</th>
<th>No. days from symptom onset to testing</th>
<th>No. secondary isolations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42/F</td>
<td>General surgery</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>Excluded‡</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>54/F</td>
<td>Transportation</td>
<td>Geriatrics Nurse</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>34/M</td>
<td>Cardiovascular surgery</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>31/F</td>
<td>Psychiatry</td>
<td>Cleaning services</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>+1</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>49/F</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>Laundry handler</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>−3</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>43/F</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>Scientist Nurse assistant</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>−3</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>43/F</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>60/F</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>50/F</td>
<td>Eye clinic</td>
<td>Psychologist</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>33/M</td>
<td>Operating room</td>
<td>Pulmonology Nurse</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>36/M</td>
<td>Operating room</td>
<td>Pulmonology Physician</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>+7</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>54/M</td>
<td>Pulmonology</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>+7</td>
<td>+7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>37/M</td>
<td>ED</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>+3</td>
<td>+7</td>
<td>+9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>32/M</td>
<td>Rehabilitation</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>+9</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>40/M</td>
<td>Laboratory</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>52/F</td>
<td>Radiotherapy</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Asymp</td>
<td>+5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>55/F</td>
<td>General surgery</td>
<td>Phlebotomist</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Asymp</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>55/F</td>
<td>Kitchen</td>
<td>Food handler</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>Asymp</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>61/F</td>
<td>Kitchen</td>
<td>Physician</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>+4</td>
<td>Asymp</td>
<td>+11</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>40/F</td>
<td>Internal medicine</td>
<td>Secretary</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>+6</td>
<td>Asymp</td>
<td>+11</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>45/F</td>
<td>Internal medicine</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Asymp</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>39/M</td>
<td>Internal medicine</td>
<td>Nurse</td>
<td>Exposure</td>
<td>Community</td>
<td>+2</td>
<td>Asymp</td>
<td>+8</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All persons with cases were vaccinated during the first week of campaign, December 20–27, 2020. Asymp, asymptomatic; ED, emergency department; NA, not applicable.
†Considering day of vaccination as day 0.
‡Excluded from calculations of mean time from vaccination to symptom onset because symptoms began before vaccination.
Clinicians should have a high level of suspicion of reported symptoms and avoid dismissing complaints as vaccine-related until true infection is ruled out and vaccinees are tested. Active and passive surveillance that enables rapid testing and initiation of infection control measures are essential in preventing possible diagnostic delays and secondary exposures. Therefore, healthcare-related indications for testing should not be altered until systematic and exhaustive data are gathered regarding vaccine effectiveness in healthcare settings.
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Candida auris was isolated from a patient in Tokyo, Japan in 2009 (1), although clinical isolates have been retrospectively identified from as early as 1996 (2). Since then, bloodstream and other invasive infections caused by C. auris have been reported worldwide (3–5). Many strains of C. auris are multidrug-resistant; some strains require elevated MICs to azoles, echinocandins, and polyenes. In 2019, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) listed C. auris as an urgent threat to public health (6), highlighting the need for active surveillance and appropriate infection prevention.

Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and phylogenetic analyses have revealed >4 major clades of C. auris; each clade covers a distinct geographic area, giving C. auris a global distribution (7,8). Researchers have documented several C. auris outbreaks in the United States, mostly caused by strains belonging to clades I and IV (9). We describe several cases of C. auris colonization and infection in patients of long-term acute-care (LTAC) facilities in and around Los Angeles, California, USA.

The Study

We screened patients at high risk for drug-resistant infections who were transferred to University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA)–affiliated hospitals from LTAC and skilled nursing facilities (SNFs). We analyzed swab samples of patients’ axilla and groin and yeast isolates from positive fungal culture of clinical specimens using PCR selective for the ITS2 region of the C. auris genome. We conducted antifungal susceptibility testing using broth microdilution; WGS using Illumina MiSeq (Illumina, https://www.illumina.com); and k-mer and single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analyses using CLC Genomics Workbench (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com) and Geneious Prime (Geneious, https://www.geneious.com) (Appendix 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/5/20-4361-App1.pdf).

During September 2019–September 2020, we screened 113 patients using in-house PCR selective for C. auris according to Los Angeles County Public Health and CDC guidelines (Appendix 1). Six patients tested positive for C. auris with cycle threshold (Ct) values of 22.6–39.7 (Table 1). Patient A tested positive in October 2019; patients B–F tested positive during July–September 2020.

The 6 patients were residents of 4 LTAC facilities in Los Angeles County. All 6 had a history of tracheostomy. Patients A and F had prior history of C. auris colonization; patient F had active infection of a bronchopulmonary fistula. Patient D had C. auris and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 co-infection (Table 1). We cultured C. auris isolates from inguinal and axillary swab samples of patients A, C, D, and E; pleural fluid of patient F; and tracheal aspirate of patient A. The sample from patient A produced few colonies; we treated the patient for bacterial pneumonia. We were not able to isolate C. auris from patient B (Ct = 39.5).

All C. auris isolates were resistant to amphotericin B (MIC = 2 μg/mL) and fluconazole (MIC >64 μg/mL) but susceptible to echinocandins (Table 2). We conducted k-mer analysis using 261 C. auris sequences available on GenBank, most of which were described previously (10) (Appendix 2 Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/5/20-4361-App2.xlsx). All 6

Candida auris is an emerging multidrug-resistant yeast. We describe an ongoing C. auris outbreak that began in October 2019 in Los Angeles, California, USA. We used genomic analysis to determine that isolates from 5 of 6 patients belonged to clade III; 4 isolates were closely related.

Candida auris was isolated from a patient in Tokyo, Japan in 2009 (1), although clinical isolates have been retrospectively identified from as early as 1996 (2). Since then, bloodstream and other invasive infections caused by C. auris have been reported worldwide (3–5). Many strains of C. auris are multidrug-resistant; some strains require elevated MICs to azoles, echinocandins, and polyenes. In 2019, the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) listed C. auris as an urgent threat to public health (6), highlighting the need for active surveillance and appropriate infection prevention.

Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) and phylogenetic analyses have revealed >4 major clades of C. auris; each clade covers a distinct geographic area, giving C. auris a global distribution (7,8). Researchers have documented several C. auris outbreaks in the United States, mostly caused by strains belonging to clades I and IV (9). We describe several cases of C. auris colonization and infection in patients of long-term acute-care (LTAC) facilities in and around Los Angeles, California, USA.

The Study

We screened patients at high risk for drug-resistant infections who were transferred to University of California, Los Angeles (UCLA)–affiliated hospitals from LTAC and skilled nursing facilities (SNFs). We analyzed swab samples of patients’ axilla and groin and yeast isolates from positive fungal culture of clinical specimens using PCR selective for the ITS2 region of the C. auris genome. We conducted antifungal susceptibility testing using broth microdilution; WGS using Illumina MiSeq (Illumina, https://www.illumina.com); and k-mer and single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) analyses using CLC Genomics Workbench (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com) and Geneious Prime (Geneious, https://www.geneious.com) (Appendix 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/5/20-4361-App1.pdf).

During September 2019–September 2020, we screened 113 patients using in-house PCR selective for C. auris according to Los Angeles County Public Health and CDC guidelines (Appendix 1). Six patients tested positive for C. auris with cycle threshold (Ct) values of 22.6–39.7 (Table 1). Patient A tested positive in October 2019; patients B–F tested positive during July–September 2020.

The 6 patients were residents of 4 LTAC facilities in Los Angeles County. All 6 had a history of tracheostomy. Patients A and F had prior history of C. auris colonization; patient F had active infection of a bronchopulmonary fistula. Patient D had C. auris and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 co-infection (Table 1). We cultured C. auris isolates from inguinal and axillary swab samples of patients A, C, D, and E; pleural fluid of patient F; and tracheal aspirate of patient A. The sample from patient A produced few colonies; we treated the patient for bacterial pneumonia. We were not able to isolate C. auris from patient B (Ct = 39.5).

All C. auris isolates were resistant to amphotericin B (MIC = 2 μg/mL) and fluconazole (MIC >64 μg/mL) but susceptible to echinocandins (Table 2). We conducted k-mer analysis using 261 C. auris sequences available on GenBank, most of which were described previously (10) (Appendix 2 Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/5/20-4361-App2.xlsx). All 6...
UCLA isolates belonged to clade III (Appendix 2 Table 1). We conducted a phylogenetic analysis of clade III isolates using k-mers (Appendix 1 Figure).

In the United States, researchers have identified isolates belonging to all 4 clades; although these isolates show geographic relationships (9), clade I is predominant across the country. Clade III isolates have been identified in Indiana, Texas (11), and Florida. We conducted a k-mer–based phylogenetic analysis of C. auris isolates in the United States (Figure). SNP analysis showed that 5 of the UCLA isolates were closely related (3–12 SNPs); isolate F1 was genetically distinct (77–79 SNPs). All 6 isolates were distinct from isolates from Indiana (65–139 SNPs) and Florida (47–117 SNPs) (Appendix 1 Table 1).

We also analyzed the sequences of 2 genes associated with antifungal resistance: erg11 (lanosterol 14-α demethylase) and fks1 (subunit of 1,3-β-D-glucan synthase). Sequences of erg11 were identical among all isolates, with 99.6% pairwise nucleotide identity to the reference (GenBank accession no. CP043531) and 2 amino acid substitutions: V125A and F126L.

### Table 1. Characteristics of patients with Candida auris infection, Los Angeles, California, USA, 2019–2020*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Date of positive PCR</th>
<th>Cycle threshold</th>
<th>C. auris isolate (specimen type)</th>
<th>Approximately age, y</th>
<th>Clinical history</th>
<th>Current signs, symptoms, and diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>2019 Oct 8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>UCLA_A1 (inguinal–axillary)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>Coronary artery disease, stroke, chronic respiratory fracture, tracheostomy and ventilator dependence, gastrostomy tube dependence, urinary incontinence, multiple ulcers, heart failure, atrial fibrillation, and previous carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae bacteremia.</td>
<td>Septic shock caused by methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus bacteremia and multifocal pneumonia. C. auris, Candida albicans, and Candida parapsilosis were isolated from tracheal suction culture.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>2020 Sep 3</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>UCLA_F1 (pleural fluid, active colonization)</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Subarachnoid hemorrhage, tracheostomy, gastrostomy tube dependence, stage IV sacral decubitus ulcer, and chronic kidney disease. This patient had a prior history of C. auris colonization at the long-term acute-care facility.</td>
<td>Bronchopulmonary fistula. C. auris, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Enterococcus faecalis grew on pleural fluid cultures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*MRSAs, methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus.
†C. auris was not isolated from the inguinal–axillary surveillance swab of patient B.
### Table 2. Antifungal susceptibility results for *Candida auris* isolates, Los Angeles, California, USA, 2019–2020*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antifungal</th>
<th>MIC, µg/mL (interpretation)†</th>
<th>UCLA_A1</th>
<th>UCLA_A2</th>
<th>UCLA_C1</th>
<th>UCLA_D1</th>
<th>UCLA_E1</th>
<th>UCLA_F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amphotericin B</td>
<td>2 (R)</td>
<td>2 (R)</td>
<td>2 (R)</td>
<td>2 (R)</td>
<td>2 (R)</td>
<td>2 (R)</td>
<td>2 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluconazole</td>
<td>&gt;64 (R)</td>
<td>&gt;64 (R)</td>
<td>&gt;64 (R)</td>
<td>&gt;64 (R)</td>
<td>&gt;64 (R)</td>
<td>&gt;64 (R)</td>
<td>&gt;64 (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voriconazole</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Itraconazole</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posaconazole</td>
<td>0.5 (S)</td>
<td>0.12 (S)</td>
<td>0.25 (S)</td>
<td>0.12 (S)</td>
<td>0.12 (S)</td>
<td>0.12 (S)</td>
<td>0.12 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspofungin</td>
<td>0.5 (S)</td>
<td>0.12 (S)</td>
<td>0.5 (S)</td>
<td>0.5 (S)</td>
<td>0.5 (S)</td>
<td>0.5 (S)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micafungin</td>
<td>0.25 (S)</td>
<td>0.25 (S)</td>
<td>0.25 (S)</td>
<td>0.12 (S)</td>
<td>0.12 (S)</td>
<td>0.12 (S)</td>
<td>0.12 (S)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*I, intermediate; R, resistant; S, susceptible.

†MIC testing was conducted on panels prepared in-house in accordance with Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (https://standards.globalspec.com/std/10266416/CLSI%20M27). Interpretive breakpoints were defined by the CDC Antifungal Susceptibility Testing and Interpretation guidelines for *C. auris* (https://www.cdc.gov/fungal/candida-auris/c-auris-antifungal.html), which were adapted from interpretive criteria for closely related *Candida* spp. Tentative breakpoints for the following antifungal drugs were: amphotericin B (>2 µg/mL), fluconazole (>32 µg/mL), anidulafungin (>4 µg/mL), caspofungin (>2 µg/mL), and micafungin (>4 µg/mL).

---

**Figure.** K-mer analysis of *Candida auris* isolates, United States, 2009–2020. K-mer analysis was conducted with CLC Genomics Workbench (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com) using genome sequences from patients in Los Angeles, California, USA during 2019–2020 (i.e., UCLA_A1, UCLA_A2, UCLA_C1, UCLA_D1, UCLA_E1, and UCLA_F1) and 55 publicly available *C. auris* strains in GenBank (Appendix 2 Table 2, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/5/20-4361-App2.xlsx). Each node represents a unique isolate. Node color indicates clade. The color of the isolate name (i.e., label text color) indicates state of origin. The metadata shows the susceptibility of each isolate (if available) to fluconazole (FCZ), amphotericin B (AMB), and micafungin (MCF); red indicates resistant, green indicates susceptible. Asterisk indicates that branches shorter than 0.0050 are shown as 0.0050.
(Appendix 1 Table 2). Mutations at aa 126 are associated with increased azole resistance in C. auris (7) and are a common feature of clade III isolates (12). The F126L mutation appears to be exclusive to clade III (10). These findings are consistent with results of antifungal susceptibility testing, which showed that all isolates were resistant to fluconazole (Table 2). Sequences of fks1 were identical in 5 isolates (A1, A2, C1, D1, E1), with 99.9% pairwise nucleotide identity to the reference (GenBank accession no. CP043531); these isolates had 1 amino acid substitution: I1572L (Appendix 1 Table 2). Isolate F1 had the same substitution in addition to I1095L. All isolates had a wild-type serine at aa 639; mutations at this location are linked to echinocandin resistance in C. auris (13). All isolates were susceptible to caspofungin, micafungin, and anidulafungin.

Conclusions
To identify and prevent the spread of C. auris in this hospital system, we used an in-house PCR to screen patients for this pathogen. WGS of isolates from patients transferred from LTAC facilities revealed that these isolates are closely related, suggesting an ongoing outbreak with community spread in the Los Angeles area.

The isolates described here were all resistant to fluconazole and amphotericin B but susceptible to echinocandins according to the CDC tentative breakpoints (https://www.cdc.gov/fungal/candida-auris/c-auris-antifungal.html). In addition, all isolates had an F126L mutation in the erg11 gene, which is unique to clade III strains and associated with fluconazole resistance (10).

Patient D was admitted to an SNF after complications from pneumonia caused by coronavirus disease (COVID-19). Few cases of C. auris and COVID-19 co-infection have been reported (14,15). After COVID-19 infection, patient D had multiple complications requiring a tracheostomy and enteral feeding tube; the patient was subsequently transferred to an LTAC for rehabilitation. A substantial portion of adult patients who recover from severe COVID-19 have long-term sequelae and might require admission to SNFs or LTACs. Therefore, the COVID-19 pandemic might lead to increased transmission of C. auris in SNFs because of increased admissions and shortages of personal protective equipment. During critical shortages, CDC guidelines permit extended use of isolation gowns for patients who are known to be infected with the same infectious disease if there are no additional known coinfections transmitted through contact (https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/non-us-settings/emergency-considerations-ppe.html#ppe-specific-strategies). To encourage appropriate use of personal protective equipment and prevent transmission, it is essential that facilities screen patients for C. auris.

One limitation of this study is the lack of additional epidemiologic history of the patients, especially in the context of travel-related exposures. The ability to track cases to a location with known outbreaks of clade III C. auris strains is essential to determining the origin of the current outbreak. Further investigation is needed to explain why patient F had a genetically distinct isolate, suggesting a separate introduction.

In conclusion, we identified a unique clade III C. auris strain in an ongoing outbreak in LTAC facilities since 2019. These findings indicate active community spread of multidrug-resistant C. auris in the Los Angeles area.
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### EID Podcast:
Endotheliopathy and Platelet Dysfunction as Hallmarks of Fatal Lassa Fever

Lassa fever, a virus spread through the inhalation of rodent excreta, often causes mild, influenza-like symptoms. But in severe cases, patients can face bleeding, neurological symptoms, and a death rate up to 70 percent.

Lassa fever alters platelet function and blood clotting, but the exact mechanisms involved remain a mystery. Now, researchers are searching for answers.

In this EID podcast, Dr. Brian Sullivan, a researcher and instructor at La Jolla Institute for Immunology, discusses how Lassa fever affects the vascular system.

Visit our website to listen: https://go.usa.gov/xsTNp
In July 2018, a previously healthy girl 4 years of age was brought to the Health Sciences Centre at University of Manitoba (Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada) for fever, right inguinal swelling, and dysuria. The patient’s symptoms had worsened despite completing a 5-day course of trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole prescribed by her family doctor for a presumed urinary tract infection 1 week before admission. The patient lived on a rural property bordered by forest in southern Manitoba, Canada. She enjoyed playing with her dogs and cats and often returned from the yard with ticks embedded in her skin.

At admission, her vital signs were within normal limits. We noted a small ulcer lateral to the right labia majora. This shallow nonpurulent ulcer was <1 cm long, surrounded by erythema, and accompanied by tender local lymphadenopathy. We took a swab sample of the ulcer for bacterial culture and prescribed ceftriaxone for presumed cellulitis.

Three days after admission, the culture revealed pinpoint growth of *Francisella tularensis* on chocolate agar, identified by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (Bruker, https://www.bruker.com) (1). However, because a Biosafety Level 3 (BSL-3) pathogen had not been suspected, the culture was manipulated outside a biosafety cabinet (BSC). The exposed laboratory technologist was prescribed oral doxycycline (100 mg 2×/d for 14 days) as postexposure prophylaxis; the technologist showed no signs or symptoms of tularemia. The bacterial isolate was classified as a UN2814, category A infectious substance; it was mailed in a sealed container with polystyrene foam-insulated packaging and an established Emergency Response Assistance Plan and placed in a box displaying the biohazard symbol to Canada’s National Microbiology Laboratory (NML) for subspeciation. The NML identified the isolate as *Francisella tularensis* type B subspecies *holarctica*. We treated the patient for ulceroglandular tularemia (20 mg/kg of oral ciprofloxacin 2×/d for 14 days), prompting a complete recovery.

In August 2019, a woman 60 years of age arrived at Brandon Regional Health Centre (Brandon, Manitoba, Canada) with acute onset of hypotension and an ulcer beside her right labia majora. She had had chills for several days before seeking care. She had end-stage renal disease managed by hemodialysis and sick sinus syndrome managed by a pacemaker. The patient lived in a rural area of southern Manitoba and had found a tick attached to her abdomen ≈1 week before admission. She was not sure how long the tick had been attached; she removed it upon discovery.

At admission, the patient was hypotensive (70/25 mm Hg). She had a paced heart rate of 60 bpm and oxygen saturation of 99% on room air. She did not have a fever. We noted a 2 cm long ulcer beside the right labia majora with surrounding erythema and bilateral inguinal lymphadenopathy. We found a 2 cm long necrotic eschar with surrounding erythema at the site of tick attachment.

The gram stain cultured from the perivulvar ulcer showed no organisms. However, faint growth appeared on chocolate agar on day 3. We identified *F. tularensis* using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (1). Before speciation, the culture had been manipulated outside a BSC, resulting in the exposure of 1 technologist; this technologist received doxycycline for 14 days as prophylaxis and had no signs or symptoms of infection. The NML identified the sample as *F. tularensis* subspecies *holarctica*. The patient was treated with gentamicin (2 mg/kg 1×/d for 7 days) and oral ciprofloxacin (500 mg 1×/d for 14 days) and symptoms resolved. We did not conduct serologic tests on samples from either patient. We notified the medical health officer of both cases.

The low infectious dose and easy dissemination of *F. tularensis* pose a substantial risk for laboratory-acquired infections when manipulated outside of a BSC (2,3). The perigenital localization of tularemia in these cases produced an especially hazardous situation for laboratory exposure; in contrast to blood, lymph node, and bone marrow samples, genital lesions are not usually suspected to harbor BSL-3

---

**Tularemia is a zoonotic disease caused by the gram-negative coccobacillus *Francisella tularensis*, a Biosafety Level 3 pathogen and potential agent of bioterrorism. We describe 2 cases of perigenital ulcer disease caused by *Francisella tularensis* subspecies *holarctica* in Manitoba, Canada. These cases caused inadvertent exposure among laboratory personnel.**

In July 2018, a previously healthy girl 4 years of age was brought to the Health Sciences Centre at University of Manitoba (Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada) for fever, right inguinal swelling, and dysuria. The patient’s symptoms had worsened despite completing a 5-day course of trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole prescribed by her family doctor for a presumed urinary tract infection 1 week before admission. The patient lived on a rural property bordered by forest in southern Manitoba, Canada. She enjoyed playing with her dogs and cats and often returned from the yard with ticks embedded in her skin.

At admission, her vital signs were within normal limits. We noted a small ulcer lateral to the right labia majora. This shallow nonpurulent ulcer was <1 cm long, surrounded by erythema, and accompanied by tender local lymphadenopathy. We took a swab sample of the ulcer for bacterial culture and prescribed ceftriaxone for presumed cellulitis.

Three days after admission, the culture revealed pinpoint growth of *Francisella tularensis* on chocolate agar, identified by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (Bruker, https://www.bruker.com) (1). However, because a Biosafety Level 3 (BSL-3) pathogen had not been suspected, the culture was manipulated outside a biosafety cabinet (BSC). The exposed laboratory technologist was prescribed oral doxycycline (100 mg 2×/d for 14 days) as postexposure prophylaxis; the technologist showed no signs or symptoms of tularemia. The bacterial isolate was classified as a UN2814, category A infectious substance; it was mailed in a sealed container with polystyrene foam-insulated packaging and an established Emergency Response Assistance Plan and placed in a box displaying the biohazard symbol to Canada’s National Microbiology Laboratory (NML) for subspeciation. The NML identified the isolate as *Francisella tularensis* type B subspecies *holarctica*. We treated the patient for ulceroglandular tularemia (20 mg/kg of oral ciprofloxacin 2×/d for 14 days), prompting a complete recovery.

In August 2019, a woman 60 years of age arrived at Brandon Regional Health Centre (Brandon, Manitoba, Canada) with acute onset of hypotension and an ulcer beside her right labia majora. She had had chills for several days before seeking care. She had end-stage renal disease managed by hemodialysis and sick sinus syndrome managed by a pacemaker. The patient lived in a rural area of southern Manitoba and had found a tick attached to her abdomen ≈1 week before admission. She was not sure how long the tick had been attached; she removed it upon discovery.

At admission, the patient was hypotensive (70/25 mm Hg). She had a paced heart rate of 60 bpm and oxygen saturation of 99% on room air. She did not have a fever. We noted a 2 cm long ulcer beside the right labia majora with surrounding erythema and bilateral inguinal lymphadenopathy. We found a 2 cm long necrotic eschar with surrounding erythema at the site of tick attachment.

The gram stain cultured from the perivulvar ulcer showed no organisms. However, faint growth appeared on chocolate agar on day 3. We identified *F. tularensis* using matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (1). Before speciation, the culture had been manipulated outside a BSC, resulting in the exposure of 1 technologist; this technologist received doxycycline for 14 days as prophylaxis and had no signs or symptoms of infection. The NML identified the sample as *F. tularensis* subspecies *holarctica*. The patient was treated with gentamicin (2 mg/kg 1×/d for 7 days) and oral ciprofloxacin (500 mg 1×/d for 14 days) and symptoms resolved. We did not conduct serologic tests on samples from either patient. We notified the medical health officer of both cases.

The low infectious dose and easy dissemination of *F. tularensis* pose a substantial risk for laboratory-acquired infections when manipulated outside of a BSC (2,3). The perigenital localization of tularemia in these cases produced an especially hazardous situation for laboratory exposure; in contrast to blood, lymph node, and bone marrow samples, genital lesions are not usually suspected to harbor BSL-3
pathogens. A history of animal or arthropod exposure is a risk factor that can alert laboratory staff to the possibility of tularemia, enabling the application of appropriate precautions (4). Pinpoint colonies of gram-negative cocccobacilli growing aerobically on chocolate agar 48 hours after plating might indicate the presence of *F. tularensis* and should prompt BSL-3 precautions, as emphasized by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention’s Laboratory Response Network in affiliation with the American Society for Microbiology (5,6). Of 42 cases of laboratory-acquired tularemia documented by Overholt et al. (7), 16 were unsuspected by microbiologists and occurred outside of a known exposure.

These 2 cases caused by *F. tularensis* subspecies *holarctica* support veterinary studies suggesting that this subspecies might be more common in the Canadian prairies than the more virulent *F. tularensis* subspecies *tularensis* identified elsewhere in North America (8–10). The milder symptoms associated with *F. tularensis* subspecies *holarctica* might require a higher index of clinical suspicion, especially among patients with exposure to arthropods or wild mammals.
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Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 can persist on surfaces, suggesting possible surface-mediated transmission of this pathogen. We found that fomites might be a substantial source of transmission risk, particularly in schools and child daycares. Combining surface cleaning and decontamination with mask wearing can help mitigate this risk.
Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), the causative agent of coronavirus disease, can be transmitted through close contact. However, the virus also persists for up to 28 days on surfaces (1–3), suggesting that surface-mediated (e.g., fomite) transmission might also occur.

Conventional epidemiologic studies cannot distinguish between competing transmission pathways (e.g., droplet or fomite) when they act simultaneously. Therefore, we used a transmission model to explore the potential for fomite transmission without other pathways. We adapted a published fomite transmission model (4) for SARS-CoV-2 (Appendix Figure 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-3631-App1.pdf). In our model, persons are classified as susceptible, infectious, or recovered. We explicitly tracked contamination on hands, which is independent of whether or not a person is currently infected. Infectious persons shed pathogens onto fomites or hands, but only a fraction of surfaces (\(\lambda\)) are accessible for contamination. Hands might become contaminated from viral excretion or from touching virus-contaminated fomites. Susceptible persons might become infected through touching their face and mouth with contaminated hands (Appendix).

By using this model, we explore how fomite transmission varies by location (comparing child daycares, schools, offices, and nursing homes), disinfection strategy, and surface type. Although precise values likely vary on a case-by-case basis, child daycares are assumed to have higher frequency of fomite touching (\(\rho_T\)) and the fraction of surfaces susceptible to contamination (\(\lambda\)) than offices, whereas schools are likely intermediate for both factors (4). Nursing homes are assumed to have similar amounts of surfaces susceptible to contamination to offices, but higher fomite touching rates.

We considered the following surface cleaning and disinfection frequencies: every 8 hours (1×/workday), every 4 hours (2×/workday), and hourly. We also considered handwashing interventions, but they had minimal impact in our model and were not included in our main results (Appendix). Because SARS-CoV-2 persistence varies by surface, we compared transmission for stainless steel, plastic, and cloth. As a sensitivity analysis, we also varied viral shedding rates in our analysis for 2 reasons: initial data are uncertain because of small sample sizes (5), and shedding rates are likely to vary on the basis of mask-wearing practices (6,7; Appendix). In our model, situations in which the basic reproduction number (\(R_0\)) for the fomite route exceeds 1 could sustain ongoing transmission in a given setting, whereas transmission could be interrupted when \(R_0\) falls below 1. We explored what interventions could interrupt fomite transmission.

Our estimates suggest that fomite transmission could sustain SARS-CoV-2 transmission in many settings. The fomite \(R_0\) ranged from 10 in low-risk venues (offices) to \(\approx 25\) in high-risk settings such as child daycares. SARS-CoV-2 transmission risk is generally higher than influenza and rhinovirus (Appendix Figure 6).

We found that hourly cleaning and disinfection alone could interrupt fomite transmission in some office settings, particularly combined with reduced shedding, but would be inadequate in child daycares and schools (Figure; Appendix Figure 3). If shedding is reduced through mask wearing, transmission from surfaces became unlikely, even with infrequent surface decontamination. Decay rates were similarly low for plastic and stainless steel (Appendix Table 2), leading to substantial transmission potential (Figure). Decay rates on cloth were high and were unlikely to sustain transmission. Therefore, cleaning and disinfection frequencies could vary by surface, with hourly interventions being helpful for frequently touched nonporous surfaces and with porous surfaces (such as plush toys) being cleaned and sanitized less frequently. In child daycares, intervening directly after high-risk shedding

![Figure. Reductions in the basic reproduction number for the fomite pathway for severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 on stainless steel (A), plastic (B), and cloth surfaces (C), by setting (defined by hourly fomite touching rate \(\rho_T\) and proportion of accessible surfaces \(\lambda\)). For areas in green, the projected reproduction number from fomite transmission is <1. For comparison, cleaning every 2 hours was considered as a sensitivity analysis.](https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-3631-App1.pdf)
events (e.g., a feverish person coughs directly on a surface) in addition to intervening at standard intervals (such as hourly) would be beneficial.

Because of our emphasis on the basic reproduction number rather than simulating infection dynamics, these results describe transmission potential if outbreaks begin with a single case as opposed to many cases being introduced simultaneously, which could occur when transmission is high. Thus, these results apply when SARS-CoV-2 incidence is low, which might be achievable in individual locations even if community incidence is high. Near the epidemic peak, more detailed simulations are needed because environmental contamination might exceed the linear range of the dose-response curve (8), which could lead to an overestimate of the risk for fomite transmission. Because our objective was to assess the potential impact of fomite transmission alone, we did not account for direct transmission through direct droplet spray, aerosols, or hand-to-hand contact, all of which are likely major contributors to transmission in many settings (9). Our model suggests fomites can also transmit virus, which is important for indirect exposures. For simplicity, we assume that fomite transmission is similar for symptomatic and asymptomatic infections (Appendix). We also assume that the dose-response curve for fomite transmission is the same as other transmission routes, which might lead to an overestimate of fomite transmission if pathogens from surfaces are less efficiently absorbed into the lungs from hands when they are not aerosolized.

In summary, fomite transmission might be an important source of risk for SARS-CoV-2. However, both mask wearing and frequent cleaning and disinfection can reduce this risk.

This research was funded by the National Institutes of Health (grant supplement no. R01GM124280 to A.N.M.K. and B.A.L.; grant no. 2T32ES012870-16 to J.S.S.) and the National Institute of Food and Agriculture at the US Department of Agriculture (grant no. 2018-07410 to J.S.L. and B.A.L.; grant no. 2020-67034-31728).

B.A.L. reports grants and personal fees from Takeda Pharmaceuticals and personal fees from the World Health Organization outside the submitted work.

About the Author

Dr. Kraay is a postdoctoral fellow in the Epidemiology Department at Emory University. She is interested in environmental transmission of infectious diseases and how public health interventions, including vaccination, can help mitigate disease risk.

References


Address for correspondence: Alicia Kraay, Emory University, 1518 Clifton Rd NE, Atlanta, GA, 30322, USA; email: alicia.nicole.mullis.kraay@emory.edu
Tula Virus as Causative Agent of Hantavirus Disease in Immunocompetent Person, Germany

Jörg Hofmann, Stephanie Kramer, Klaus R. Herrlinger, Kathrin Jeske, Martin Kuhns, Sabrina Weiss,1 Rainer G. Ulrich, Detlev H. Krüger


We report molecular evidence of Tula virus infection in an immunocompetent patient from Germany who had typical signs of hantavirus disease. Accumulating evidence indicates that Tula virus infection, although often considered nonpathogenic, represents a threat to human health.

Hantavirus disease, also called hemorrhagic fever with renal syndrome and hantavirus cardiopulmonary syndrome, is a zoonosis; hantaviruses are transmitted from their reservoirs (rodents) to humans. The clinical course is characterized by initial high fever and body pain, potentially proceeding to renal, pulmonary failure, or both. The case-fatality rate depends on the causal virus species and can reach up to 50% (1).

Tula virus (TULV), a member of the family Hantaviridae, genus Orthohantavirus, has been isolated from common voles (Microtus arvalis) (2). TULV, a broadly distributed virus in different parts of Eurasia, is hosted by common voles but has also been found in related vole species (3). Clinical findings of TULV pathogenicity are very rare. In 2003, a case of TULV-associated hantavirus disease was diagnosed by serologic and molecular epidemiologic means (4). So far, direct molecular evidence for TULV infection has only been found in 2 cases (1 in an immunocompromised patient who had severe hantavirus disease [5], the other in an immunocompetent person without preexisting illness who had mild hantavirus disease [6]).

We report molecular evidence of TULV infection in a 21-year old immunocompetent man who originated from a small village near Hamburg, northern Germany. He was admitted to hospital for sudden fever, sickness, severe headache, abdominal pain, and limb pain since the day before. His medical history was unremarkable. The patient worked as a sanitary and heating engineer in the northern part of Germany. Except for elevated body temperature, the physical examination did not reveal any abnormalities. Blood testing at the day of admission revealed thrombocytopenia (63 platelets/nL), markedly elevated C-reactive protein (63.6 mg/L), and borderline leukocyte (9.8 cells/nL) and serum creatinine (1.2 mg/dL) values. Because of biochemical signs of infection, ultrasound findings of a moderate enlargement of spleen and cervical lymph nodes as well as hints of a small lung infiltration, an antibiotic regime (ampicillin/sulbactam and clarithromycin) was initiated. Over the next few days, the fever resolved.

At day 4, biochemical signs of disturbed retention function indicated acute kidney injury. An enhanced serum creatinine value (1.6 mg/dL) and impaired (59 mL/min) glomerular filtration rate (GFR), determined using the CKD-EPI method, were noted; thrombocytopenia and an elevated C-reactive protein level persisted. The GFR, determined using the cystatin method, as measured at day 5, was reduced to 48 mL/min. The patient did not observe signs of oliguria. Under calculated intravenous fluid intake, the creatinine, GFR, and platelet values normalized until day 8, and the C-reactive protein declined to 12.1 mg/L. The patient was discharged from hospital at day 8 in good general condition.

Initial laboratory diagnostics were based on hantavirus serologic test results (on day 4 of hospitalization) using the Hantavirus Profile 1 immunoblot (Euroimmun, https://www.euroimmun.com). This assay does not contain TULV antigen, but the patient’s serum showed strong IgG reactivity with Dobrava–Belgrade virus (DOBV), Hantaan virus (HTNV), and Puumala virus (PUUV) antigens as well as strong band intensity on PUUV in the IgM assay. The recomLine HantaPlus IgG and IgM assays (Mikrogen, https://www.mikrogen.de) revealed strong IgG reactivity to PUUV and in the IgM blot strong band intensities on PUUV, Sin Nombre virus, and DOBV. On the basis of these serologic findings, a PUUV infection of the patient was suspected.

At day 5, serum was obtained for molecular virus detection. Using the primers of the Pan Hanta PCR (7),
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a 392-nt long region of the large genome segment was amplified and sequenced. The molecular phylogenetic analysis of the large segment sequence demonstrated TULV, but not PUUV, infection of the patient. Within the phylogenetic tree, the new sequence (named H18045/Winsen/19 and deposited in GenBank under accession no. MT993951) clustered with vole-derived TULV sequences from Germany and, in a more refined analysis, with those from the central north (CEN.N) clade, which consists of sequences from the northern, eastern, and central parts of the country (Figure).

Previous studies from northeast Germany have shown that TULV is able to infect humans. Out of 563 serum samples from forest workers investigated, 22 samples (3.9%) reacted exclusively with TULV diagnostic antigen (8). In a survey of 6,537 serum samples representing the average population of Germany, 1 sample showed its highest neutralizing titer to TULV compared with PUUV, DOBV, HTNV, and Seoul virus (9).

A reason for the rare finding of TULV-associated hantavirus disease might be the close genetic and antigenic relationship to another vole-associated hantavirus, PUUV, which is carried by bank voles (Myodes glareolus) and is a well-known pathogenic agent broadly distributed in Europe and parts of Asia (1). Because anti-TULV and anti-PUUV seroreactivities cannot be distinguished using the usual serologic techniques (i.e., without neutralization assays) (2,10), TULV infections might be misdiagnosed as PUUV infections during routine diagnostics.

The ability of TULV to cause hantavirus disease even in a previously healthy person shows the pathogenic potential of this virus. Therefore, the vole species hosting TULV should be considered as infection sources in their respective geographic ranges. Because of the broad distribution (https://www.iucnredlist.org/search?query%20=%20microtus&searchType%20=%20species), the geographic origin of TULV sequences are indicated by countries (Germany, DE; Czech Republic, CZ; France, FR; Poland, PL) and specified for Germany by adding the federal states (BE, Berlin; BB, Brandenburg; BW, Baden-Wuerttemberg, BY, Bavaria; MV, Mecklenburg-Western Pomerania, NI, Lower Saxony; NW, North Rhine-Westphalia; SH, Schleswig-Holstein; SN, Saxony; and TH, Thuringia). Triangles indicate condensed branches of TULV clades central north (CEN.N), eastern north (EST.N), eastern south (EST.S), and central south (CEN.S), as well as Puumala virus (KJ994778, MN026167, MN026168), Tatenale virus including its strain Traemmersee virus (MK542664, MK883760, MK883761, MN267824), Dobrava–Belgrade virus (JQ026206, KJ182937, KJ182938), Asikkala virus (KC880348, KC880349), Seewis virus (JQ425312, JQ425320), and Seoul virus (MG386252, KJ502300, KJ502303).
and mass reproduction of common voles in several parts of Europe, TULV should be considered as a threat to human health.
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We describe rapid spread of multidrug-resistant gram-negative bacteria among patients in dedicated coronavirus disease care units in a hospital in Maryland, USA, during May–June 2020. Critical illness, high antibiotic use, double occupancy of single rooms, and modified infection prevention practices were key contributing factors. Surveillance culturing aided in outbreak recognition and control.

Bacterial colonization and secondary infection have been described in patients hospitalized with coronavirus disease (COVID-19) (1,2). We report a single-center experience with spread of multidrug-resistant (MDR) gram-negative bacteria (GNB) in COVID-19 patients in Maryland, USA, during May–June 2020.
This investigation was determined to be non–human subjects research by the University of Maryland’s Institutional Review Board.

At University of Maryland Medical Center (Baltimore, MD, USA), an 800-bed tertiary-care hospital, since early April 2020, critically ill COVID-19 patients had been housed in 3 dedicated units (3), which included 2 intensive care units (ICUs) (units A and B, unit A providing extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support) and 1 intermediate-care unit (unit C). Units were designed as closed, negative-pressure areas where staff remained in the same personal protective equipment while providing care to multiple patients. To accommodate the COVID-19 surge, single-patient ICU rooms in units A and B frequently housed 2 patients. Unit C rooms remained single-occupancy and received patients for step-down care from units A and B. Hospital policy required staff to change gloves and perform hand hygiene (or glove hygiene if wearing 2 layers of gloves) between patients and to wear 2 layers of gowns for patients with resistant organisms and remove the outer gown before moving to the next patient. A team nursing model was used, in which multiple nurses shared responsibilities for each patient during a shift.

For routine surveillance, the hospital defined MDR GNB as Enterobacterales, Acinetobacter baumannii, or Pseudomonas aeruginosa nonsusceptible to ≥2 of piperacillin/tazobactam, cefepime, and a carbapenem. Before COVID-19, we performed admission and weekly surveillance for MDR Enterobacterales and A. baumannii using perirectal swab specimens on medical and surgical ICU patients and monitored hospitalwide MDR GNB incidence by using the first positive clinical or surveillance culture >48 hours postadmission.

In mid-May 2020, a cluster of 4 patients with MDR Escherichia coli was identified on unit A. Hospitalwide data showed increase in MDR GNB incidence from baseline (Figure, panel A) (weeks 9–11), driven by E. coli cases on units A and B (Figure, panel B). Further review also revealed several patients with cefepime-resistant E. coli (not meeting institutional MDR criteria), MDR P. aeruginosa, and MDR A. baumannii. Surveillance screens (perirectal swab specimens on all and sputum on ventilated patients) in the 3 units in week 12 identified 18/29 (62%) additional patients with resistant GNB (MDR GNB, cefepime-resistant E. coli, or both). Public health authorities were notified and observations of practice and discussions with leadership were conducted. Twice-weekly surveillance culturing among patients still negative for resistant GNB was instituted (Figure).
During April 16–July 15, a total of 71 unique patients had positive clinical or surveillance cultures for resistant GNB, including 44 E. coli (33 MDR and 11 ce-fepime-resistant), 27 MDR P. aeruginosa, and 27 MDR A. baumannii (Appendix Table 1). Emerging Infectious Diseases • www.cdc.gov/eid • Vol. 27, No. 4, April 2021

Twenty-four patients (34%) were co-colonized with >1 resistant GNB. Of the 71 patients, 69 (97%) had received antibiotics before first positive resistant GNB culture, 30 (42%) required extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support, 27 (38%) required renal replacement therapy, 52 (73%) received corticosteroids, 25 (35%) received remdesivir, and 14 (20%) received tocilizumab. Twenty-three (32%) patients ultimately died. Relatedness of early E. coli isolates was assessed by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) (n = 13, weeks 7–11) and genetic β-lactamase determination by Verigene gram-negative blood culture nucleic acid test (Luminex Corporation, https://www.luminex-corp.com) (n = 38, weeks 7–14) (4; Appendix). PFGE revealed 3 groups. Groups 1 and 2 (n = 7) were considered related and were negative for β-lactamases; these and 8/10 additional β-lactamase-negative isolates were from unit B. Group 3 (n = 6) isolates did not produce bands but were positive for CTX-M; these and 14/15 additional CTX-M positive isolates (including 10/11 phenotypically cefepime-resistant but not MDR) were from unit A and considered related to transmission—indicating 10/11 phenotypically cefepime-resistant, 27 MDR P. aeruginosa, and 27 MDR A. baumannii (Appendix Table 1, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-0436-App1.pdf). Twenty-four patients (34%) were co-colonized with >1 resistant GNB. Of the 71 patients, 69 (97%) had received antibiotics before first positive resistant GNB culture, 30 (42%) required extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support, 27 (38%) required renal replacement therapy, 52 (73%) received corticosteroids, 25 (35%) received remdesivir, and 14 (20%) received tocilizumab. Twenty-three (32%) patients ultimately died.

Key infection control findings (5) included tight physical spaces and close proximity of patients in double occupancy (6), multiple staff in contact with each patient in the team nursing model, and low compliance with hand and glove hygiene and gown changes between patients. To limit staff exposure to COVID-19 patients, the unit had less support from ancillary services; instead, daily room and equipment cleaning and stocking of medications and supplies were performed by unit-based clinical staff.

Outbreak control interventions included discontinuation of double occupancy, frequent infection prevention rounds to promote hand hygiene and glove and gown changes between patients, increased environmental services support, and attention to disinfection of reusable equipment and high-touch surfaces (Appendix Table 2) (7). Surveillance culturing showed a decrease in positive cultures over time (Figure). Prolonged critical illness, high antibiotic and corticosteroid use, double occupancy, the team nursing model, and modified infection prevention practice were considered contributors to transmission, underscoring the importance of vigilance to MDR organisms in this setting (5,7–10). Surveillance culturing aided with recognizing the extent of spread and informed early intervention.
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A Mediterranean monk seal (Monachus monachus) pup from the southern Adriatic coast of Italy showed cetacean morbillivirus (CeMV) and disseminated Toxoplasma gondii co-infection, which probably resulted from CeMV-induced immunosuppression. These findings are of concern for the conservation of this critically endangered species.

The Mediterranean monk seal (Monachus monachus), the most rarely occurring pinniped worldwide, ranks among the most endangered marine mammal species. A few breeding colonies remain along the shores of Greece, Turkey, and Cyprus as well as in Atlantic waters close to Cabo Blanco, Mauritania, and Madeira (1).

Monk seals are deemed to be officially extinct in many countries, including Italy. A monk seal pup was found alive along the southern Adriatic coast of Italy; it died after rehabilitation attempts. We performed a detailed necropsy on January 28, 2020, within 12 hours after death. Postmortem examination confirmed the animal was a female weaning pup; it had a poor body condition score. During necropsy, we collected samples from the animal’s brain, spinal cord, lungs, liver, kidneys, lymph nodes, spleen, intestine, muscles, and tonsils for biomolecular analyses against viral and nonviral pathogens, with special emphasis on cetacean morbillivirus (CeMV) (2,3) and Toxoplasma gondii (4) (Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/20-4131-App1.pdf). We fixed all the tissue samples promptly in 10% neutral buffered formalin and routinely processed them for conventional histology and for morbillivirus and T. gondii immunohistochemistry. We used a commercially available monoclonal antibody against canine distemper virus (CDV) nucleoprotein (Veterinary Medical Research and Development, https://vmrd.com) and a rabbit polyclonal antibody against T. gondii (MyBioSource, https://www.mybiosource.com) (5,6).

We found extensive multifocal brain hemorrhages, most likely caused by a severe arteritis that also involved major cardiac vessels. The brain showed a multifocal, severe, nonsuppurative meningoencephalitis, closely associated with extensive and multifocal hemorrhages. We detected a diffuse, bilateral, chronic, and moderate interstitial pneumonia associated with a marked bronchiolar epithelial hyperplasia; we observed positive immunohistochemistry labeling for morbilliviral antigen within hyperplastic epithelial cells (Figure). Round, variably sized protozoan cysts positively stained with the T. gondii antibody were visible in the lung, within myocardial inflammatory foci, and in the tunica media of the aorta and pulmonary vessels. Lymphoid tissues exhibited a widespread and severe immune cell depletion.

Through biomolecular analyses (2,3), we detected CeMV genetic fragments in brain, lung, and spleen tissues preserved in RNAlater solution (ThermoFisher, https://www.thermofisher.com) and frozen lung tissue. Fragments showed a strong homology with a CeMV isolate (complete genome GenBank
accession no. MH430938.1): the brain fragment (GenBank accession no. MW266078) was 397 bp long and was 98.25% homologous; the lung fragment (GenBank accession no. MW266077), 402 bp long, was 98.5% homologous; and the spleen fragment (GenBank accession no. MW266079), 152 bp long, was 99.3% homologous. In addition, we detected biomolecular positivity for *T. gondii* in skeletal muscle and lymph nodes, which supports immunohistochemical evidence.

Co-infections by morbilliviruses and *T. gondii* are well known among terrestrial and aquatic mammals, yet they have been rarely described in pinnipeds. Seals are known to be susceptible to CDV as well as to phocine distemper virus (7). CeMV infection has also been reported in monk and harbor seals (*Phoca vitulina*) (6). In 1997, half of the Mediterranean monk seals inhabiting the shores of Mauritania died and were found to have been infected with a CeMV-like agent; a similar virus was subsequently identified in a few monk seals from Greek waters (6). The cause of the die-off in 1997 remains unclear; biotoxins were also detected in dead seals (8).

The meningoencephalitic and pneumonic lesions found in the monk seal we investigated could also be associated with severe infection by *T. gondii*. Indeed, *T. gondii*–associated deaths have been reported as a significant threat to the health and conservation of Hawaiian monk seals (*Neomonachus schauinslandii*) (9). In the Mediterranean region, no similar cases have been previously reported other than in cetaceans, in which *T. gondii* has been recognized as a possible cause of death either alone or in association with CeMV (6). The young age of this monk seal suggests that CeMV or *T. gondii* infections could have been vertically acquired; the range of the severity and chronicity of *T. gondii*–associated lesions further suggest a prolonged persistence of the protozoan agent in the animal’s circulation.

Previous *T. gondii* infection seems a plausible explanation for a subsequently acquired CeMV infection causing immunosuppression that led to disseminated toxoplasmosis. Nevertheless, we cannot exclude the possibility that CeMV acted as a primary pathogen. Previous reports of CeMV in Hawaiian monk seals, coupled with putative vertical transmission of *T. gondii*, indicate the need for careful evaluation of *T. gondii* and CeMV as potential threats to the health and conservation of Mediterranean monk seals. We recommend adequate and thorough seroepidemiologic and postmortem pathologic surveillance to assess the real risk posed by these 2 pathogens (10). An ad hoc infectious risk analysis protocol would enable investigators to address CeMV and *T. gondii* infections either separately or in combination by developing specific immunization protocols, such as those successfully employed on the Hawaiian monk seal population.

**Acknowledgments**

We thank the Italian National Institute for Environmental Protection and Research (ISPRA) for the support in the logistic operations before, during, and after necropsy.

**About the Author**

Dr. Petrella is a veterinary pathologist in the diagnostic laboratory of the Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale della Puglia e Basilicata, Foggia, Italy, and serves as the regional focal point for the Italian Stranding Network. His research interests include investigations on stranded marine vertebrates.

**References**

Increased Likelihood of Detecting Ebola Virus RNA in Semen by Using Sample Pelleting
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Ebola virus RNA can reside for months or years in semen of survivors of Ebola virus disease and is probably associated with increased risk for cryptic sexual transmission of the virus. A modified protocol resulted in increased detection of Ebola virus RNA in semen and improved disease surveillance.

During 2013–2016, Ebola virus (EBOV; family Filoviridae, genus Ebolavirus, species Zaire ebolavirus) caused an unprecedented outbreak of Ebola virus disease (EVD) that began in Guinea and subsequently affected Liberia, Sierra Leone, and, to a much lesser degree, several other countries in West Africa. Due in part to the lack of medical infrastructure and response preparedness in these countries, the outbreak ultimately involved 28,652 human infections and 11,325 deaths (1,2).

The large number of EVD survivors enabled detailed studies, such as the Partnership for Research on Ebola Virus (PREVAIL) III study (3), which aimed at characterizing potential EVD sequelae and EBOV persistence in a cohort of 1,144 EVD survivors in Liberia over the course of 5 years. An unexpected observation of these studies was the persistence of EBOV RNA and sometimes-replicating EBOV in the brain, eyes, and semen of survivors (4). EBOV RNA persistence in semen of EVD survivors, measurable up to 40 months (3,5), has been associated with rare events of sexual EBOV transmission and EVD outbreak flareups (6).

Assuming a causal relationship between EBOV RNA and EBOV presence in semen, we collaborated with the overseas response team to initiate an ongoing (and unpublished) trial, PREVAIL IV, to counter sexual EBOV transmission from survivors through reduction of viral RNA concentrations in semen by using the candidate medical countermeasure remdesivir. However, interpretation of data obtained in studies such as PREVAIL IV is crucially dependent on the sensitivity of EBOV RNA detection in semen samples.

The GeneXpert Systems (Cepheid, https://www.cepheid.com) are diagnostic platforms that implement single-use cartridges to simultaneously extract and detect RNA by using reverse transcription PCR. During PREVAIL III (3), the GeneXpert IV System was applied to standard processing of EBOV survivor semen...
samples using EBOV nucleoprotein and glycoprotein RNA-specific GeneXpert cartridges Cepheid (7): 100 µL of semen sample was transferred directly into 2.5 mL of lysis buffer provided in the kit and incubated for 10 min, followed by a second incubation of 5 min in presence of 100 µL of 1 M dithiothreitol (Sigma-Aldrich, https://www.sigmaaldrich.com). Within 30 min of processing, 1 mL of this solution was then loaded into the cartridge, run according to the manufacturer’s instructions, and analyzed with GeneXpert Diagnostic Software (8).

We sought to further increase the EBOV RNA detection sensitivity (then 3.1% and 2.9% with whole samples) of this protocol for semen. For this experiment, 1,661 EVD survivor samples and nonsurvivor controls from PREVAIL III and IV with sample volumes ≥1.6 mL were divided into 2 cohorts and processed either as described above (whole sample) or first pelleted (pellet sample) by using 2 replicate experiments each (A and B).

For pelleting, we centrifuged 300 µL of each semen sample at 10,000 × g for 10 min. After pelleting, we discarded supernatants, resuspended pellets by pipetting in 100 µL of kit-provided lysis buffer and incubated for 10 min, and incubated for 5 min in presence of 100 µL of 1 M dithiothreitol. Then, we loaded 100 µL of each sample onto cartridges and processed the same way as the standard, unpelleted control sample. Samples were considered valid and positive when both the kit-provided sample processing control and probe check control passed kit criteria and EBOV nucleoprotein or glycoprotein RNA was detected.

Overall, an average of 3.0% of the whole sample-cohort was positive, compared with an average of 5.0% of the pellet-sample cohort, thereby almost doubling the detection rate (p<0.0001 (Table). We observed variability among replicates A and B (0.7% for the pellet and 0.2% for the whole sample), but this difference was not significant (p = 0.35) according to the F-test for the equality of 2 variances.

Mixed-effects logistic regression models appropriate to the study design (with random effects for specimens and random effects for replicates nested within specimens) yielded an estimated relative sensitivity of 2.24 (95% CI 1.51–2.98; p<0.0001) in favor of the pellet-based procedure. Thus, when semen sample volumes from EVD survivors are >300 µL, we recommend pelleting 300 µL to increase the EBOV RNA detection rate and using the GeneXpert IV System.
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Table. Number of positive samples detected in whole semen samples versus pelleted semen samples for detection of Ebola virus RNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method: replicate</th>
<th>No. samples</th>
<th>No. invalid samples</th>
<th>No. (%) Ebola virus RNA positive samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Whole sample: A</td>
<td>1,661</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>50 (3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whole sample: B</td>
<td>1,661</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>45 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellet sample: A</td>
<td>1,661</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>84 (5.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pellet sample: B</td>
<td>1,661</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>73 (4.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Also included are no. invalid samples (i.e., those that did not pass 1 or both of the controls [sample processing control and probe check control].
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The main etiologic agent of tuberculosis (TB) in livestock and wildlife is *Mycobacterium bovis*. This species also infects humans through inhalation or ingestion and causes TB that is clinically indistinguishable from that caused by *M. tuberculosis*.

In 2017, a case of pulmonary TB caused by *M. bovis* in a human was detected in the Vall d’Hebron Hospital in Barcelona, Spain. Bacteriological culture of clinical specimens in Löwenstein-Jensen and 7H9 media (BD Diagnostics, https://bd.com), followed by antimicrobial resistance testing (BACTEC MGIT 960; BD Diagnostics), revealed a strain resistant to 2 first-line anti-TB drugs: pyrazinamide (100 µg/mL) and isoniazid (0.1 µg/mL). A complementary analysis, performed by using the proportion method, confirmed resistance to isoniazid (0.2 µg/mL), elucidating a polyresistant case of TB (resistance to >1 first-line anti-TB drug other than both isoniazid and rifampin); the strain was also resistant to ethionamide (30 µg/mL), an antimicrobial drug specifically used to treat active multidrug-resistant TB (resistance to at least both isoniazid and rifampicin). Molecular characterization by direct variable repeat (DVR)-spoligotyping identified the isolates as *M. bovis* spoligotype SB0124 (http://www.mbovis.org).

The patient worked as a farmer on cattle and small ruminant farms in his county. Therefore, the epidemiologic investigation included the livestock he was in contact with, particularly the herd of sheep and goats he was currently managing. In 2018, a total of 34 (25%) ewes and 3 (18%) goats had positive results to a single intradermal tuberculin test, interferon gamma release assay (IDvet, https://www.idvet.com), or both. Animals with positive test results were slaughtered, and tissues from 23 (21 sheep and 2 goats) were examined postmortem. TB-compatible lesions were found in the lungs and thoracic, mesenteric, or ileocecal lymph nodes of 13 animals (12 sheep, 1 goat). Tissues with lesions were cultured in Löwenstein-Jensen with pyruvate and Coletsos and in 7H9 media by using BACTEC MGIT 320 (all BD Diagnostics). Culture indicated growth of *M. tuberculosis* complex in 9 sheep samples, and *M. avium* subspecies *avium* was isolated from another sheep and the goat. DVR-spoligotyping was performed for the 9 *M. tuberculosis* complex isolates, and *M. bovis* SB0124 was identified in all. This unusual spoligopattern had also been identified in a cattle herd in the same county in 2005 (Spanish Database of Animal Mycobacteriosis; https://www.visavet.es/mycobd); the patient had no known connection to that herd.

Genome sequence analysis based on assessment of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs)
was conducted for 2 isolates from sheep (2018) and the isolate from the human patient (2017) and for 2 isolates collected from cattle in 2005–2006. Results showed an extremely close phylogenetic relationship between the isolates from the sheep and human (<5 SNPs), leading us to conclude that they were the same strain; they differed from the strains from cattle by 35–38 SNPs (Figure). Of note, the isolates from the sheep and human showed resistance to pyrazinamide, isoniazid, and ethionamide, and isolates from the cattle showed resistance to pyrazinamide and isoniazid. These results suggest that although strains from cattle and from the sheep and human were not closely related enough to be considered the same strain, they might have evolved from a common ancestral isoniazid-resistant strain. However, mutations associated with pyrazinamide resistance were found only at the pncA (C169G) gene and with isoniazid/ethionamide resistance at the inhA (T280G) gene, although the inhA modification was detected only in the isolates from cattle.

Human TB caused by M. bovis is usually associated with occupational exposure and is infrequently reported in Spain; cases of multidrug-resistant (MDR) TB are even more rare (1,2). However, zoonotic cases could be underestimated because the need for relatively sophisticated laboratory methods hinders estimation of zoonotic TB occurrence, particularly in low-income areas, and epidemiologic relationships between TB patients and sympatric livestock are rarely investigated.

Although only a few cases of TB in sheep have been reported in Spain (3,4), these reports suggest that sheep can play a role as maintenance hosts of M. bovis and M. caprae in certain epidemiologic situations. TB progression in sheep appears to be similar to that in cattle or goats (5).

M. bovis is naturally resistant to pyrazinamide (6), but our findings reveal circulation of polyresistant strains in livestock in the outbreak area. In contrast, a previous study reported absence of polyresistant M. bovis strains isolated from livestock in the Iberian Peninsula (7). Similarly, cases of TB in humans caused by isoniazid-resistant M. bovis are infrequent in Spain (8), although a nosocomial outbreak caused by MDR M. bovis involving HIV-infected patients has been described (9). Only a few studies have examined treatment of isoniazid polydrug resistance (10), which is particularly dangerous because of the high risk that resistance to rifampin will develop, requiring full MDR TB treatment.

Distinguishing between TB causative organisms is crucial for epidemiologic investigation and adequate treatment of TB in humans. The One Health approach should be implemented in contact investigations for TB cases through coordination of public and animal health authorities to prevent spread of TB between humans and livestock. Controlling TB in small ruminants and studying drug resistance in strains circulating among livestock should also be considered.

**Figure.** Rooted phylogenetic tree based on the maximum-likelihood method (RAxML; https://academic.oup.com/bioinformatics/article/30/9/1312/238053), showing the average number of nucleotide substitutions per site of a Mycobacterium bovis strain isolated in Spain from a human in 2017 (red) and with M. bovis strains isolated from sheep in 2018 (red), and with 2 strains isolated from cattle in the same county in 2005 and 2006 (blue). Spoligopattern SB0124 was identified in all strains. Strains from the human and the sheep showed resistance to pyrazinamide, isoniazid, and ethionamide; strains from the cattle showed resistance to pyrazinamide and isoniazid. Root: M. bovis AF 2122/97 reference strain sequence (National Center for Biotechnology Information accession no. NC_0002945). Bov, bovine; ETH, ethionamide; hu, human; INH, isoniazid; PZA, pyrazinamide; ov, ovine.
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Novel SARS-CoV-2 Variant in Travelers from Brazil to Japan
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Multiple severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) variants with higher transmission potential have been emerging globally, including SARS-CoV-2 variants from the United Kingdom and South Africa. We report 4 travelers from Brazil to Japan in January 2021 infected with a novel SARS-CoV-2 variant with an additional set of mutations.
Coronavirus disease (COVID-19), caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (1), has wreaked havoc worldwide. SARS-CoV-2 causes severe respiratory failure, often rapidly in susceptible patients. Moreover, new variants with estimated higher transmission rates have begun circulating globally, such as Variant of Concern 202012/01 (VOC-202012/01) from the United Kingdom and variant 501Y.V2 from South Africa (2). The virulence, re-infection potential, antibody response to, and efficacy of vaccines against these strains, are still unknown, posing a risk for future pandemics. We detected a previously unreported SARS-CoV-2 variant strain in a family arriving in Japan from Brazil.

On January 2, 2021, a healthy man in his 40s arrived at Haneda Airport, Tokyo, Japan, from Amazonas state in Brazil via Istanbul, Turkey. At the airport quarantine station, he and the 3 family members traveling with him tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 by quantitative real-time reverse transcription PCR. All 4 were asymptomatic and were accommodated in a government-designated quarantine facility to wait out the required 14-day quarantine.

On day 2 of their visit, a fever of 37.6°C developed in the man; on day 4, the man had a cough. On day 6, his oxygen saturation (SpO$_2$) dropped to 93% on ambient air, and he was transferred to the National Center for Global Health and Medicine, a tertiary care hospital in Tokyo, for respiratory failure. The remaining 3 family members remained asymptomatic and continued to stay at the government-designated accommodation.

At admission, the patient had a cough and mild malaise. Physical examination was almost normal except for late inspiratory crackles in the bilateral lower lung fields. The patient's body temperature was 37.4°C; blood pressure was 113/69 mm Hg and pulse rate 108 beats/min. The patient had a regular respiratory rate of 18 breaths/min and an SpO$_2$ of 93% on ambient air. Laboratory tests showed a high C-reactive protein level of 10.47 mg/dL (reference range 0.00–0.14 mg/dL), but complete blood counts, renal function, liver function, and coagulation tests all were within reference ranges. Chest radiography and computed tomography showed ground-glass opacities in the lower lobes of both lungs.

We started the patient on treatment with 200 mg remdesivir, a subcutaneous injection of unfractionated heparin, and 6 mg oral dexamethasone on day 1 of admission. On day 2 of admission, the patient's fever subsided, and his general condition improved marginally. On day 3, oxygen therapy was not needed, blood tests showed a decrease in C-reactive protein levels, and no adverse side effects of treatment were observed. He continued treatment with 100 mg/d remdesivir and unfractionated heparin until day 5 of admission and dexamethasone until day 7, during which time we observed no flare-up of symptoms.

We subjected the SARS-CoV-2 detected in the case-patient and in his family to whole-genome sequencing. Phylogenetic analysis suggested a novel variant (GISAID [https://www.gisaid.org] reference no. EPI_ISL_792681) belonging to pangolin lineage P.1 with 12 nonsynonymous mutations including K417T, E484K, and N501Y in the receptor-binding domain of the spike protein (N.R. Faria et al., unpub. data, https://virological.org/t/genomic-characterisation-of-an-emergent-sars-cov-2-lineage-in-manaus-preliminary-findings/586). In addition, the variant strain we detected in the travelers had the N501Y mutation in the receptor-binding site of the spike protein, as noted in VOC-202012/01 and 501Y.V2, and the E484K mutation, similar to that noted in the 501Y strain.

We did not observe any remarkable difference in the clinical course of this case-patient compared with COVID-19 cases caused by other known SARS-CoV-2 strains. According to multiple modeling analyses, the new VOC-202012/01 variant could be more infectious than previous strains and might have ≤70% increased transmissibility (3–5). Moreover, PCR testing and genomic analysis for this strain suggested an increased viral load in VOC-202012/01 variant. Another strain, 501Y.V2 from South Africa, also has been suggested to have increased transmissibility (H. Tegally et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.21.20248640). However, to date, no definitive evidence has shown that either VOC-202012/01 or 501Y.V2 are associated with more severe COVID-19 cases.

The symptoms in this patient were relatively mild, although short-term oxygen administration was necessary. Onset of pneumonia a week after the onset of disease also followed the conventional clinical course. However, because the patient was young and had no underlying conditions, this case cannot be generalized.

In conclusion, we identified a novel variant strain of SARS-CoV-2 in 4 travelers from Brazil. Variant strains are appearing across the world now, and quarantine systems need to be strengthened. We hope to elucidate the infectivity, pathogenicity, and relationship of SARS-CoV-2 variants to vaccines while continuing to take conventional precautions against novel variant strains.
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Isolation of Rickettsia rickettsii in Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever Outbreak, Panama
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We report new cases of Rocky Mountain spotted fever in patients from Kinkantu, Ngäbe-Bugle indigenous comarca, Panama. We isolated Rickettsia rickettsii in cell culture after intraperitoneal inoculation of guinea pigs with tissues from a deceased patient. Our results indicate that Rocky Mountain spotted fever is emerging in this region.

Rocky Mountain spotted fever (RMSF) causes severe cases of rickettsiosis and is considered a principal tickborne pathogen in the Americas (1). Clinical suspicion is crucial for timely therapy with doxycycline to prevent severe illness and death (1). In Panama, 5 cases of RMSF were reported during 1950–1953, of which 2 were fatal; since 2004, a total of 19 new cases have been reported in Panama, with 13 fatal cases (2). We report new cases of RMSF from Piedra Roja, a rural village of Kankintu, Ngäbe-Bugle indigenous comarca, located at 750 m above sea level in the western mountainous region of Panama without road access.

In February 2019, a total of 7 persons 3–20 years of age from a family cluster had a clinical picture characterized by temperatures of 39°C–41°C (100%), generalized exanthema (100%), diarrhea and vomiting (86%), headaches (71%), severe dehydration (57%), abdominal pain (43%), and hepatomegaly and jaundice (29%). The patients reported no history of recent tick bites or attachment; according to each patient, the duration of symptoms varied from 9 to 11 days. Of these 7 patients, 2 recovered after treatment with doxycycline, 1 recovered without treatment with doxycycline, and 4 died.

We diagnosed rickettsiosis by PCR on blood and samples of spleen, liver, brain and lung, using the
Rr190.70p and Rr190.602n primers, which amplify a 532 bp fragment of outer membrane protein gene (ompA) (3). Samples of blood, liver, and spleen from 6 patients yielded ompA amplicons, of which 3 generated DNA sequences 100% identical to R. rickettsii were deposited in GenBank (accession nos. MF678551.1, KX363464.1, and CP006010.1).

Tissue samples were recovered during the autopsy of 1 patient and stored at −40°C. Because this temperature is higher than that recommended to keep Rickettsia viable, we inoculated 1 guinea pig (Cavia porcellus) with tissue homogenate to avoid rickettsial load loss at the moment of isolation. These animals have been reported as amplifiers for R. rickettsii (4,5). Therefore, we inoculated a homogenate of spleen, liver, and lung tissues into an adult male guinea pig before starting the isolation through cell culture. The animal did not have a fever (rectal temperature ≤39.6°C) but died on the 7th day postinoculation (dpi). We extracted and macerated the liver, spleen, brain, and lungs to inoculate 5 additional guinea pigs (second passage), following Krawczak et al. (4). Of these, 2 animals died <24 hours later and were eliminated from the study, 1 developed high fever (>40.0°C) at 4 dpi that persisted until 6 dpi, and 2 remained afebrile but died at 4-5 dpi. We isolated rickettsiae in cell culture from a febrile (>39.6°C) guinea pig that was euthanized at 6 dpi. We inoculated fragments of liver, spleen, and lungs into flasks containing a monolayer of Vero cells, as previously described (5,6). We considered a rickettsial isolate to be established in the laboratory after third passages, of spleen, liver, and lung tissues into an adult male guinea pig before starting the isolation through cell culture. The animal did not have a fever (rectal temperature ≤39.6°C) but died on the 7th day postinoculation (dpi). We extracted and macerated the liver, spleen, brain, and lungs to inoculate 5 additional guinea pigs (second passage), following Krawczak et al. (4). Of these, 2 animals died <24 hours later and were eliminated from the study, 1 developed high fever (>40.0°C) at 4 dpi that persisted until 6 dpi, and 2 remained afebrile but died at 4-5 dpi. We isolated rickettsiae in cell culture from a febrile (>39.6°C) guinea pig that was euthanized at 6 dpi. We inoculated fragments of liver, spleen, and lungs into flasks containing a monolayer of Vero cells, as previously described (5,6). We considered a rickettsial isolate to be established in the laboratory after third passages, each reaching an infected cell level >90% (6,7). We successfully isolated rickettsiae in Vero cells of homogenate derived from a 3-guinea-pig passage.

We extracted DNA from infected cells following Krawczak et al. (4) using a PCR targeting gltA (401 bp), ompA (532 bp), and ompB (511 bp) (3,6). Sequenced PCR products showed a 100% identity with R. rickettsii gltA (GenBank accession nos. CP018914.1, CP018913.1, CP006010.1, CP006009.1, and CP000766.3), ompA (GenBank accession nos. MF678551.1 and MF988095.1), and ompB (GenBank accession nos. CP018914.1, CP018913.1, CP006010.1, CP006009.1, and CP000766.3). We deposited DNA of an isolate in GenBank (accession no. MT184706 for the gltA gene, MT265770 for the ompA gene, and MT184707 for the ompB gene). We designated the R. rickettsii isolate as strain NB, for Ngäbe Bugle, and deposited it in the Gorgas Memorial Institute at Biosafety Level 3.

The diagnosis of severe cases of RMSF in Piedra Roja represents a new locality for this disease in Panama. RMSF has been reported previously from the provinces of Panama, Panama Oeste, and Colon, associated with the distribution of Amblyomma mixtum and Rhipicephalus sanguineus s.l. ticks (2,8). More studies will be needed to determine the ecology related to these cases.

We were able to isolate R. rickettsii from infected tissues stored at −40°C, which is higher than the recommended temperature of −80°C for preserving tissues (9). Because of the relevance of R. rickettsii as a pathogen, the isolation of strains favors obtaining antigens for serologic tests and for further studies to determine the genetic and pathogenic differences between strains. Currently, >30 genotypes of R. rickettsii exist, with different degrees of pathogenicity; therefore, a more representative sample of isolates may make it possible to estimate variations among different populations (10).

In summary, we investigated an outbreak of RMSF in Piedra Roja, a rural village in western Panama, an area where this disease had not previously been reported. Clinicians should remain aware of the possibility of R. rickettsii infection in this region.
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Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome was diagnosed in a febrile woman in Japan after a tick bite. However, Rickettsia japonica DNA was retrospectively detected in the eschar specimen, suggesting co-infection from the bite. Establishment of the severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome virus infection might have overpowered the R. japonica infection.

Severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome (SFTS) is caused by SFTS virus (SFTSV), a novel phlebovirus in the family Bunyaviridae (1). It has been reported that SFTS is endemic to Japan (2). SFTS is classified as a viral hemorrhagic fever, and its case-fatality rate in Japan is ≈30% (3).

Japanese spotted fever (JSF) is an acute tickborne rickettsioses caused by Rickettsia japonica and is endemic to Japan (4). Most cases of SFTS in Japan have been reported in southwestern Japan, and the JSF-endemic area overlaps the areas to which SFTS is endemic. Because the Haemaphysalis longicornis tick is a vector for both SFTSV and R. japonica (4,5), co-infection events might occur in patients with SFTS or R. japonica infection.

A woman 84 years of age was bitten on her lower right back by a tick while working in a field. She became febrile on day 1, experienced mild delirium on day 2, and visited the emergency department of Mitoyo General Hospital (Kanonji, Japan) on day 5, where she had low-grade fever but was alert and lucid. Physical examination revealed an eschar surrounded by exanthema on her lower right back (Figure). She had noticed the eschar on the day after the bite, and her family removed it. We observed no other skin exanthema on her body. Laboratory analysis revealed thrombocytopenia and leukocytopenia (Table). Serum chemistry analyses revealed elongation of the activated partial thromboplastin time and an
increase in the D-dimer level, suggesting coagulopathy. Because increases in aspartate transaminase and blood urea nitrogen were noted, liver and renal functions might have been impaired transiently (Table).

Because of the fever, thrombocytopenia, history of tick bite, and eschar with localized exanthema, we suspected JSF. The patient’s blood samples and the crust of the eschar were tested by PCR assays for *R. japonica*, *Orientia tsutsugamushi*, and SFTSV. The serum sample tested positive for SFTSV by a conventional 1-step reverse transcription PCR reported previously (6). *R. japonica* DNA was also detected in the eschar sample through the methods described previously (7), but it was not detected in serum samples. We empirically administered 100 mg of minocycline intravenously for 7 days, after which minocycline was administered orally every 12 hours for 3 days. Her symptoms resolved without complications by day 6, the second day of admission. After discharge from the hospital on day 12, outpatient follow-up was uneventful.

We analyzed blood specimens to examine paired serum antibody titers against SFTSV in the acute phase and convalescent phase with indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA) (8), which indicated a substantial increase in the antibody to SFTSV from <10 to 640. A relatively low level of viremia (154 copies/mL) was also confirmed in the acute phase (day 4) of the disease by quantitative PCR assays (6). We tested paired serum from the acute phase (day 4) and the convalescent phase (day 27) for IgG and IgM titers against *R. japonica* by IFA as described previously (9). IgG and IgM against *R. japonica* were not detected in either the acute-phase or convalescent-phase serum samples. This result suggests that a general *R. japonica* infection had not established itself and that infection was localized to the eschar, in which erythematous lesions were present, and *R. japonica* DNA was detected only in the eschar sample (Figure). Unfortunately, the nucleotide sequence of the *R. japonica* genome amplified from the eschar was not determined.

The clinical course and laboratory results of this patient, with the exception of the eschar, were consistent with SFTS but not JSF. It has been reported that a tick bite scar could not be found in 56% of SFTS patients (6), whereas skin eruptions appear in 100% of patients with JSF and tick bite eschar appear in 90% of patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Values</th>
<th>Reference range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total blood cell counts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leukocytes</td>
<td>17.1 × 10^9/µL</td>
<td>33–86 × 10^9/µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erythrocytes</td>
<td>410 × 10^9/µL</td>
<td>380–500 × 10^9/µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
<td>12.4 g/dL</td>
<td>11.5–15.0 g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit</td>
<td>35.2%</td>
<td>35.0%–45.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets</td>
<td>7.4 × 10^9/µL</td>
<td>15–35 × 10^9/µL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>35.0%–75.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coagulation-associated tests</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PT</td>
<td>10.3 s</td>
<td>9.9–11.8 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTT</td>
<td>45.9 s</td>
<td>23.0–39.0 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDP</td>
<td>4.3 µg/mL</td>
<td>0.0–5.0 µg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-dimer</td>
<td>1.2 µg/mL</td>
<td>0.0–1.0 µg/mL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum chemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRP</td>
<td>&lt;1.0 mg/dL</td>
<td>0.00–0.14 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>42 U/L</td>
<td>13–30 U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>18 U/L</td>
<td>7–23 U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP</td>
<td>170 U/L</td>
<td>106–322 U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ-GT</td>
<td>14 U/L</td>
<td>9–32 U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin</td>
<td>0.5 mg/dL</td>
<td>0.4–1.5 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDH</td>
<td>200 U/L</td>
<td>124–222 U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CK</td>
<td>63 U/L</td>
<td>59–248 U/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total protein</td>
<td>7.1 g/dL</td>
<td>6.8–8.1 g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>3.9 g/dL</td>
<td>4.1–5.1 g/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN</td>
<td>26 mg/dL</td>
<td>8–20 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>0.76 mg/dL</td>
<td>0.46–0.79 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR</td>
<td>54.5 mL/min</td>
<td>80–100 mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ccr</td>
<td>41.8 mL/min</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uric acid</td>
<td>3.7 mg/dL</td>
<td>2.6–5.5 mg/dL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium</td>
<td>127 mmol/L</td>
<td>138–145 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium</td>
<td>3.8 mmol/L</td>
<td>3.6–4.8 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>92 mmol/L</td>
<td>101–108 mmol/L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ALP*: alkaline phosphatase; *ALT*: alanine transaminase; *APTT*: activated partial thromboplastin time; *AST*: aspartate transaminase; *BUN*: blood urea nitrogen; *Ccr*: calculated creatinine clearance; *CK*: creatine kinase; *CRP*: C-reactive protein; *eGFR*: estimated glomerular filtration rate; *FDP*: fibrin/fibrinogen degradation products; *LDH*: lactate dehydrogenase; *PT*: prothrombin time; *SFTS*: severe fever with thrombocytopenia syndrome; *γ-GT*: γ-glutamyl transpeptidase.
with JSF (10). The patient showed no other skin eruptions besides the eschar at the site of the tick bite (Figure). It is highly possible that the eschar on this patient could have been caused by an inflammatory response induced by the local *R. japonica* infection. *R. japonica* did not induce systemic symptoms in this patient for 2 possible reasons. First, the incubation time for SFTS might be shorter than that of JSF. Second, the initiation of antimicrobial drugs in the early phase of disease might have ameliorated the clinical course of the diseases.

In conclusion, we describe a patient with a generalized SFTSV infection and a localized skin lesion caused by *R. japonica* at the site of a tick bite. This study suggests that SFTS patients with eschar at the site of a tick bite should be treated with appropriate antimicrobial drugs, such as doxycycline and minocycline.
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**Imported SARS-CoV-2 Variant P.1 in Traveler Returning from Brazil to Italy**

Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) variant P.1 currently is causing a major outbreak of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) in the Amazonas province of Brazil (N.R. Faria et al., unpub. data, https://viriological.org/t/genomic-characterisation-of-an-emergent-sars-cov-2-lineage-in-manaus-preliminary-findings/586). The P.1 variant also is known as B.1.1.28 in the Phylogenetic Assignment of Named Global Outbreak Lineages (https://cov-lineages.org/pangolin.html) and as 20I/501Y.V3 in NextStrain (https://nextstrain.org). Preliminary reports have associated several spike protein mutations harbored in the P.1 variant with escape from neutralizing monoclonal antibodies (mAb) and was detected in convalescent serum collected during previous epidemic waves (Z. Liu et al., unpub. data, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.10110/2020.11.06.372037v1; S. Jangra et al., unpub. data, https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.10110/2021.01.26.21250543v1).

The B.1.1.28 lineage emerged in Brazil during February 2020, and 2 subclades recently evolved separately (C.M. Voloch et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.10110/2020.12.23.20248598; N.R. Faria, et al., unpub. data, https://viriological.org/t/genomic-characterisation-of-an-emergent-sars-cov-2-lineage-in-manaus-preliminary-findings/586). During January 2021, SARS-CoV-2 variant P.1 was reported in 4 travelers returning to Japan from Amazonas state in Brazil (1). The strain identified in the travelers was associated with E484K, K417N, and N501Y mutations as noted in the the B.1.351 line 20I/501.V2 clade of South African lineage (1). In addition, 1 case of re-infection has been documented months after a B.1 primary infection (F. Naveca et al., unpub. data, https://viriological.org/t/sars-cov-2-reinfection-by-the-new-variant-of-concern-voc-p-1-in-amazonas-brazil/596). Another lineage, P.2, was reported in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, but has been associated with spike mutations only in E484K; ≥2 cases of reinfection have been documented several months after primary B.1.1.33 infections (P. Resende et al., unpub. data, https://viriological.org/t/spike-e484k-mutation-in-the-first-sars-cov-2-reinfection-case-confirmed-in-brazil-2020/584; C.K. Vasques Nonaka et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.31.425021). Of note, many of the most potent mRNA vaccine-elicited mAbs were 3- to 10-fold less effective at neutralizing pseudotyped viruses carrying E484K (K. Wu et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.25.427948), which has unknown implications for protection. We report an asymptomatic traveler from Brazil who tested positive for the SARS-CoV-2 P.1 variant in a screening nasopharyngeal swab sample.

After visiting São Paulo, Brazil, during November 23, 2020–January 16, 2021, a family, including a 33-year-old man, his 38-year-old wife, and his 7-year-old daughter, flew back to their home in Italy. During their time in Brazil, the family did not travel outside of São Paulo, which is >2,000 miles from Amazonas. The family took an indirect return flight; they flew from São Paulo/Guarulhos International Airport in Brazil to Madrid, Spain, and from there flew to Milan Malpensa Airport in Italy. Molecular tests were performed on all 3 family members at the departure airport in Brazil, and all were SARS negative.

The family arrived in Milan on the afternoon of January 17 and took a train and a car to their home, 30 miles from Milan. Under current recommendations in Italy, all persons entering the country can decide to be screened for SARS-CoV-2. After consulting a general practitioner on January 21, the father went to the hospital for a screening nasopharyngeal swab sample. The sample was tested by using the Alinity platform (Abbott, https://www.abbott.com), which returned a positive result for SARS-CoV-2 RNA with a cycle threshold of 23. Reverse transcription PCR (RT-PCR) fragments corresponding to the receptor-binding domain (RBD) in the spike gene of SARS-CoV-2 were amplified from purified viral RNA by using a OneStep RT-PCR Kit (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com). We used a reference sequence from GISAID (https://www.gisaid.org; accession no. EPI_ISL_402124) and
nucleotide sequences of primer sets to map genome locations (Figure; Appendix, https://wwwnc.cdc.gov/EID/article/27/4/21-0183-App1.pdf). The sequence of RBD from the patient included the P.1 barcoding mutations K417T, E484K, and N501Y. We deposited these data in GenBank (accession no. MW517286) and GISAID (accession no. EPI-ISL-869166).

SARS-CoV-2 variant P.1 is characterized by K417N, but K417T also has been reported in several cases before our patient (1), suggesting ongoing evolution. On January 22, 2021, after we reported the sequencing results, the patient was admitted to the infectious and tropical diseases unit of ASST dei Sette Laghi–Ospedale di Circolo and Fondazione Macchi (Varese, Italy) for observation. The patient remained asymptomatic and was discharged on January 29. The patient’s spouse also tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA via a nasopharyngeal swab sample. Antibody tests conducted by using Liaison Analyzer (DiaSorin, https://www.diasorin.com) were negative for SARS-CoV-2 S1/S2 IgG in serum of both the man and his wife, suggesting a primary infection.

Direct flights from Brazil to Italy were canceled upon the unilateral decision of the government of Italy on January 16, 2021, but our findings confirm the risk for introducing SARS-CoV-2 variants from indirect flights if no surveillance measures are implemented at arrival. This case also suggests wider circulation of SARS-CoV-2 variant P.1 in areas other than Amazonas in Brazil. P.1-specific primer sets recently have been designed (A. Lopez-Rincon et al., unpub. data, https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.20.427043) and will aid in development of large-scale screening programs for this variant.
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Chapter 5 details the coronavirus disease (COVID-19) outbreak by explaining the symptoms, at-risk populations, infection-fatality rate, case-fatality rates, and mortality rates. Other chapters are devoted to comparing and contrasting COVID-19 with the 2002–2003 severe acute respiratory syndrome outbreak and pandemic influenza, in particular the 1918 Spanish influenza. This historical information provides a sobering reminder that what is occurring is not unique to our generation. At the time the disease was beginning to spread in the United States, comparisons of coronavirus disease to seasonal influenza focused on similarities as contagious respiratory illnesses; further information revealed many differences between COVID-19 and influenza.

The text concludes with a summary of common misunderstandings and suggestions for further reading for those interested in learning more about specific topics. We particularly liked the summary of common misunderstandings, which offers clear, straightforward answers to prevalent misinformation. However, the section “Updates at Press” could be improved by providing more context and citing specific sources for each finding.

Overall, Understanding Coronavirus is a well-written, well-organized, and informative book that would appeal to a broad range of readers, including epidemiologists, public health workers, university and medical students, physicians, and others interested in public health. Although the book lacks current details about treatment and vaccines, the scientific foundations introduced will be of great benefit for conceptualizing the pandemic now and in the future.
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Volcanoes—active, dormant, and extinct—are found on every continent on Earth. Many lay submerged below the oceans, and others exist as islands. Volcanic explosions, upheavals, and lava flows, coupled with eons of weathering, have formed mountains and plateaus, created craters, and etched valleys. Approximately 80 percent of Earth’s surface was created by volcanic activity. The United States Geological Survey notes, “Gaseous emissions from volcanic vents over hundreds of millions of years formed the Earth’s earliest oceans and atmosphere, which supplied the ingredients vital to evolve and sustain life.”

Ancient to modern eyewitness accounts document the devastation and spectacle associated with volcanic eruptions. For centuries, artists have depicted in their works both the sublime beauty and unthinkable destruction of volcanic eruptions. Among them is the Norwegian painter Johan Christian Claussen Dahl. Considered the first great Romantic painter in Norway and among the greatest European artists of all time, Dahl traveled to Italy during the fall of 1820. He visited Naples in late December of that year and observed firsthand Vesuvius erupting, an event he called an “interesting and horribly wondrous sight.” This month’s cover image, *Eruption of the Volcano Vesuvius, 1821*, is the first in a series of paintings he created after that experience.

Dahl’s up-close portrayal reverses the more common artistic perspective of placing volcanoes in the background. In this painting, clouds of smoke and steam flecked with lava billow and whirl skyward from the glowing red fissures and caldera of Mount Vesuvius, obscuring much of the sky and water in the

Johan Christian Dahl (1788–1857), *Eruption of the Volcano Vesuvius, 1821*. Oil on canvas, 38.7 in × 54.1 in/98.3 cm × 137.5 cm. Public domain digital image courtesy of National Gallery of Denmark, Sølvsgade 48–50, 1307 Copenhagen, Denmark.
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**An Interesting and Horribly Wondrous Sight**

Byron Breedlove

Volcanoes—active, dormant, and extinct—are found on every continent on Earth. Many lay submerged below the oceans, and others exist as islands. Volcanic explosions, upheavals, and lava flows, coupled with eons of weathering, have formed mountains and plateaus, created craters, and etched valleys. Approximately 80 percent of Earth’s surface was created by volcanic activity. The United States Geological Survey notes, “Gaseous emissions from volcanic vents over hundreds of millions of years formed the Earth’s earliest oceans and atmosphere, which supplied the ingredients vital to evolve and sustain life.”

Ancient to modern eyewitness accounts document the devastation and spectacle associated with volcanic eruptions. For centuries, artists have depicted in their works both the sublime beauty and unthinkable destruction of volcanic eruptions. Among them is the Norwegian painter Johan Christian Claussen Dahl. Considered the first great Romantic painter in Norway and among the greatest European artists of all time, Dahl traveled to Italy during the fall of 1820. He visited Naples in late December of that year and observed firsthand Vesuvius erupting, an event he called an “interesting and horribly wondrous sight.” This month’s cover image, *Eruption of the Volcano Vesuvius, 1821*, is the first in a series of paintings he created after that experience.

Dahl’s up-close portrayal reverses the more common artistic perspective of placing volcanoes in the background. In this painting, clouds of smoke and steam flecked with lava billow and whirl skyward from the glowing red fissures and caldera of Mount Vesuvius, obscuring much of the sky and water in the
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left half of the painting. Near the edge of the crater, a pair of visitors (perhaps one represents Dahl) appear as tiny silhouettes and convey a sense of scale. A panoramic view of Naples receding in the background and hugging the shore of its namesake gulf in the Mediterranean Sea fills the right side of the painting. More mountain ridges rise and jut into the bay under a panoramic, calm sky. Nearly hidden in the foreground, a local guide waits and watches, clutching the tethers of a pair of donkeys.

Art historian Maia Heguiaphal writes, “The vast smoke coming out of the incandescent lava immediately attracts the viewer’s eyes, but the background of the volcano intensifies the effect of destruction carried by the eruption. Dahl makes us see the landscape that the volcano will destroy: The lava flows in its direction. We are therefore confronted with the imminent destruction of idyllic nature.”

Worldwide, approximately 1,500 volcanoes are potentially active, and on any given day, about a dozen may be erupting. The World Health Organization estimates that during 1998–2017, volcanic activities and the wildﬁres they spawned affected 6.2 million people and caused nearly 2,400 deaths. Geosciences professor Erik Klemetti notes, “With our modern ability to monitor volcanoes in many remote locations thanks to satellites, and the speed with which news travels around the globe today, an eruption that might have gone unnoticed 100 years ago is bound to make headlines in 2018. The world is not more volcanically active, we’re just more volcanically aware.”

Volcanic eruptions are among the most dramatic, unpredictable, and dangerous threats from Mother Nature. But unseen threats, arriving more stealthily via emerging and reemerging high-consequence pathogens, are much more deadly. High-consequence pathogens cause diseases that typically have a high case-fatality rate, are often difficult to recognize and detect rapidly, may spread rapidly and cause epidemics, and lack effective measures for prophylaxis or treatment. For example, the 2014–2016 Ebola outbreak in West Africa alone caused more than 11,000 deaths.

Among the diseases caused by high-consequence pathogens are Middle East respiratory syndrome, Nipah virus infection, monkeypox, and a cluster of hemorrhagic fever diseases (in addition to Ebola, including Marburg hemorrhagic fever, Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever, and Rift Valley fever). Such pathogens are also responsible for many diseases that have been known for centuries, such as anthrax, melioidosis, rabies, and smallpox.

Although many pathogenic agents may be circulating at any given time, disease outbreaks (or even small numbers of cases) caused by high-consequence pathogens can have serious public health, economic, and even security consequences. That point is underscored by the current COVID-19 pandemic. Although the case-fatality rate associated with SARS-CoV-2 infection is lower than that of many other viruses (i.e., is less deadly at the individual level), the enormous number of persons infected and the ability of SARS-CoV-2 to spread rapidly results in many more deaths.

CDC-based scientists Belay and Monroe state that “ongoing surveillance and public health research of high-consequence pathogens are critical for identifying their natural reservoirs, developing diagnostic tests, and devising appropriate control and prevention measures.” Though the world is now more pathogenically aware than ever before, high-consequence pathogens are, like volcanoes, predictably unpredictable, and they are an ongoing public health concern.
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• Use of Genomics to Track Coronavirus Disease Outbreaks, New Zealand
• Global Trends in Norovirus Genotype Distribution among Children with Acute Gastroenteritis
• Longevity of Middle East Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus Antibody Responses in Patients, Saudi Arabia
• Whole-Genome Sequencing of Shiga Toxin–Producing Escherichia coli OX18 from a Fatal Hemolytic Uremic Syndrome Case
• Introduction of ORF3a-Q57H SARS-CoV-2 Variant Causing Fourth Epidemic Wave of COVID-19, Hong Kong, China
• Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Incidence of SARS-CoV-2 Infection, 22 US States and DC, January 1–October 1, 2020
• Detecting COVID-19 Clusters at High Spatiotemporal Resolution, New York City, NY, USA, June–July 2020
• Prescribing Antimicrobial Drugs for Acute Gastroenteritis, Primary Care, Australia, 2013–2018
• Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 Serial Interval Variation, Montana, USA, March 1–July 31, 2020
• Upper Respiratory Infections in Schools and Childcare Centers Reopening after COVID-19 Dismissals, Hong Kong
• Undocumented Migrants Reintroducing COVID-19, Yunnan Province, China
• Genomic Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 Reinfection Involving E484K Spike Mutation, Brazil

Complete list of articles in the May issue at http://www.cdc.gov/eid/upcoming.htm
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Article Title

Blastomycosis Surveillance in 5 States, United States, 1987–2018

CME Questions

1. You are advising a public health department in Wisconsin regarding anticipated cases of blastomycosis. According to the analysis by Benedict and colleagues of combined 1987–2018 surveillance data from the 5 states where blastomycosis is reportable, which of the following statements about epidemiologic features of blastomycosis is correct?
   A. Mean annual incidence was < 1 case/100,000 population in most areas and > 20 cases/100,000 in some northern Wisconsin counties
   B. Most patients were female, aged > 65 years, and White
   C. Incidence increased in all 5 states during 2007–2017 (years with data available from all 5 states)
   D. Most cases were associated with spring outbreaks

2. According to the analysis by Benedict and colleagues of combined 1987–2018 surveillance data from the 5 states where blastomycosis is reportable, which of the following statements about clinical features of blastomycosis is correct?
   A. One-quarter of patients were hospitalized and 4% died
   B. Median time from symptom onset to diagnosis was 12 days
   C. Chest pain was the most common symptom
   D. Factors significantly associated with death were older age (median 61 vs 44 years; P < .001) and positive microscopy test (relative risk [RR] = 1.76 [95% CI: 1.34, 2.38])

3. According to the analysis by Benedict and colleagues of combined 1987–2018 surveillance data from the five states where blastomycosis is reportable, which of the following statements about public health and clinical implications of the epidemiologic and clinical features of blastomycosis is correct?
   A. Surveillance is likely to reflect the true number of cases
   B. More in-depth surveillance in additional states would help improve understanding of blastomycosis and inform strategies to increase clinician and public awareness
   C. Blastomycosis is limited to the states where reporting is required
   D. Currently available diagnostic methods for blastomycosis are sufficient for optimal case detection
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**Systematic Review of Reported HIV Outbreaks, Pakistan, 2000–2019**

**CME Questions**

1. Which of the following groups has the highest prevalence of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection in Pakistan?
   A. Transgender people
   B. People who inject drugs
   C. Men who have sex with men
   D. General population

2. Which of the following was the most common cause of HIV outbreaks in Pakistan in the current study?
   A. Increasing homelessness
   B. Unsafe healthcare practices
   C. Reduced availability of condoms
   D. Increasing contact with sex workers

3. Which of the following statements regarding limitations on reports of outbreaks of HIV infection in Pakistan is most accurate?
   A. All authors were directly affiliated with the primary data
   B. 20% of authors used media reports as a primary source of information
   C. All 7 outbreaks included a detailed investigation
   D. Most reports included phylogenetic data on HIV infection

4. All of the following variables might help contribute to unsafe injection practices in Pakistan except:
   A. Patient preference for oral medications
   B. Financial incentives for providers
   C. No monitoring to ensure safe injection practices
   D. Injectable medicines accessible without a prescription
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Article Title

CME Questions

1. Which of the following statements regarding ticks assessed in the current study is most accurate?
   A. >99% of ticks collected were *Ixodes ricinus*
   B. The most common developmental stage was adult
   C. Most ticks were male
   D. Most patients collected >1 tick

2. Which of the following statements regarding molecular screening of ticks in the current study is most accurate?
   A. ≈60% of ticks examined harbored a tickborne pathogen (TBP)
   B. *Borrelia burgdorferi* was detected in 15% of ticks
   C. *B. burgdorferi* sensu stricto was the most common genospecies isolated in the current series
   D. *Rickettsia* spp. was the most common TBP isolated

3. What approximate percentage of patients in the current series with tick bites were positive for *Borrelia* infection?
   A. 0.1%
   B. 1%
   C. 5%
   D. 17%

4. Which of the following variables was most associated with a significantly higher risk for *Borrelia* infection in the current study?
   A. Female sex and older age
   B. Older age and tick bite on the head or neck
   C. A higher number of ticks and confirmation of *Borrelia* infection in ticks
   D. Tick engorgement and confirmation of *Borrelia* infection in ticks